

https://doi.org/10.26637/MJM0S20/0043

Intuitionistic fuzzy β^{**} generalized continuous functions

S.M. Sudha¹* and D. Jayanthi²

Abstract

The aim of this paper is to introduce β^{**} generalized continuous functions and examine their characterizations and properties in intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces.

Keywords

Intuitionistic fuzzy topology, intuitionistic fuzzy β^{**} generalized $T_{1/2}$ space, intuitionistic fuzzy β^{**} generalized continuous functions.

AMS Subject Classification 03F55, 54A40.

^{1,2} Department of Mathematics, Avinashilingam Institute for Home Science and Higher Education for Women, Coimbatore-641043, Tamil Nadu, India.

*Corresponding author: ¹ sudhamaths2016@gmail.com, ²jayanthimathss@gmail.com Article History: Received 01 January 2020; Accepted 12 February 2020

©2020 MJM.

Contents

| 1 | Introduction |
|---|--|
| 2 | Preliminaries |
| 3 | Intuitionistic fuzzy β^{**} generalized continuous functions |
| | References 230 |

1. Introduction

Zadeh [9] introduced the notion of a fuzzy set, which is the mapping from K to the unit interval and which it has exposed the applications in various area of studies. The concept of fuzzy set was welcomed due to uncertainty and its vagueness in which ordinary set could not deal. Later the generalizations of the consideration were enacted by several researches on a fuzzy set. Intuitionistic fuzzy sets and intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces were introduced by Atanassov [1] and Coker [2] resp., which contain both the degrees of membership and non membership subject to the dependent that their summation does not surpassing one. Intuitionistic fuzzy β^{**} GC Sets which defined initially by Sudha and Jayanthi [6]. Here we define the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy β^{**} generalized continuous(cont.)functions and examine their characterizations and properties.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. [1] A set E of the form $E = \{\langle k, \mu_E(k), \nu_E(k) \rangle : k \in K\}$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy set(IFSet) where the functions $\mu_E : K \to [0,1]$ and $\nu_E : K \to [0,1]$ denote the degree of membership $(\mu_E(k))$ and the degree of non-membership $(\nu_E(k))$ of $k \in K$ to the set E resp., and $0 \le \mu_E(k) + \nu_E(k) \le 1$ for each $k \in K$.

Definition 2.2. [1] Let C and D be IFSets where of $C = \{\langle k, \mu_C(k), \rangle$

 $v_C(k)$: $k \in K$ and $D = \{\langle k, \mu_D(k), v_D(k) \rangle : k \in K\}$, then

- $C \subseteq D$ iff $\mu_C(k) \leq \mu_D(k)$ and $\nu_C(k) \geq \nu_D(k) \quad \forall \ k \in K$,
- C = D iff $C \subseteq D$ and $C \supseteq D$,
- $C^c = \{ \langle k, v_C(k), \mu_C(k) : k \in K \rangle \}$
- $C \cup D = \{ \langle k, \mu_C(k) \lor \mu_D(k), \nu_C(k) \land \nu_D(k) : k \in K \rangle \}$
- $C \cap D = \{ \langle k, \mu_C(k) \land \mu_D(k), \nu_C(k) \lor \nu_D(k) : k \in K \rangle \}$

The IFSets $0_{\neg} = \langle k, 0, 1 \rangle$ and $1_{\neg} = \langle k, 1, 0 \rangle$ resp., the empty set and the whole set of K.

Definition 2.3. [2] An intuitionistic fuzzy topology (IFT) on K is a collection τ of IFSets in K with the below axioms:

• $0_{\backsim}, 1_{\backsim} \in \tau$

- $O_1 \cap O_2 \in \tau$ for any $O_1, O_2 \in \tau$
- $\cup O_i \in \tau$ for any family $\{O_i :\in I\} \subseteq \tau$

Here (K, τ) is called the intuitionistic fuzzy topological space (IFTSpace) and any IFSet in τ is an intuitionistic fuzzy open set in K. Its complement is called an intuitionistic fuzzy closed set (IFCSet) in K.

Definition 2.4. [8] The IFSets E and D are q-coincident(E_qD) iff there exists an element $k \in K$ s.t $\mu_A(k) > \nu_B(k)$ or $\nu_A(k) < \mu_B(k)$

Definition 2.5. [3] An intuitionistic fuzzy point(IFPoint), written as $r_{(\alpha,\beta)}(k)$ is an IFSet of K given by

$$r_{(\alpha,\beta)}(k) = \begin{cases} (\alpha,\beta) & \text{if } k = p, \\ (0,1) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Definition 2.6. [6] An IFSet E of an IFTSpace (K, τ) is an intuitionistic fuzzy IF β^{**} generalized closed set (IF β^{**} GCSet) if $cl(int(cl(A))) \cap int(cl(int(A))) \subseteq O$ whenever $A \subseteq O$ and O is an IFOSet in (K, τ) . its complement is an intuitionistic fuzzy β^{**} generalized open set (IF β^{**} GOSet) in K.

Definition 2.7. [4] Let $s : (K, \tau) \to (P, \sigma)$. Then s is said to be an intuitionistic fuzzy cont. function if $s^{-1}(D) \in IFO(K) \forall D \in \sigma$

3. Intuitionistic fuzzy β^{**} generalized continuous functions

Here we have defined intuitionistic fuzzy β^{**} generalized cont.functions and examine the existence of their properties. Also we have established the relation between the newly introduced functions and the already existing functions.

Definition 3.1. A function $s : (K, \tau) \to (P, \sigma)$ is said to be an intuitionistic fuzzy β^{**} generalized continuous (IF $\beta^{**}G$ cont.) function if $s^{-1}(D)$ is an IF $\beta^{**}GC$ Set in $(K, \tau) \forall$ IFCSet D of (P, σ) .

Example 3.2. Let $K = \{c,d\}$ and $P = \{e,f\}$. Then $\tau = \{0_{\frown}, G_1, G_2, 1_{\frown}\}$ and $\sigma = \{0_{\frown}, G_3, 1_{\frown}\}$ IFTs on K and P resp., where $G_1 = \langle k, (0.5_c, 0.4_d), (0.5_c, 0.6_d) \rangle$, $G_2 = \langle k, (0.8_c, 0.6_d), (0.2_c, 0.4_d) \rangle$, $G_3 = \langle p, (0.3_e, 0.4_f), (0.5_e, 0.6_f) \rangle$. Define a function $s : (K, \tau) \to (P, \sigma)$ by s(c) = e and s(d) = f. Here s is an IF $\beta^{**}G$ cont. function.

Proposition 3.3. *Every IF cont.function* [4] *is an* $IF\beta^{**}G$ *cont.function but the reverse is not true in general.*

Proof. Let $s: (K, \tau) \to (P, \sigma)$ be an IF cont.function. Let *D* be an IFCSet in *P*. Then $s^{-1}(D)$ is an IFCSet in *K* and $s^{-1}(D)$ is an IF β^{**} C Set in *K*. Finally *s* is an IF β^{**} G cont.function. \Box

Example 3.4. In Eg. 3.2, s is not an IF cont.function.

Proposition 3.5. Every IFSemi cont. function [5] is an $IF\beta^{**}G$ cont.function but the reverse is not true in general.

Proof. Let $s: (K, \tau) \to (P, \sigma)$ be an IFSemi cont. function. Let *D* be an IFCSet in *P*. Then $s^{-1}(D)$ is an IFSCSet in *K* and $s^{-1}(D)$ is an IF β^{**} GCSet in *K*. Finally *s* is an IF β^{**} G. cont.function.

Example 3.6. In Eg. 3.2, s is an $IF\beta^{**}G$ cont.function but not an IFSemi cont.function.

Proposition 3.7. *Every IFPrecont.function* [5] *is an* $IF\beta^{**}G$ *cont.function but the reverse is not true in general.*

Proof. Let $s: (K, \tau) \to (P, \sigma)$ be an IFPre cont.function. Let D be an IFCSet in P. Then $s^{-1}(D)$ an IFPCSet in K and $s^{-1}(D)$ is an IF β^{**} GCSet in K. Finally s is an IF β^{**} G. cont.function.

Example 3.8. Let $K = \{c, d\}$, $P = \{e, f\}$, and $\tau = \{0_{\frown}, G_1, G_2, 1_{\frown}\}$ and $\sigma = \{0_{\frown}, G_3, 1_{\frown}\}$ and IFTs on K and P resp., where $G_1 = \langle k, (0.5_c, 0.6_d), (0.5_c, 0.4_d) \rangle$, $G_2 = \langle k, (0.4_c, 0.3_d), (0.6_c, 0.7_d) \rangle$, $G_3 = \langle p, (0.6_e, 0.6_f), (0.4_e, 0.4_f) \rangle$, Define a function $s : (K, \tau) \to (P, \sigma)$ by s(c) = e and s(d) = f. Then s is an IF $\beta^{**}G$ cont.function but not an IFPre cont.function

Proposition 3.9. *Every IF* α *cont.function* [5] *is an IF* $\beta^{**}G$ *cont.function but the reverse is not true in general.*

Proof. Let $s: (K, \tau) \to (P, \sigma)$ be an IF α cont.function. Let D be an IFCSet in P. Then $s^{-1}(D)$ is an IF α CSet in K. Since every IF α CSet is an IF β^{**} GC Set [5], $s^{-1}(D)$) is an IF β GCSet in K. Finally s is an IF β^{**} G cont.function.

Example 3.10. In Eg. 3.2, s is an $IF\beta^{**}G$ cont.function but not an $IF\alpha$ cont.function

Proposition 3.11. Let $s : (K, \tau) \to (P, \sigma)$ be a function and $s^{-1}(E)$ be an IFRCSet in $K \forall$ IFCSet E in P. Then s is an IF $\beta^{**}G$ cont.function but reverse is not true in general.

Proof. Let E be an IFCSet in P and $s^{-1}(E)$ be an IFRCSet in K. Since every IFRCSet is an IF β^{**} GCSet [6], $s^{-1}(E)$ is an IF β^{**} GCSet in K. Finally s is an IF β^{**} G cont. function. \Box

Example 3.12. In Eg 3.2, s is an $IF\beta^{**}G$ cont.function but not a function as in proposition 3.11.

Proposition 3.13. Every $IF\beta$ cont.function [5] is an $IF\beta^{**}G$ cont.function but the reverse is not true in general.

Proof. Let s: $(K, \tau) \rightarrow (P, \sigma)$ be an IF β cont.function. Let D be an IFCSet in P. Then $s^{-1}(D)$ is an IF β CSet in K. Since every IF β CSet [8], $s^{-1}(D)$ is an IF β^{**} GCSet in K.Finally s is an IF β^{**} G cont. function.

Example 3.14. Let $K = \{c, d\}$ and Let $P = \{e, f\}$ Then $\tau = \{0_{\neg}, G_1, G_2, 1_{\neg}\}$ and $\sigma = \{0_{\neg}, G_3, 1_{\neg}\}$ be IFTs on K and P resp., where $G_1 = \langle k, (0.5_c, 0.6_d), (0.5_c, 0.4_d) \rangle$, $G_2 = \langle k, (0.6_c, 0.7_d), (0.4_c, 0.3_d) \rangle$, $G_3 = \langle p, (0.3_e, 0.2_f), (0.7_e, 0.8_f) \rangle$, Define a function $s : (K, \tau) \rightarrow (P, \sigma)$ by s(c) = e and s(d) = s. Then s is an IF $\beta^{**}G$ cont.function but not an IF β cont. function.



Proposition 3.15. *Every IFG cont.function* [7] *is an IF* $\beta^{**}G$ *cont.function but thereverse is not true in general.*

Proof. Let s : (K, τ) \rightarrow (P, σ) be an IFG cont.function. Let D be an IFCSet in P. Then $s^{-1}(D)$ is an IFGCSet in K. Since every IFGCSet is an IF β^{**} GCSet [6], $s^{-1}(D)$ is an IF β^{**} GCSet in K. Thus s is an IF β^{**} G cont.function.

Example 3.16. In eg 3.2, s is an $IF\beta^{**}G$ cont.function and not an IFG cont.function, since G_3^c is an IFCSet in P, but $s^{-1}(G_3^c)$ is not an IFGCSet in K, as $cl(s^{-1}(G_3^c)) = 1_{\backsim} \nsubseteq G_2$, whereas $g^{-1}(G_3^c) \subseteq G_2$

The interrelation of IF cont.function is depicted below.



Proposition 3.17. A function $s: (K, \tau) \rightarrow (P, \sigma)$ be an $IF\beta^{**}G$ cont.function iff the inverse image of each IFOSet in P is an $IF\beta^{**}GOSet$ in K.

Proof. Necessity : Let E be an IFOSet in *P*. Then E^c is an IFCSet in *P*. Since *s* is an IF β^{**} G cont.function, $s^{-1}(E^c)$ is an IF β^{**} GCSet in *K*. Since $s^{-1}(E^c) = (s^{-1}(E))^c, s^{-1}(E)$ is an IF β^{**} GOSet in *K*.

Sufficiency : Let *E* be an IFCSet in *P*. Then E^c is an IFOSet in *P*. By assumption, $s^{-1}(E^c)$ is an IF β^{**} GOSet in *K*. Since $s^{-1}(E^c) = (s^{-1}(E))^c, s^{-1}(E)$ is an IF β^{**} GCSet in *K*. Hence s is an IF β^{**} G cont.function.

Proposition 3.18. If $s : (K, \tau) \to (P, \sigma)$ is an $IF\beta^{**}G$ cont.function, then for each IFPoint $r(\alpha, \beta)$ of K and each $E \in \sigma$ s.t $s(r(\alpha, \beta)) \in E$, there is an $IF\beta^{**}GOSet D$ of K s.t $r(\alpha, \beta) \in D$ and $s(D) \subseteq E$.

Proof. Let $r(\alpha, \beta)$ be an IFPoint of *K* and $E \in \sigma$ s.t. $s(r(\alpha, \beta)) \in E$. Then $r(\alpha, \beta) \in s^{-1}(E)$. Put $D = s^{-1}(E)$. By assumption, *D* is an IF β^{**} GOSet in *K* s.t. $r(\alpha, \beta) \in D$ and $s(D) = s(s^{-1}(E)) \subseteq E$.

Proposition 3.19. If $s : (K, \tau) \to (P, \sigma)$ is an $IF\beta^{**}GOSet$ cont.function, then for each IFPoint $r(\alpha, \beta)$ of K and each $E \in \sigma$ s.t $s(r(\alpha, \beta))_q E$, there is an $IF\beta^{**}GOS D$ of K s.t $r(\alpha, \beta)_a D$ and $s(D) \subseteq E$.

Proof. Let $r(\alpha, \beta)$ be an IFPoint of *K* and $E \in \sigma$ s.t $s(r(\alpha, \beta))_q E$. Then $r(\alpha, \beta)_q s^{-1}(E)$. Put $D = s^{-1}(E)$. Then by assumption, *D* is an IF β^{**} GOSet in *K* s.t $r(\alpha, \beta)_q D$ and $s(D) = s(s^{-1}(E)) \subseteq E$.

Proposition 3.20. Let $s: (K, \tau) \to (P, \sigma)$ be an $IF\beta^{**}G$ cont.function, then s is an IFpre cont.function if K is an IFuzzy $\beta^{**}pT_{1/2}$ Space

Proof. Let *D* be an IFCSet in *P*. Then $s^{-1}(D)$ is an IF β^{**} GCSet in *K*, by assumption. Since *K* is an IFuzzy β^{**} p $T_{1/2}$ space, $s^1(D)$ is an IFPCSet in *K*. Hence *s* is an IF pre cont.function.

Proposition 3.21. Let $s : (K, \tau) \to (P, \sigma)$ be an $IF\beta^{**}G$ cont.function, then s is an IFG cont.function if K is an IFuzzy $\beta_g^{**}T_{1/2}$ Space

Proof. Let *D* be an IFCSet in *P*. Then $s^{-1}(D)$ is an IF β^{**} GCSet in *K*, by assumption. Since *K* is an IFuzzy β^{**} g $T_{1/2}$ space, $s^{-1}(D)$ is an IFGCSet in *K*. Hence *s* is an IFG cont.function.

Proposition 3.22. Let $s : (K, \tau) \to (P, \sigma)$ be an $IF\beta^{**}G$ cont.function and $t : (P, \sigma) \to (Q, \delta)$ is an IF cont.function then $t \circ s : (K, \tau) \to (Q, \delta)$ is an $IF\beta^{**}G$ cont.function.

Proof. Let D be an IFCSet in Q. Then $t^{-1}(D)$ is an IFCSet in P, by assumption. Since s is an IF β^{**} G cont.function, $s^{-1}(t^{-1}(D))$ is an IF β^{**} GCSet in K. Hence tos is an IF β^{**} G cont.function as $(tos)^{-1}(D) = s^{-1}(t^{-1}(D))$.

Proposition 3.23. A function $s: (K, \tau) \to (P, \sigma)$ be an $IF\beta^{**}G$ cont.function if $cl(int(cl(s^{-1}(E)))) \subseteq s^{-1}(cl(E))$ for every *IFSet E in P.*

Proof. Let E be an IFCSet in P. By assumption, $cl(int(cl(s^{-1}(E)))) \subseteq s^{-1}(cl(E)) = s^{-1}(E)$ And $s^{-1}(E)$ is an IF α CSet in K. Then $s^{-1}(E)$ is an IF β^{**} GCSet in K and s is an IF β^{**} G cont.function.

Proposition 3.24. Let $s : (K, \tau) \to (P, \sigma)$ be a function. Then the following conditions are equivalent if K and P are IFuzzy $\beta_p^{**}T_{1/2}$ space:

- (a) s is an $IF\beta^{**}G$ cont.function
- (b) $s^{-1}(D)$ is an $IF\beta^{**}GOSet$ in K for each IFOSet D in P
- (c) for each IFPoint $r(\alpha, \beta)$ in K and \forall IFOSet D in P s. t $s(r(\alpha, \beta)) \in D$, there is an IF $\beta^{**}GOSet E$ in K s.t $r(\alpha, \beta) \in E$ and $s(E) \subseteq D$.

Proof. (a) \Leftrightarrow (b): is clearly known from the Proposition 3.17. (b) \Rightarrow (c): Let D be any IFOSet in K and P and let $r(\alpha, \beta) \in K$. Given $s(r(\alpha, \beta)) \in D$. By assumption $s^{-1}(D)$ is an IF β^{**} GOSet in K. Take $E = s^{-1}(D)$. Then $r(\alpha, \beta) \in s^{-1}(D) = E$ and $r(\alpha, \beta) \in E$ and $s(E) = s(s^{-1}(D)) \subseteq D$.

(c) \Rightarrow (a): Let E be an IFCSet in P. Then $D = E^c$ is an IFOSet in P. Let $r(\alpha, \beta) \in K$ and $s(r(\alpha, \beta)) \in D$. Then

IF β^{**} GOSet, say F exists in K s.t $r(\alpha, \beta) \in F$ and $s(F) \subseteq D$. Therefore $r(\alpha, \beta) \in F \subseteq s^{-1}(s(F)) \subseteq s^{-1}(D)$ and hence $s^{-1}(D)$ is an IF β^{**} GOSet in K [6]. Finally s is an IF β^{**} G cont.function.

Proposition 3.25. Let $s : (K, \tau) \to (P, \sigma)$ be a function that satisfies $s^{-1}(int(B)) = int(cl(s^{-1}(int(B))) \forall IFSet D in P. Then s is an <math>IF\beta^{**}G$ cont.function.

Proof. Let $D \subseteq P$ be an IFOSet. Then by assumption $s^{-1}(D) = int(cl(s^{-1}(D)))$. It gives $s^{-1}(D)$ is an IFROSet in K and it is an IF β^{**} GOSet in K. Finally s is an IF β^{**} G cont.function.

Proposition 3.26. Let $s : (K, \tau) \to (P, \sigma)$ be an $IF\beta^{**}G$ cont.function and $m : (P, \sigma) \to (Q, \delta)$ is an IFG cont.function and P is an $IFuzzyT_{1/2}$ space, then $m \circ s : (K, \tau) \to (Q, \delta)$ is an $IF\beta^{**}G$ cont.function.

Proof. Let D be an IFCSet in Q. Then $t^{-1}(D)$ is an IFGCSet in P, by assumption. Since P is an IFuzzy $T_{1/2}$ space, $t^{-1}(D)$ is an IFCSet in P. Therefore $s^{-1}(t^{-1}(D))$ is an IF β^{**} GCSet in K, by assumption. Finally $m \circ s$ is an IF β^{**} G cont.function. \Box

References

- K. Atanassov, Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 1986, 87–96.
- [2] D. Coker, An introduction to intuitionistic fuzzy topological space, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 1997, 81–89.
- [3] D. Coker, and M. Demirci, On intuitionistic fuzzy points, Notes on Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets, 1995, 79–84.
- [4] H. Gurcay, D. Coker, and Es.A. Haydar, On fuzzy continuity in intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces, *J. Fuzzy Mathematics*, 1997, 365–378.
- [5] J. K. Jeon, Y. B. Jun and J. H. Park, Intuitionistic fuzzy alpha-continuity and intuitionistic fuzzy pre continuity, *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, 2005, 3091–3101.
- ^[6] S.M. Sudha and D. Jayanthi, β^{**} generalized closed sets in intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces, (Submitted).
- [7] S.S. Thakur and C. Rekha, Generalized continuity in intuitionistic fuzzy topological space, *NIFS*, 2006, 38– 44.
- [8] S.S. Thakur and C. Rekha, Regular generalized closed sets in intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces, *Universitatea Din Bacau, Studii Si Cercetari Stiintifice, Seria*, 2006, 257–272.
- [9] L.A. Zadeh, Fuzzy Sets, *Information and Control*, 1965, 338–353.

******** ISSN(P):2319 – 3786 Malaya Journal of Matematik ISSN(O):2321 – 5666 *******

