Unsteady thermal boundary layer flows of vertical plate through numerical techniques
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Abstract
Prediction of performance losses by particle impingements on component surface for physico-chemical aspects under two phase flows are very expensive and complicated. Though mathematical models via software engineering provides general design data, still a major breakthrough is yet to be made. When solid particles are suspended in flowing gasses equilibrium conditions of fluid will get disturbed. This paper makes an attempt to study the phenomena for fine particles under laminar flows for thermal boundary layer analysis aspects through computer software. Solution techniques are adopted for FDM to obtain convergent solutions.
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1. Introduction

The phenomena of thermally driven fluid past a isothermal vertical plate finds several applications in industrial equipment. The analysis of such component, which is subjected to different material and multiphase fluid mixture, call for extensive mathematical models with advanced numerical techniques. Though, the literature dealing with fluid past a isothermal vertical plate is adequate [9, 21, 22], its solution lacks in 2D/3D environment due to non-linearity and coupling between velocity and temperature terms. When the vertical plate is of porous medium, subjected to flowing fluid, its momentum balance modifies with material permeability factor.

There have been intense studies in the area of flows subjected to body forces. In particular, flows generated and sustained by buoyancy, i.e. natural convection, have been the focus of attention because of their wide range of applications. For most fluids, such as air and particles, the problem can be formulated in terms of the well known Navier Stokes' and energy equations. While the steady-state problems are governed by an elliptic system of equations, the character changes to parabolic, when the unsteady terms are retained. The steady-state equations only approximate the original governing system, under the limiting case of long evolution time for the flow field. In this manner, all transition phenomena and the possible presence of turbulence are ignored. Even when the flow is laminar, the existence of a steady state is not guaranteed a priori for free-convection problems. Hence, it is clear that the unsteady version of the Navier-Stokes and energy equations more correctly define the flow and heat transfer phenomena. However, If the steady state is realizable, then these equations can be (1) analytically solved, (2) simplified, as with boundary-layer equations, or (3) solved by well developed "elliptic solvers".

The solutions to such class of problems through exhaustive review [23] generates interesting applications in process equipment. If the fluid composed of gas-dust particles flows past isothermal vertical plate, structure of mathematics is more complicated and its effects are reported by Lee [11] and Bhaskar [5]. In order to understand the problems associated to thermal convection especially with the class of two-phase flows, the paper highlights as to how the physical process of such a phenomena can be understood through numerical techniques.

In any convective heat transfer process, density differences arise, as a result of differences in temperature, and under the influence of gravitational force field natural convection effects result in the flow system. In a forced convection case, associated with large Reynold’s numbers connected with large flow velocities, where the forces and momentum transport rates are very large, the effects of natural convection are negligible. If on the other hand, buoyancy forces arising from density differences are relatively large, as exemplified by large Grashof numbers, the forced-convection effects may be ignored; However, in many cases of practical interest, both the effects of forced convection ‘and natural convection may be of comparable order. An indication of the relative magnitude of the two effects can be obtained from the differential equations describing the flow and it is best accomplished by the use of non-dimensional parameters such as $Gr/(Re*Re)$. With the comparatively small velocities associated with laminar motion, the heat transfer is substantially affected by buoyancy forces and resulting velocity fields.

2. Mechanism of Heat Flow by Convection

To elaborate above physics, consider fluid past a isothermal vertical plate shown in Fig. 2. Due to viscous nature of fluid, a boundary layer is formed near the heated wall. On analysing the flow pattern for classical fluid, the velocity increases from zero at wall to a maximum and then decreases, as ambient conditions are reached. The effect of buoyancy force decreases after reaching the velocity maximum because of less heating of fluid. The temperature and velocity gradient approaches zero at the same location and this buoyancy forces causing the upward flow zero at the edge of boundary and cannot sustain any shear force. If temperature gradient does not approach zero, heat would flow out of boundary providing the density gradient and additional upward flow. This implies that thermal and fluid dynamic boundary layers are of equal thickness, which is not the case in forced convection.
The corresponding equations to study the forced convection flow and heat transfer are:

\[
\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y} = \frac{1}{Pr} \frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial y^2} + \frac{Br}{Pr} \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}^2
\]  

(3.9)

if the plate is of porous material, then the eqn.(8) becomes

\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = \frac{v}{Pr} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\lambda}{\rho} u
\]

(3.10)

in case, the plate is subjected to two-phase fluid, then for small particle flow and thermal relaxation, apart from (1) will become:

\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = \frac{v}{(1+f)} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2}
\]

(3.11)

\[
\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y} = \frac{1}{Pr(1+q)} \frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial y^2} + \frac{Br}{Pr(1+q)} \frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial y^2}
\]

(3.12)

if the vertical plate is subjected to Mixed Convection, then the eqn. (2) modifies to:

\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = - \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{Re} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} + \frac{Gr\theta}{Re^2}
\]

(3.13)

The above eqns. are subjected to boundary conditions:

\[
t = 0; u = v = 0 \quad \theta = 0 \quad f = f_0 \quad for \ all \ y
\]

\[
t > 0; u = v = 0 \quad \theta = 0 \quad f = f_0 \ at \ y = 0
\]

\[
u = v = u \quad \theta = \theta_0 \ at \ y = \infty, f = 0
\]

(3.15)

where \( f = \frac{mN}{p} \); \( \lambda = \frac{k}{\alpha} \); \( Re = u \frac{\alpha}{v} \); \( Pr = \frac{\mu c}{k} \); \( Q = 4\pi k \alpha \);

\[
Br = \frac{\mu}{k} \frac{T_0 - T_1}{\alpha} q = \frac{ON}{\alpha} \quad c = \frac{c_s}{c_p} \quad Gr = \frac{gB^2(T - T_1)}{v^2 T_1}
\]

having solved the above eqns. for \( u, v, \theta, f \) the heat transfer coefficient, defined as

\[
Nu = -\left. \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y} \right|_{y=0} \frac{x}{\Delta \theta \delta}
\]

(3.16)
4. Approximation to PDES - Vertical Plate in Free Convection

The equations shown in (1) - (15) are mostly parabolic and can be elliptic PDEs in time domain, if the analysis is extended to wake portion of plate. The structure of equations are so complicated by non-linear and coupling terms in such a way that its closed form solutions are impossible and hence it needs numerical solution. The process of obtaining the computational solution consists of two stages. The first stage converts the continuous PDEs and auxiliary initial and boundary conditions into system of algebraic equations known as discretization. The process of discretization is easily identified [8] if finite difference method is used, but is slightly less, with finite element, finite volume and spectral method. The second stage of solution process requires an equation solver to provide the solution to the system of algebraic equations. This stage can introduce an error but it is usually negligible compared with error encountered at discretization stage unless, the method is unstable. To ensure the numerical scheme (FDM) for accuracy, it is always necessary that one has to take of care the algorithms for convergence, consistency and stability.

5. Conclusion

After studying serious deficiencies in the analysis [12] concerned to free and forced convection, a meaningful problem for multi-phase flows has been addressed, from the first principles. While describing the mathematical formulations, numerical algorithms in time domain have been highlighted to study free convection effects of two-phase gas-particle flows past a vertical plate. Automation procedure explored for prediction of thermo-fluid mechanics of two-phase (gas-particle/porous medium) over heated plates are expected to be useful very much in estimating the performance losses in turbomachinery equipment.
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