Strong convergence of modified implicit hybrid S-iteration scheme for finite family of nonexpansive and asymptotically generalized $\Phi$-hemicontractive mappings

Austine Efut Ofem

Abstract
In this paper, we consider a modified implicit hybrid S-iteration scheme for finite family of nonexpansive and asymptotically generalized $\Phi$-hemicontractive mappings in the framework of real Banach spaces. We remark that the iteration process of Kang et al. [17] can be obtained as a special case of our iteration process. Our result mainly improves and extends the result of Kang et al. [17] and several other results in the iteration from the class of strongly pseudocontractive mapping to the more general class asymptotically generalized $\Phi$-hemicontractive mappings. A different approach is used to obtain our result and the necessity of applying condition (C3) for the two mappings is weaken to only one mapping.
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1. Introduction
Let $E$ be an arbitrary real Banach space with dual $E^*$. We denote by $J$ the normalized duality mapping from $E$ into $2^{E^*}$ defined by

$$J(x) = \{f^* \in E^*: \langle x, f^* \rangle = \|x\|^2 = \|f^*\|^2\}, \forall x \in E,$$ (1.1)

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the generalized duality pairing. The single-valued-normalized duality mapping is denoted by $j$ and $F(T)$ denotes the set of fixed points of mapping $T$, i.e., $F(T) = \{x \in E: Tx = x\}$.

In the sequel, we give the following definitions which will be useful in this study.

Definition 1.1. Let $K$ be a nonempty subset of real Banach space $E$. A mapping $T: K \rightarrow K$ is said to be:

1. Non expansive if,
$$\|Tx - Ty\| \leq \|x - y\|, \forall x, y \in K; \quad (1.2)$$

2. Strongly pseudocontractive (Kim et al. [20]) if for all $x, y \in K$, there exists a constant $k \in (0, 1)$ and $j(x - y) \in J(x - y)$ satisfying
$$\langle Tx - Ty, j(x - y) \rangle \leq k \|x - y\|^2; \quad (1.3)$$

3. $\phi$-strongly pseudocontractive (Kim et al. [20]) if for all $x, y \in K$, there exists a strictly increasing function $\phi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ with $\phi(0) = 0$ and $j(x - y) \in J(x - y)$ satisfying
$$\langle Tx - Ty, j(x - y) \rangle \leq \|x - y\|^2 - \phi(\|x - y\|)\|x - y\|; \quad (1.4)$$
It has been proved (see [23]) that the class of $\Phi$-strongly pseudocontractive mappings properly contains the class of strongly pseudocontractive mappings. By taking $\Phi(x) = s\phi(x)$, where $\phi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ is a strictly increasing function with $\phi(0) = 0$. However, the converse is not true.

(4) Generalized $\Phi$-pseudocontractive (Albert et al. [1], Chidume and Chidume [4]) if for all $x, y \in K$, there exists a strictly increasing function $\Phi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ with $\Phi(0) = 0$ and $j(x - y) \in J(x - y)$ satisfying

$$\langle Tx - Ty, j(x - y) \rangle \leq \|x - y\|^2 - \Phi(\|x - y\|).$$

The class of generalized $\Phi$-pseudocontractive mappings is also called uniformly pseudocontractive mappings (see [4]). Clearly, the class of generalized $\Phi$-pseudocontractive mappings properly contains the class of $\Phi$-pseudocontractive mappings.

(5) Generalized $\Phi$-hemicontractive if $F(T) = \{x \in K : Tx = x\} \neq \emptyset$, and there exists a strictly increasing function $\Phi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ with $\Phi(0) = 0$, such that for all $x \in K, p \in F(T)$, there exists $j(x - p) \in J(x - p)$ such that the following inequality holds:

$$\langle Tx - p, j(x - p) \rangle \leq \|x - p\|^2 - \Phi(\|x - p\|).$$

Clearly, the class of generalized $\Phi$-hemicontractive mappings includes the class of generalized $\Phi$-pseudocontractive mappings in which the fixed points set $F(T)$ is nonempty.

(6) Asymptotically generalized $\Phi$-pseudocontractive (Kim et al. [20]) with sequence $\{h_n\} \subset [1, \infty)$ and $\lim h_n = 1$, if for each $x, y \in K$, there exist a strictly increasing function $\Phi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ satisfying

$$\langle T^n x - T^n y, j(x - y) \rangle \leq h_n \|x - y\|^2 - \Phi(\|x - y\|).$$

The class of asymptotically generalized $\Phi$-pseudocontractive mappings is a generalization of the class of strongly pseudocontractive maps and the class of $\Phi$-strongly pseudocontractive maps. The class of asymptotically generalized $\Phi$-pseudocontractive mappings was introduced by Kim et al. [20] in 2009.

(7) asymptotically generalized $\Phi$–hemicontractive with sequence $\{h_n\} \subset [1, \infty)$ and $\lim h_n = 1$ if there exist a strictly increasing function $\Phi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ with $\Phi(0) = 0$, such that for each $x \in K, p \in F(T)$, there exists $j(x - p) \in J(x - p)$ such that the following inequality holds:

$$\langle T^n x - p, j(x - p) \rangle \leq h_n \|x_n - p\|^2 - \Phi(\|x - p\|).$$

Clearly, every asymptotically generalized $\Phi$–pseudocontractive mapping with a nonempty fixed point set is an asymptotically generalized $\Phi$–hemicontractive mapping. It follows that the class of asymptotically generalized $\Phi$–hemicontractive mapping is most general of all the class of mappings mentioned above.

On the other hand, the class of asymptotically generalized $\Phi$-hemicontractive has been studied by several Authors (see for example, [3–5, 13, 14, 19, 22, 28, 32]).

The Mann iteration process is defined by the sequence $\{x_n\}$,

$$\begin{align*}
x_1 & \in K, \\
x_{n+1} & = (1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_n T x_n, \quad \forall n \geq 1, \tag{1.9}
\end{align*}$$

where $\{\alpha_n\}$ is a sequence in $[0,1]$.

Further, the Ishikawa iteration process is defined by the sequence $\{x_n\}$

$$\begin{align*}
x_1 & \in K, \\
x_{n+1} & = (1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_n T y_n, \quad \forall n \geq 1, \tag{1.10}
y_n & = (1 - \beta_n)x_n + \beta_n T x_n
\end{align*}$$

where $\{\alpha_n\}$ and $\{\beta_n\}$ are sequences in $[0,1]$. They showed that their iteration process is independent of Mann and Ishikawa and converges faster than both for contractions.

In 2007, Argawal et al. [2] introduced the following iteration process:

$$\begin{align*}
x_1 & \in K, \\
x_{n+1} & = (1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_n T y_n, \quad \forall n \geq 1, \tag{1.11}
y_n & = (1 - \beta_n)x_n + \beta_n T x_n
\end{align*}$$

where $\{\alpha_n\}$ and $\{\beta_n\}$ are sequences in $[0,1]$. They showed that their iteration process is independent of Mann and Ishikawa and converges faster than both for contractions.

In 2007, Sahu et al. [24], [25] introduced the following S-iteration process:

$$\begin{align*}
x_1 & \in K, \\
x_{n+1} & = T y_n, \\
y_n & = (1 - \beta_n)x_n + \beta_n T x_n \tag{1.12}
\end{align*}$$

where $\{\beta_n\}$ is the sequence in $[0,1]$.

In 1991, Schu [29] considered the modified Mann iteration process which is a generalization of the Mann iteration process as follows:

$$\begin{align*}
x_1 & \in K, \\
x_{n+1} & = (1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_n T^n x_n, \quad \forall n \geq 1, \tag{1.13}
\end{align*}$$

where $\{\alpha_n\}$ is a sequence in $[0,1]$.

In 1994, Tan and Xu [30] studied the modified Ishikawa iteration process which is a generalization of the Ishikawa iteration process as follows:

$$\begin{align*}
x_1 & \in K, \\
x_{n+1} & = (1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_n T^n y_n, \quad \forall n \geq 1, \tag{1.14}
y_n & = (1 - \beta_n)x_n + \beta_n T^n x_n
\end{align*}$$

where $\{\alpha_n\}$ and $\{\beta_n\}$ are sequences in $[0,1]$.

Again, in 2007 Argawal et al. [2] introduced the modified
Argawal iteration process as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
    x_1 & \in K, \\
    x_{n+1} & = (1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_n T^n y_n, \quad \forall n \geq 1, \\
    y_n & = (1 - \beta_n)x_n + \beta_n T^n x_n
\end{align*}
\] (1.15)

The above processes deal with one mapping only. The case of two mappings in iterative processes has also remained under study since Das and Debata [7] gave and studied a two mappings process. Also see, for example, [16] and [27]. The problem of approximating common fixed points of finitely many mappings plays an important role in applied mathematicians, especially in the theory of evolution equations and the minimization problems; see [8], [9], [10], [26], for example.

The following Ishikawa-type iteration process for two mappings has also been studied by many authors including [7], [16], [27], [28].

\[
\begin{align*}
    x_1 & \in K, \\
    x_{n+1} & = (1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_n T^n y_n, \quad \forall n \geq 1, \\
    y_n & = (1 - \beta_n)x_n + \beta_n S^n x_n
\end{align*}
\] (1.16)

where \{\alpha_n\} and \{\beta_n\} are sequences in \([0,1]\).

In 2009, Khan et al. [18] modified the Argawal iteration process (1.15) to the case of two mappings as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
    x_1 & \in K, \\
    x_{n+1} & = (1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_n S^n y_n, \quad \forall n \geq 1, \\
    y_n & = (1 - \beta_n)x_n + \beta_n S^n x_n
\end{align*}
\] (1.17)

\{\alpha_n\} and \{\beta_n\} are two sequences in \([0,1]\).

In 2013, Kang et al. [15] considered the following iteration process:

\[
\begin{align*}
    x_1 & \in K, \\
    x_{n+1} & = S y_n, \quad \forall n \geq 1, \\
    y_n & = (1 - \beta_n)x_n + \beta_n T x_n
\end{align*}
\] (1.18)

where \{\beta_n\} is the sequence in \([0,1]\). They proved the following results.

**Theorem 1.2** (see [15]). Let \(K\) be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Banach space \(E\), let \(S : K \to K\) be a nonexpansive mapping, and let \(T : K \to K\) be a Lipschitz strongly pseudocontractive mapping such that \(p \in F(S) \cap F(T) = \{x \in K : Sx = Tx = x\}\) and

\[
\|x - Sy\| \leq \|x - Sx\|, \quad \|x - Ty\| \leq \|Tx - Ty\|
\] (1.19)

for all \(x,y \in K\). Let \{\beta_n\} be a sequence in \([0,1]\) satisfying

\begin{enumerate}
    \item \(n \sum \beta_n = \infty\); \\
    \item \(\lim_{n \to \infty} \beta_n = 0\).
\end{enumerate}

For arbitrary \(x_1 \in K\), the iteration process defined by (1.18) converges strongly to a fixed point \(p\) of \(S\) and \(T\).

In 2016, Gopinath et al. [11] considered the following modified S-iteration process:

\[
\begin{align*}
    x_1 & \in K, \\
    x_{n+1} & = Sy_n, \quad \forall n \geq 1, \\
    y_n & = (1 - \beta_n)x_n + \beta_n T^n x_n
\end{align*}
\] (1.20)

where \{\beta\} is the sequence in \([0,1]\). They proved the following result.

**Theorem 1.3** (see [11]). Let \(K\) be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Banach space \(E\), let \(S : K \to K\) be a nonexpansive mapping, and let \(T : K \to K\) be a uniform \(L\)-Lipschitzian, asymptotically demicontractive mapping with sequence \{\beta_n\} \in \([0,1]\) satisfying

\[
\|x - Sy\| \leq \|x - Sx\|, \quad \|x - Ty\| \leq \|Tx - Ty\|
\] (1.21)

\[
\|x - Ty\| \leq \|Tx - Ty\|, \quad x,y \in K.
\] (1.22)

Assume that \(F(S) \cap F(T) = \{x \in K : Sx = Tx = x\} \neq \emptyset\). Let \(p \in F(S) \cap F(T)\) and \{\beta_n\} be sequences in \([0,1]\) satisfying

\begin{enumerate}
    \item \(\sum \beta_n = \infty\); \\
    \item \(\lim_{n \to \infty} \beta_n = 0\).
\end{enumerate}

For arbitrary \(x_1 \in K\), the iteration process defined by (1.20) converges strongly to a fixed point \(p\) of \(S\) and \(T\).

In 2014, Khan [17] proved the following result:

**Theorem 1.4** (see [17]). Let \(K\) be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Banach space \(E\), let \(S : K \to K\) be a nonexpansive mapping, and let \(T : K \to K\) be a Lipschitz strongly pseudocontractive mapping such that \(p \in F(S) \cap F(T) = \{x \in K : Sx = Tx = x\}\) and

\[
\|x - Sy\| \leq \|x - Sx\|, \quad \|x - Ty\| \leq \|Tx - Ty\|
\] (1.23)

for all \(x,y \in K\). Let \{\beta_n\} be a sequence in \([0,1]\) satisfying

\begin{enumerate}
    \item \(\sum \beta_n = \infty\); \\
    \item \(\lim_{n \to \infty} \beta_n = 0\).
\end{enumerate}

For arbitrary \(x_0 \in K\), the iteration process defined by

\[
\begin{align*}
    x_n & = Sy_n, \\
    y_n & = (1 - \beta_n)x_{n-1} + \beta_n T x_n
\end{align*}
\] (1.24)

converges strongly to a fixed point \(p\) of \(S\) and \(T\).

Recently, Gopinath et al. [12] proved the following results:

**Theorem 1.5** (see [12]). Let \(K\) be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Banach space \(E\), let \(S : K \to K\) be a
nonexpansive mapping, and let $T : K \to K$ be a uniform $L$-Lipschitzian, asymptotically demicontractive mappin with sequence \( \{a_n\} \in (0,1) \), $\lim_{n \to \infty} a_n = 1$ such that

\[
\|x - Sy\| \leq \|Sx - Sy\|, \quad x, y \in K \tag{1.25}
\]

\[
\|x - Ty\| \leq \|Tx - Ty\|, \quad x, y \in K. \tag{1.26}
\]

Assume that $F(S) \cap F(T) = \{x \in K : Sx = Tx = x\} \neq \emptyset$. Let $p \in F(S) \cap F(T)$ and $\{\beta_n\}$ be sequences in $[0,1]$ satisfying

\[
(i) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n = \infty;
\]

\[
(ii) \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} \beta_n = 0.
\]

For arbitrary $x_0 \in K$, the iteration process defined by

\[
\begin{align*}
x_n &= Sy_n, \\
y_n &= (1 - \beta_n)x_{n-1} + \beta_nT^n_x x_n
\end{align*}
\tag{1.27}
\]

converges strongly to a fixed point $p$ of $S$ and $T$.

In [15], Kang et al. introduced the following condition.

**Remark 1.6.** Let $S, T : K \to K$ be two mappings. The mappings $S$ and $T$ are said to satisfy condition (C3) if

\[
\|x - Sy\| \leq \|Sx - Sy\|, \quad \|x - Ty\| \leq \|Tx - Ty\| \tag{1.28}
\]

for all $x, y \in K$.

Inspired and motivated by the above results, we modify (1.20) for finite families of nonexpansive and asymptotically generalized $\Phi$-hemicontractive mappings in Banach spaces. The result in this paper can be view as generalization and extension of the corresponding results of Kang et al. [15], Gopinath et al. [11] and several others in the literature.

**Definition 1.7.** Let $\{S_i\}_{i=1}^{N} : K \to K$ be finite family of nonexpansive mappings and $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^{N} : K \to K$ be finite family of asymptotically generalized $\Phi$-hemicontractive mappings. Define the sequence $\{x_n\}$ as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
x_0 &\in K, \\
x_n &= S_{i(n)}y_n, \\
y_n &= (1 - \alpha_n)x_{n-1} + \alpha_n T_{i(n)}^{k(n)}x_n
\end{align*}
\tag{1.29}
\]

where $\{\alpha_n\}$ is a sequence in $[0,1]$ and $n = (k - 1)N + i$, $i = i(n) \in \{1, 2, ..., N\}$, $k = k(n) \geq 1$ is some positive integers and $k(n) \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$.

**Remark 1.8.** If we take $N = 1$, then (1.29) reduces to (1.20). Again, if we take $N = 1$ and $T^n = T$ for all $n \geq 1$, then (1.29) reduces to (1.18).

The purpose of this paper is to study the strong convergence of the new modified implicit hybrid $S$-iteration process (1.29) for the finite families of nonexpansive and asymptotically generalized $\Phi$-hemicontractive mappings in Banach space.

### 2. Preliminaries

In order to prove our main results, we also need the following lemmas.

**Lemma 2.1** ([3]). Let $J : E \to 2^E$ be the normalized duality mapping. Then for any $x, y \in E$, one has

\[
\|x + y\|^2 \leq \|x\|^2 + 2\langle y, j(x + y) \rangle, \forall (x + y) \in J(x + y). \tag{2.1}
\]

**Lemma 2.2** ([31]). Let $\{\rho_n\}$ and $\{\theta_n\}$ be nonnegative sequences satisfying

\[
\rho_{n+1} \leq (1 - \theta_n) \rho_n + \omega_n \tag{2.2}
\]

where $\theta_n \in [0,1]$, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \theta_n = \infty$ and $\omega_n = o(\theta_n)$. Then $\lim_{n \to \infty} \rho_n = 0$.

### 3. Main Results

**Theorem 3.1.** Let $K$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Banach space $E$. Let $\{S_i\}_{i=1}^{N} : K \to K$ be finite family of nonexpansive mappings and let $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^{N} : K \to K$ be finite family of asymptotically generalized $\Phi$-hemicontractive mappings with $\{T_i(K)\}_{i=1}^{N}$ bounded and the sequence $\{h_n\} \in [1,\infty)$, where $\lim_{n \to \infty} h_n = 1$ for each $1 \leq i \leq N$. Furthermore, let $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^{N}$ be uniformly continuous. Assume that

\[
p \in F = \bigcap_{i=1}^{N} F(S_i) \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^{N} F(T_i) = \{x \in K : S_i x = T_i x = x \} \neq \emptyset,
\]

for each $1 \leq i \leq N$ such that for all $x, y \in K$

\[
\|x - Sy\| \leq \|Sx - Sy\|, \text{ for each } 1 \leq i \leq N. \tag{3.1}
\]

Let $h_n = \max \{h_i : 1 \leq i \leq N\}$ and $\{\alpha_n\}$ be a sequence in $[0,1]$ satisfying the following conditions:

\[
(i) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty,
\]

\[
(ii) \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} \alpha_n = 0.
\]

For arbitrary $x_0 \in K$, let $\{x_n\}$ be the sequence iteratively defined by (1.29). Then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to a fixed point of $S$ and $T_i$ for each $1 \leq i \leq N$.

**Proof.** Let $p \in F$ and since $T_i(K)$ bounded, we set

\[
M_1 = \|x_0 - p\| + \sup_{n \geq 1} \|T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_n - p\|, \quad 1 \leq i \leq N.
\]

It is clear that $\|x_0 - p\| \leq M_1$. Let $\|x_{n-1} - p\| \leq M_1$. Next we will prove that $\|x_n - p\| \leq M_1$. From (1.29), we have

\[
\|x_n - p\| = \|S_{i(n)} y_n - p\| \\
= \|S_{i(n)} y_n - S_{i(n)} p\| \\
\leq \|y_n - p\| \\
= \|\alpha_n x_{n-1} + \alpha_n T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_n - p\| \\
= \|\alpha_n (x_{n-1} - p) + \alpha_n T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_n - p\| \\
\leq \alpha_n \|x_{n-1} - p\| + \alpha_n \|T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_n - p\| \\
\leq \alpha_n M_1 + \alpha_n M_1 = M_1.
\]

\[
\|x_n - p\| \leq M_1. \tag{3.2}
\]
This implies that \( \{ \| x_n - p \| \} \) is bounded.

Let
\[
M_2 = \sup_{n \geq 1} \| x_n - p \| + M_1. \tag{3.2}
\]

From (1.29) and condition (ii), we obtain
\[
\| x_{n-1} - y_n \| = \| x_{n-1} - (1 - \alpha_n) x_{n-1} - \alpha_n T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_{n} \| \\
= \alpha_n \| x_{n-1} - T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_{n} \| \\
\leq \alpha_n \| x_{n-1} - p \| + \| T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_{n} - p \| \\
\leq \alpha_n (M_2 + M_1) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \tag{3.3}
\]

which implies that \( \{ \| x_{n-1} - y_n \| \} \) is bounded.

Again, let
\[
M_3 = \sup_{n \geq 1} \| x_{n-1} - y_n \| + M_2.
\]

Since,
\[
\| y_n - p \| = \| y_n - x_n - 1 + x_n - p \| \\
\leq \| y_n - x_n - 1 \| + \| x_n - p \| \\
\leq M_3
\]

therefore, \( \{ \| y_n - p \| \} \) is bounded. Set
\[
M_4 = \sup_{n \geq 1} \| y_n - p \| + \sup_{n \geq 1} \| T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} y_n - p \|.
\]

Denote
\[
M = M_1 + M_2 + M_3 + M_4, \text{ obviously, } M < \infty.
\]

Now from (1.29) for all \( n \geq 1 \), we obtain
\[
\| x_n - p \|^2 = \| S_{i(n)} y_n - p \|^2 = \| S_{i(n)} y_n - S_{i(n)} p \|^2 \\
\leq \| y_n - p \|^2, \tag{3.4}
\]

thus by Lemma 2.1 and (1.8), we get
\[
\| y_n - p \|^2 = \| (1 - \alpha_n) x_{n-1} + \alpha_n T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_{n-1} - p \|^2 \\
= \| (1 - \alpha_n) (x_{n-1} - p) + \alpha_n (T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_{n} - p) \|^2 \\
\leq (1 - \alpha_n)^2 \| x_{n-1} - p \|^2 + 2 \alpha_n \| T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_{n} - p \| \cdot j(y_n - p) \\
= (1 - \alpha_n)^2 \| x_{n-1} - p \|^2 + 2 \alpha_n \| T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_{n} - T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} y_n \\
+ T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} y_n - p, j(y_n - p) \| \\
= (1 - \alpha_n)^2 \| x_{n-1} - p \|^2 + 2 \alpha_n \| T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} y_n - T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} y_n, j(y_n - p) \| \\
+ 2 \alpha_n \| T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} y_n - p, j(y_n - p) \| \\
\leq (1 - \alpha_n)^2 \| x_{n-1} - p \|^2 + 2 \alpha_n \| T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} y_n \| - T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} y_n, j(y_n - p) \| \\
- 2 \alpha_n \| h_n \| \| y_n - p \| \Phi(\| y_n - p \|) \\
= (1 - \alpha_n)^2 \| x_{n-1} - p \|^2 + 2 \alpha_n \| h_n \| \| y_n - p \| \Phi(\| y_n - p \|) \\
\leq (1 - \alpha_n)^2 \| x_{n-1} - p \|^2 + 2 \alpha_n \| h_n \| \| y_n - p \| ^2 - \Phi(\| y_n - p \|) \}, \tag{3.5}
\]

where
\[
\delta_m = M \| T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_n - T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} y_n \|, \quad (1 \leq i \leq N).
\]

From (1.29), we have
\[
\| x_n - y_n \| = \| x_n - x_n - 1 + x_n - 1 - y_n \| \\
\leq \| S_{i(n)} y_n - x_n - 1 \| + \| x_n - y_n \| \\
\leq 2 \| x_n - 1 - y_n \| \\
= 2 \alpha_n \| x_n - 1 - y_n \| \\
\leq 2 \alpha_n \| x_n - 1 - p \| + \| T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_n - p \| \\
\leq 2 \alpha_n \| M_2 + M_1 \| \\
\leq 2 \alpha_n M,
\]

thus from (ii), we obtain
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \| x_n - y_n \| = 0. \tag{3.7}
\]

From the uniform continuity of \( T_i \), \( (1 \leq i \leq N) \) leads to
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \| T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_n - T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} y_n \| = 0,
\]

thus, we have
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \delta_m = 0. \tag{3.8}
\]

Also,
\[
\| y_n - p \|^2 = \| (1 - \alpha_n) (x_{n-1} + \alpha_n T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_{n} - p) \|^2 \\
\leq \| (1 - \alpha_n) \| x_{n-1} - p \| ^2 + \| \alpha_n \| T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_{n} - p \| ^2 \\
\| (1 - \alpha_n) \| x_{n-1} - p \| ^2 + \| \alpha_n \| T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_{n} - p \| ^2 \\
\leq \| x_{n-1} - p \|^2 + \{ \| h_n \| \| y_n - p \| \} \cdot \Phi(\| y_n - p \|)
\]

where the first inequality holds by the convexity of \( \| \cdot \| ^2 \). Now substituting (3.8) into (3.6), we obtain
\[
\| y_n - p \|^2 \leq (1 - \alpha_n)^2 \| x_{n-1} - p \|^2 + 2 \alpha_n \delta_m \\
+ 2 \alpha_n \| h_n \| \| y_n - p \| \} \cdot \Phi(\| y_n - p \|)
\]

(3.9)

Hence, from (3.4) and (3.9) we obtain
\[
\| x_n - p \|^2 \leq (1 - 2 \alpha_n)^2 \| x_{n-1} - p \|^2 \\
+ 2 \alpha_n M^2 (\alpha_n + 2 h_n + 2 \alpha_n h_n + \delta_m).
\]
For all \( n \geq 1 \), put
\[
\begin{align*}
\rho_n &= \|x_{n-1} - p\|, \\
\theta_n &= 2\alpha_n, \\
\omega_n &= \alpha_n^2 + 2\alpha_n\delta_n + \delta_n, \\
\end{align*}
\]
then according to Lemma 2.2, we obtain that
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \|x_n - p\| = 0. 
\tag{3.10}
\]
Completing the proof of Theorem 3.1.

**Corollary 3.2.** Let \( K \) be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Banach space \( E \). Let \( S : K \to K \) be a nonexpansive mapping and let \( T : K \to K \) be an asymptotically generalized \( \Phi \)-hemicontractive mappings with \( T(K) \) bounded and the sequence \( \{h_n\} \subset [1, \infty) \), where \( \lim h_n = 1 \). Furthermore, let \( T \) be uniformly continuous. Assume that
\[
p \in F(S) \cap F(T) = \{x \in K : Sx = Tx = x\} \neq \emptyset,
\]
such that for all \( x, y \in K \),
\[
\|x - Sy\| \leq \|Sx - Sy\|. 
\tag{3.11}
\]
Let \( \{\alpha_n\} \) be a sequence in \([0,1]\) satisfying the following conditions:
\[
\begin{align*}
(i) & \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty, \\
(ii) & \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} \alpha_n = 0.
\end{align*}
\]
For arbitrary \( x_1 \in K \), let \( \{x_n\} \) be a sequence iteratively defined by
\[
\begin{cases}
x_0 \in K, \\
x_n = \frac{1}{\alpha_n} x_n - 1 + \alpha_n T x_n
\end{cases}
\]
Then the sequence \( \{x_n\} \) converges strongly at common fixed point \( p \) of \( S \) and \( T \).

**Proof.** Taking \( N = 1 \) and \( T^n = T \) in Theorem 3.1, the conclusion can be obtained immediately.

**Remark 3.3.**
\[(i)\] Corollary 3.2 captures the result of Kang et al. [17]. It follows that the result Kang et al. [17] is a special case of our result. Hence, our result extends and improves the results of Kang et al [17] and many others in the literature.

\[(ii)\] In our result the necessity of applying condition (C3) for the two classes of mappings (nonexpansive mappings and strongly pseudocontractive mappings) to prove strong convergence as considered in [17] and [12] is weaken by applying it only one family of mapping which is the nonexpansive mappings.

The above results are also valid for Lipschitz asymptotically generalized \( \Phi \)-hemicontractive mappings.
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