

**https://doi.org/10.26637/MJM0901/0116**

# **Logarithmic coefficients for starlike and convex functions of complex order defined by subordination**

Neetu Shekhawat<sup>1\*</sup> and Ravi Shanker Dubey <sup>2</sup>

## **Abstract**

The aim of this paper is to find the bounds for the logarithmic coefficients γ*n*of the general classes of starlike and convex functions of complex order, $S_d^*(\Psi)$ and  $K_d^*(\Psi)$ respectively. Our results would generalize some of the previous paper like [1] E. A. Adegani et al., [3] Ali et al.,etc.

### **Keywords**

Starlike function and convex function of Complex order; subordination; logarithmic coefficients.

**AMS Subject Classification** 30C45.

1,2*Department of Mathematics, AMITY School of Applied Science, AMITY University Rajasthan, Jaipur-302002 , India.* \***Corresponding author**: 1 ravimath83@gmail.com; <sup>2</sup> neetushekhawat1723@gmail.com **Article History**: Received **09** January **2021**; Accepted **26** February **2021** c 2021 MJM.

#### **Contents**

**1 [Introduction](#page-0-0) . 665 2 [Main Results](#page-2-0) . 667 [References](#page-4-0) . 669**

# **1. Introduction**

<span id="page-0-0"></span>Suppose  $\mathscr A$  be the class containing functions which are of the form:

$$
f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} t_n z^n
$$
\n(1.1)

and are also analytic in the unit disk  $f(z) = \{z : |z| < 1\}.$ 

Furthermore we assumes to be the subclass of  $\mathscr A$  which consists of all univalent functions in ∆,then the logarithmic coefficients γ*n*of *f S*, satisfies:

<span id="page-0-1"></span>
$$
\log\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right) = 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n(f) z^n, z \in \Delta \tag{1.2}
$$

 $\gamma_n$  (f)can be written as  $\gamma_n$ . In the history of univalent function, these logarithmic coefficients play a significant role in various estimates. [2] Kayumov solved Brennan's conjecture for conformal mappings using these logarithmic coefficients. Equation [\(1.2\)](#page-0-1) can be written as

$$
2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n z^n = [t_2 z + t_3 z^2 + t_4 z^3 + \dots] - \frac{1}{2} [t_2 z + t_3 z^2 + t_4 z^3 \dots]^2
$$
  
+  $\frac{1}{3} [t_2 z + t_3 z^2 + t_4 z^3 + \dots]^3 + \dots$ 

<span id="page-0-2"></span>Equating the coefficients of  $z^n$  for  $n = 1, 2, 3$ , on both sides of the above equation ,we get:

$$
\begin{cases}\n2\gamma_1 = t_2 \\
2\gamma_2 = t_3 - \frac{1}{2}t_2^2 \\
2\gamma_3 = t_4 - t_2t_3 + \frac{1}{3}t_2^3\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(1.3)

Definition 1.1 Starlike function of complex order *d*: For the function  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$  to be starlike of complex order  $d$  ( $d \in$  $C \setminus \{0\}$ ),it must follow the condition:  $\frac{f(z)}{z} \neq 0$  ( $z \in \triangle$ )and

$$
Re\left\{1+\frac{1}{d}\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}-1\right)\right\}>0
$$

we denote this class by  $S_o^*(d)$ .

Definition 1.2 Convex function of complex order d: For the function  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$  to be convex of complex order  $d (d \in$  $C \setminus \{0\}$ , it must follow the conditions given below:  $f'(z) \neq 0$  and

$$
z \neq 0 \text{ and}
$$
  
Re  $\left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{d} \left( \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) \right\} > 0, (z \triangle)$ 

We denote this class by  $K_o(d)$ .

A function  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$  is close-to-convex of complex order d*d* (*d* ∈ *C*\{0}) if there exists a function  $g(z) \in K_o(d)$  (*d* ∈  $C \setminus \{0\}$ )which satisfy the following condition :-

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\frac{1}{d}\left(\frac{f'(z)}{g'(z)}-1\right)\right\}>0, (z\in\Delta)
$$

We denote this class by  $C<sub>o</sub>(d)$ .

Definition 1.3 Subordination: If *f* and *g* are two functions analytic in  $\Delta$ , then the function  $f$  is subordinate to  $g$  in

 $\Delta$ *,ie*.  $f(z) \prec g(z)$ , if there exists a Schwarz function  $\omega$ , analytic in  $\Delta$  with  $\omega(0) = 0$  and  $|\omega(z)| < 1$  such tha  $f(z) =$  $g(\omega(z))$  ( $z\mathscr{E}\triangle$ ). articularly, if the function *g* is univalent in∆, then  $f \prec g$  if the following conditions hold  $f(0) = g(0)$  and  $f(\triangle) \subseteq g(\triangle)$ 

Nasr and Aouf [4] introduced and studied the classes $S_0^*(b)$  *and*  $K_0(b)$ . Ma and Minda [5] introduced and studied the class *S* ∗ (φ) which consists of functions*f* ∈ *S*satisfying the following conditions

$$
\frac{zf^{\prime}\left( z\right) }{f\left( z\right) }\prec\phi\left( z\right) ,\left( z\Delta\right) .
$$

In this paper we define a more general class of starlike function and convex function of complex order following Ma and Minda and find bounds for logarithmic coefficients for this class.

**Definitions 1.4 :** Let  $S_d^*(\Psi)$  be a class consisting of all analytic function  $f \mathscr{A}$  where  $d(C / \{0\})$  and  $\Psi(z)$  is any analytic function with positive real part on  $\Delta$  satisfying  $\Psi(0) = 1$ ,  $\Psi'(0) > 0$  and maps  $\Delta$  ontoa region starlikewith respect to 1 and symmetric with respect to the real axis. Then  $S_d^*(\Psi)$ consists of all analytic functions  $f \mathscr{A}$  satisfying

$$
1 + \frac{1}{d} \left( \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right) \prec \Psi(z)
$$
 (1.4)

The class  $K_d(\Psi)$  consists of the functions  $f \mathscr{A}$  which satisfies the following condition:

$$
1 + \frac{1}{d} \left( \frac{zf^{n}(z)}{f'(z)} \right) \prec \Psi(z)
$$
 (1.5)

Furthermore, we let  $S^*(M, N, d)$  and  $K(M, N, d)$   $(d \neq 0$ , complex) denote the class  $S_d^*(\Psi)$  and  $K_d(\Psi)$ respectively, where

$$
\Psi(z) = \frac{1 + Mz}{1 + Nz}, (-1 \le N < M \le 1).
$$

The Class  $S^*(M, N, d)$ , and therefore the class  $S_d^*(\Psi)$ , specialize to many well known classes of univalent functions for suitable choice of M,N and d.

Recently many researchers have worked on the similar problems of logarithmic coefficients, such as the function $k(z)$  =  $z(1 - e^{i\theta})^{-2}$  has logarithmic coefficients $\gamma_n = \frac{e^{i\theta n}}{n}$  $\frac{5n}{n}, n \ge 1$  for every  $\theta$ . In [6] (Theorem 4), it has been proved that the logarithmic coefficients γ*n*of every function *f* ε*S* satisfy:

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\gamma_n|^2 \leq \frac{\pi^2}{6},
$$

and the equality is attained for the Koebefunction. Ali et al. [3] and P. Kumar et al. [7] in 2018 found the bounds for logarithmic coefficient γ*n*for definite classes of close-to-convex functions. In 2019, E.A. Adegani, NakEun Cho and Mostafa Jafari [1] obtained bounds for logarithmic coefficients for certain subclasses of starlike and convex functions defined by

subordination. But the problem for  $n \geq 3$ , for the logarithmic coefficients of univalent function is still a matter of concern.

On the basis of the results obtained in the previous paper, we have tried to obtain the bounds for the logarithmic coefficients  $\gamma_n$  of the general classes  $S_d^*(\Psi)$  and  $K_d(\Psi)$  in this paper.

The lemmas will be using in our proofs are as follows:

**Lemma 1.** [8]. Let *w* be a Schwarz function such that  $w(z) =$  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} w_n z^n$ , then

$$
|w_1| \le 1, |w_n| \le 1 - |w_1|^2, n = 2, 3, ...
$$

**Lemma 2.** [9] Suppose  $\psi, \phi \in \mathcal{A}$  be convex in  $\Delta$ , such that  $f(z) \prec \psi(z)$  and  $g(z) \prec (z)$ , then  $f(z) * g(z) \prec \psi(z) * g(z)$  $\varphi(z)$ , where  $f, g \mathscr{A}$  and " \* " represents convolution.

**Lemma 3.** [6,10]Suppose  $l(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} l_n z^n$  and  $k(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k_n z^n$  be analytic in  $\Delta$ , and assume  $l \prec k$  where  $k$  is univalent in $\triangle$ . Then  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}$  $\sum_{m=1}^{n} |l_m|^2 \leq \sum_{m=1}^{n}$  $\sum_{m=1}^{n} |k_m|^2, n = 1, 2, \ldots$ 

**Lemma 4.** [6,10] (Theorom 6.4(i)). Suppose  $j(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} j_n z^n$  and *h*(*z*) =  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n z^n$  be analytic in ∆and assuming *j* ≺ *hwherehis* univalent in  $\triangle$ , then

- $[11$  On condition that*h* is convex;  $|j_n| \leq |h'(0)| = h_1, n =$  $1, 2, \ldots$
- [2] On condition that h is starlike (starlike with respect to 1); $|j_n| \leq n/h$

**Lemma 5.** [11] If v (z) =  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} v_n z^n \in \Omega$ , where Ωdenotes the class of schwarz functions in ∆. Then for any real number *p*<sup>1</sup> and  $p_2$ , the following sharp estimate holds:

$$
|v_3 + p_1v_1v_2 + p_2v_1^3| \le H(p_1;p_2),
$$

where,  $H(p_1, p_2)$ 

$$
H(p_1, p_2) = \begin{cases} 1, & if (p_1, p_2) \in D_1 \cup D_2 \cup \{(2, 1)\}, \\ |p_2|, & if (p_1, p_2) \in \cup_{K=3}^7 D_k \\ \frac{2}{3} (|p_1|+1) \left(\frac{|p_1|+1}{3|p_1|+1+p_2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, if (p_1, p_2) \in D_8 \cup D_9 \\ \frac{p_2}{3} \left(\frac{p_1^2-4}{p_1^2-4p_2}\right) \left(\frac{p_1^2-4}{3(p_2-1)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, if (p_1, p_2) \in D_{10} \cup D_{11} \setminus \{(2, 1)\} \\ \frac{2}{3} (|p_1| - 1) \left(\frac{|p_1| - 1}{3|p_1| - 1 - p_2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, if (p_1, p_2) \in D_{12} \end{cases}
$$

where the sets  $D_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \ldots, 12$  are given by  $D_1 = \{ (p_1, p_2) : |p_1| \leq \frac{1}{2}, |p_2| \leq 1 \},\$  $D_2 = \left\{ (p_1, p_2) : \frac{1}{2} \leq |p_1| \leq 2, \frac{4}{27} \left( (|p_1|+1)^3 \right) \right\}$  $-(|p_1|+1) \leq |p_2| \leq 1$ 

 $D_3 = \left\{ (p_1, p_2) : |p_1| \leq \frac{1}{2}, |p_2| \leq -1 \right\},\$  $D_4 = \left\{ (p_1, p_2) : |p_1| \ge \frac{1}{2}, |p_2| \le -\frac{2}{3} (|p_1| + 1) \right\},\$  $D_5=\{(p_1,p_2): |p_1|\leq 2, |p_2|\geq 1\},\$ 



$$
\begin{array}{l} D_6\text{=}\big\{(p_1,p_2): 2\leq |p_1|\leq 4, |p_2|\geq \frac{1}{12}\left(p_1^2+8\right)\big\},\\ D_7\text{=}\big\{(p_1,p_2): |p_1|\geq 4, |p_2|\geq \frac{2}{3}\left(|p_1|-1\right)\big\},\\ D_8\text{=}\left\{\begin{array}{c} (p_1,p_2): \frac{1}{2}\leq |p_1|\leq 2,\\ -\frac{2}{3}\left(|p_1|+1)\leq p_2\leq \frac{4}{27}\left(|p_1|+1\right)^3-\left(|p_1|+1\right)\right\} \end{array}\right\}\\ D_9\text{=}\big\{(p_1,p_2): |p_1|\geq 2, -\frac{2}{3}\left(|p_1|+1\right)\leq p_2\leq \frac{2|p_1|\left(|p_1+1|\right)}{p_1^2+2|p_1|+4}\big\}\\ D_{10}\text{=}\big\{(p_1,p_2): 2\leq |p_1|\leq 4, \frac{2|p_1|\left(|p_1+1|\right)}{p_1^2+2|p_1|+4}\leq p_2\leq \frac{1}{12}\left(p_1^2+8\right)\big\}\\ D_{11}\text{=}\big\{(p_1,p_2): |p_1|\geq 4, \frac{2|p_1|\left(|p_1+1|\right)}{p_1^2+2|p_1|+4}\leq p_2\leq \frac{2|p_1|\left(|p_1-1|\right)}{p_1^2-2|p_1|+4}\big\},\\ D_{12}\text{=}\big\{(p_1,p_2): |p_1|\geq 4, \frac{2|p_1|\left(|p_1-1|\right)}{p_1^2-2|p_1|+4}\leq p_2\leq \frac{2}{3}\left(|p_1|-1\right)\big\}. \end{array}
$$

# **2. Main Results**

<span id="page-2-0"></span>Here we are assuming  $\Psi(z)$  to be an analytic univalent function in  $\triangle$  which follows the condition;  $\Psi(0) = 1$  and is given by

$$
\Psi(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n z^n
$$
,  $D_1 \neq 0$  (2.1)

**Theorem 1.** Suppose the function  $f \in S_d^*(\psi)$ . Then the logarithmic coefficients follows the conditions

[3] In case that Ψ is convex;

<span id="page-2-1"></span>
$$
|\gamma_n| \le \frac{d|D_1|}{2n}, n \in \mathbb{N},\tag{2.2}
$$

<span id="page-2-2"></span>
$$
\sum_{n=1}^{k} |\gamma_n|^2 \le \frac{d^2}{4} \sum_{n=1}^{k} \frac{|D_n|^2}{n^2}, k \in \mathbb{N}
$$
 (2.3)

<span id="page-2-5"></span>and

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\gamma_n|^2 \le \frac{d^2}{4} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|D_n|^2}{n^2}.
$$
 (2.4)

(ii) In case that  $\Psi(z)$  is starlike with respect to 1;

$$
|\gamma_n| \le \frac{d}{2} |D_1|, n \in \mathbb{N}
$$
 (2.5)

The above inequalities in the cases (i) and (ii)are sharp such that for any  $n \in N$ , there exists function  $f_n$  satisfying:

 $1+\frac{1}{d}\left(\frac{zf'_n(z)}{f_n(z)}-1\right)=\psi(z^n)$  and the function f satisfying: 1+

$$
\frac{1}{d} \left( \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right) = \psi(z), \text{ respectively.}
$$
\nProof: Assume that  $f \in S^*(\Psi)$  Then by

Proof : Assume that  $f \in S_d^*(\Psi)$ . Then by the definition of  $S_d^*(\Psi)$  and using [\(1.2\)](#page-0-1), we deduce that

$$
1 + \frac{1}{d} \left[ z \frac{d}{dz} \left( \log \frac{f(z)}{z} \right) \right] = 1 + \frac{1}{d} \left[ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right] \prec \Psi(z), z \in \triangle
$$

and thus we obtain

$$
\frac{1}{d} \left[ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2n \gamma_n z^n \right] \prec \Psi(z) - 1 := \phi(z), z \in \Delta
$$

Now, firstly to prove inequality [\(2.2\)](#page-2-1), let us suppose that  $Ψ(z)$  is convex in Δ. Then  $φ(z)$  is also convex with  $φ'(0) = D_1$ , so by applying Lemma 4 (i), we obtain

$$
\frac{2n}{d}|\gamma_n|\leq |\phi'(0)|=|D_1|
$$

which gives the result:

$$
|\gamma_n| \leq \frac{d}{2n} |\mathbf{D}_1|, n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

Again, to prove inequality [\(2.3\)](#page-2-2), we define the analytic func- $\text{tion } h(z) = \left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)$  $\left(\frac{z}{z}\right)^{\frac{1}{d}}$ , which satisfy the following :

<span id="page-2-3"></span>
$$
\frac{zh'(z)}{h(z)} = \frac{1}{d} \left( \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right) \prec \phi(z), z \in \triangle \tag{2.6}
$$

Alsowe know that the function (see [12])

$$
E_o(z) = log\left(\frac{1}{1-z}\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n}
$$

belongs to the class K, and forf  $\in \mathcal{A}$ ,

<span id="page-2-4"></span>
$$
f(z)_* E_0(z) = \int_0^z \frac{f(x)}{x} dx
$$
 (2.7)

Then, by Lemma 2 and equation [\(2.6\)](#page-2-3), we get

$$
\frac{zh'(z)}{h(z)} * E_o(z) \prec \phi(z) * E_o(z)
$$

Using [\(2.7\)](#page-2-4), the exceeding equation reduces to

$$
\frac{1}{d}\log\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right) \prec \int\limits_{0}^{z} \frac{\varphi(x)}{x} dx
$$

<span id="page-2-6"></span>Also we know that (see [13]), the function  $\int_{o}^{z} \frac{\phi(x)}{x} dx$ , is convex univalent. Using [\(1.2\)](#page-0-1),the above relation becomes

$$
\frac{1}{d}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}2\gamma_nz^n\prec\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{D_nz^n}{n}
$$

Now by using Lemma 3, the above subordination yields

$$
\frac{4}{d^2} \sum_{n=1}^k |\gamma_n|^2 \leq \sum_{n=1}^k \frac{|D_n|^2}{n^2}
$$

This concludes inequality [\(2.3\)](#page-2-2). Assuming $k \to \infty$ ,

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\gamma_n|^2 \le \frac{d^2}{4} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|D_n|^2}{n^2}
$$

this gives equation [\(2.4\)](#page-2-5).

Lastly assume that  $\Psi(z)$  is starlike with respect to 1 in  $\Delta$ , this implies  $\phi(z)$  is starlike, therefore using lemma 4(ii), we deduce

$$
\frac{2n}{d}|\gamma_n|\leq n|\phi'(o)|=n|D_1|,n\in\mathbb{N}
$$



This gives equation [\(2.5\)](#page-2-6),

To get the sharp bounds, it is sufficient to consider the following :

$$
\frac{1}{d} \left[ z \frac{d}{dz} \left( \log \left( \frac{f(z)}{z} \right) \right) \right] = \frac{1}{d} \left[ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right]
$$

and so these results are sharp in cases (i) and (ii), such that for any n∈N, there exists the function  $f_n$  given by  $1 + \frac{1}{d}$  $\left[\frac{zf_n'(z)}{f_n(z)}-1\right]=$  $\Psi(z^n)$ , and the function *f* given by  $1 + \frac{1}{d}$  $\left[\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}-1\right]=\Psi(z),$ respectively, hence proved.

**Corollary 1.** For  $0 \le a < 1$ , if  $f \in S_d^*(\alpha + (1 - \alpha)e^z)$ , Then the logarithmic coefficients of f, follows the conditions given below  $|\gamma_n| \leq \frac{d}{2n}(1-\alpha)$ , n∈ *N* and

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\gamma_n|^2 \le \frac{d^2}{4} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1-\alpha)^2}{(n!)^2 n^2}
$$

The above conditions are sharp for function  $f_n$  satisfying :

$$
1 + \frac{1}{d} \left( \frac{zf_n'(z)}{f_n(z)} - 1 \right) = \alpha + (1 - \alpha) e^{z^n}, n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

and the function f given by:

$$
1 + \frac{1}{d} \left( \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right) = \alpha + (1 - \alpha) e^{z}.
$$

**Corollary 2.**Assumingd = 1, Class  $S_d^*(\Psi(z))$  reduces to  $S^*(\Psi(z))$ defined by Ma and Minda [5]. For the function  $f \in S^*(\Psi(z))$ , the results of Theorem 1 reduces to the logarithmic coefficients $\gamma_n$ given by E.A. Adegani et al. [3],(see Theorem 1).

**Corollary 3.** Suppose the function  $f \in S_d^* \left(1 + \frac{z}{1 - \epsilon}\right)$  $\frac{z}{1-\alpha z^2}$  and  $0 \le \alpha < 1$ . Then the logarithmic coefficients of f assures:

$$
|\gamma_n|\leq \frac{d}{2},\in N
$$

The result is sharp for any  $n \in N$ , there exists function  $f_n$  satisfying: is sharp for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists function  $t_n$  satisfying: Now by putting the values of  $t_n$  (n=1,2,3) from [\(2.11\)](#page-3-2) in [\(1.3\)](#page-0-2);<br> $\frac{1}{z_n} \left( \frac{z f'_n}{z} \right)$ 

$$
1 + \frac{1}{d} \left( \frac{z f'_n(z)}{f_n(z)} - 1 \right) = 1 + \frac{z^n}{1 - \alpha z^{2n}}.
$$

**Corollary 4.** Suppose the function  $f \in S_d^*(z + \sqrt{(1+z^2)})$ then the logarithmic coefficients of f satisfies:

$$
|\gamma_n|\leq \frac{d}{2}, \in N.
$$

This result is sharp such that for any  $n \in N$ , there exists function f<sub>n</sub>satisfying:

$$
1 + \frac{1}{d} \left( \frac{z f_n^{(2)}}{f_n(z)} - 1 \right) = (z^n + \sqrt{(1 + z^{2n})}).
$$

<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Theorem 2.** Suppose the function  $f \in K_d(\Psi)$ . Then the logarithmic coefficients of *f* satisfies the following conditions:

$$
|\gamma_1| \le \frac{d|D_1|}{4} \tag{2.8}
$$

<span id="page-3-1"></span>
$$
|\gamma_2| \le \begin{cases} \frac{d|D_1|}{12}, & if \ |4D_2 + dD_1^2| \le 4|D_1| \\ \frac{d|4D_2 + dD_1^2|}{48}, & if \ |4D_2 + dD_1^2| > 4|D_1| \end{cases} (2.9)
$$

and if  $D_1$ ,  $D_2$  and  $D_3$  are real values,

$$
|\gamma_3| \le \frac{d|D_1|}{24} H(p_1; p_2)
$$
 (2.10)

where  $H(p_1; p_2)$  is stated in Lemma 5,  $p_1 = \frac{dD_1 + \frac{4D_2}{D_1}}{2}$  and  $p_2 =$  $\left[ (3-2d)D_2 + \frac{2D_3}{D_1} \right]$ 

2 The bounds of equations[\(2.8\)](#page-3-0) and [\(2.9\)](#page-3-1) are sharp.

**Proof:** Assume  $f \in K_d(\Psi)$ . By considering the definition of subordination, there exists  $w \in \Omega$  with  $w(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n$ , so that

$$
1 + \frac{1}{d} \left[ \frac{zf^{''}(z)}{f'(z)} \right] = \Psi(w(z))
$$

<span id="page-3-2"></span>
$$
1 + \frac{1}{d} \left[ \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right] = 1 + D_1 b_1 z + (D_1 b_2 + D_2 b_1^2) z^2
$$
  
+  $(D_1 b_3 + 2b_1 b_2 D_2 + D_3 b_1^3) z^3 + \dots$  (2.11)

Equating the coefficients of  $z^n(n=1,2,3)$ , we obtain

$$
\begin{cases}\n\frac{2t_2}{d} = D_1 b_1 \\
\frac{6t_3 - 4t_2^2}{d} = D_1 b_2 + D_2 b_1^2 \\
\frac{12t_4 - 18t_2 t_3 + 8t_2^3}{d} = D_1 b_3 + 2b_1 b_2 D_2 + b_1^3 D_3\n\end{cases} (2.12)
$$

$$
\begin{cases}\n2\gamma_1 = \frac{dD_1b_1}{2} \\
2\gamma_2 = \frac{8dD_1b_2 + db_1^2(2dD_1^2 + 8D_2)}{48} \\
2\gamma_3 = \frac{dD_1}{12} \left[ b_3 + \left( \frac{dD_1 + \frac{4D_2}{D_1}}{2} \right) b_1b_2 + \left( \frac{(3-2d)D_2 + \frac{2D_3}{D_1}}{2} \right) b_1^3 \right]\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(2.13)

Now, for  $\gamma_1$  we apply Lemma 1 and get

$$
|\gamma_1| \leq \frac{d|D_1|}{4}
$$

and this bound is sharp for  $|b_1| = 1$ 



<span id="page-4-1"></span>Again, for  $\gamma_2$ , we apply Lemma 1and obtain

$$
|\gamma_2| \le d \left[ \frac{4|D_1| \left(1-|b_1|^2\right) + |4D_2+dD_1|^2 ||b_1|^2}{48} \right]
$$
  
=  $\frac{d}{48} \left[ 4|D_1| + (|4D_2+dD_1^2|-4|D_1|) |b_1|^2 \right]$   
 $\le \begin{cases} \frac{4d|D_1|}{48}, & if \ |4D_2+dD_1^2| \le 4|D_1| \\ \frac{d|4D_2+dD_1^2|}{48}, & if \ |4D_2+dD_1^2| \le 4|D_1| \end{cases}$ 

These bounds are sharp for  $b_1 = 0$  and  $|b_1| = 1$  respectively. At the last, for  $\gamma_3$ , using Lemma 5, we get

$$
2|\gamma_3| \leq \frac{d|D_1|}{12} \left| b_3 + \frac{\left(dD_1 + \frac{4D_2}{D_1}\right)}{2} \cdot b_1 b_2 + \frac{(3-2d)D_2 + \frac{2D_3}{D_1}}{2} \cdot b_1^3 \right|
$$
  
 
$$
\leq H(p_1; p_2) \cdot \frac{d|D_1|}{12}
$$

Where

 $p_1 = \frac{dD_1 + \frac{4D_2}{D_1}}{2}$ ,and  $p_2 = \frac{(3-2d)D_2 + \frac{2D_3}{D_1}}{2}$ Thus we get the result. Remark 1. Assuming

$$
\Psi(z) = 1 + \frac{cz}{1 - z}
$$
 (C(0, 3])

<span id="page-4-0"></span>and  $d = 1$ , we obtain the result given by ponnusamy et al. [14] **Remark 2.** Let  $d=1$ , in theorem 2, then we get the result obtained by E.A. Adeganiet al. [2].

#### **References**

- [1] Adegani E.A., Cho N.E. and Jafari M., Logarithmic Coefficients for Univalent Functions Defined by Subordination, Mathematics 2019, 7,408;doi:10.3390
- [2] KayumovI.R., On Brennan's conjecture for a special class of functions.Math.Notes2005,78,498-502.[CrossRef].
- [3] Ali M.F.,Vasudevarao A., On logarithmic coefficients of some close-to-convex functions. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 2018, 146, 1131–1142.
- [4] Nasr M.A. and Aouf M.K., Mansoura Sci. Bull Egypt, 1982,9,565-82.
- [5] Ma W.C.,Minda D. A.,Unified treatment of some special classes of univalent functions. In Proceedings of the Conference on Complex Analysis (Tianjin, 1992); Internat Press: Cambridge, MA, USA, 1992, 157–169.
- [6] DurenP.L. ,Univalent Functions; Springer: New York, NY, USA; Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany; Tokyo, Japan, 1983.
- [7] Kumar U.P.,Vasudevarao A., Logarithmic coefficients for certain subclasses of close-to-convex functions. Monatsh. Math. 2018, 187, 543–563.
- [8] Nehari Z., Conformal Mapping; McGraw-Hill: New York, NY, USA, 1952.
- [9] Ruscheweyh S.,Stankiewicz J., Subordination under convex univalent function. Bull. Pol. Acad. Sci. Math. 1985, 33, 499–502.
- [10] Rogosinski W., On the coefficients of subordinate functions. Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. 1943, 48, 48–82.
- [11] Prokhorov D.V.,Szynal J., Inverse coefficients for  $(\alpha; \beta)$ convex functions. Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Sklodowska Sect. A 1984, 35, 125–143.
- [12] Ruscheweyh S., New criteria for univalent functions. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 1975, 49, 109–115.
- [13] RuscheweyhS.,Sheil-Small, T. Hadamard product of schlicht functions and the Pòyla Schoenberg conjecture. Comment. Math. Helv. 1973, 48, 119–135
- [14] Ponnusamy S., Sharma N.L.,Wirths K.J., Logarithmic Coefficients of the Inverse of Univalent Functions. Results Math. 2018, 73, 160.

 $**********$ ISSN(P):2319−3786 [Malaya Journal of Matematik](http://www.malayajournal.org) ISSN(O):2321−5666 \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*