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1. Introduction

Nano topology investigated by M.L. Thivagar et.al \([3]\) can be expressed as a collection of nano approximations, Neutrosophic sets established by F. Smarandache \([2]\). Neutrosophic set is illustrate by three functions: a membership, indeterminacy and a nonmembership functions that are independently related neutrosophic set have wide range of applications in real life. M.L. Thivagar et al. \([4]\) developed Neutrosophic nano topological spaces. Neutrosophic nano semi closed, Neutrosophic nano \(\alpha\) closed, Neutrosophic nano pre closed, Neutrosophic nano semi pre closed and Neutrosophic nano regular closed are introduced by M. Parimala et al. \([5]\). Aim of the present paper is we studied about properties of Nano semi closure, Neutrosophic Nano semi interior in Neutrosophic nano topological spaces.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. Let \(U\) be a non-empty set and \(R\) be an equivalence relation on \(U\). Let \(M\) be a neutrosophic set in \(U\) with the membership function \(\mu_M\), the indeterminancy function \(\sigma_M\) and the non-membership function \(\nu_M\). The neutrosophic nano lower, neutrosophic nano upper approximation and neutrosophic nano boundary of \(M\) in the approximation \((U,R)\) denoted by \(N(M)\), \(\overline{N(M)}\) and \(B_N(M)\) are respectively defined as follows:

1. \(N(M) = \{u \in U \mid \mu_R(M_R)(u) > y \in [u]\}

2. \(\overline{N(M)} = \{u \in U \mid \mu_R(M_R)(u) > y \in [u]\}

3. \(B_N(M) = \overline{N(M)} - N(M)\)

Definition 2.2. Let \(U\) be an universe, \(R\) be an equivalence relation on \(U\) and \(M\) be a neutrosophic set in \(U\) and if the
collection $N_N(\tau) = \{0_{N_N}, 1_{N_N}, N(M), N(\overline{M}), B_N(M)\}$ forms a topology then it is said to be a neutrosophic nano topology. We call $(U, N_N(\tau))$ as the neutrosophic nano topological space. The elements of $N_N(\tau)$ are called neutrosophic nano open sets.

**Definition 2.3.** Let $U$ be a nonempty set and the neutrosophic sets $M_{P_1}$ and $M_{P_2}$ in the form

\[ M_{P_1} = \{ < u : \mu_{M_{P_1}}(u), \sigma_{M_{P_1}}(u), \nu_{M_{P_1}}(u) > : u \in U \}, \]
\[ M_{P_2} = \{ < u : \mu_{M_{P_2}}(u), \sigma_{M_{P_2}}(u), \nu_{M_{P_2}}(u) > : u \in U \} \]

Then the following statements hold:

1. $0_{N_N} = \{ < u, 0, 0, 1 > : u \in U \}$ and $1_{N_N} = \{ < u, 1, 1, 0 > : u \in U \}$.
2. $M_{P_1} \subseteq M_{P_2}$ iff $\{ \mu_{M_{P_1}}(u) \leq \mu_{M_{P_2}}(u), \sigma_{M_{P_1}}(u) \leq \sigma_{M_{P_2}}(u), \nu_{M_{P_1}}(u) \geq \nu_{M_{P_2}}(u) \}$ for all $u \in U$.
3. $M_{P_1} = M_{P_2}$ iff $M_{P_1} \subseteq M_{P_2}$ and $M_{P_2} \subseteq M_{P_1}$.
4. $M_{P_1} = \{ u : \mu_{M_{P_1}}(u), 1 - \sigma_{M_{P_1}}(u), \mu_{M_{P_1}}(u) > : u \in U \}$.
5. $M_{P_1} \cap M_{P_2} = \{ u : \mu_{M_{P_1}}(u) \land \mu_{M_{P_2}}(u), \sigma_{M_{P_1}}(u) \land \sigma_{M_{P_2}}(u), \nu_{M_{P_1}}(u) \lor \nu_{M_{P_2}}(u) \}$ for all $u \in U$.
6. $M_{P_1} \cup M_{P_2} = \{ u : \mu_{M_{P_1}}(u) \lor \mu_{M_{P_2}}(u), \sigma_{M_{P_1}}(u) \lor \sigma_{M_{P_2}}(u), \nu_{M_{P_1}}(u) \land \nu_{M_{P_2}}(u) \}$ for all $u \in U$.
7. $\cup_{M_{P_1}} = \{ < u, \land, \land, \lor > \}$.
8. $\cap_{M_{P_1}} = \{ < u, \land, \lor, \lor > \}$.

**Proposition 2.4.** For any neutrosophic Nano set $M_{P_1}$ in $(U, N_N(\tau))$ we have

1. $N_N(\overline{M_{P_1}})^c = (N_N(\overline{M_{P_1}}))^c$.
2. $N_N(\overline{M_{P_1}})^c = (N_N(\overline{M_{P_1}}))^c$.
3. $M_{P_1} \subseteq M_{P_2} \Rightarrow N_N(\overline{M_{P_1}}) \subseteq N_N(\overline{M_{P_2}})$.
4. $N_N(\overline{M_{P_1}}) \subseteq N_N(\overline{M_{P_2}}) \Rightarrow N_N(\overline{M_{P_1}}) \subseteq N_N(\overline{M_{P_2}})$.
5. $N_N(\overline{M_{P_1}}) = N_N(\overline{M_{P_2}})$.
6. $N_N(\overline{M_{P_1}}) = N_N(\overline{M_{P_2}})$.
7. $N_N(\overline{M_{P_1}} \cap M_{P_2}) = N_N(\overline{M_{P_1}}) \cap N_N(\overline{M_{P_2}})$.
8. $N_N(\overline{M_{P_1}} \cup M_{P_2}) = N_N(\overline{M_{P_1}}) \cup N_N(\overline{M_{P_2}})$.
9. $N_N(\overline{0_{N_N}}) = 0_{N_N}$.
10. $N_N(1_{N_N}) = 1_{N_N}$.
11. $N_N(0_{N_N}) = 0_{N_N}$.
12. $N_N(\overline{1_{N_N}}) = 1_{N_N}$.
13. $M_{P_1} \subseteq M_{P_2} \Rightarrow (M_{P_1})^c \subseteq (M_{P_2})^c$.
14. $N_N(\overline{M_{P_1}}) \subseteq N_N(\overline{M_{P_2}}) \subseteq N_N(\overline{M_{P_1}}) \cap N_N(\overline{M_{P_2}})$.
15. $N_N(\overline{M_{P_1}}) \cup N_N(\overline{M_{P_2}}) \subseteq N_N(\overline{M_{P_1}}) \cup N_N(\overline{M_{P_2}})$.

**3. Neutrosophic Nano Semi-Open Sets in Neutrosophic Topological Spaces**

In this section, the concepts of the Neutrosophic Nano semi-open set is introduced and also discussed their characterizations.

**Definition 3.1.** Let $M_{P_1}$ be $N_N$ of a $N_N$TSU. Then $M_{P_1}$ is said to be Neutrosophic Nano semi-open [written $N_N(SO)$] set of $U$ if there exists a Neutrosophic Nano open set $N_NO$ such that $N_NO \subseteq M_{P_1} \subseteq N_N(\overline{N_NO})$.

**Theorem 3.2.** A subset $M_{P_1}$ in a $N_N$TSU is $N_N(SO)$ set if and only if $M_{P_1} \subseteq N_N(\overline{N_N(\overline{M_{P_1}})})$.

**Proof.** Sufficiency: Let $M_{P_1} \subseteq N_N(\overline{N_N(\overline{M_{P_1}})})$. Then for $N_NO = N_N(\overline{N_N(\overline{M_{P_1}})})$, we have $N_NO \subseteq M_{P_1} \subseteq N_N(\overline{N_NO})$. Necessity: Let $M_{P_1}$ be $N_N(SO)$ set in $U$. Then $N_NO \subseteq M_{P_1} \subseteq N_N(\overline{N_NO})$ for some Neutrosophic Nano open set $N_NO$ at $N_NO \subseteq N_N(\overline{N_NO})$ and thus $N_N(\overline{N_NO}) \subseteq N_N(\overline{N_N(\overline{M_{P_1}})})$. Hence $M_{P_1} \subseteq N_N(\overline{N_NO}) \subseteq N_N(\overline{N_N(\overline{M_{P_1}})})$.

**Theorem 3.3.** Let $M_{P_1}$ be $N_N(SO)$ set in the $N_N$TSU and suppose $M_{P_2} \subseteq M_{P_1} \subseteq N_N(\overline{M_{P_1}})$. Then $M_{P_2}$ is $N_N(SO)$ set in $U$.

**Proof.** There exists a Neutrosophic Nano open set $N_NO$ such that $N_NO \subseteq M_{P_1} \subseteq N_N(\overline{N_NO})$. Then $N_NO \subseteq M_{P_2}$. But $N_N(\overline{M_{P_1}}) \subseteq N_N(\overline{N_NO})$ and thus $M_{P_2} \subseteq N_N(\overline{N_NO})$. Hence $N_NO \subseteq M_{P_2} \subseteq N_N(\overline{N_NO})$ and $M_{P_2}$ is $N_N(SO)$ set in $U$.

**Theorem 3.4.** Every Neutrosophic Nano open set in the $N_N$TSU is $N_N(SO)$ set in $U$.

**Proof.** Let $M_{P_1}$ be Neutrosophic Nano open set in $N_N$TSU. Then $M_{P_1} = N_N(\overline{N_N(\overline{M_{P_1}})})$. Also $N_N(\overline{N_N(\overline{M_{P_1}})}) \subseteq N_N(\overline{N_N(\overline{M_{P_1}})})$. This implies that $M_{P_1} \subseteq N_N(\overline{N_N(\overline{M_{P_1}})})$. Hence by Theorem 3.2, $M_{P_1}$ is $N_N(SO)$ set in $U$.

**Remark 3.5.** The converse of the above theorem need not be true as shown by the following example.

**Example 3.6.** Let $U$ and $M_{P_1}$ be two non-empty finite sets, where $U$ is the universe and $M_{P_1}$ the set of attributes $U = \{F_1, F_2, F_3, F\}$ are Fruits. Let $U/R = \{\{F_1, F_2, F_3\}, \{F\}\}$ be an equivalence relation.
\[ M_{P_1} = \{\text{Proteins, Minerals, Vitamins}\} \text{ are three attributes, its Neutrosophic values are given below} \]

\[
F_1 = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \frac{4}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{3}{10} \\ \frac{3}{10}, \frac{2}{10}, \frac{2}{10} \\ \frac{9}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{8}{10} \end{array} \right\} 
\]

\[
F_2 = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \frac{2}{10}, \frac{4}{10}, \frac{5}{10} \\ \frac{1}{10}, \frac{1}{10}, \frac{2}{10} \\ \frac{6}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{8}{10} \end{array} \right\} 
\]

\[
F_3 = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \frac{5}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{3}{10} \\ \frac{4}{10}, \frac{2}{10}, \frac{2}{10} \\ \frac{9}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{8}{10} \end{array} \right\} 
\]

\[
F_4 = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \frac{4}{10}, \frac{4}{10}, \frac{5}{10} \\ \frac{3}{10}, \frac{2}{10}, \frac{2}{10} \\ \frac{5}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{8}{10} \end{array} \right\} 
\]

\[ M_{F_{2,N}}(F) = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \frac{5}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{3}{10} \\ \frac{2}{10}, \frac{2}{10}, \frac{2}{10} \\ \frac{8}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{8}{10} \end{array} \right\} 
\]

\[ N_N(\tau) = \left\{ 0_{N_N}, 1_{N_N}, N(M), N(M), M_{F_{2,N}}(M) \right\} \]

\[ N(F) = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \frac{2}{10}, \frac{4}{10}, \frac{5}{10} \\ \frac{1}{10}, \frac{1}{10}, \frac{2}{10} \\ \frac{6}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{8}{10} \end{array} \right\} 
\]

\[ N(F) = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \frac{5}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{3}{10} \\ \frac{4}{10}, \frac{4}{10}, \frac{2}{10} \\ \frac{9}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{8}{10} \end{array} \right\} 
\]

\[ M_{F_{2,N}}(F) = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \frac{5}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{3}{10} \\ \frac{2}{10}, \frac{2}{10}, \frac{2}{10} \\ \frac{8}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{8}{10} \end{array} \right\} 
\]

\[ N_N(\tau) = \left\{ 0_{N_N}, 1_{N_N}, \right\} \]

\[ N_N(\tau) = \left\{ 0_{N_N}, 1_{N_N}, \right\} \]

\[ F_3 = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \frac{3}{10}, \frac{4}{10}, \frac{5}{10} \\ \frac{2}{10}, \frac{2}{10}, \frac{2}{10} \\ \frac{6}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{8}{10} \end{array} \right\} 
\]

Here \( F_3 \) is \( N^N(SO) \) sets but are not Neutrosophic Nano open sets.

**Theorem 3.7.** Let \( (U, N_N) \) be a \( N^N(TS) \). Then union of two \( N^N(SO) \) sets is a \( N^N(SO) \) set in the \( N^N(TS) \).

**Proof.** Let \( M_{P_1} \) and \( M_{P_2} \) are \( N^N(SO) \) sets in \( U \). Then \( M_{P_1} \subseteq N^NCl(\text{Int}(M_{P_1})) \) and \( M_{P_2} \subseteq N^NCl(\text{Int}(M_{P_2})) \).

Therefore \( M_{P_1} \cup M_{P_2} \subseteq N^NCl(\text{Int}(M_{P_1})) \cup N^NCl(\text{Int}(M_{P_2})) \) \( = N^NCl(\text{Int}(M_{P_1}) \cup N^N\text{Int}(M_{P_2})) \subseteq N^NCl(\text{Int}(M_{P_1} \cup M_{P_2})) \)

[By Proposition 2.4]. Hence \( M_{P_1} \cup M_{P_2} \) is \( N^N(SO) \) set in \( U \).

**Example 3.8.** Let \( U \) and \( M_{P_3} \) be two non-empty finite sets, where \( U \) is the universe and \( M_{P_3} \) the set of attributes. The members of \( U = \{P_1, P_2, P_3, P\} \) are pressure patient.

Let \( U/R = \{\{P_1, P_2, P_3\}, \{P\}\} \) be an equivalence relation.

\[ M_{P_1} = \{\text{Salt food, cholesterol food}\} \text{ are two attributes.} \]

\[ P_1 = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \frac{3}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{4}{10} \\ \frac{6}{10}, \frac{2}{10}, \frac{5}{10} \end{array} \right\} 
\]
There exists a Neutrosophic Nano openset in $U$.
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4. Neutrosophic Nano Semi-Closed Sets in Neutrosophic Topological Spaces

In this section, the Neutrosophic Nano semi-closed set is introduced and studied their properties.

Definition 4.1. Let $M_{P_1}$ be $N^NS$ of a $N^NTSU$. Then $M_{P_1}$ is said to be Neutrosophic Nano semi-closed [written as $N^N(SC)$] set of $U$ if there exists a neutrosophic nano closed set $N^Nc$ such that $N^NInt(N^Nc) \subseteq M_{P_1} \subseteq N^NC$.

Theorem 4.2. A subset $M_{P_1}$ in a $N^NTSU$ is $N^NCS$ set if and only if $N^NInt(N^NCl(M_{P_1})) \subseteq M_{P_1}$.

Proof. Sufficiency:
Let $N^NInt(N^NCl(M_{P_1})) \subseteq M_{P_1}$. Then for $N^Nc = N^NCl(M_{P_1})$, we have $N^NInt(N^Nc) \subseteq M_{P_1} \subseteq N^NC$.

Necessity:
Let $M_{P_1}$ be $N^N(SC)$ set in $U$. Then $N^NInt(N^NC) \subseteq M_{P_1} \subseteq N^NC$ for some Neutrosophic nano closed set $N^NC$. But $N^NCl(M_{P_1}) \subseteq N^NC$ and thus $N^NInt(N^NCl(M_{P_1})) \subseteq N^NInt(N^NC)$. Hence $N^NInt(Cl(M_{P_1})) \subseteq N^NInt(N^NC) \subseteq M_{P_1}$.

Theorem 4.3. Every Neutrosophic nano closed set in the $N^NTSU$ is $N^N(SC)$ set in $U$.

Proof. Let $M_{P_1}$ be Neutrosophic nano closed set in $N^NTSU$. Then $M_{P_1} = N^NCl(M_{P_1})$. Also $N^NInt(N^NCl(M_{P_1})) \subseteq N^NCl(M_{P_1})$. This implies that $N^NInt(N^NCl(M_{P_1})) \subseteq M_{P_1}$.

Hence, $M_{P_1}$ is $N^N(SC)$ set in $U$.

Remark 4.4. The converse of the above theorem need not be true as shown by the following example.

Example 4.5. Let $U$ and $M_{P_1}$ be two non-empty finite sets, where $U$ is the universe and $M_{P_1}$ the set of attributes $U = \{P_2, P_3, P_4\}$ are Patients. Let $U/R = \{\{P_1, P_2, P_3\}, \{P_4\}\}$ be an equivalence relation. $M_{P_1} = \{\text{Head ache, Temperature, Cold}\}$ are three attributes, its Neutrosophic values are given below.

\[
P_1 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 10 \\ 10 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 5 \\ 10 \\ 10 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 8 \\ 10 \\ 10 \end{pmatrix} \right\}
\]

\[
P_2 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 5 \\ 10 \\ 10 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 10 \\ 10 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 8 \\ 10 \\ 10 \end{pmatrix} \right\}
\]

\[
P_3 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 10 \\ 10 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 10 \\ 10 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 8 \\ 10 \\ 10 \end{pmatrix} \right\}
\]

\[
P_4 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 5 \\ 10 \\ 10 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 10 \\ 10 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 8 \\ 10 \\ 10 \end{pmatrix} \right\}
\]
Proof. Let $M_{P_1}$ and $M_{P_2}$ be two non-empty finite sets, where $U$ is the universe and $M_{P_1}$ the set of attributes.

$N_N(\tau) = \{0_N, 1_N, N(M), N(N(M)), \overline{N(M)}, M_{P_{2},N}(M)\}
$ $N(F) = \left\{\left(\frac{3}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{5}{10}\right), \left(\frac{2}{10}, \frac{8}{10}, \frac{4}{10}\right), \left(\frac{8}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{9}{10}\right)\right\})
$ $N(F) = \left\{\left(\frac{5}{10}, \frac{6}{10}, \frac{2}{10}\right), \left(\frac{2}{10}, \frac{8}{10}, \frac{1}{10}\right), \left(\frac{8}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{5}{10}\right)\right\})
$ $M_{P_{2},N}(F) = \left\{\left(\frac{5}{10}, \frac{6}{10}, \frac{2}{10}\right), \left(\frac{2}{10}, \frac{8}{10}, \frac{2}{10}\right), \left(\frac{8}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{8}{10}\right)\right\})
$ $N_N(\tau) = \{0_N, 1_N, \}
$ $N_N(\tau) = \left\{\left(\frac{0}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{7}{10}\right), \left(\frac{9}{10}, \frac{2}{10}\right), \left(\frac{7}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{2}{10}\right), \left(\frac{10}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{7}{10}\right)\right\})
$ $N_N(\tau) = \left\{\left(\frac{0}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{7}{10}\right), \left(\frac{9}{10}, \frac{2}{10}\right), \left(\frac{7}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{2}{10}\right), \left(\frac{10}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{7}{10}\right)\right\})
$ $P_3 = \left\{\left(\frac{7}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{2}{10}\right)\right\})
$ $P_6 = \left\{\left(\frac{2}{10}, \frac{0}{10}, \frac{8}{10}\right)\right\})
$ $P_5 = \left\{\left(\frac{7}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{2}{10}\right)\right\})
$ $P_5 \cap P_6 = \left\{\left(\frac{2}{10}, \frac{0}{10}, \frac{8}{10}\right)\right\})$ is $N^N(NM)$ on $U$. Now, we define the two $N^N(SM)$ sets as follows:

Here $P_3$ is $N^N(SM)$ sets but are not Neutrosophic Nano open sets.

Also $E$ is $N^N(SM)$ set but is not Neutrosophic nano closed set.

Theorem 4.6. Let $(U, N_N)$ be a $N^N(TS)$. Then intersection of two $N^N(SC)$ sets is a $N^N(SC)$ set in the $N^N(TSU)$.

Proof. Let $M_{P_1}$ and $M_{P_2}$ are $N^N(SC)$ sets in $U$. Then $N^N(Int(N^N(Cl(M_{P_1})))) \subseteq M_{P_1}$ and $N^N(Int(N^N(Cl(M_{P_2})))) \subseteq M_{P_2}$. Therefore $M_{P_1} \cap M_{P_2} \supseteq N^N(Int(N^N(Cl(M_{P_1})))) \cap N^N(Int(N^N(Cl(M_{P_2})))) = N^N(Int(N^N(Cl(M_{P_1}))) \cap N^N(Cl(M_{P_2})))) \supseteq N^N(Int(N^N(Cl(M_{P_1}))) \cap N^N(Cl(M_{P_2}))))$. Hence $M_{P_1} \cap M_{P_2}$ is $N^N(SC)$ set in $U$.

Example 4.7. Let $U$ and $M_{P_1}$ be two non-empty finite sets, where $U$ is the universe and $M_{P_1}$ the set of attributes.

$U = \{P_1, P_2, P_3, P_4\}$ are patients.

Let $U/R = \{(P_1, P_2, P_3), (P_2)\}$ be an equivalence relation.

$M_{P_1} = \{\text{Temperature}\}$ are one attributes

$U/R = \{(P_1)\} \{P_2, P_3, P_4\}
$ $P_1 = \left\{\left(\frac{10}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{7}{10}\right)\right\})
$ $P_2 = \left\{\left(\frac{0}{10}, \frac{9}{10}, \frac{2}{10}\right)\right\})
$ $P_3 = \left\{\left(\frac{10}{10}, \frac{9}{10}, \frac{2}{10}\right)\right\})
$ $P_4 = \left\{\left(\frac{10}{10}, \frac{6}{10}, \frac{7}{10}\right)\right\})
$ $\therefore N_N(\tau) = \{0_N, 1_N, N(M), N(N(M)), \overline{N(M)}, M_{P_2,N}(M)\}
$ $N(F) = \left\{\left(\frac{0}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{7}{10}\right)\right\})
$ $N(F) = \left\{\left(\frac{10}{10}, \frac{9}{10}, \frac{2}{10}\right)\right\})
$ $M_{P_2,N}(F) = \left\{\left(\frac{7}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{2}{10}\right)\right\})
$ $N_N(\tau) = \{0_N, 1_N, N(M), \overline{N(M)}, M_{P_2,N}(M)\}
$ $N_N(\tau) = \{0_N, 1_N, N(M), \overline{N(M)}, M_{P_2,N}(M)\}
$ $N_N(\tau) = \{0_N, 1_N, N(M), \overline{N(M)}, M_{P_2,N}(M)\}
$ $N_N(\tau) = \{0_N, 1_N, N(M), \overline{N(M)}, M_{P_2,N}(M)\}
$ $N_N(\tau) = \{0_N, 1_N, N(M), \overline{N(M)}, M_{P_2,N}(M)\}
$ $N_N(\tau) = \{0_N, 1_N, N(M), \overline{N(M)}, M_{P_2,N}(M)\}
$ $N_N(\tau) = \{0_N, 1_N, N(M), \overline{N(M)}, M_{P_2,N}(M)\}
$ $N_N(\tau) = \{0_N, 1_N, N(M), \overline{N(M)}, M_{P_2,N}(M)\}$

5. Neutrosophic Nano Semi-Interior in Neutrosophic Topological Spaces

In this section, we introduce the Neutrosophic Nano semi-interior operator and their properties in neutrosophic topological space.

Definition 5.1. Let $(U, N_N(\tau))$ be a $N^N(TS)$. Then for a neutrosophic subset $M_{P_1}$ of $U$, the Neutrosophic Nano semi-interior of $M_{P_1}[N^N(SInt(M_{P_1}))$ for short] is the union of all Neutrosophic Nano semi-open sets of $U$ contained in $M_{P_1}$.

That is, $N^N(SInt(M_{P_1})) = \cup \{G : G \text{ is a } N^N(SO) \text{ set in } U \text{ and } G \subseteq M_{P_1}\}$.

Proposition 5.2. Let $(U, N_N(\tau))$ be a $N^N(TS)$. Then for any neutrosophic subsets $M_{P_1}$ and $M_{P_2}$ of a $N^N(TSU)$ we have

1. $N^N(SInt(M_{P_1})) \subseteq M_{P_1}$.
2. $M_{P_1}$ is $N^N(SO)$ set in $U \iff N^N(SInt(M_{P_1})) = M_{P_1}$.
3. $N^N(SInt(N^N(SInt(M_{P_1})))) = N^N(SInt(M_{P_1}))$. 
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4. If $M_{P_1} \subseteq M_{P_2}$ then $N^N \text{Int}(M_{P_1}) \subseteq N^N \text{Int}(M_{P_2})$.

Proof. Let $M_{P_1}$ be $N^N(SO)$ set in $U$. Then $M_{P_1} \subseteq N^N \text{Int}(M_{P_1})$. (1) $\Rightarrow$ $M_{P_2} = N^N \text{Int}(M_{P_2})$.

Conversely, assume $M_{P_2} = N^N \text{Int}(M_{P_2})$. Hence, $M_{P_2}$ is $N^N(SO)$ set in $U$. Thus (2) is proved.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ $N^N \text{Int}(N^N \text{Int}(M_{P_2})) = N^N \text{Int}(M_{P_2})$. Thus (3) is proved.

Since $M_{P_1} \subseteq M_{P_2}$, by using (1), $N^N \text{Int}(M_{P_1}) \subseteq M_{P_2}$. That is $N^N \text{Int}(M_{P_1}) \subseteq M_{P_2}$. By (3), $N^N \text{Int}(N^N \text{Int}(M_{P_2})) \subseteq N^N \text{Int}(M_{P_2})$. Thus $N^N \text{Int}(M_{P_1}) \subseteq N^N \text{Int}(M_{P_2})$. Thus (4) is proved. □

Theorem 5.3. Let $(U, N_{N}(\tau))$ be a $N^NTS$. Then for any neutrosophic subset $M_{P_1}$ and $M_{P_2}$ of a $N^NTS$, we have

1. $N^N \text{Int}(M_{P_1} \cap M_{P_2}) = N^N \text{Int}(M_{P_1}) \cap N^N \text{Int}(M_{P_2})$.

2. $N^N \text{Int}(M_{P_1} \cup M_{P_2}) \supseteq N^N \text{Int}(M_{P_1}) \cup N^N \text{Int}(M_{P_2})$.

Proof. Since $M_{P_1} \cap M_{P_2} \subseteq M_{P_1}$ and $M_{P_2} \subseteq M_{P_2}$, then

$\Rightarrow$ $N^N \text{Int}(M_{P_1} \cap M_{P_2}) \subseteq N^N \text{Int}(M_{P_1}) \cap N^N \text{Int}(M_{P_2})$.

Now, $N^N \text{Int}(M_{P_1}) \cap M_{P_2}$ and $N^N \text{Int}(M_{P_2}) \subseteq M_{P_2}$, we get

$N^N \text{Int}(M_{P_1} \cap M_{P_2}) \subseteq N^N \text{Int}(M_{P_1}) \cap N^N \text{Int}(M_{P_2})$.

Thus $N^N \text{Int}(M_{P_1} \cap M_{P_2}) = N^N \text{Int}(M_{P_1}) \cap N^N \text{Int}(M_{P_2})$.

Hence,

This implies (1).

Since $M_{P_1} \subseteq M_{P_1} \cup M_{P_2}$ and $M_{P_2} \subseteq M_{P_1} \cup M_{P_2}$, we get

$N^N \text{Int}(M_{P_1}) \cup N^N \text{Int}(M_{P_2}) \subseteq N^N \text{Int}(M_{P_1} \cup M_{P_2})$.

Thus $N^N \text{Int}(M_{P_1} \cup M_{P_2}) \supseteq N^N \text{Int}(M_{P_1}) \cup N^N \text{Int}(M_{P_2})$. □

The following example shows that the equality need not be hold.

Example 5.4. Let $U$ and $M_{P_1}$ be two non-empty finite sets, where $U$ is the universe and $M_{P_1}$ the set of attributes. $U = \{S_1, S_2, S_3, S_4\}$ are Higher secondary student for wait for NEET entrance exam

$\begin{array}{l}
M_{P_1} = \{ \text{Physics, Chemistry, Biology} \},
\end{array}$

are three attributes are Exam subjects it Neutrosophic values are given below. The members of $U = \{S_1, S_2, S_3, S_4\}$.

Let $U/R = \{\{S_1\}, \{S_2, S_3, S_4\}\}$ be an equivalence relation

\begin{align*}
S_1 &= \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{cccc} 4 & 7 & 1 & 1 \\ 10 & 10 & 10 & 10 \\ 5 & 6 & 2 & 10 \\ 10 & 10 & 10 & 10 \end{array} \right), \left( \begin{array}{cccc} 9 & 7 & 3 & 10 \\ 10 & 10 & 10 & 10 \end{array} \right) \right\}, \\
S_2 &= \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{cccc} 4 & 6 & 1 & 1 \\ 10 & 10 & 10 & 10 \\ 7 & 7 & 2 & 10 \\ 10 & 10 & 10 & 10 \end{array} \right), \left( \begin{array}{cccc} 9 & 5 & 1 & 10 \\ 10 & 10 & 10 & 10 \end{array} \right) \right\}, \\
S_3 &= \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{cccc} 4 & 7 & 1 & 1 \\ 10 & 10 & 10 & 10 \\ 7 & 7 & 2 & 10 \\ 10 & 10 & 10 & 10 \end{array} \right), \left( \begin{array}{cccc} 9 & 7 & 1 & 10 \\ 10 & 10 & 10 & 10 \end{array} \right) \right\}, \\
S_4 &= \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{cccc} 4 & 6 & 1 & 1 \\ 10 & 10 & 10 & 10 \\ 5 & 6 & 2 & 10 \\ 10 & 10 & 10 & 10 \end{array} \right), \left( \begin{array}{cccc} 9 & 5 & 3 & 10 \\ 10 & 10 & 10 & 10 \end{array} \right) \right\}
\end{align*}

$N_0 = \{0_{N_0}, 1_{N_0}, N(M), N(M), M_{P_2}(M)\}$

$\begin{align*}
N(F) &= \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{cccc} 4 & 7 & 1 & 1 \\ 10 & 10 & 10 & 10 \\ 7 & 7 & 2 & 10 \\ 10 & 10 & 10 & 10 \end{array} \right), \left( \begin{array}{cccc} 9 & 7 & 1 & 10 \\ 10 & 10 & 10 & 10 \end{array} \right) \right\}, \\
N(F) &= \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{cccc} 4 & 6 & 1 & 1 \\ 10 & 10 & 10 & 10 \\ 5 & 6 & 2 & 10 \\ 10 & 10 & 10 & 10 \end{array} \right), \left( \begin{array}{cccc} 9 & 5 & 3 & 10 \\ 10 & 10 & 10 & 10 \end{array} \right) \right\}
\end{align*}$

$\begin{align*}
M_{P_2}(N) &= \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 4 & 4 & 1 \\ 10 & 10 & 10 & 10 \\ 2 & 4 & 5 & 10 \\ 10 & 10 & 10 & 10 \end{array} \right), \left( \begin{array}{cccc} 3 & 5 & 9 & 10 \\ 10 & 10 & 10 & 10 \end{array} \right) \right\}
\end{align*}$

Then $(U, N_{N}(\tau))$ is a $N^NTS$. It follows that $N^N \text{Int}(S_8 \cup S_6) \subseteq N^N \text{Int}(S_8) \cup N^N \text{Int}(S_6)$.

6. Neutrosophic Nano Semi-Closure in Neutrosophic Topological Spaces

In this section, we introduce the concept of Neutrosophic Nano semi-closure operators in a $N^NTS$.

Definition 6.1. Let $(U, N_{N}(\tau))$ be a $N^NTS$. Neutrosophic Nano semi-closure of $M_{P_1}[N^N(S)\text{Cl}(M_{P_1})$ for short] is,

$N^N(S)\text{Cl}(M_{P_1}) = \cap\{K : K$ is a $N^N(\text{SC})$ set in $U \text{ and } K \supseteq M_{P_1}\}$.

Proposition 6.2. Let $(U, N_{N})$ be a $N^NTS$. Then for any neutrosophic nano subsets $M_{P_1}$ of $U$,

1. $(N^N \text{Int}(M_{P_1})^c) = N^N \text{SInt}(M_{P_1})^c$.

2. $(N^N(\text{Cl}(M_{P_1}))^c = N^N \text{Int}(M_{P_1})^c$.
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Proof. By Definition, $N^N S \text{Int}(M_{P_1}) = \{G : G \text{ is } N^N(S) \text{ set in } U \text{ and } G \subseteq M_{P_1}\}$.

Taking complement on both sides, $(N^N S \text{Int}(M_{P_1}))^c = (\{G : G \text{ is } N^N(S) \text{ set in } U \text{ and } G \subseteq M_{P_1}\})^c = \cap \{G : (G)^c \text{ is } N^N(S) \text{ set in } U \text{ and } (M_{P_1})^c \subseteq (G)^c\}$.

Replacing $(G)^c$ by $K$, we get $(N^N S \text{Int}(M_{P_1}))^c = \cap \{K : K \text{ is } N^N(S) \text{ set in } U \text{ and } K \supseteq (M_{P_1})^c\}$. By Definition, $(N^N S \text{Int}(M_{P_1}))^c = N^N(S) \text{Cl}(M_{P_1})^c$. This proves (1).

$(1) \Rightarrow \quad (N^N S \text{Int}(M_{P_1}))^c = N^N(S) \text{Cl}(M_{P_1})^c = N^N(S) \text{Cl}(M_{P_1})$.

Taking complement on both sides, we get $N^N S \text{Int}(M_{P_1})^c = (N^N(S) \text{Cl}(M_{P_1}))^c$. This proves (2).

Proposition 6.3. Let $(U, N_\tau)$ be a $N^NTS$. Then for any neutrosophic subsets $M_{P_1}$ and $M_{P_2}$ of a $N^NTSU$ we have

1. $M_{P_1} \subseteq N^N(S) \text{Cl}(M_{P_1})$.
2. $M_{P_1}$ is $N^N(S) \text{ set in } U \iff N^N(S) \text{Cl}(M_{P_1}) = M_{P_1}$.
3. $N^N(S) \text{Cl}(N^N(S) \text{Cl}(M_{P_1})) = N^N(S) \text{Cl}(M_{P_1})$.
4. If $M_{P_1} \subseteq M_{P_2}$ then $N^N(S) \text{Cl}(M_{P_1}) \subseteq N^N(S) \text{Cl}(M_{P_2})$.

Proof. (1) Proof is obvious.

Let $M_{P_1}$ be $N^N(S) \text{ set in } U$. By Definition, $(M_{P_1})^c$ is $N^N(SO) \text{ set in } U$. Now, $N^N S \text{Int}((M_{P_1})^c) = (M_{P_1})^c \iff (N^N(S) \text{Cl}(M_{P_1}))^c = (M_{P_1})^c \iff N^N(S) \text{Cl}(M_{P_1}) = M_{P_1}$. Thus proved (2).

$(2) \Rightarrow N^N(S) \text{Cl}(N^N(S) \text{Cl}(M_{P_1})) = N^N(S) \text{Cl}(M_{P_1})$. Thus proved (3).

Since $M_{P_1} \subseteq M_{P_2}$, $(M_{P_2})^c \subseteq (M_{P_1})^c$ we get, $N^N S \text{Int}((M_{P_2})^c) \subseteq N^N S \text{Int}((M_{P_1})^c)$. Taking complement on both sides, $(N^N S \text{Int}((M_{P_2})^c))^c \subseteq (N^N S \text{Int}((M_{P_1})^c))^c$. $\Rightarrow N^N(S) \text{Cl}(M_{P_2}) \subseteq N^N(S) \text{Cl}(M_{P_1})$. This proves (4).

Proposition 6.4. Let $M_{P_1}$ be a neutrosophic nano set in a $N^NTSU$. Then $N^N S \text{Int}(M_{P_1}) \subseteq N^N S \text{Int}(M_{P_1}) \subseteq M_{P_1} \subseteq N^N(S) \text{Cl}(M_{P_1}) \subseteq N^N(S) \text{Cl}(M_{P_2})$.

Proof. It follows from the definitions of corresponding operators.

Proposition 6.5. Let $(U, N_\tau)$ be a $N^NTS$. Then for a neutrosophic subset $M_{P_1}$ and $M_{P_2}$ of a $N^NTSU$, we have

1. $N^N(S) \text{Cl}(M_{P_1} \cup M_{P_2}) = N^N(S) \text{Cl}(M_{P_1}) \cup N^N(S) \text{Cl}(M_{P_2})$ and
2. $N^N(S) \text{Cl}(M_{P_1} \cap M_{P_2}) \subseteq N^N(S) \text{Cl}(M_{P_1}) \cap N^N(S) \text{Cl}(M_{P_2})$.

Proof. Since

$N^N(S) \text{Cl}(M_{P_1} \cup M_{P_2}) = N^N(S) \text{Cl}(C(C(M_{P_1} \cup M_{P_2})) = N^N(S) \text{Cl}(M_{P_1} \cup M_{P_2})$.

Since $M_{P_1} \cap M_{P_2} \subseteq M_{P_1}$ and $M_{P_1} \cap M_{P_2} \subseteq M_{P_2}$ we have,$N^N(S) \text{Cl}(M_{P_1} \cap M_{P_2}) \subseteq N^N(S) \text{Cl}(M_{P_1}) \cap N^N(S) \text{Cl}(M_{P_2})$. Thus proved (1).

The following example shows that the equality need not be hold.

Example 6.6. Let $U$ and $M_{P_1}$ be two non-empty finite sets, where $U$ is the universe and $M_{P_1}$ be the set of attributes $U = \{P_1, P_2, P_3, P_4\}$ is sugar patient.

Let $U/R = \\langle\{P_1, P_2, P_3\}, \{P_4\}\rangle$ be an equivalence relation $M_{P_1} = \text{Mr. Thysser, Urinal, wait lose}$ are three attributes its neutrosophic values are given below

$P_1 = \langle\frac{5}{10}, \frac{6}{10}, \frac{1}{10}, \frac{6}{10}, \frac{7}{10}, \frac{1}{10}, \frac{9}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{2}{10}\rangle$

$P_2 = \langle\frac{4}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{2}{10}, \frac{8}{10}, \frac{6}{10}, \frac{3}{10}, \frac{9}{10}, \frac{7}{10}, \frac{3}{10}\rangle$

$P_3 = \langle\frac{4}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{2}{10}, \frac{8}{10}, \frac{3}{10}, \frac{7}{10}, \frac{2}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{4}{10}\rangle$

$P_4 = \langle\frac{5}{10}, \frac{6}{10}, \frac{1}{10}, \frac{8}{10}, \frac{7}{10}, \frac{1}{10}, \frac{9}{10}, \frac{7}{10}, \frac{2}{10}\rangle$

$N_\tau = \{0_{N_\tau}, 1_{N_\tau}, N(M), N(M), M_{P_1}, N(M), M_{P_2}, N(M)\}$

$N(F) = \langle\frac{4}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{2}{10}, \frac{6}{10}, \frac{3}{10}, \frac{9}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{3}{10}\rangle$

$N(F) = \langle\frac{5}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{1}{10}, \frac{8}{10}, \frac{7}{10}, \frac{1}{10}, \frac{9}{10}, \frac{7}{2}\rangle$

$M_{P_1} = \langle\frac{2}{10}, \frac{4}{10}, \frac{4}{10}, \frac{3}{10}, \frac{4}{10}, \frac{6}{10}, \frac{3}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{9}{10}\rangle$

$N_\tau = \{0_{N_\tau}, 1_{N_\tau}, \}

$\langle\frac{4}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{2}{10}, \frac{6}{10}, \frac{3}{10}, \frac{9}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{3}{10}\rangle$

$\langle\frac{5}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{1}{10}, \frac{8}{10}, \frac{7}{10}, \frac{1}{10}, \frac{9}{10}, \frac{7}{2}\rangle$

$\langle\frac{2}{10}, \frac{4}{10}, \frac{4}{10}, \frac{3}{10}, \frac{4}{10}, \frac{6}{10}, \frac{3}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{9}{10}\rangle$

$\langle\frac{5}{10}, \frac{6}{10}, \frac{1}{10}, \frac{8}{10}, \frac{7}{10}, \frac{1}{10}, \frac{9}{10}, \frac{7}{2}\rangle$
Then \((U, N_N(\tau))\) is a \(N^N\) \(T.S.\) Consider the \(N^N\) \(S.S.\) are

\[
P_3 = \left\{ \left( \frac{1}{10}, \frac{2}{10}, 5, \frac{10}{10} \right), \left( \frac{2}{10}, \frac{3}{10}, 7, \frac{10}{10} \right), \left( \frac{3}{10}, \frac{3}{10}, 10, \frac{10}{10} \right) \right\}
\]
and

\[
P_6 = \left\{ \left( \frac{2}{10}, 4, \frac{4}{10}, \frac{10}{10} \right), \left( \frac{1}{10}, \frac{2}{10}, 8, \frac{10}{10} \right), \left( \frac{2}{10}, 5, 9, \frac{10}{10} \right) \right\}
\]

Then \(N^N(S)Cl(P_3) \cap N^N(S)Cl(P_6) \not\subseteq N^N(S)Cl(P_3 \cap P_6).

**Theorem 6.7.** If \(M_{P_1}^1\) and \(M_{P_2}^2\) are \(N^N\) \(S.S.\) of \(N^N\) \(T.S.S\) \(U\) and \(V\) respectively, then

1. \(N^N(S)Cl(M_{P_1}^1) \times N^N(S)Cl(M_{P_2}^2) \supseteq N^N(S)Cl(M_{P_1}^1 \times M_{P_2}^2).
2. \(N^NInt(M_{P_1}^1) \times N^NInt(M_{P_2}^2) \subseteq N^NInt(M_{P_1}^1 \times M_{P_2}^2).

**Proof.** (1) Since \(M_{P_1}^1 \subseteq N^N(S)Cl(M_{P_1}^1)\) and \(M_{P_2}^2 \subseteq N^N(S)Cl(M_{P_2}^2)\) hence \(M_{P_1}^1 \times M_{P_2}^2 \subseteq N^N(S)Cl(M_{P_1}^1) \times N^N(S)Cl(M_{P_2}^2)\) and \(N^N(S)Cl(M_{P_1}^1 \times M_{P_2}^2) \subseteq N^N(S)Cl(M_{P_1}^1) \times N^N(S)Cl(M_{P_2}^2)\).

(2) follows from (1) and the fact that \(N^NInt(M_{P_1}^1)^C = (N^N(S)Cl(M_{P_1}^1))^C\).

**Lemma 6.8.** For \(N^N\) \(S.S.\) \(M_{P_1}^1, j\) ’s and \(M_{P_2}^2, j\) ’s of \(N^N\) \(T.S.S\) \(U\) and \(V\) respectively, we have

1. \(\cap\{M_{P_1}^1, j \cap M_{P_2}^2, j\} = \min(\cap M_{P_1}^1, j \cap M_{P_2}^2, j)\); \(\cup\{M_{P_1}^1, j \cup M_{P_2}^2, j\} = \max(\cup M_{P_1}^1, j \cup M_{P_2}^2, j)\).
2. \(\cap\{M_{P_1}^1, j \cap 1_{N_N}\} = (\cap M_{P_1}^1, j) \times 1_{N_N}; \cup\{M_{P_1}^1, j \cup 1_{N_N}\} = (\cup M_{P_1}^1, j) \times 1_{N_N}.
3. \(\cap\{1_{N_N} \cap M_{P_2}^2, j\} = 1_{N_N} \cap (\cap M_{P_2}^2, j); \cup\{1_{N_N} \cup M_{P_2}^2, j\} = 1_{N_N} \cup (\cup M_{P_2}^2, j).

**Proof.** Obvious.

**Theorem 6.9.** Let \(N_N(\tau)\) be a \(N^N\) \(T.S.\) Then for a neutrosophic subset \(M_{P_1}^1\) and \(M_{P_2}^2\) of \(U\) we have

1. \(N^N(S)Cl(M_{P_1}^1) \supseteq M_{P_1}^1 \cap N^N(S)Cl(N^NInt(M_{P_1}^1))\).
2. \(N^NInt(M_{P_1}^1) \subseteq M_{P_1}^1 \cap N^NIntN^N(S)Cl(M_{P_1}^1)\).
3. \(N^NInt(N^N(S)Cl(M_{P_1}^1)) \subseteq N^NInt(N^NCl(M_{P_1}^1))\).
4. \(N^NInt(N^N(S)Cl(M_{P_1}^1)) \subseteq N^NInt(N^N(S)Cl(N^NInt M_{P_1}^1))\).

**Proof.** \(M_{P_1}^1 \subseteq N^N(S)Cl(M_{P_1}^1) \Rightarrow N^NInt(M_{P_1}^1) \subseteq M_{P_1}^1\). Then \(N^N(S)Cl(N^NInt(M_{P_1}^1)) \subseteq N^N(S)Cl(M_{P_1}^1)\) From the above,

\[
M_{P_1}^1 \cup N^N(S)Cl(N^NInt(M_{P_1}^1)) \subseteq N^N(S)Cl(M_{P_1}^1).
\]

Thus proved (1).

Now, \(N^NInt(M_{P_1}^1) \subseteq M_{P_1}^1 \Rightarrow M_{P_1}^1 \subseteq N^N(S)Cl(M_{P_1}^1)\). Then \(N^NInt(M_{P_1}^1) \subseteq N^NInt(N^N(S)Cl(M_{P_1}^1))\) From the above, we have \(N^NInt(M_{P_1}^1) \subseteq M_{P_1}^1 \cap N^NInt(N^N(S)Cl(M_{P_1}^1))\). Thus proved (2).

Since \(N^N(S)Cl(M_{P_1}^1) \subseteq N^NInt(Cl(M_{P_1}^1))\) We get,

\[
N^NInt(N^N(S)Cl(M_{P_1}^1)) \subseteq N^NInt(N^N(S)Cl(M_{P_1}^1)).
\]

Thus proved (3).

(1) \(\Rightarrow N^N(S)Cl(M_{P_1}^1) \supseteq M_{P_1}^1 \cup N^N(S)Cl(N^NInt(M_{P_1}^1))\). We have \(N^NInt(N^N(S)Cl(M_{P_1}^1)) \supseteq N^NInt(M_{P_1}^1 \cup N^N(S)Cl(N^NInt(M_{P_1}^1)))\).

Since, \(N^NInt(M_{P_1}^1 \cup M_{P_2}^2) \supseteq N^NInt(M_{P_1}^1) \cup N^NInt(M_{P_2}^2)\),

\[
N^NInt(N^N(S)Cl(M_{P_1}^1)) \supseteq N^NInt(M_{P_1}^1 \cup N^N(S)Cl(N^NInt(M_{P_1}^1))) \supseteq N^NInt(N^N(S)Cl(N^NInt(M_{P_1}^1))).
\]

Thus proved (4).
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