

Existence results of Boundary Value Problem for Implicit Impulsive Fractional Differential Equations

A. Anguraj^{a,*}, M. Kasthuri^b and P. Karthikeyan^c

^aPSG College of Arts and Science, Coimbatore-641014, Tamil Nadu, India.

^bDepartment of Mathematics, P.K.R. Arts College for Women, Gobichettipalayam-638476, Tamil Nadu, India.

^cDepartment of Mathematics, Sri Vasavi college, Erode-638316, Tamil Nadu, India.

Abstract

In this paper, we study the existence of solutions for boundary value problem for implicit fractional differential equations with impulsive conditions. We prove the existence results by applying fixed point theorem and finally an example is included to show the applicability of our results.

Keywords: Implicit Impulsive Fractional Differential Equations, boundary Conditions, fixed point.

2010 MSC: 26A23, 34G20, 34A37.

©2012 MJM. All rights reserved.

1 Introduction

The study of impulsive functional differential and integro-differential systems is linked to their utility in simulating processes and phenomena subject to short-time perturbations during their evolution. The perturbations are performed discretely and their duration is negligible in comparison with the total duration of the processes and phenomena. Now impulsive partial neutral functional differential and integro-differential systems have become an important object of investigation in recent years stimulated by their numerous applications to problems arising in mechanics, electrical engineering, medicine, biology, ecology, etc. With regard to this matter, we refer the reader to see the monographs by Benchohra *et al* [5], Lakshmikantham *et al* [15], Samoilenko and Perestyuk [20], and the papers [1–3, 13, 14] and the references therein.

On the other hand, the nonlinear fractional differential and integro-differential equations have been proved to be valuable tools in the modeling of many phenomena in various fields of engineering, physics, and economics. It draws a great application in nonlinear oscillations of earthquakes, many physical phenomena such as seepage flow in porous media and in fluid dynamic traffic models. Actually, fractional differential equations are considered as an alternative model to integer differential equations. Some works have done on the qualitative properties of solutions for these equations. For more details on this theory and on its applications, we suggest the reader to refer [4, 9–12, 16–19].

Inspired by the work [22], we study the existence of solutions for boundary value problem for implicit fractional differential equations with impulsive conditions of the form

$$\begin{aligned} {}^c D^\alpha x(t) &= f(t, x(t), {}^c D^\alpha x(t)), \quad t \in J', \alpha \in (1, 2). \\ \Delta x(t_k) &= y_k, \Delta x'(t_k) = \bar{y}_k, y_k, \bar{y}_k \in \mathbb{R} \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, m \\ x(0) &= 0, x'(1) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

*Corresponding author.

E-mail address: angurajpsg@yahoo.com (A. Anguraj), joevarshini@gmail.com (M. Kasthuri), karthi_p@yahoo.com (P. Karthikeyan).

where ${}^c D^\alpha$ is the Caputo fractional derivative, $f : J \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function.

In this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 has definitions and elementary results of the fractional calculus. In section 3, boundary value problem for implicit impulsive fractional differential equations is attained and proved the theorems on the existence and uniqueness of a solution to the problem (1.1). In section 4, an illustrative example is provided in support of the results of a problem (1.1).

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we recall some basic theorems, definitions and preliminary facts need to problem (1.1).

Define $PC(J, \mathbb{R}) = \{x : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : x \in C(t_k, t_{k+1}], \mathbb{R}, k = 0, 1, \dots, m$ and there exist $x(t_k^-)$ & $x(t_k^+)$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$ with $x(t_k^-) = x(t_k)$ and we define the norm $\|x\|_{PC} = \sup\{|x(t)| : t \in J\}$. $PC'(J, \mathbb{R})$ is also a Banach space, denote $PC'(J, \mathbb{R}) = \{x \in PC(J, \mathbb{R}) : \dot{x} \in PC(J, \mathbb{R})\}$ and set $\|x\|_{PC'} = \|x\|_{PC} + \|\dot{x}\|_{PC}$.

Definition 2.1. The fractional order integral of the function $h \in L^1([0, T], \mathbb{R}_+)$ of order $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+$ is defined by

$$I^\alpha h(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} h(s) ds,$$

where Γ is the Euler's gamma function defined by $\Gamma(\alpha) = \int_0^\infty t^{\alpha-1} e^{-t} dt, \alpha > 0$

Definition 2.2. For a function $h \in AC^n(J)$, the Caputo's fractional-order derivative of order α is defined by

$$({}^c D_0^\alpha)(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{n-\alpha-1} h^{(n)}(s) ds,$$

where $n = [\alpha] + 1$ and $[\alpha]$ denotes the integer part of the real number α .

Definition 2.3. A function $x \in PC'(J, \mathbb{R})$ is said to be a solution of the problem (1), if $x(t) = x_k(t)$ for $t \in (t_k, t_{k+1})$ and $x_k \in C([0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_m < t_{m+1} = T], \mathbb{R})$ satisfies ${}^c D^\alpha x_k(t) = f(t, x_k(t), {}^c D^\alpha x_k(t))$, almost everywhere on $(0, t_{k+1})$ with the restriction of $x_k(t)$ on $[0, t_k]$ is just $x_{k-1}(t)$ and the conditions $\Delta x(t_k) = y_k, \Delta x'(t_k) = \bar{y}_k, y_k, \bar{y}_k \in \mathbb{R} k = 1, 2, \dots, m$ with $x(0) = 0, x'(1) = 0$.

Lemma 2.1 (Lemma 9,[7] and lemma 4.1 [22]). Let $\alpha \in (1, 2)$. Then the problem

$$\begin{aligned} {}^c D^\alpha x(t) &= f(t, x(t), {}^c D^\alpha x(t)), \quad t \in J', \\ \Delta x(t_k) &= y_k, \Delta x'(t_k) = \bar{y}_k, y_k, \bar{y}_k \in \mathbb{R} k = 1, 2, \dots, m \\ x(0) &= 0, x'(1) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

is equivalent to the problem

$$\begin{aligned} (x)(t) &= I^\alpha g(t) + \sum_{i=1}^k \bar{y}_i(t-t_i) + \sum_{i=1}^k y_i \\ &- \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} \int_0^1 (1-t)^{\alpha-2} g(t) dt + \sum_{k=1}^m \bar{y}_k \right) t \text{ for } t \in (t_k, t_{k+1}], k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m \end{aligned}$$

where $g \in PC(J, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies the functional equation $g(t) = f(t, (t), g(t))$.

Theorem 2.1 ([22], Theorem 2.12). Let X be a Banach space and $\psi \subset PC(J, X)$. If the following conditions are satisfied a) ψ is uniformly bounded subset of $PC(J, X)$. b) ψ is equicontinuous in $(t_k, t_{k+1}), k = 0, 1, \dots, m$. c) $\psi(t) = \{x(t) : x \in \psi, t \in J\}, \psi(t_k^-) = \{x(t_k^-) : x \in \psi\}$ and $\psi(t_k^+) = \{x(t_k^+) : x \in \psi\}$ is relatively compact subset of X , then ψ is a relatively compact subset of $PC(J, X)$.

Theorem 2.2 (Krasnoselskii's theorem). . Let \mathbb{H} be a closed convex and nonempty subset of a Banach space X . If A, B be the operators such that a) $Ax + By \in \mathbb{H}$ whenever $x, y \in \mathbb{H}$, b) A is compact and continuous, c) B is a contraction mapping, then there exists a $z \in A$ such that $z = Az + Bz$.

3 Main Results

In this section, we present and prove the main results of this paper. In order to prove the existence and uniqueness results we need the following assumptions :

(A₁) The function $f : J \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function.

(A₂) There exists a postive constant $L_1 > 0$ and $0 < L_2 < 1$ such that

$$|f(t, u, v) - f(t, \bar{u}, \bar{v})| \leq L_1|u - \bar{u}| + L_2|v - \bar{v}| \quad \forall t \in J, u, v, \bar{u}, \bar{v} \in \mathbb{R}$$

(A₃) There exist $m, n \in C(J, \mathbb{R}_+)$ with $l^* = \sup_{t \in J} l(t) < 1$ such that

$$|f(t, u, w)| \leq m(t) + n(t)|u| + l(t)|w| \quad \text{for } t \in J \text{ and } u, w \in \mathbb{R}$$

where $l \in L^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}(J, \mathbb{R})$ and $\sigma \in (0, \alpha - 1)$.

Theorem 3.3. Assume (A₁) – (A₂). If

$$\frac{L_1 T^\alpha}{2(1 - L_2)\Gamma(1 + \alpha)} < 1$$

then there exists a unique solution for BVPs (1.1) on J .

Proof. Set

$$B_r = \{x \in PC'(J, \mathbb{R}) : \|x\|_{PC'} \leq r\},$$

$$r \geq 2 \left[\frac{1 + \alpha}{\Gamma(1 + \alpha)} L_3 + \sum_{i=1}^m |\bar{y}_i| + 2 \sum_{i=1}^m |y_i| \right]$$

and

$$L_3 = \sup_{t \in J} |f(t, 0, 0)|.$$

Define an operator $F : B_r \rightarrow PC'(J, \mathbb{R})$ by

$$(Fx)(t) = I^\alpha g(t) + \sum_{i=1}^k \bar{y}_i(t - t_i) + \sum_{i=1}^k y_i - \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha - 1)} \int_0^1 (1 - t)^{\alpha-2} g(t) dt + \sum_{k=1}^m \bar{y}_k \right) t$$

where $g(t) = f(t, y(t), g(t))$ for $t \in (t_k, t_{k+1}]$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m$. where $g \in PC(J, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies the functional equation.

First can be checked that $FB_r \subset B_r$. For $x \in B_r, t \in J'$,

$$\begin{aligned} |(Fx)(t)| &= \left| I^\alpha g(t) + \sum_{i=1}^k \bar{y}_i(t - t_i) + \sum_{i=1}^k y_i - \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha - 1)} \int_0^1 (1 - t)^{\alpha-2} g(t) dt + \sum_{k=1}^m \bar{y}_k \right) t \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1 + \alpha}{\Gamma(1 + \alpha)} L_3 + \sum_{i=1}^m |\bar{y}_i| + 2 \sum_{i=1}^m |y_i| \\ &\leq r \end{aligned}$$

Second can be checked that F is a contraction mapping. we have that

$$|(Fu_1)(t) - (Fu_2)(t)| = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t - s)^{\alpha-1} |g(s) - h(s)| ds - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha - 1)} \int_0^1 (1 - t)^{\alpha-2} |g(t) - h(t)| dt \quad (1.2)$$

By (A₂)

$$\begin{aligned} |g(t) - h(t)| &= |f(t, u_1(t), g(t)) - f(t, u_2(t), h(t))| \\ &\leq L_1|u_1(t) - u_2(t)| + L_2|g(t) - h(t)| \\ &\leq \frac{L_1|u_1(t) - u_2(t)|}{1 - L_2} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore equation (1.2) implies

$$\begin{aligned} |(Fu_1)(t) - (Fu_2)(t)| &\leq \frac{L_1}{(1 - L_2)\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t - s)^{(\alpha-1)} |u_1(s) - u_2(s)| ds \\ &\leq \frac{L_1 T^\alpha}{(1 - L_2)\Gamma(1 + \alpha)} \|u_1 - u_2\|_{PC} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|u_1 - u_2\|_{PC} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|Fu_1 - Fu_2\|_{PC} \leq \frac{1}{2} \|u_1 - u_2\|_{PC}.$$

Thus, the conclusion of theorem follows by the contraction mapping principle, F has a unique fixed point which is a unique solution of problem (1.1). \square

Theorem 3.4. Assume $(A_1) - (A_3)$ holds. Then the problem (1.1) has at least one solution on J .

Proof. Let us choose

$$r \geq \frac{\|I\|_{L^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}}(J)}{\Gamma(\alpha) \left(\frac{\alpha-\sigma}{1-\sigma}\right)^{1-\sigma}} + \frac{\|I\|_{L^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}}(J)}{\Gamma(\alpha - 1) \left(\frac{\alpha-\sigma-1}{1-\sigma}\right)^{1-\sigma}} + 2 \sum_{i=1}^m |\bar{y}_i| + \sum_{i=1}^m |y_i|$$

and define the operator ϕ and χ on B_r as

$$(\phi x)(t) = I^\alpha g(t) - \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha - 1)} \int_0^1 (1 - t)^{\alpha-2} g(t) dt \right) t$$

$$(\chi x)(t) = \sum_{i=1}^k \bar{y}_i(t - t_i) + \sum_{i=1}^k y_i - \sum_{i=1}^m \bar{y}_i t$$

For any $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in B_r$, one can show $\phi\lambda_1 + \chi\lambda_2 \in B_r$. It is obviously that ϕ is a contraction with the constant zero.

On the otherhand, the continuity of f implies that the operator ϕ is continuous.

Also, ϕ is uniformly bounded on B_r .

$$\|\phi x\|_{PC} \leq \frac{\|I\|_{L^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}}(J)}{\Gamma(\alpha) \left(\frac{\alpha-\sigma}{1-\sigma}\right)^{1-\sigma}} + \frac{\|I\|_{L^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}}(J)}{\Gamma(\alpha - 1) \left(\frac{\alpha-\sigma-1}{1-\sigma}\right)^{1-\sigma}} \leq r.$$

Next, one can prove the compactness of the operator ϕ on B_r and for any $t_k < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < t_{k+1}$ via conclude that $\phi : C(J, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C(J, \mathbb{R})$ Arzela-Ascoli theorem again.

Thus all the assumption of krasnoselskii's fixed point theorem are satisfied, which implies that the problem (1.1) has at least one solution on J . \square

4 Example

Consider the following boundary value problem for implicit fractional differential equations with impulsive conditions

$$\begin{aligned} {}^c D^{\frac{3}{2}} x(t) &= \frac{1}{2e^t(1 + |x(t)| + |{}^c D^{\frac{3}{2}} x(t)|)}, \\ \Delta x(1/4) &= y_1, \quad \Delta x'(1/4) = \bar{y}_1, \\ x(0) &= 0, \quad x'(1) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Set $f(t, u, v) = \frac{1}{2e^t(1 + |u| + |v|)}$, $t \in [0, 1]$, $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$. Clearly, the function f is jointly continuous. For any $u, v, \bar{u}, \bar{v} \in \mathbb{R}$

$$|f(t, u, v) - f(t, \bar{u}, \bar{v})| \leq \frac{1}{4e} (|u - \bar{u}| + |v - \bar{v}|)$$

Set $L_1 = L_2 = \frac{1}{4e}$, $\alpha = \frac{3}{2}$ and

$$\frac{L_1 T^\alpha}{2(1 - L_2)\Gamma(1 + \alpha)} = \frac{1}{2(4e - 1)\Gamma(\frac{5}{2})} < 1$$

Thus all the assumptions of theorem (1.1) are satisfied. Thus the problem (1.1) as a unique solution.

References

- [1] A Anguraj and S Kanjanadevi, Existence of Mild Solutions of Abstract Fractional Differential Equations with Non-Instantaneous Impulsive Conditions, *Journal of Statistical Science and Application*, 4(01-02)(2016), 53-64.
- [2] A Anguraj and S Kanjanadevi, Existence Results for Fractional Integro-Differential Equations with Fractional Order Non-instantaneous Impulsive Conditions, *Journal of Advances in Applied Mathematics*, 1(1)(2016), 45-54.
- [3] K.Balachandran and J.Y.Park, Nonlocal Cauchy problem for abstract fractional semilinear evolution equation, *Nonlinear Analysis*, 71(2009)4471-4475.
- [4] D. D. Bainov, P. S. Simeonov; *Systems with Impulsive effect*, Horwood, Chichister, 1989.
- [5] M. Benchohra, J. Henderson and S. K. Ntouyas, *Impulsive Differential Equations and Inclusions*, Hindawi Publishing Corporation, Vol. 2, New York, 2006.
- [6] M. Benchohra, F. Berhoun and G M. NGuerekata, Bounded solutions for fractional order differential equations on the half-line, *Bull. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 146(4)(2012), 62-71.
- [7] M. Benchohra and J. E. Lazreg, Existence results for nonlinear implicit fractional differential equations, *Surveys in mathematics and its applications*, 9(2014), 79-92.
- [8] C. Thaiprayoon, Impulsive fractional boundary value problems with fractional integral jump conditions, *Boundary value problems*, Volume 17, 1-17, 2014.
- [9] A. Chauhan and J. Dabas, Fractional order Impulsive functional differential equations with nonlocal initial conditions, *Electron. J. Differ. Equ.*, 2011 (2011), 10 pages.
- [10] K. Diethelm and A.D. Freed, On the solution of nonlinear fractional order differential equations used in the modeling of viscoplasticity, in "Scientific Computing in Chemical Engineering II-Computational Fluid Dynamics, Reaction Engineering and Molecular Properties" (F. Keil, W. Mackens, H. Voss, and J. Werther, Eds), pp 217-224, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 1999.
- [11] W. G. Glockle and T. F. Nonnenmacher, A fractional calculus approach of self-similar protein dynamics, *Biophys. J.* 68(1995), 46-53.
- [12] R. Hilfer; *Applications of Fractional Calculus in Physics*, World Scientific, Singapore, 2000.
- [13] P. Karthikeyan and R. Arul, On the Existence of Solutions of Impulsive Fractional IntegroDifferential Equations, *International Journal Of Mathematics And Scientific Computing*, 2(2)(2012), 64-71.
- [14] P. Karthikeyan and R. Arul, Riemann-Liouville integral boundary value problems for impulsive fractional integro-differential equations, *Malaya Journal of Matematik*, 5(1)(2013), 24-32.
- [15] V. Lakshmikantham, D. D. Bainov and P. S. Simeonov; *Theory of Impulsive Differential Equations*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1989.
- [16] F. Mainardi, *Fractional calculus: Some basic problems in continuum and statistical mechanics*, in "Fractals and Fractional Calculus in Continuum Mechanics" (A. Carpinteri and F. Mainardi, Eds), pp. 291-348, Springer-Verlag, Wien, 1997.
- [17] F. Metzler, W. Schick, H. G. Kilian and T. F. Nonnenmacher, Relaxation in filled polymers: A fractional calculus approach, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 103 (1995), 7180-7186.

- [18] K. B. Oldham and J. Spanier; *The Fractional Calculus*, Academic Press, New York, London, 1974.
- [19] I. Podlubny, *Fractional Differential Equation*, Academic Press, San Diego, 1999.
- [20] A. M. Samoilenko, N. A. Perestyuk; *Impulsive Differential Equations* World Scientific, Singapore, 1995.
- [21] Z. Liu, X. Li, Existence and uniqueness of solutions for the nonlinear impulsive fractional differential equations, *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation*, 18(2013), 1362-1373.
- [22] J.R. Wang, Y.Zhou and M.Feckan, On recent development in the theory of boundary value problems for impulsive fractional differential equations, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 64(2012), 3008-3020.

Received: January 22, 2017; *Accepted:* May 23, 2017

UNIVERSITY PRESS

Website: <http://www.malayajournal.org/>