



Smarandache fuzzy semiring minimal- c -regular spaces

J. Mahalakshmi^{1*} and M. Sudha²**Abstract**

In this disquisition, the perceptions of \mathcal{S} -fuzzy-minimal-open, \mathcal{S} -fuzzy-minimal-closed, \mathcal{S} -fuzzy-maximal-open, \mathcal{S} -fuzzy-maximal-closed semirings are instigated and few of their attributes are contemplated. In addition, the ideas of \mathcal{S} -fuzzy-semiring-minimal-regular and \mathcal{S} -fuzzy-semiring-minimal- c -regular spaces are introduced and examined.

Keywords

\mathcal{S} -fuzzy-minimal-open semirings, \mathcal{S} -fuzzy-minimal-closed semirings, \mathcal{S} -fuzzy-maximal-open semirings, \mathcal{S} -fuzzy-maximal-closed semirings, \mathcal{S} -fuzzy-semiring-minimal- c -regular spaces.

AMS Subject Classification

54A40, 03E72.

^{1,2} Department of Mathematics, Sri Sarada College for Women, Salem-636016, Tamil Nadu, India.*Corresponding author: ¹ paapumaha13@gmail.com**Article History:** Received 04 January 2021; Accepted 03 March 2021

©2021 MJM.

Contents

1	Introduction	741
2	Preliminaries	741
3	\mathcal{S} -Fuzzy-Semiring-Minimal- c -Regular Spaces	742
	References	744

1. Introduction

A substantial number of articles on minimal and maximal open and closed sets in classical sense is found in literature due to F. Nakoaka and N. Oda in [3], [4] and [5]. Later such sets are extended to fuzzy topological spaces by B.M. Ittanagi and R.S. Wali in [1]. In [6], the perception of Smarandache fuzzy semirings was pioneered and explored. In this paper, the concepts of \mathcal{S} -fuzzy-semiring-minimal-regular and \mathcal{S} -fuzzy-semiring-minimal- c -regular spaces which are the applications of \mathcal{S} -fuzzy minimal open semirings are initiated and their properties are analysed.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. [2] Let S be a \mathcal{S} -semiring. A family \mathcal{S} of \mathcal{S} -fuzzy semirings on S is termed Smarandache fuzzy semiring structure (briefly $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{F}\mathcal{S}$ -structure) on S if it satisfies the following conditions:

(i) $0_S, 1_S \in \mathcal{S}$,(ii) If $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathcal{S}$, then $\lambda_1 \wedge \lambda_2 \in \mathcal{S}$,(iii) If $\lambda_i \in \mathcal{S}$ for each $i \in J$, then $\bigvee \lambda_i \in \mathcal{S}$.

And the ordered pair (S, \mathcal{S}) is termed $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{F}\mathcal{S}$ -structure space. Every member of \mathcal{S} is termed \mathcal{S} -fuzzy-open-semiring and the complement of a \mathcal{S} -fuzzy-open-semiring is called an anti- \mathcal{S} -fuzzy-open-semiring (or a \mathcal{S} -fuzzy-closed-semiring).

The collections of all \mathcal{S} -fuzzy-open-semirings and \mathcal{S} -fuzzy-closed-semirings in (S, \mathcal{S}) are symbolised by $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{F}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}(S)$ and $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{F}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{S}(S)$ respectively.

Definition 2.2. [2] Let (S, \mathcal{S}) be a $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{F}\mathcal{S}$ -structure space. Let $\lambda \in \mathcal{S}$. Then the $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{F}\mathcal{S}$ -interior of λ is defined and symbolised as $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{F}\mathcal{S}\text{-int}(\lambda) = \bigvee \{\mu : \mu \leq \lambda \text{ and } \mu \in \mathcal{S}\mathcal{F}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}(S)\}$.

Definition 2.3. [2] Let (S, \mathcal{S}) be a $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{F}\mathcal{S}$ -structure space. Let $\lambda \in \mathcal{S}$. Then the $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{F}\mathcal{S}$ -closure of λ is defined and symbolised as $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{F}\mathcal{S}\text{-cl}(\lambda) = \bigwedge \{\mu : \mu \geq \lambda \text{ and } \mu \in \mathcal{S}\mathcal{F}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{S}(S)\}$.

Definition 2.4. [2] Let S be a \mathcal{S} -semiring. If a \mathcal{S} -fuzzy semiring on S is a fuzzy point x_λ , then x_λ is termed \mathcal{S} -fuzzy semiring point on S .

The collection of all \mathcal{S} -fuzzy semiring points on S is denoted by $SFSP(S)$.

Definition 2.5. [7] If A and B are any two fuzzy subsets of a set X , then “ A is said to be included in B ” or “ A is contained

in B ” or “ A is less then or equal to B ” iff $A(x) \leq B(x)$ for all x in X and is denoted by $A \leq B$. Equivalently, $A \leq B$ iff $\mu_A(x) \leq \mu_B(x)$ for all x in X .

Definition 2.6. [1] A nonzero fuzzy open set $A (\neq 1)$ of a fuzzy topological space (X, T) is said to be a fuzzy minimal open (briefly f -minimal open) set if any fuzzy open set which is contained in A is either 0 or A .

Definition 2.7. [1] A nonzero fuzzy closed set $B (\neq 1)$ of a fuzzy topological space (X, T) is said to be a fuzzy minimal closed (briefly f -minimal closed) set if any fuzzy closed set which is contained in B is either 0 or B .

Definition 2.8. [1] A nonzero fuzzy open set $A (\neq 1)$ of a fuzzy topological space (X, T) is said to be a fuzzy maximal open (briefly f -maximal open) set if any fuzzy open set which contains A is either 1 or A .

Definition 2.9. [1] A nonzero fuzzy closed set $B (\neq 1)$ of a fuzzy topological space (X, T) is said to be a fuzzy maximal closed (briefly f -maximal closed) set if any fuzzy closed set which contains B is either 1 or B .

3. \mathcal{S} -Fuzzy-Semiring-Minimal- c -Regular Spaces

In this section, the notions of $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{F}$ -minimal-open, $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{F}$ -minimal-closed, $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{F}$ -maximal-open and $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{F}$ -maximal-closed semirings are propounded. In addition, the perceptions of $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{F}\mathcal{S}$ - min - r and $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{F}\mathcal{S}$ - min - c - r spaces are instigated and their attributes are contemplated.

Definition 3.1. Let (S_1, \mathcal{S}_1) and (S_2, \mathcal{S}_2) be any two $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{F}\mathcal{S}$ -structure spaces. A function $f : (S_1, \mathcal{S}_1) \rightarrow (S_2, \mathcal{S}_2)$ is said to be $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{F}\mathcal{S}$ -structure continuous (simply \mathcal{S} -continuous) if for each $\lambda \in \mathcal{S}\mathcal{F}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}(S_2)$ (resp. $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{F}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{S}(S_2)$), $f^{-1}(\lambda) \in \mathcal{S}\mathcal{F}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}(S_1)$ (resp. $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{F}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{S}(S_1)$).

Definition 3.2. Let (S_1, \mathcal{S}_1) and (S_2, \mathcal{S}_2) be any two $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{F}\mathcal{S}$ -structure spaces. A function $f : (S_1, \mathcal{S}_1) \rightarrow (S_2, \mathcal{S}_2)$ is termed $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{F}\mathcal{S}$ -structure-open (resp. $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{F}\mathcal{S}$ -structure-closed) if $f(\lambda) \in \mathcal{S}\mathcal{F}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}(S_2)$ (resp. $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{F}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{S}(S_2)$) for every $\lambda \in \mathcal{S}\mathcal{F}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}(S_1)$ (resp. $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{F}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{S}(S_1)$).

Definition 3.3. A proper \mathcal{S} -fuzzy-open-semiring λ of a $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{F}\mathcal{S}$ -structure space (S, \mathcal{S}) is termed \mathcal{S} -fuzzy-minimal-open (briefly $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{F}$ -minimal-open)-semiring if any \mathcal{S} -fuzzy-open-semiring which is contained in λ is either 0_S or λ .

Definition 3.4. A proper \mathcal{S} -fuzzy-closed-semiring μ of a $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{F}\mathcal{S}$ -structure space (S, \mathcal{S}) is termed \mathcal{S} -fuzzy-minimal-closed (briefly $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{F}$ -minimal-closed)-semiring if any \mathcal{S} -fuzzy-closed-semiring which is contained in μ is either 0_S or μ .

The family of all \mathcal{S} -fuzzy-minimal-open (resp. \mathcal{S} -fuzzy-minimal-closed) semirings in (S, \mathcal{S}) is denoted by $SFM_iO(S)$ (resp. $SFM_iC(S)$).

Proposition 3.1. Let (S, \mathcal{S}) be a $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{F}\mathcal{S}$ -structure space.

(i) If $\lambda \in SFM_iO(S)$ and $\mu \in \mathcal{S}\mathcal{F}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}(S)$, then $\lambda \wedge \mu = 0_S$ or $\lambda < \mu$.

(ii) If $\lambda, \gamma \in SFM_iO(S)$, then $\lambda \wedge \gamma = 0_S$ or $\lambda = \gamma$.

Proof. The proof is apparent from Definition 3.3. □

Definition 3.5. A proper \mathcal{S} -fuzzy-open-semiring λ of a $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{F}\mathcal{S}$ -structure space (S, \mathcal{S}) is termed \mathcal{S} -fuzzy-maximal-open (briefly $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{F}$ -maximal-open)-semiring if any \mathcal{S} -fuzzy-open-semiring which contains λ is either 1_S or λ .

Definition 3.6. A proper \mathcal{S} -fuzzy-closed-semiring μ of a $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{F}\mathcal{S}$ -structure space (S, \mathcal{S}) is termed \mathcal{S} -fuzzy-maximal-closed (briefly $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{F}$ -maximal-closed)-semiring if any \mathcal{S} -fuzzy-closed-semiring which contains μ is either 1_S or μ .

The family of all \mathcal{S} -fuzzy-maximal-open (resp. \mathcal{S} -fuzzy-maximal-closed) semirings in (S, \mathcal{S}) is denoted by $SFM_aO(S)$ (resp. $SFM_aC(S)$).

Example 3.1. Let $S = \{0, 1, 2\}$ be a set of integers modulo 3 with respect to '+' and '.' and hence $(S, +, \cdot)$ is a \mathcal{S} -semiring. Let $\mathcal{S} = \{0_S, 1_S, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4\}$ where $\lambda_i : S \rightarrow [0, 1]$ for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ is defined as follows:

$\lambda_1(0) = 0.2, \lambda_1(1) = 0.3, \text{ and } \lambda_1(2) = 0.4;$

$\lambda_2(0) = 1, \lambda_2(1) = 0.3, \text{ and } \lambda_2(2) = 0.4;$

$\lambda_3(0) = 0.2, \lambda_3(1) = 1, \text{ and } \lambda_3(2) = 0.4;$

$\lambda_4(0) = 1, \lambda_4(1) = 1, \text{ and } \lambda_4(2) = 0.4.$

Evidently, (S, \mathcal{S}) is a $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{F}\mathcal{S}$ -structure space.

Then $SFM_iO(S) = \lambda_1, SFM_iC(S) = \lambda_4', SFM_aO(S) = \lambda_4$ and $SFM_aC(S) = \lambda_1'$.

Proposition 3.2. Let (S, \mathcal{S}) be a $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{F}\mathcal{S}$ -structure space.

(i) If $\lambda \in SFM_aO(S)$ and $\mu \in \mathcal{S}\mathcal{F}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}(S)$, then $\lambda \vee \mu = 1_S$ or $\mu < \lambda$.

(ii) If $\lambda, \gamma \in SFM_aO(S)$, then $\lambda \vee \gamma = 1_S$ or $\lambda = \gamma$.

Proof. The proof is apparent from Definition 3.5. □

Proposition 3.3. A proper \mathcal{S} -fuzzy-semiring λ of a $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{F}\mathcal{S}$ -structure space (S, \mathcal{S}) is a $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{F}$ -minimal-open-semiring if and only if $(1_S - \lambda)$ is a $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{F}$ -maximal-closed-semiring.

Proof. Let λ be a $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{F}$ -minimal-open-semiring in (S, \mathcal{S}) . Assume $(1_S - \lambda)$ is not a $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{F}$ -maximal-closed-semiring in (S, \mathcal{S}) . Then there exists $\mu \in \mathcal{S}\mathcal{F}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{S}(S)$ such that $(1_S - \lambda) < \mu \neq 1_S$. This implies $0_S \neq (1_S - \mu) < \lambda$ and $(1_S - \mu) \in \mathcal{S}\mathcal{F}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}(S)$. This is a contradiction to the assumption that λ is a $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{F}$ -minimal-open-semiring in (S, \mathcal{S}) . Hence $(1_S - \lambda)$ is a $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{F}$ -maximal closed semiring in (S, \mathcal{S}) .

Conversely, let $(1_S - \lambda)$ be a $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{F}$ -maximal-closed semiring in (S, \mathcal{S}) . Assume λ is not a $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{F}$ -minimal-open-semiring in (S, \mathcal{S}) . Then there exists $\gamma \in \mathcal{S}\mathcal{F}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}(S)$ with $\gamma \neq \lambda$ such that $0_S \neq \gamma < \lambda$. This implies $(1_S - \lambda) < (1_S - \gamma) \neq 1_S$ and $(1_S - \lambda) \in \mathcal{S}\mathcal{F}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{S}(S)$. This is a contradiction to the assumption that $(1_S - \lambda)$ is a $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{F}$ -maximal-closed-semiring in (S, \mathcal{S}) . Hence λ is a $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{F}$ -minimal-open-semiring in (S, \mathcal{S}) . □



Proposition 3.4. A proper \mathcal{S} -fuzzy semiring λ of a \mathcal{SFS} -structure space (S, \mathcal{S}) is a \mathcal{SFS} -maximal-open-semiring if and only if $(1_S - \lambda)$ is a \mathcal{SFS} -minimal-closed-semiring.

Proof. Let λ be a \mathcal{SFS} -maximal-open-semiring in (S, \mathcal{S}) . Assume $(1_S - \lambda)$ is not a \mathcal{SFS} -minimal-closed-semiring in (S, \mathcal{S}) . Then there exists $\mu \in \mathcal{SFC}\mathcal{S}(S)$ such that $0_S \neq \mu < (1_S - \lambda)$. This implies $\lambda < (1_S - \mu) \neq 1_S$ and $(1_S - \mu) \in \mathcal{SFC}\mathcal{S}(S)$. This is a contradiction to the assumption that λ is a \mathcal{SFS} -maximal-open-semiring in (S, \mathcal{S}) . Hence $(1_S - \lambda)$ is a \mathcal{SFS} -minimal closed semiring in (S, \mathcal{S}) .

Conversely, let $(1_S - \lambda)$ be a \mathcal{SFS} -minimal-closed-semiring in (S, \mathcal{S}) . Assume λ is not a \mathcal{SFS} -maximal-open-semiring in (S, \mathcal{S}) . Then there exists $\gamma \in \mathcal{SFC}\mathcal{S}(S)$ such that $\lambda < \gamma \neq 1_S$. This implies $0_S \neq (1_S - \gamma) < (1_S - \lambda)$ and $(1_S - \gamma) \in \mathcal{SFC}\mathcal{S}(S)$. This is a contradiction to the assumption that $(1_S - \lambda)$ is a \mathcal{SFS} -minimal-closed-semiring in (S, \mathcal{S}) . Hence λ is a \mathcal{SFS} -maximal-open-semiring in (S, \mathcal{S}) . \square

Definition 3.7. A \mathcal{SFS} -structure space (S, \mathcal{S}) is termed \mathcal{S} -fuzzy-semiring-minimal-regular (in short \mathcal{SFS} -min-r) if for every $x_\lambda \in SFSP(S)$ and $\mu \in SFM_iC(S)$ such that $x_\lambda \not\leq \mu$, there exist $\gamma, \delta \in SFM_iO(S)$ such that $x_\lambda \leq \gamma, \mu \leq \delta$ and $\gamma \not\leq \delta$.

Definition 3.8. A \mathcal{SFS} -structure space (S, \mathcal{S}) is termed \mathcal{S} -fuzzy-semiring-minimal- c -regular (in short \mathcal{SFS} -min-c-r) if for every $x_\lambda \in SFSP(S)$ and $\mu \in SFM_iC(S)$ such that $x_\lambda \not\leq \mu$, there exist $\gamma, \delta \in \mathcal{SFC}\mathcal{S}(S)$ such that $x_\lambda \leq \gamma, \mu \leq \delta$ and $\gamma \not\leq \delta$.

Proposition 3.5. If a \mathcal{SFS} -structure space (S, \mathcal{S}) is a \mathcal{SFS} -min-r space, then (S, \mathcal{S}) is a \mathcal{SFS} -min-c-r space.

Proof. Let $x_\lambda \in SFSP(S)$ and $\mu \in SFM_iC(S)$ such that $x_\lambda \not\leq \mu$. As (S, \mathcal{S}) is a \mathcal{SFS} -min-r space, there exist $\gamma, \delta \in SFM_iO(S)$ such that $x_\lambda \leq \gamma, \mu \leq \delta$ and $\gamma \not\leq \delta$. Since every \mathcal{SFS} -minimal-open-semiring is a \mathcal{S} -fuzzy-open-semiring, $\gamma, \delta \in \mathcal{SFC}\mathcal{S}(S)$ such that $x_\lambda \leq \gamma, \mu \leq \delta$ and $\gamma \not\leq \delta$. Hence (S, \mathcal{S}) is a \mathcal{SFS} -min-c-r space. \square

Proposition 3.6. Let (S, \mathcal{S}) be a \mathcal{SFS} -structure space. Then the following statements are equivalent :

- (i) (S, \mathcal{S}) is a \mathcal{SFS} -min-c-r space.
- (ii) For every $x_\lambda \in SFSP(S)$ and $\mu \in SFM_aO(S)$ such that $x_\lambda \leq \mu$, there exists $\gamma \in \mathcal{SFC}\mathcal{S}(S)$ such that $x_\lambda \leq \gamma \leq \mathcal{SFS}\text{-cl}(\gamma) \leq \mu$.
- (iii) For every $x_\lambda \in SFSP(S)$ and $\eta \in SFM_iC(S)$ such that $x_\lambda \not\leq \eta$, there exists $\mu \in \mathcal{SFC}\mathcal{S}(S)$ with $x_\lambda \leq \mu$ such that $\mathcal{SFS}\text{-cl}(\mu) \not\leq \eta$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Let $x_\lambda \in SFSP(S)$ and $\mu \in SFM_aO(S)$ such that $x_\lambda \leq \mu$. Then $(1_S - \mu) \in SFM_iC(S)$ such that $x_\lambda \not\leq (1_S - \mu)$. Since (S, \mathcal{S}) is a \mathcal{SFS} -min-c-r space, there exist $\gamma, \delta \in \mathcal{SFC}\mathcal{S}(S)$ such that $x_\lambda \leq \gamma, (1_S - \mu) \leq \delta$ and $\gamma \not\leq \delta$. Now $\gamma \not\leq \delta$ implies $\gamma \leq (1_S - \delta)$. This implies $\mathcal{SFS}\text{-cl}(\gamma) \leq$

$\mathcal{SFS}\text{-cl}(1_S - \delta) = 1_S - \delta$. Since $(1_S - \delta) \in \mathcal{SFC}\mathcal{S}(S)$. Hence $\mathcal{SFS}\text{-cl}(\gamma) \leq (1_S - \delta)$. Also we have $(1_S - \mu) \leq \delta$, which implies $(1_S - \delta) \leq \mu$. Thus $\mathcal{SFS}\text{-cl}(\gamma) \leq (1_S - \delta) \leq \mu$. Therefore $x_\lambda \leq \gamma \leq \mathcal{SFS}\text{-cl}(\gamma) \leq \mu$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) Let $x_\lambda \in SFSP(S)$ and $\eta \in SFM_iC(S)$ such that $x_\lambda \not\leq \eta$. Then $(1_S - \eta) \in SFM_aO(S)$ such that $x_\lambda \leq (1_S - \eta)$. By (ii), there exists $\mu \in \mathcal{SFC}\mathcal{S}(S)$ such that $x_\lambda \leq \mu \leq \mathcal{SFS}\text{-cl}(\mu) \leq (1_S - \eta)$. This implies $\mathcal{SFS}\text{-cl}(\mu) \not\leq \eta$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i) Let $x_\lambda \in SFSP(S)$ and $\eta \in SFM_iC(S)$ such that $x_\lambda \not\leq \eta$. By (iii), there exists $\mu \in \mathcal{SFC}\mathcal{S}(S)$ with $x_\lambda \leq \mu$ such that $\mathcal{SFS}\text{-cl}(\mu) \not\leq \eta$. This implies $\eta \leq (1_S - \mathcal{SFS}\text{-cl}(\mu))$. It is apparent that $\mu \not\leq (1_S - \mathcal{SFS}\text{-cl}(\mu))$. \square

Definition 3.9. Let (S_1, \mathcal{S}_1) and (S_2, \mathcal{S}_2) be any two \mathcal{SFS} -structure spaces. A function $f : (S_1, \mathcal{S}_1) \rightarrow (S_2, \mathcal{S}_2)$ is termed \mathcal{S} -fuzzy-semiring-minimal-irresolute (in short \mathcal{SFS} -min-ir) if $f^{-1}(\lambda) \in SFM_iO(S_1)$ (resp. $SFM_iC(S_1)$) for every $\lambda \in SFM_iO(S_2)$ (resp. $SFM_iC(S_2)$).

Proposition 3.7. Let (S_1, \mathcal{S}_1) and (S_2, \mathcal{S}_2) be any two \mathcal{SFS} -structure spaces. Let $f : (S_1, \mathcal{S}_1) \rightarrow (S_2, \mathcal{S}_2)$ be a bijective, \mathcal{SFS} -min-ir and \mathcal{SFS} -structure-open function. If (S_1, \mathcal{S}_1) is a \mathcal{SFS} -min-c-r space, then (S_2, \mathcal{S}_2) is a \mathcal{SFS} -min-c-r space.

Proof. Let $y_\eta \in SFSP(S_2)$ and let $\mu \in SFM_iC(S_2)$ such that $y_\eta \not\leq \mu$. Since f is bijective, there exists $x_\lambda \in SFSP(S_1)$ such that $f(x_\lambda) = y_\eta$, which implies $x_\lambda = f^{-1}(y_\eta)$. As f is \mathcal{SFS} -min-ir, $f^{-1}(\mu) \in SFM_iC(S_1)$ and $y_\eta \not\leq \mu$ implies $f^{-1}(y_\eta) \not\leq f^{-1}(\mu)$. Hence $x_\lambda \not\leq f^{-1}(\mu)$. Since (S_1, \mathcal{S}_1) is a \mathcal{SFS} -min-c-r space, there exist $\gamma, \delta \in \mathcal{SFC}\mathcal{S}(S_1)$ such that $x_\lambda \leq \gamma, f^{-1}(\mu) \leq \delta$ and $\gamma \not\leq \delta$.

As f is \mathcal{SFS} -structure-open, $f(\gamma), f(\delta) \in \mathcal{SFC}\mathcal{S}(S_2)$. Now $x_\lambda \leq \gamma$ implies $f(x_\lambda) \leq f(\gamma)$. Hence $y_\eta \leq f(\gamma)$. Also $f^{-1}(\mu) \leq \delta$ implies $\mu \leq f(\delta)$ and $\gamma \not\leq \delta$ implies $f(\gamma) \not\leq f(\delta)$. Thus for every $y_\eta \in SFSP(S_2)$ and $\mu \in SFM_iC(S_2)$ such that $y_\eta \not\leq \mu$, there exist $f(\gamma), f(\delta) \in \mathcal{SFC}\mathcal{S}(S_2)$ such that $y_\eta \leq f(\gamma), \mu \leq f(\delta)$ and $f(\gamma) \not\leq f(\delta)$. Hence (S_2, \mathcal{S}_2) is a \mathcal{SFS} -min-c-r space. \square

Definition 3.10. Let (S_1, \mathcal{S}_1) and (S_2, \mathcal{S}_2) be any two \mathcal{SFS} -structure spaces. A function $f : (S_1, \mathcal{S}_1) \rightarrow (S_2, \mathcal{S}_2)$ is termed \mathcal{S} -fuzzy-semiring-strongly-minimal-closed (in short \mathcal{SFS} -s-min-c) if $f(\lambda) \in SFM_iC(S_2)$ for every $\lambda \in SFM_iC(S_1)$.

Proposition 3.8. Let (S_1, \mathcal{S}_1) and (S_2, \mathcal{S}_2) be any two \mathcal{SFS} -structure spaces. Let $f : (S_1, \mathcal{S}_1) \rightarrow (S_2, \mathcal{S}_2)$ be a bijective, \mathcal{SFS} -structure continuous and \mathcal{SFS} -s-min-c function. If (S_2, \mathcal{S}_2) is a \mathcal{SFS} -min-c-r space, then (S_1, \mathcal{S}_1) is a \mathcal{SFS} -min-c-r space.

Proof. Let $x_\lambda \in SFSP(S_1)$ and let $\mu \in SFM_iC(S_1)$ such that $x_\lambda \not\leq \mu$. Since f is bijective, there exists $y_\eta \in SFSP(S_2)$ such that $f(x_\lambda) = y_\eta$, which implies $x_\lambda = f^{-1}(y_\eta)$. As f is a \mathcal{SFS} -s-min-c function, $f(\mu) \in SFM_iC(S_2)$ and $x_\lambda \not\leq \mu$ implies $f(x_\lambda) \not\leq f(\mu)$. Hence $y_\eta \not\leq f(\mu)$. Since (S_2, \mathcal{S}_2) is a \mathcal{SFS} -min-c-r space, there exist $\gamma, \delta \in \mathcal{SFC}\mathcal{S}(S_2)$ such that $y_\eta \leq \gamma, f(\mu) \leq \delta$ and $\gamma \not\leq \delta$.



As f is \mathcal{SFS} -structure continuous, $f^{-1}(\gamma), f^{-1}(\delta) \in \mathcal{SFO}\mathcal{S}(S_1)$. Now $y_\eta \leq \gamma$ implies $f^{-1}(y_\eta) \leq f^{-1}(\gamma)$. Hence $x_\lambda \leq f^{-1}(\gamma)$. Also $f(\mu) \leq \delta$ implies $\mu \leq f^{-1}(\delta)$ and $\gamma \not\leq f^{-1}(\delta)$ implies $f^{-1}(\gamma) \not\leq f^{-1}(\delta)$. Thus for every $x_\lambda \in SFSP(S_1)$ and $\mu \in SFM_iC(S_1)$ such that $x_\lambda \not\leq \mu$, there exist $f^{-1}(\gamma), f^{-1}(\delta) \in \mathcal{SFO}\mathcal{S}(S_1)$ such that $x_\lambda \leq f^{-1}(\gamma), \mu \leq f^{-1}(\delta)$ and $f^{-1}(\gamma) \not\leq f^{-1}(\delta)$. Hence (S_1, \mathcal{S}_1) is a \mathcal{SFS} -min- c - r space. \square

Definition 3.11. Let (S_1, \mathcal{S}_1) and (S_2, \mathcal{S}_2) be any two \mathcal{SFS} -structure spaces. A function $f : (S_1, \mathcal{S}_1) \rightarrow (S_2, \mathcal{S}_2)$ is termed \mathcal{S} -fuzzy-semiring-minimal-open (in short \mathcal{SFS} -min- o) if $f(\lambda) \in \mathcal{SFO}\mathcal{S}(S_2)$ for every $\lambda \in SFM_iO(S_1)$.

Proposition 3.9. Let (S_1, \mathcal{S}_1) and (S_2, \mathcal{S}_2) be any two \mathcal{SFS} -structure spaces. Let $f : (S_1, \mathcal{S}_1) \rightarrow (S_2, \mathcal{S}_2)$ be a bijective, \mathcal{SFS} -min- o and \mathcal{SFS} -min- ir function. If (S_1, \mathcal{S}_1) is a \mathcal{SFS} -min- r space, then (S_2, \mathcal{S}_2) is a \mathcal{SFS} -min- c - r space.

Proof. Let $y_\eta \in SFSP(S_2)$ and let $\mu \in SFM_iC(S_2)$ such that $y_\eta \not\leq \mu$. Since f is bijective, there exists $x_\lambda \in SFSP(S_1)$ such that $f(x_\lambda) = y_\eta$, which implies $x_\lambda = f^{-1}(y_\eta)$. As f is \mathcal{SFS} -min- ir , $f^{-1}(\mu) \in SFM_iC(S_1)$ and $y_\eta \not\leq \mu$ implies $f^{-1}(y_\eta) \not\leq f^{-1}(\mu)$. Hence $x_\lambda \not\leq f^{-1}(\mu)$. Since (S_1, \mathcal{S}_1) is a \mathcal{SFS} -min- r space, there exist $\gamma, \delta \in SFM_iO(S_1)$ such that $x_\lambda \leq \gamma, f^{-1}(\mu) \leq \delta$ and $\gamma \not\leq \delta$.

As f is \mathcal{SFS} -min- o , $f(\gamma), f(\delta) \in \mathcal{SFO}\mathcal{S}(S_2)$. Now $x_\lambda \leq \gamma$ implies $f(x_\lambda) \leq f(\gamma)$. Hence $y_\eta \leq f(\gamma)$. Also $f^{-1}(\mu) \leq \delta$ implies $\mu \leq f(\delta)$ and $\gamma \not\leq \delta$ implies $f(\gamma) \not\leq f(\delta)$. Thus for every $y_\eta \in SFSP(S_2)$ and $\mu \in SFM_iC(S_2)$ such that $y_\eta \not\leq \mu$, there exist $f(\gamma), f(\delta) \in \mathcal{SFO}\mathcal{S}(S_2)$ such that $y_\eta \leq f(\gamma), \mu \leq f(\delta)$ and $f(\gamma) \not\leq f(\delta)$. Hence (S_2, \mathcal{S}_2) is a \mathcal{SFS} -min- c - r space. \square

Definition 3.12. Let (S_1, \mathcal{S}_1) and (S_2, \mathcal{S}_2) be any two \mathcal{SFS} -structure spaces. A function $f : (S_1, \mathcal{S}_1) \rightarrow (S_2, \mathcal{S}_2)$ is termed \mathcal{S} -fuzzy-semiring-minimal-continuous (in short \mathcal{SFS} -min-continuous) if $f^{-1}(\lambda) \in \mathcal{SFO}\mathcal{S}(S_1)$ (resp. $\mathcal{SFC}\mathcal{S}(S_1)$) for every $\lambda \in SFM_iO(S_2)$ (resp. $SFM_iC(S_2)$).

Proposition 3.10. Let (S_1, \mathcal{S}_1) and (S_2, \mathcal{S}_2) be any two \mathcal{SFS} -structure spaces. Let $f : (S_1, \mathcal{S}_1) \rightarrow (S_2, \mathcal{S}_2)$ be a bijective, \mathcal{SFS} -min-continuous and \mathcal{SFS} - s -min- c function. If (S_2, \mathcal{S}_2) is a \mathcal{SFS} -min- r space, then (S_1, \mathcal{S}_1) is a \mathcal{SFS} -min- c - r space.

Proof. Let $x_\lambda \in SFSP(S_1)$ and let $\mu \in SFM_iC(S_1)$ such that $x_\lambda \not\leq \mu$. Since f is bijective, there exists $y_\eta \in SFSP(S_2)$ such that $f(x_\lambda) = y_\eta$, which implies $x_\lambda = f^{-1}(y_\eta)$. As f is a \mathcal{SFS} - s -min- c function, $f(\mu) \in SFM_iC(S_2)$ and $x_\lambda \not\leq \mu$ implies $f(x_\lambda) \not\leq f(\mu)$. Hence $y_\eta \not\leq f(\mu)$. Since (S_2, \mathcal{S}_2) is a \mathcal{SFS} -min- r space, there exist $\gamma, \delta \in SFM_iO(S_2)$ such that $y_\eta \leq \gamma, f(\mu) \leq \delta$ and $\gamma \not\leq \delta$. As f is \mathcal{SFS} -min-continuous, $f^{-1}(\gamma), f^{-1}(\delta) \in \mathcal{SFO}\mathcal{S}(S_1)$. Now $y_\eta \leq \gamma$ implies $f^{-1}(y_\eta) \leq f^{-1}(\gamma)$. Hence $x_\lambda \leq f^{-1}(\gamma)$. Also $f(\mu) \leq \delta$ implies $\mu \leq f^{-1}(\delta)$ and $\gamma \not\leq \delta$ implies $f^{-1}(\gamma) \not\leq f^{-1}(\delta)$.

Thus for every $x_\lambda \in SFSP(S_1)$ and $\mu \in SFM_iC(S_1)$ such that $x_\lambda \not\leq \mu$, there exist $f^{-1}(\gamma), f^{-1}(\delta) \in \mathcal{SFO}\mathcal{S}(S_1)$ such that $x_\lambda \leq f^{-1}(\gamma), \mu \leq f^{-1}(\delta)$ and $f^{-1}(\gamma) \not\leq f^{-1}(\delta)$. Hence (S_1, \mathcal{S}_1) is a \mathcal{SFS} -min- c - r space. \square

References

- [1] B.M. Ittanagi and R.S. Wali, On fuzzy minimal open and fuzzy maximal open sets in fuzzy topological spaces, *Int. J. Mathematical Sciences and Applications*, 1(2011), 1023-1037.
- [2] J. Mahalakshmi and M. Sudha, Smarandache fuzzy semiring para-nearly compact spaces, *The Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics*, 28(2020), 523-543.
- [3] F. Nakaoka and N. Oda, Some applications of minimal open sets, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, 27(2001), 471-476.
- [4] F. Nakaoka and N. Oda, Some properties of maximal open sets, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, 21(2003), 1331-1340.
- [5] F. Nakaoka and N. Oda, Minimal closed sets and maximal closed sets, *Int. J. Math. Sci.*, (2006), 1-8.
- [6] W. B. Vasantha Kandasamy, *Smarandache Fuzzy Algebra*, American Research Press, Rehoboth, 2003.
- [7] L.A.Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, *Information and control*, 8(1965), 338-353.

 ISSN(P):2319 – 3786
 Malaya Journal of Matematik
 ISSN(O):2321 – 5666

