



A note on mixed super quasi Einstein manifold

Ananta Patra¹ and Akshoy Patra^{2*}

Abstract

Mixed super quasi Einstein manifold ($MS(QE)_n$) is a generalization of Einstein manifold. In this paper we have studied some geometric properties of $MS(QE)_n$. Also we have studied $MS(QE)_n$ satisfying some curvature restriction and obtained the form of Riemannian curvature tensor. We have studied conformally flat and conformally conservative $MS(QE)_n$. We have deduced a necessary condition for a $MS(QE)_n$, to be conformally conservative. Some basic properties of $MS(QE)_n$ on viscous fluid $MS(QE)_n$ spacetimes are discussed. We have proved that if a viscous fluid $MS(QE)_n$ spacetime admitting heat flux obeys Einstein equation with a cosmological constant then none of the energy density and isotropic pressure of the fluid can be a constant.

Keywords

Mixed super quasi-Einstein manifold, conformally flat, conformally conservative, viscous fluid, heat flux, cosmological constant, energy density, isotropic pressure.

AMS Subject Classification

Primary 53C50, 53C25, 53B30; Secondary 53C80, 53B50.

¹Department of Mathematics, Kandi Raj College, Kandi-742137, Murshidabad, West Bengal, India.

²Department of Mathematics, Govt. College of Engineering and Textile Technology, Berhampore-742101, Murshidabad, West Bengal, India.

*Corresponding author: ² akshoy@gmail.com

Article History: Received 10 January 2020; Accepted 01 May 2020

©2020 MJM.

Contents

1	Introduction	530
2	Preliminaries	531
3	Some geometric properties	531
4	Conformally flat and Conformally conservative $MS(QE)_n$	532
5	Ricci-pseudosymmetric $MS(QE)_n$	533
6	General relativistic viscous fluid spacetime admitting heat flux [6]	534
	References	534

1. Introduction

Let $U_s = \{x \in M : S \neq \frac{r}{n}g, atx\}$, where S and r are respectively the Ricci tensor and scalar curvature of a Riemannian manifold (M^n, g) , $(n \geq 3)$. Then the manifold is said to be a quasi Einstein [4] manifold if on U_s , we have

$$S - ag = bA \otimes A,$$

where A is a 1-form on U_s and a, b are some functions on U_s . It is clear that the 1-form A as well as the function b are non

zero at every point on U_s . From the above definition it follows that every Einstein manifold is quasi-Einstein. The scalars a, b are known as the associated scalars of the manifold. Also the 1-form A is called the associated 1-form of the manifold defined by $g(X, U) = A(X)$ for any vector field $X; U$ being a unit vector field, called the generator of the manifold. Such an n -dimensional quasi Einstein manifold is denoted by $(QE)_n$. There are many generalization of $(QE)_n$ in literature([1], [2], [3], [4], [5], [7]). One of them is mixed super quasi-Einstein manifold introduced by A. Bhattacharaya, M. Tarafdar and D. Debnath [2]. According to them a non flat Riemannian manifold is said to be *mixed super quasi-Einstein manifold* if it satisfies the condition

$$\begin{aligned} S(X, Y) &= ag(X, Y) & (1.1) \\ &+ bA(X)A(Y) + cb(X)B(Y) \\ &+ d[A(X)B(Y) + A(Y)B(X)] \\ &+ eD(X, Y), \end{aligned}$$

where a, b, c, d, e are real valued functions on (M^n, g) of which $b \neq 0, c \neq 0, d \neq 0, e \neq 0$ and A, B are two non zero 1-forms such that

$g(X, U) = A(X), g(X, V) = B(X), g(U, U) = 1, g(V, V) = 1, g(U, V) = 0, D$ is a symmetric tensor of type $(0, 2)$ with zero trace such that $D(X, U) = 0 \forall X \in \chi(M)$. Here

a, b, c, d, e are called the associated scalars, A, B are called the main and the auxilliary generators and D is called the structure tensor. Such a space is denoted by $MS(QE)_n$. The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 is concerned with preliminaries. In section 3 we have obtained some geometric properties of a $MS(QE)_n$. Section 4 deals with conformally flat and conservative $MS(QE)_n$. In section 5 we have studied some properties of pseudo Ricci symmetric $MS(QE)_n$. In the last section 6 we studied viscous fluid ($MSQE$) $_n$ spacetimes.

2. Preliminaries

Putting $X = Y = e_i$ where $\{e_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ is an orthonormal basis of the tangent space of the manifold in (1.1) and summing from 1 to n we get,

$$r = na + b + c. \tag{2.1}$$

Putting $X = Y = U$ in (1.1)

$$S(U, U) = a + b. \tag{2.2}$$

Setting $X = Y = V$ in (1.1) we get,

$$S(V, V) = a + c + eD(V, V). \tag{2.3}$$

Again putting $X = U, Y = V$ in (1.1) we get,

$$S(U, V) = d. \tag{2.4}$$

From above we ca state the following

Theorem 2.1. In $MS(QE)_n$ the scalars $a+b$ and $a+c+eD(V,V)$ are the Ricci curvatures along the generators U and V respectively.

Suppose $S(X, Y) = g(QX, Y), D(X, Y) = g(LX, Y), s^2 = \sum_1^n S(Qe_i, e_i), f^2 = \sum_1^n D(Le_i, e_i)$
From (1.1) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_1^n S(Qe_i, e_i) &= a(an + b + c) \\ &+ b(a + b) + c(a + c + eD(V, V)) \\ &+ d(d + d) + e \sum_1^n D(Qe_i, e_i) \\ &= (n - 2)a^2 + (a + b)^2 + (a + c)^2 + 2d^2 \\ &+ ceD(V, V) + e \sum_1^n S(Le_i, e_i). \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Again from (1.1)

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_1^n S(Le_i, e_i) &= cD(V, V) + e \sum_1^n D(Le_i, e_i) \\ &= cD(V, V) + ef^2 \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Using (2.5) and (2.6) we get

$$\begin{aligned} s^2 &= (n - 2)a^2 + (a + b)^2 + (a + c)^2 + 2d^2 \\ &+ ceD(V, V) + ceD(V, V) + e^2f^2. \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

From (2.3) it is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} s^2 &= na^2 + b^2 + c^2 + 2ab + 2ac \\ &+ 2ceD(V, V) + e^2f^2 + 2d^2 \\ &= na^2 + b^2 + c^2 + 2ab + 2ac \\ &+ 2ce(S(V, V) - a - c) + e^2f^2 + 2d \\ &= na^2 + b^2 - c^2 + 2d^2 + 2cS(V, V) + e^2f^2. \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

Now, $e > \frac{s}{f}$ ($res < 0$ or $= 0$) according as $na^2 + b^2 - c^2 + 2d^2 + 2cS(V, V) < 0$ ($res >$ or $= 0$). Hence we can state the following

Theorem 2.2. In a $MS(QE)_n$ ($n > 2$) the associated scalar e is less than or equal to or greater than the ratio which the length of the Ricci tensor S bears to the length of the structure tensor D according as, $na^2 + b^2 - c^2 + 2d^2 + 2cS(V, V) > 0$ ($res = 0$ or < 0).

3. Some geometric properties

Let us suppose that in a $MS(QE)_n$ the generator U is parallel vector field . Then $\nabla_X U = 0 \forall X$. So $R(X, Y)U = 0$ and $S(X, U) = 0 \forall X$

From (1.1), $0 = (a + b)A(X) + dB(X) \forall X$

Putting $X = V$ we obtain $d = 0$. Again putting $X = U$ we obtain $a + b = 0$. Hence we have the following

Theorem 3.1. If the generator U of a $MS(QE)_n$ is a parallel vector vector field then either $d = 0$ or $a + b = 0$.

Theorem 3.2. In a $MS(QE)_n$ QU, V are orthogonal iff $d = 0$.

Proof. $S(U, V) = d$ i.e., $g(QU, V) = d$, which is 0 if and only if $d = 0$. Hence the theorem. \square

Theorem 3.3. In a $MS(QE)_n$ QV, V are orthogonal iff $a + c + eD(V, V) = 0$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} S(V, V) &= a + c + eD(V, V) \text{ i.e.,} \\ g(QV, V) &= a + c + eD(V, V). \\ \text{So } g(QV, V) &= 0, \text{ iff } a + c + eD(V, V) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the theorem. \square

Theorem 3.4. An $MS(QE)_n$ is a $P(GQE)_n$ if either of the vector field is a parallel vector field.

Proof. If the vector field U is a parallel vector field, then we have $\nabla_X U = 0 \forall X$. So $R(X, Y)U = 0$ and eventually $S(X, U) = 0 \forall X$

From (1.1), $0 = (a + b)A(X) + dB(X), \forall X$

Putting $X = V$ we obtain $d = 0$, i.e the manifold is $P(GQE)_n$ [6].

Again if the vector field V is parallel then $R(X, Y)V = 0$, consequently $S(Y, V) = 0$ i.e $aB(Y) + cB(Y) + d[A(Y)] + eD(Y, V) = 0$. Putting $Y = U$ we get $d = 0$. i.e the manifold is $P(GQE)_n$. Hence the theorem. \square



Theorem 3.5. In a $MS(QE)_n$ 0 is an eigen value of L in the direction of the eigen vector U , i.e $LU = 0$, where L is the symmetric endomorphism of the tangent space at any point of the manifold corresponding to the structure tensor D .

Proof. We have $g(LX, Y) = D(X, Y) \forall X, Y \in \chi(M)$. Putting $X = U$, we get, $g(LU, Y) = D(U, Y) = 0 \forall Y$. So $LU = 0$ i.e 0 is an eigen value of L in the direction of U . \square

We now consider a compact orientable $MS(QE)_n$ ($n > 2$) without boundary. From (1.1) we get,

$$\begin{aligned} S(X, X) &= ag(X, X) & (3.1) \\ &+ bA(X)A(X) + cB(X)B(X) \\ &+ d[A(X)B(X) + A(X)B(X)] + eD(X, X). \end{aligned}$$

Let us assume that θ_u be the angel between U and any vector X , θ_v be the angel between V and any vector field X then

$$\cos \theta_u = \frac{g(X, U)}{g(X, X)^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \cos \theta_v = \frac{g(X, V)}{g(X, X)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \quad (3.2)$$

Further we assume that $\theta_u \geq \theta_v$, then we have $\cos \theta_u \geq \cos \theta_v$, i.e., $g(X, U) \geq g(X, V)$. Therefore,

$$S(X, X) \geq [a + b + c + 2d][g(X, U)]^2,$$

when $a, b, c, d, e, D(X, X)$ are positive.

Definition 3.6. A vector field H in a Riemannian manifold (M^n, g) ($n > 2$) is said to be harmonic [8] if $d\tau = 0$ and $\delta\tau = 0$ where $\tau(X) = g(X, H) \forall X$.

It is known from a compact orientable Riemannian manifold the following relations holds $\int_M [S(X, X) - \frac{1}{2}(d\tau)^2 + (\nabla X)^2 - (\delta\tau)^2] dv = 0$, for any vector field X where dv denotes the volume element of M . Now let $X \in \chi(M)$ be harmonic vector field then $\int_M [S(X, X) + (\nabla X)^2] dv = 0$ for any X . Hence if each $a, b, c, d, e, D(X, X)$ is positive then $\int_M [(a + b + c + 2d)g(X, U)^2 + (\nabla X)^2] dv \geq 0$, by virtue of $a + b + c + 2d > 0$, $g(X, U) = 0$ and $\nabla X = 0$ for any vector field X . This follows that X is orthogonal to U and X is a parallel vector field. Similarly if $\theta_v \geq \theta_u$, assuming as before it can be shown $g(X, V) = 0$ and $\nabla X = 0$ for any vector field X . Thus we have the following theorem

Theorem 3.7. In a compact orientable $MS(QE)_n$ ($n > 2$) without boundary any harmonic vector field X is parallel and orthogonal to one of the generators of the manifold which makes greatest angle with vector X provided $a, b, c, d, e, D(X, X)$ are positive scalars.

Let us now investigate whether a $MS(QE)_n$ ($n > 2$) is projectively flat or not.

Theorem 3.8. A $MS(QE)_n$ ($n > 2$) can not be projectively flat.

Proof. Let if possible a $MS(QE)_n$ ($n > 2$) is projectively flat. Then the Riemannian curvature tensor is given by

$$R(X, Y, Z, W) = \frac{1}{n-1} [S(Y, Z)g(X, W) - S(X, Z)g(Y, W)].$$

Contracting Y and Z and putting $W = U$ we get

$$S(X, U) = \frac{1}{n-1} [rA(X) - S(X, U)].$$

Or,

$$S(X, U) = \frac{r}{n} A(X).$$

Putting $X = V$, in above we get $d = 0$, a contradiction. Hence the theorem. \square

4. Conformally flat and Conformally conservative $MS(QE)_n$

Theorem 4.1. If the main generator of a conformally flat $MS(QE)_n$ is parallel vector field then it is a $(GQE)_n$

Proof. We recall that in a $MS(QE)_n$ the scalar curvature is given by $r = an + b + c$. Now if the manifold is conformally flat then its Riemannian curvature tensor is given by

$$\begin{aligned} R[X, Y, Z, W] &= \frac{1}{n-2} [S(Y, Z)g(X, W) & (4.1) \\ &- S(X, Z)g(Y, W) + S(X, W)g(Y, Z) \\ &- S(Y, W)g(X, Z)] - \frac{r}{(n-1)(n-2)} [g(Y, Z)g(X, W) \\ &- g(X, Z)g(Y, W)]. \end{aligned}$$

Now using definition of $MS(QE)_n$ and using $r = an + b + c$ and putting $Z = U$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} R(X, Y)U &= \frac{a+b}{n-1} [A(Y)X - A(X)Y] & (4.2) \\ &- \frac{c}{n-2} [VB(Y) + \frac{1}{(n-1)} [UA(Y) - Y] \\ &+ \frac{d}{n-2} [B(Y)X - B(X)Y + B(X)A(Y)U - B(Y)A(X)U] \\ &+ \frac{e}{n-2} [A(Y)LX - A(X)LX], \end{aligned}$$

where $g(LX, Y) = D(X, Y)$. If U is a parallel vector field then $R(X, Y)U = 0$, $a + b = d = 0$, so the last equation becomes

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{c}{n-2} [VB(Y) + \frac{1}{(n-1)} [UA(Y) - Y] & (4.3) \\ &+ \frac{e}{n-2} [A(Y)LX - A(X)LX]. \end{aligned}$$

Putting $Y = U$ we get

$$e[LX - A(X)LX] = 0. \quad (4.4)$$

But $LU = 0$, so we have $eLX = 0 \forall X$, Hence $e = 0$. So, if U is parallel vector field in a conformally flat $MS(QE)_n$, then $a + b = d = e = 0$, i.e the manifold reduces to $(GQE)_n$. \square



Theorem 4.2. A necessary condition for a $MS(QE)_n$, to be conformally conservative is $(d((n - 2)a + (2n - 3)b + c)(V) = 2(n - 1)(dd)(U)$

Proof. A Riemannian manifold is said to be conformally conservative if the the divergence of its conformal curvature tensor is zero.i,e

$$\begin{aligned} & (\nabla_X S)(Y, Z) - (\nabla_Z S)(Y, X) \tag{4.5} \\ &= \frac{1}{2(n - 1)} [dr(X)g(Y, Z) - (dr)(Z)g(X, Y)]. \end{aligned}$$

Now putting $X = Y = U$ and $Z = V$,in above we get,

$$\begin{aligned} & (\nabla_U S)(U, V) - (\nabla_V S)(U, U) \tag{4.6} \\ &= \frac{1}{2(n - 1)} [dr(U)g(U, V) - (dr)(V)g(U, U)]. \end{aligned}$$

Now using the relations $S(U, V) = d$, $S(U, U) = a + b$ and $r = an + b + c$ in above we get

$$\begin{aligned} & (dd)(U) - d(a + b)(V) \\ &= \frac{1}{2(n - 1)} [n(da)(V) + (db)(V) + (dc)(V)]. \end{aligned}$$

On simplification

$$\begin{aligned} & (dd)(U) - d(a + b)(V) \tag{4.7} \\ &= \frac{1}{2(n - 1)} [n(da)(V) + (db)(V) + (dc)(V)], \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} & 2(n - 1)(dd)(U) - 2(n - 1)d(a + b)(V) \\ &= -[n(da)(V) + (db)(V) + (dc)(V)], \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} & 2(n - 1)(dd)(U) \tag{4.8} \\ &= (d((n - 2)a + (2n - 3)b + c)(V). \end{aligned}$$

Hence the theorem □

5. Ricci-pseudosymmetric $MS(QE)_n$

An n-dimensional Riemannian manifold (M^n, g) is called Ricci-pseudosymmetric if ,

$$(R(X, Y) \cdot S)(Z, W) = L_s Q(g, S)(Z, W; X, Y) \tag{5.1}$$

holds on $U_s = \{x \in M : S \neq \frac{r}{n}g, atx\}$ and L_s is a certain function on U_s . Then we have ,

$$\begin{aligned} & S(R(X, Y)Z, W) + S(Z, R(X, Y)W) \tag{5.2} \\ &= L_s [g(Y, Z)S(X, W) - g(X, Z)S(Y, W) \\ &+ g(Y, W)S(Z, X) - g(X, W)S(Y, Z)] \end{aligned}$$

holds.

Theorem 5.1. In a Ricci-pseudosymmetric $MS(QE)_n$ $n \geq 3$ the following results holds.

$$R(V, U, U, V) = L_s, \tag{5.3}$$

$$D(R(V, U)V, V) = 0, \tag{5.4}$$

$$\begin{aligned} L_s &= \frac{D(R(U, V)V, V)}{D(V, V)}, \tag{5.5} \\ &\text{provided } D(V, V) \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We consider Ricci-pseudosymmetric $MS(QE)_n$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & S(R(X, Y)Z, W) + S(Z, R(X, Y)W) \tag{5.6} \\ &= L_s [g(Y, Z)S(X, W) - g(X, Z)S(Y, W) \\ &+ g(Y, W)S(Z, X) - g(X, W)S(Y, Z)], \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} & b[A(R(X, Y)Z)A(W) + A(Z)A(R(X, Y)W)] \tag{5.7} \\ &+ c[B(R(X, Y)Z)B(W) + B(Z)B(R(X, Y)W)] \\ &+ d[A(R(X, Y)Z)B(W) + A(W)B(R(X, Y)Z) \\ &+ A(Z)B(R(X, Y)W) + A(R(X, Y)W)B(Z)] \\ &+ e[D(R(X, Y)Z, W) + D(Z, R(X, Y)W)] \\ &= L_s [b\{g(Y, Z)A(X)A(W) - g(X, Z)A(Y)A(W) \\ &+ g(Y, W)A(Z)A(X) - g(X, W)A(Y)A(Z)\} \\ &+ c\{g(Y, Z)B(X)B(W) - g(X, Z)B(Y)B(W) \\ &+ g(Y, W)B(Z)B(X) - g(X, W)B(Y)B(Z)\} \\ &+ d\{g(Y, Z)[A(X)B(W) + A(W)B(X)] \\ &- g(X, Z)[A(Y)B(W) + A(W)B(Y)] \\ &+ g(Y, W)[A(Z)B(X) + A(X)B(Z)] \\ &- g(X, W)[A(Y)B(Z) + A(Z)B(Y)]\} \\ &+ e\{g(Y, Z)D(X, W) - g(X, Z)D(Y, W) \\ &+ g(Y, W)D(X, Z) - g(X, W)D(Y, Z)\}]. \end{aligned}$$

Putting $Z = U$ and $W = V$ in (5.7), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & b[R(X, Y, V, U)] \tag{5.8} \\ &- L_s \{A(X)B(Y) - A(Y)B(X)\} \\ &+ c[R(X, Y, U, V) - L_s \{A(Y)B(X) - A(X)B(Y)\}] \\ &+ e[D(R(X, Y)U, V) - L_s \{A(Y)D(X, V) \\ &- A(X)D(Y, V)\}] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Putting $Z = W = U$ in (5.7) we get

$$d[R(X, Y)U, V) - L_s \{A(Y)B(X) - A(X)B(Y)\}] = 0. \tag{5.9}$$

Since, $d \neq 0$ we get

$$R(X, Y)U, V) - L_s \{A(Y)B(X) - A(X)B(Y)\} = 0. \tag{5.10}$$



Similarly, if we take $Z = W = V$ in (5.7) we get,

$$\begin{aligned} & d[R(X, Y)V, V] - L_s\{A(Y)B(X) \\ & - A(X)B(Y)\} - e[D(R(X, Y)V, V) \\ & - L_s\{B(Y)D(X, V) - B(X)D(Y, V)\}] = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

Using (5.9) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & e[D(R(X, Y)V, V) \\ & - L_s\{B(Y)D(X, V) - B(X)D(Y, V)\}] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $e \neq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & D(R(X, Y)V, V) \\ & - L_s\{B(Y)D(X, V) - B(X)D(Y, V)\} = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{5.12}$$

Putting $X = V, Y = U$ in (5.10) we get (5.3). Again putting $X = V, Y = U$ in (5.12) we get (5.4). Using (5.12) in (5.11) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & D(R(X, Y)U, V) \\ & - L_s\{A(Y)D(X, V) - A(X)D(Y, V)\} = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{5.13}$$

Putting $X = U, Y = V$ in above we get (5.5). □

6. General relativistic viscous fluid spacetime admitting heat flux [6]

Let (M^n, g) be a connected semi-Riemannian viscous fluid spacetime admitting heat flux and satisfying Einstein's equation with a cosmological constant λ . Also let U be the unit timelike velocity vector field, V be the unit heat flux vector and D be the anisotropic pressure tensor of the fluid. Then we have

$$g(U, U) = -1, g(V, V) = 1, g(U, V) = 0 \tag{6.1}$$

$$D(X, Y) = D(Y, X), Tr.D = 0, D(X, U) = 0 \forall X. \tag{6.2}$$

Let

$$g(X, U) = A(X), g(X, V) = B(X) \forall X. \tag{6.3}$$

Also let T be the energy-momentum tensor of type (0,2) describing the matter distribution of such fluid and it be of the following form

$$\begin{aligned} T(X, Y) &= pg(X, Y) \\ &+ (\sigma + p)A(X)A(Y) + B(X)B(Y) \\ &+ [A(X)B(Y) + A(Y)B(X)] + D(X, Y), \end{aligned} \tag{6.4}$$

where σ, p are the energy density and isotropic pressure respectively. General relativity flows from Einstein equation given by

$$S(X, Y) = -\frac{r}{2}g(X, Y) + \lambda g(X, Y) = kT(X, Y), \tag{6.5}$$

for all vector fields X, Y . S is the Ricci tensor of type of type (0,2) and r is the scalar curvature, λ is a cosmological constant. Thus by virtue of (6.4) above equation can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} S(X, Y) &= -\frac{r}{2}g(X, Y) + \lambda g(X, Y) \\ &= k[pg(X, Y) + (\sigma + p)A(X)A(Y) + B(X)B(Y) \\ &+ \{A(X)B(Y) + A(Y)B(X)\} + D(X, Y)]. \end{aligned} \tag{6.6}$$

Putting this in (1.1) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{2kp - 2\lambda + 2a + b + c}{2}g(X, Y) \\ &= [b - k(\sigma + p)]A(X)A(Y) + (c - k)B(X)B(Y) \\ &+ (d - k)[A(X)B(Y) + A(Y)B(X)] + (e - k)D(X, Y). \end{aligned} \tag{6.7}$$

Putting $X = U, Y = V$ in above we get $d = k$

Putting $X = U, Y = U$ we get

$$\sigma = \frac{2a + 3b + c - 2\lambda}{2k}, \tag{6.8}$$

or,

$$\sigma = \frac{2a + 3b + c - 2\lambda}{2d}. \tag{6.9}$$

Again contracting (6.6) we get

$$r - 2r + 4\lambda = k[3p - \sigma + 1], \tag{6.10}$$

or,

$$p = \frac{6\lambda - 6a + b - c - 2d}{6d}. \tag{6.11}$$

Hence we can state the following

Theorem 6.1. *If a viscous fluid $MS(QE)_4$ spacetime admitting heat flux obeys Einstein equation with cosmological constant then none of the energy density and isotropic pressure of the fluid can be a constant.*

Now if the associated scalars a, b, c, d are constants with $d > 0$, then from (6.8) and (6.9) σ, p are constants. Since $\sigma > 0, p > 0$ we have from (6.8) and (6.9) we get $\lambda < \frac{2a + 3b + c}{2}$ and $\lambda > \frac{6a - b + c - 2d}{6}$. And hence

$$\frac{6a - b + c - 2d}{6} < \lambda < \frac{2a + 3b + c}{2}. \tag{6.12}$$

Thus we have the following

Theorem 6.2. *If a viscous fluid $MS(QE)_4$ spacetime admitting heat flux obeys Einstein equation with cosmological constant λ , then λ obeys the above inequality.*



References

- [1] A. Bhattacharaya and T. De, On mixed super quasi-Einstein manifolds, *Diff. Geom-Dyn, Syst.*, 9(2007), 40–46.
- [2] A. Bhattacharya, M. Tarafdar and D. Debnath, On Mixed Super Quasi Einstein Manifold, *Differential Geometry Dynamical System*, 10(2008), 44–57.
- [3] M. C. Chaki, On super quasi-Einstein manifolds, *Publ. Math. Debrecen*, 64(3-4)(2004), 481–485.
- [4] M. C. Chaki and R. K. Maity, On quasi-Einstein manifolds, *Publ. Math. Debrecen*, 57(2000), 297–306.
- [5] B. Pal, A. Bhattacharya and M. Tarafdar, On mixed super quasi-Einstein viscous fluid space time, *Tensor(N.S)*, 74(2013), 164–171.
- [6] A. A. Shaikh and S. K. Jana, On Pseudo Generalized Quasi- Einstein Manifolds, *Tamkang Journal of Mathematics*, 39(1) (2008), 9–24.
- [7] A. A. Shaikh and S. K. Hui, Some classes of generalized quasi Einstein manifolds, *Commun. Korean Math.Soc.*, 24(3) (2009), 415–424.
- [8] K. Yano., *Integral Formulas in Riemannian Geometry*, Marcel Dekker, Newyork 1970.

ISSN(P):2319 – 3786

Malaya Journal of Matematik

ISSN(O):2321 – 5666

