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Abstract
The key purpose of this manuscript is to examine the existence and uniqueness of integral solutions for a class of fractional Volterra-Fredholm integro-differential systems with non-instantaneous impulses and non-densely defined linear operators in Banach spaces. We are constructing the main findings on the basis of the Banach contraction theory. An example is given to support the validation of the theoretical results achieved.
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1 Introduction
The theory of a fractional or non-integer calculus is not a new topic. It’s a generalization of the conventional integer-order calculus. Its appearance dates back to the debate between the mathematicians Leibniz and the Hospital. It has recently become a popular field of inquiry in the light of its actual implementations, following its theoretical development a couple of hundred years back. It is a reasonable way to describe memory for specific aspects, so there are diverse disciplines of study in science and engineering, such as astronomy, biology, chemistry, economics, management of complex processes, etc. Various books and articles in this domain, see the monographs and papers [4, 6, 7].

Motivated by [1–3, 5], in this paper we consider a class of fractional Volterra-Fredholm integro-differential systems with non-instantaneous impulses of the form

\[ _c^\alpha D x(t) = A x(t) + f(t,x(t), \int_0^T k(t,s,x(s))ds), \]

\[ \int_0^T \tilde{k}(t,s,x(s))ds, \quad t \in (s_i, t_{i+1}], \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, m \]

\[ x(t) = g_i(t,x(t)), \quad t \in (t_i, s_i], i = 1, 2, \ldots, m \]  \hspace{1cm} (1.1)

\[ x(0) = x_0, \]  \hspace{1cm} (1.2)

where \( _c^\alpha D \) is the Caputo fractional derivative of order \( \alpha \in (0,1) \) with the lower limit zero, \( A : D(A) \subset X \to X \) not necessarily a densely defined closed operator on the Banach space \( X \), \( f : [0,T] \times X^3 \to X \) is a nonlinear function and \( k, \tilde{k} : \Delta \times X \to X \), where \( \Delta = \{(x,s) : 0 \leq s \leq x \leq \tau \} \) are given functions which satisfies assumptions to be specified later on. For our convenience, we denote

\[ E_1 x(t) = \int_0^T k(t,s,x(s))ds \]

\[ E_2 x(t) = \int_0^T \tilde{k}(t,s,x(s))ds. \]

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present the notations, definitions and preliminary results needed in the following sections. In Section 3 is concerned
with the existence and uniqueness result of problem (1.1). An example is given in Section 4 to illustrate the results.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we recall basic definitions of fractional calculus and integral solutions which are very useful to prove our main results.

Definition 2.1. [4] The Riemann-Liouville fractional integral of order $q$ with the lower limit zero for a function $f$ is defined as

$$I^q f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} f(s)ds, \quad q > 0$$

provided the integral exists, where $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is the gamma function.

Definition 2.2. [4] The Riemann-Liouville derivative of order $q$ with the lower limit zero for a function $f : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ can be written as

$$D^q f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-q)} \frac{d^n}{dt^n} \int_0^t (t-s)^{n-q-1} f(s)ds, \quad n-1 < q < n, t > 0$$

Let us set $J = [0, T], I_0 = [0, t_1], J_1 = (t_1, t_2], \ldots, J_{m-1} = (t_{m-1}, t_m], J_m = (t_m, t_{m+1}]$ and introduce the space $PC(J, X) := \{u : J \to X \mid u \in C(J_t, X), k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, m, \text{ and there exist } u(t_1^-) \text{ and } u(t_m^+), k = 1, 2, \ldots, m, \text{ with } u(t_k^-) = u(t_k)\}$. It is clear that $PC(J, X)$ is a Banach space with the norm $\|u\|_{PC} = \sup\{\|u(t)\| : t \in J\}$.

Let $X_0 = D(A)$ and $A_0$ be the part of $A$ in $D(A)$ defined by

$$D(A_0) = \left\{ x_1 \in D(A) : Ax_1 \in D(A) \right\}, A_0(x_1) = A(x_1)$$

Throughout our analysis, the following hypotheses will be considered:

(H1) $A : D(A) \subset X \to X$ satisfies the Hille-Yosida condition, that is, there exist two constants $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ and $A_0 \geq 0$ such that $(\omega, \infty) \subset \rho(A)$ and

$$\| (I-A)^{-n} \|_{L(X)} \leq \frac{A_0}{(\lambda - \omega)^n}, \text{ for all } \lambda > \omega, n \geq 1$$

(H2) The part $A_0$ of $A$ generates a compact $C_0$ -semigroup $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ in $X_0$ which is uniformly bounded, that is, there exists $\lambda_A \geq 1$ such that $\sup_{t \in [0, \infty)} \|T(t)\| \leq \Lambda_A$.

Let $B_\lambda = \lambda R(\lambda, A) := \lambda(I-A)^{-1}$. Then for all $x_1 \in X_0, B_\lambda x_1 \to x_1$ as $\lambda \to \infty$. Also from Hille-Yosida condition, it is clear that $\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \|B_\lambda\| \leq A_0$.

Definition 2.3. [6, 7] A function $x \in C(J, X)$ is said to be a mild solution of the following problem:

$$\begin{align*}
&\begin{cases}
\mathbb{D}^\alpha x(t) = Ax(t) + y(t), \quad t \in (0, T] \\
x(0) = x_0
\end{cases} \\
&\text{if it satisfies the integral equation}
\end{align*}$$

$$x(t) = P_\alpha(t)x_0 + \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} Q_\alpha(t-s)y(s)ds.$$
where, for \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, m \)
\[
d_i = g_i(s_i, x(s_i)) - \lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \int_0^s (s_i - s)^{\alpha - 1} Q_\alpha (s_i - s) B_\lambda
\]
\[
f(s, x(s), E_1 x(s), E_2 x(s)) ds
\]
and \( x_0 \in X_0 \).

### 3. Existence Results

In this section, we present and prove the existence and uniqueness of the system (1.1) under Banach contraction principle fixed point theorem.

From Definition 3.1, we define an operator \( S : PC(J, X) \to PC(J, X) \) as

\[
(Sx)(t) = \begin{cases}
P_\alpha(t)x_0 + \lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \int_0^t (t - s)^{\alpha - 1} Q_\alpha (t - s) B_\lambda \\
f(s, x(s), E_1 x(s), E_2 x(s)) ds, \ t \in [0, t_1] \\
g_i(t, x(t), t \in (t_i, s_i] \\
P_\alpha(t - s_i)d_i + \lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \int_0^t (t - s)^{\alpha - 1} Q_\alpha (t - s) B_\lambda \\
f(s, x(s), E_1 x(s), E_2 x(s)) ds, \ x \in [s_i, t_i + 1]
\end{cases}
\]

with \( d_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, m, \) defined by (2.1) and \( x_0 \in X_0 \).

To prove our first existence result we introduce the following assumptions:

Here, we take \( a = \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha_i} \in (-1, 0) \).

\((H(f)) \) The function \( f \in C(J \times X^3; X) \) and there exists \( L_f \in L^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha}}(J, \mathbb{R}^+) \) with \( \alpha_1 \in (0, \alpha) \) such that

\[
\| f(t, x_1, x_2, x_3) - f(t, y_1, y_2, y_3) \| \\
\leq L_f(t) \| x_1 - y_1 \| + \| x_2 - y_2 \| + \| x_3 - y_3 \| 
\]

for all \( (x_1, x_2, x_3), (y_1, y_2, y_3) \in X \) and every \( t \in J \).

\((H(k, \tilde{k})) \) The functions \( k, \tilde{k} : \Delta \times X \to X \) are continuous and there exist constants \( L_k, L_{\tilde{k}} > 0 \) such that

\[
\left\| \int_0^t [k(t, s, x(s)) - k(t, s, y(s))] ds \right\| \leq L_k \| x - y \|, \\
\text{for all, } x, y \in X; \text{ and}
\]

\[
\left\| \int_0^T [\tilde{k}(t, s, x(s)) - \tilde{k}(t, s, y(s))] ds \right\| \leq L_{\tilde{k}} \| x - y \|, \\
\text{for all, } x, y \in X;
\]

\((H(g)) \) For \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, m, \) the functions \( g_i \in C((t_i, s_i] \times X; X_0) \) and there are positive constants \( L_{g_i} \) such that

\[
\| g_i(t, x) - g_i(t, y) \| \leq L_{g_i} \| x - y \| \\
\text{for all, } x, y \in X \text{ and } t \in (t_i, s_i].
\]

#### Theorem 3.1.

Assume \( H(f), H(k, \tilde{k}) \) and \( H(g) \) are satisfied and

\[
C = \max \left\{ \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \left\{ \frac{\Lambda_i}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left( \frac{1 + a}{1 - a} - 1 \right) \right\} \right\}
\]

\[
\| L_f \|_{L^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha}}([0, t_1], \mathbb{R}^+)} [1 + L_k + L_{\tilde{k}}] \\
\leq \frac{\Lambda_0 \Lambda_i}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left( \frac{1 + a}{1 - a} - 1 \right) \| L_{g_i} \|_{L^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha}}([0, t_1], \mathbb{R}^+)} [1 + L_k + L_{\tilde{k}}] \]

\[
\leq \frac{\Lambda_0 \Lambda_i}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left( \frac{1 + a}{1 - a} - 1 \right) \| L_f \|_{L^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha}}([0, t_1], \mathbb{R}^+)} [1 + L_k + L_{\tilde{k}}] \]

Then there exists a unique integral solution in \( PC(J, X) \) of the system (1.1) provided \( x_0 \in X_0 \).

**Proof.** Proof From the assumptions it is easy to show that the operator \( S \) is well defined on \( PC(J, X) \). Let \( x, y \in PC(J, X) \). For \( t \in [0, t_1] \), from Lemma 2.1, we have

\[
\| (Sx)(t) - (Sy)(t) \| \\
\leq \lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \int_0^t \| f(s, x(s), E_1 x(s), E_2 x(s)) \| ds \\
\leq \Lambda_0 \Lambda_i \| f \|_{L^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha}}([0, t_1], \mathbb{R}^+)} [1 + L_k + L_{\tilde{k}}] \| x - y \|_{PC}
\]

\[
\leq \Lambda_0 \Lambda_i \left( \frac{1 + a}{1 - a} - 1 \right) \| f \|_{L^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha}}([0, t_1], \mathbb{R}^+)} [1 + L_k + L_{\tilde{k}}] \| x - y \|_{PC}
\]

Similarly, we have, for \( t \in (t_i, s_i], i = 1, 2, \ldots, m \)

\[
\| (Sx)(t) - (Sy)(t) \| \leq g_i(t, x(t)) - g_i(t, y(t)) \| \\
\leq L_{g_i} \| x - y \|_{PC} \leq \Lambda L_{g_i} \| x - y \|_{PC}
\]

and, for \( t \in [s_i, t_i + 1], i = 1, 2, \ldots, m \)

\[
\| (Sx)(t) - (Sy)(t) \|
\leq \| g_i(t, x(t)) - g_i(t, y(t)) \|
\leq \Lambda L_{g_i} \| x - y \|_{PC}
\]
\[ \left\| x - y \right\|_{PC} \leq \Lambda_{A} \left( L_{g_{0}} + (1 + a_{1}) \left\| L_{f} \right\|_{\mathbb{R}^{+}} (1 + a) \alpha \left( [0, s_{1}], [0, r_{1}] \right) \right) \]

From the above we can deduce that

\[ \left\| (Sx)(t) - (Sy)(t) \right\|_{PC} \leq C \left\| x - y \right\|_{PC} \]

Then it follows from condition (3.1) that \( S \) is a contraction on the space \( PC(J, X) \). Hence by the Banach contraction mapping principle, \( S \) has a unique fixed point \( x \in PC(J, X) \) which is just the unique integral solution of problem (1.1). The proof is now complete. \( \square \)

### 4. Application

A simple example is given in this section to illustrate the result.

Let \( X = C^{2}([0, \pi], \mathbb{R}) \). Define an operator \( A : D(A) \subseteq X \to X \) by \( Ax = x'' \) with \( D(A) = \{ x \in X : x''(x, 0) = 0 \} \).

Consider the following impulsive problem:

\[ \begin{align*}
\frac{d^{\alpha} u(t)}{dt^{\alpha}} &= \frac{\partial^{2} u(t)}{\partial t^{2}} + F(t, u(t), E_{1}u(t), E_{2}u(t)), \\
t &\in \mathbb{Z}^{+}_{m}, (t_{i}, t_{i+1}], i \in [0, \pi] \\
u(t) &= G_{i}(t, u(t)), \\
t &\in [t_{i}, t_{i+1}], i = 1, 2, \ldots, m, \\
u(t) &= \eta_{0}(t), \\
t &\in [0, \pi] \\
u(t, 0) &= u(t, \pi) = 0, \\
t &\in [0, T],
\end{align*} \tag{4.1} \]

where \( \frac{d^{\alpha} u(t)}{dt^{\alpha}} \) means that the Caputo fractional derivative is taken for the time variable \( t \) with the lower limit zero; \( x_{0} \in X, 0 = t_{0} = t_{1} < t_{2} < \cdots \leq t_{m} < t_{m+1} = T \) are fixed numbers, \( g_{i} \in C \left( (t_{i}, t_{i+1}] \times \mathbb{R}, D(A) \right), i = 1, 2, \ldots, m. \)

Define \( x(t) \equiv u(t, y), (t, y) \in [0, T] \times [0, \pi] \). Then \( F \) and \( G \) can be rewritten as

\[ \begin{align*}
f(t, x, E_{1}x, E_{2}x)(y) &= F(t, u(t), E_{1}u(t), E_{2}u(t)), \\
g_{i}(t, x)(y) &= G_{i}(t, u(t)), y \in [0, \pi], \\
t &\in [t_{i}, t_{i+1}], i = 1, 2, \ldots, m,
\end{align*} \]

where \( E_{1} \) and \( E_{2} \) are same as defined in (1.1).

This shows that the problem (1.1) is an abstract formulation of the problem (4.1).

It is well known that the operator \( A \) satisfies the Hille-Yosida condition with \( (0, +\infty) \subset \rho(A), \left\| (\lambda I - A)^{-1} \right\| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \) for \( \lambda > 0 \) and

\[ D(A) = \{ x \in X : x(0) = x(\pi) = 0 \} \neq X. \]

This implies that \( A \) satisfies \( (H1) \) with \( |\lambda\beta_{0}| = 1 \). Since it is well known that \( A \) generates a compact \( C_{0} \)-semigroup \( \{ (T(t)) \}_{t \geq 0} \) on \( X \) such that \( \|T(t)\| \leq 1 \), hence \( (H2) \) is satisfied with \( \Lambda_{A} = 1 \).

For the validation of Theorem 3.1, let us consider

\[ \begin{align*}
f(t, x(t), E_{1}x(t), E_{2}x(t)) &= \frac{e^{-t}x(t)}{(9 + e^{t})(1 + |x(t)|)} + \frac{1}{10} \int_{0}^{t} e^{-\frac{1}{2}s}ds \\
&+ \frac{1}{10} \int_{0}^{t} e^{-\frac{1}{3}t}ds \\
g_{i}(t, x(t)) &= \frac{1}{3} \sin(x(t) + e^{t}), \quad t \in (t_{i}, t_{i+1}], i = 1, 2, \ldots, m.
\end{align*} \]

Then clearly \( f : [0, T] \times E^{2} \to E \) is a continuous function and

\[ \left\| f(t, x, E_{1}x, E_{2}x) - f(t, y, E_{1}y, E_{2}y) \right\| \leq \frac{e^{-t}}{(9 + e^{t})^{1}} (1 + L_{k} L_{k_{1}}) \left\| x - y \right\|, \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X, \]

with \( L_{k} = \frac{1}{2}, L_{k_{1}} = \frac{1}{2}, L_{f} = \frac{e^{-t}}{(9 + e^{t})^{1}} \) and it follows that \( L_{f} \in L_{1}^{\alpha}([0, T), \mathbb{R}^{+}) \). Also \( g_{i} : (t_{i}, t_{i+1}] \times X \to X_{0} \) are continuous function and

\[ \left\| g_{i}(t, x) - g_{i}(t, y) \right\| \leq L_{g_{i}} \left\| x - y \right\| \]

with \( L_{g_{i}} = \frac{1}{2} \). Thus \( f, g, L_{k} \) and \( L_{k_{1}} \) are satisfied the hypotheses \( (H_{f}), (H_{g}) \) and \( (H(k, \tilde{k})) \) respectively. Therefore, we deduce that the model (4.1) has a unique integral solution.
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