



Generalized interval valued fuzzy ideals of KU -algebra

S. R. Barbhuiya^{1*} and A. K. Dutta²

Abstract

In this paper, we introduced the concept of “belongs to” relation ($\in_{\hat{a}}$) between interval valued fuzzy point to an interval valued fuzzy set with respect to an interval \hat{a} and “quasi-coincident with” relation ($q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})}$) between interval valued fuzzy point to an interval valued fuzzy set with respect to intervals \hat{a}, \hat{b} and combining both the concepts we define $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy KU -ideals in KU -algebras and investigated some of their related properties. Some characterizations of these generalized interval valued fuzzy KU -ideal are derived.

Keywords

KU -algebra, Fuzzy ideal, $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -fuzzy ideal, $(a, b; \in_a, \in_a \vee q_{(a,b)})$ -fuzzy ideal, $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal, Homomorphism.

AMS Subject Classification

06F35, 03E72, 03G25.

¹ Department of Mathematics, Srikishan Sarda College, Hailakandi-788151, Assam, India.

² Department of Mathematics, D.H.S.K. College, Dibrugarh, Assam- 786001, India.

*Corresponding author: ¹ saidurbarbhuiya@gmail.com; ² akdutta28@gmail.com

Article History: Received 24 July 2019; Accepted 04 November 2019

©2019 MJM.

Contents

1	Introduction	735
2	Preliminaries	736
3	$(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideals of KU -algebra	737
4	Conclusion	744
	References	744

1. Introduction

The concept of fuzzy sets was first initiated by Zadeh ([27]) in 1965. Since then these ideas have been applied to other algebraic structures such as group, semi-group, ring, vector spaces etc. Imai and Iseki ([8]) introduced BCK-algebra as a generalization of notion of the concept of set theoretic difference and propositional calculus and in the same year Iseki ([9]) introduced the notion of BCI-algebra which is a generalization of BCK-algebra. Xi ([23]) applied the concept of fuzzy set to BCK-algebra. and discussed some properties and also introduced fuzzy subalgebra and fuzzy ideals in BCK-algebra. The class of BCK-algebra is a proper subclass of the class of BCI-algebras. Since then, a great deal of literature has

been produced on the theory of BCK/BCI-algebras. In particular, emphasis seems to have been put on the ideal theory of BCK/BCI-algebras. Prabpayak and Leerawat ([16]) introduced a new algebraic structure of type BCK/BCI, which is called KU -algebra. They gave the concept of homomorphisms of KU -algebras and investigated some related properties in ([17]). The study of KU -algebras in fuzzy context was first initiated by Mostafa et al. ([18]). They also introduced the notion of fuzzy (n-fold) KU -ideals of KU -algebras ([21]). They also studied KU -algebras in terms of interval-valued fuzzy sets in ([19]). Muhiuddin ([14]) applied the bipolar-valued fuzzy set theory to KU -algebras, and introduced the notions of bipolar fuzzy KU -subalgebras and bipolar fuzzy KU -ideals in KU -algebras. He considered the specifications of a bipolar fuzzy KU -subalgebra, a bipolar fuzzy KU -ideal in KU -algebras and discussed the relations between a bipolar fuzzy KU -subalgebra and a bipolar fuzzy KU -ideal and provided conditions for a bipolar fuzzy KU -subalgebra to be a bipolar fuzzy KU -ideal. Gulistan et al. ([22]) studied (α, β) -fuzzy KU -ideals in KU -algebras and discussed some special properties. Akram et al. ([1]) introduced the notion of $(\hat{\theta}, \hat{\delta})$ -interval-valued fuzzy KU -ideals of KU -algebras and obtained some related properties.

As a generalization of fuzzy set interval-valued fuzzy set were proposed by Zadeh ([28]) as a natural extension of fuzzy sets. Interval-valued fuzzy subsets have many applications in several areas. Biswas ([4]) defined interval valued fuzzy subgroups i.e., interval valued fuzzy subgroups of Rosenfeld's nature, and investigated some elementary properties. The concept of interval-valued fuzzy sets have been studied in various algebraic structures, see ([7, 11, 19, 25, 26, 30]).

The concept of fuzzy point introduced by Ming and Ming in [12] and also they introduced the idea of relation “belongs to” and “quasi coincident with” between fuzzy point and a fuzzy set. Bhakat and Das [2, 3] used the combined relation of “belongs to” and “quasi coincident with” between fuzzy point and a fuzzy set to introduce the concept of $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -fuzzy subgroup, $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -fuzzy subring and $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -level subset. Zhan, Jun and Davvaz [29] introduced $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -fuzzy ideals in *BCI*-algebra in 2009. Lee et al[11] introduced interval-valued $(\in, \in \vee q_k)$ -fuzzy ideals of rings and Ma et al. [30] studied interval valued fuzzy $(p-, q-, a-)$ ideals of *BCI*-algebras and $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -interval-valued fuzzy $(p-, q-, a-)$ ideals of *BCI*-algebras with some related properties. Dutta et al. [7] investigated interval-valued fuzzy prime and semiprime ideals of a hyper semiring.

The notion of an $(a, b; \in_a, \in_a \vee q_{(a,b)})$ -fuzzy subalgebra / subgroups introduced by Das in [5, 6]. It is found that $(a, b; \in_a, \in_a \vee q_{(a,b)})$ -fuzzy structure is the generalisation of $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -fuzzy structure. Motivated by this, combining both the notion of interval-valued fuzzy point and $(a, b; \in_a, \in_a \vee q_{(a,b)})$ -fuzzy structure we introduce a new notion of $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy structure of *KU*-algebra and establish some related properties.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we will recall some concepts related to *KU*-algebra, fuzzy point, interval-valued fuzzy point and interval-valued fuzzy sets.

Definition 2.1. A *KU*-algebra is a non-empty set X with a constant 0 and a binary operation $*$ satisfying the following axioms:

- (i) $(x * y) * [(y * z) * (x * z)] = 0$,
- (ii) $x * 0 = 0$,
- (iii) $0 * x = x \forall x, y, z \in X$.
- (iv) $x * y = 0 = y * x \Rightarrow x = y \forall x, y, z \in X$.

For brevity, we also call X a *BG*-algebra. We can define a partial ordering " \leq " on X by $x \leq y$ iff $y * x = 0$

Definition 2.2. A non-empty subset S of a *KU*-algebra X is called a subalgebra of X if $x * y \in S$ for all $x, y \in S$.

Definition 2.3. A nonempty subset I of a *KU*-algebra X is called a *KU*-ideal of X if

- (i) $0 \in I$,
- (ii) $x * (y * z) \in I, y \in I \Rightarrow x * z \in I, \forall x, y, z \in X$.

Definition 2.4. A fuzzy set μ in X is called a fuzzy *KU*-ideal of X if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) $\mu(0) \geq \mu(x)$,
- (ii) $\mu(x * z) \geq \min\{\mu(x * (y * z)), \mu(y)\} \forall x, y, z \in X$.

Definition 2.5. A fuzzy set μ of the form

$$\mu(y) = \begin{cases} t, & \text{if } y = x, \quad t \in (0, 1] \\ 0, & \text{if } y \neq x \end{cases}$$

is called a fuzzy point with support x and value t and is denoted by x_t .

Definition 2.6. A fuzzy point x_t is said to belong to (respectively be quasi coincident with) a fuzzy set μ written as $x_t \in \mu$ (respectively $x_t q \mu$) if $\mu(x) \geq t$ (respectively $\mu(x) + t > 1$). If $x_t \in \mu$ or $x_t q \mu$, then we write $x_t \in \vee q \mu$. (Note $\overline{\in \vee q}$ means $\in \vee q$ does not hold).

Definition 2.7. A fuzzy subset μ of a *KU*-algebra X is said to be an $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -fuzzy ideal of X if

- (i) $x_t \in \mu \Rightarrow 0_t \in \vee q \mu$.
- (ii) $(x * (y * z))_t, y_s \in \mu \Rightarrow (x * z)_{m(t,s)} \in \vee q \mu$.

Definition 2.8. A fuzzy subset μ of a *KU*-algebra X is said to be an (α, β) -fuzzy ideal of X , if

- (i) $x_t \alpha \mu \Rightarrow 0_t \beta \mu$.
- (ii) $(x * (y * z))_t, y_s \alpha \mu \Rightarrow x * z_{m(t,s)} \beta \mu \forall x, y \in X$,

where $m(t, s) = \min\{t, s\}$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \{\in, q, \in \vee q, \in \wedge q\}$ and $\alpha \neq \in \wedge q$.

Definition 2.9. Let λ be a fuzzy set in X and $0 \leq t < 1$, then t -cut set of fuzzy set λ are given by $\lambda_t = \{x \in G | \lambda(x) \geq t\}$

The notion of interval-valued fuzzy set was introduced by L.A.Zadeh[13]. To consider the notion of interval-valued fuzzy sets, we need the following definitions. An interval number on $[0, 1]$, denoted by \hat{a} , is defined as the closed sub interval of $[0, 1]$, where $\hat{a} = [\underline{a}, \overline{a}]$, satisfying $0 \leq \underline{a} \leq \overline{a} \leq 1$. Let $D[0, 1]$ denote the set of all such interval numbers on $[0, 1]$ and also denote the interval numbers $[0, 0]$ and $[1, 1]$ by $\hat{0}$ and $\hat{1}$ respectively.

Let $\hat{a}_1 = [\underline{a}_1, \overline{a}_1]$ and $\hat{a}_2 = [\underline{a}_2, \overline{a}_2] \in D[0, 1]$. Define on $D[0, 1]$ the relations $\leq, =, <, +, \cdot$ by

1. $\hat{a}_1 \leq \hat{a}_2 \Leftrightarrow \underline{a}_1 \leq \underline{a}_2$ and $\overline{a}_1 \leq \overline{a}_2$
2. $\hat{a}_1 = \hat{a}_2 \Leftrightarrow \underline{a}_1 = \underline{a}_2$ and $\overline{a}_1 = \overline{a}_2$



3. $\hat{a}_1 < \hat{a}_2 \Leftrightarrow \underline{a}_1 < \underline{a}_2$ and $\overline{a}_1 < \overline{a}_2$
4. $\hat{a}_1 + \hat{a}_2 \Leftrightarrow [\underline{a}_1 + \underline{a}_2, \overline{a}_1 + \overline{a}_2]$
5. $\hat{a}_1 \cdot \hat{a}_2 \Leftrightarrow [\min(\underline{a}_1 \underline{a}_2, \underline{a}_1 \overline{a}_2, \overline{a}_1 \underline{a}_2, \overline{a}_1 \overline{a}_2), \max(\underline{a}_1 \underline{a}_2, \underline{a}_1 \overline{a}_2, \overline{a}_1 \underline{a}_2, \overline{a}_1 \overline{a}_2)] = [\underline{a}_1 \underline{a}_2, \overline{a}_1 \overline{a}_2]$
6. $k\hat{a} = [k\underline{a}, k\overline{a}]$ where $0 \leq k \leq 1$

Now consider two intervals $\hat{a}_1 = [\underline{a}_1, \overline{a}_1], \hat{a}_2 = [\underline{a}_2, \overline{a}_2] \in D[0, 1]$ then we define refine minimum $rmin$ as $rmin(\hat{a}_1, \hat{a}_2) = [\min(\underline{a}_1, \underline{a}_2), \min(\overline{a}_1, \overline{a}_2)]$ and refine maximum as $rmax(\hat{a}_1, \hat{a}_2) = [\max(\underline{a}_1, \underline{a}_2), \max(\overline{a}_1, \overline{a}_2)]$ generally if $\hat{a}_i = [\underline{a}_i, \overline{a}_i], \hat{b}_i = [\underline{b}_i, \overline{b}_i] \in D[0, 1]$ for $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ then we define $rmax(\hat{a}_i, \hat{b}_i) = [\max(\underline{a}_i, \underline{b}_i), \max(\overline{a}_i, \overline{b}_i)]$ and $rmin(\hat{a}_i, \hat{b}_i) = [\min(\underline{a}_i, \underline{b}_i), \min(\overline{a}_i, \overline{b}_i)]$ and $rsup_i(\hat{a}_i) = [\vee_i \underline{a}_i, \vee_i \overline{a}_i]$.
 $(D[0, 1], \leq)$ is a complete lattice with $\wedge = rmin, \vee = rmax, \hat{0} = [0, 0]$ and $\hat{1} = [1, 1]$ being the least and the greatest element respectively.

Definition 2.10. An interval-valued fuzzy set defined on a non empty set X as an objects having the form $\hat{\mu} = \{x, [\underline{\mu}(x), \overline{\mu}(x)]\}, \forall x \in X$ where $\underline{\mu}$ and $\overline{\mu}$ are two fuzzy sets in X such that $\underline{\mu}(x) \leq \overline{\mu}(x)$ for all $x \in X$. Let $\hat{\mu}(x) = [\underline{\mu}(x), \overline{\mu}(x)], \forall x \in X$. Then $\hat{\mu}(x) \in D[0, 1], \forall x \in X$.

If $\hat{\mu}$ and $\hat{\nu}$ be two interval-valued fuzzy sets in X , then we define

- $\hat{\mu} \subset \hat{\nu} \Leftrightarrow$ for all $x \in X, \underline{\mu}(x) \leq \underline{\nu}(x)$ and $\overline{\mu}(x) \leq \overline{\nu}(x)$.
- $\hat{\mu} = \hat{\nu} \Leftrightarrow$ for all $x \in X, \underline{\mu}(x) = \underline{\nu}(x)$ and $\overline{\mu}(x) = \overline{\nu}(x)$.
- $(\hat{\mu} \cup \hat{\nu})(x) = \hat{\mu}(x) \vee \hat{\nu}(x) = [\max\{\underline{\mu}(x), \underline{\nu}(x)\}, \max\{\overline{\mu}(x), \overline{\nu}(x)\}]$.
- $(\hat{\mu} \cap \hat{\nu})(x) = \hat{\mu}(x) \wedge \hat{\nu}(x) = [\min\{\underline{\mu}(x), \underline{\nu}(x)\}, \min\{\overline{\mu}(x), \overline{\nu}(x)\}]$.
- $(\hat{\mu} \times \hat{\nu})(x, y) = \hat{\mu}(x) \wedge \hat{\nu}(y) = [\min\{\underline{\mu}(x), \underline{\nu}(y)\}, \min\{\overline{\mu}(x), \overline{\nu}(y)\}]$.
- $\hat{\mu}^c(x) = [1 - \overline{\mu}(x), 1 - \underline{\mu}(x)]$.

Definition 2.11. Let $\hat{\mu}$ be an interval-valued fuzzy set in X . Then for every $[0, 0] < \hat{t} \leq [1, 1]$, the crisp set $\hat{\mu}_{\hat{t}} = \{x \in X \mid \hat{\mu}(x) \geq \hat{t}\}$ is called the level subset of $\hat{\mu}$.

Definition 2.12. An interval-valued fuzzy set $\hat{\mu}$ in KU-algebra X is called an interval-valued fuzzy KU-subalgebra of X if $\hat{\mu}(x * y) \geq rmin\{\hat{\mu}(x), \hat{\mu}(y)\}$ for all $x, y \in X$.

Definition 2.13. A interval-valued fuzzy set $\hat{\mu}$ in X is called an interval-valued fuzzy KU-ideal of X if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) $\hat{\mu}(0) \geq \hat{\mu}(x)$,
- (ii) $\hat{\mu}(x * z) \geq rmin\{\hat{\mu}(x * (y * z)), \hat{\mu}(y)\} \forall x, y, z \in X$.

Definition 2.14. A interval-valued fuzzy subset $\hat{\mu}$ of a KU-algebra X is said to be an $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -interval-valued fuzzy ideal of X if

- (i) $x_{\hat{t}} \in \hat{\mu} \Rightarrow 0_{\hat{t}} \in \vee q \hat{\mu}$.
- (ii) $(x * (y * z))_{\hat{t}}, y_{\hat{s}} \in \hat{\mu} \Rightarrow (x * z)_{rmin(\hat{t}, \hat{s})} \in \vee q \hat{\mu}$.

3. $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideals of KU-algebra

Now onwards, let X denote a KU-algebra and $\hat{a}, \hat{b} \in D[0, 1]$ such that $\hat{0} < \hat{a} < \hat{b} \leq \hat{1}$ also let $\hat{c} = rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1}), \hat{d} = rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})$ and $\hat{k} = \hat{d}/2$.

Definition 3.1. Ma et al [30] extended the notion of belongingness and quasi-coincidence of a fuzzy point with a fuzzy set and defined the notions of belongingness and quasi-coincidence of an interval-valued fuzzy point with an interval-valued fuzzy set. For any interval-valued fuzzy set

$\hat{\mu} = \{x, [\underline{\mu}(x), \overline{\mu}(x)]\}$ and $\hat{t} = [\underline{t}, \overline{t}]$, we define $\hat{\mu} + \hat{t} = [\underline{\mu}(x) + \underline{t}, \overline{\mu}(x) + \overline{t}]$ for all $x \in X$. In particular if $\underline{\mu}(x) + \underline{t} > 1$, we write as $\hat{\mu} + \hat{t} > [1, 1] = \hat{1}$

Let $x \in X$ and $\hat{t} \in D[0, 1]$, an interval-valued fuzzy set $\hat{\mu}$ of a KU-algebra X of the form

$$\hat{\mu}(y) = \begin{cases} \hat{t} \neq [0, 0], & \text{if } y = x, \hat{t} \in D(0, 1) \\ \hat{0} = [0, 0], & \text{if } y \neq x \end{cases}$$

is said to be an interval-valued fuzzy point with support x and interval-valued value \hat{t} and is denoted by $x_{\hat{t}}$.

Let $\hat{\mu}$ be an interval-valued fuzzy set in X . An interval-valued fuzzy point $x_{\hat{t}}$ is said to belongs to $\hat{\mu}$ w.r.t \hat{a} denoted by $x_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} \hat{\mu}$ (resp. coincident with $\hat{\mu}$ w.r.t (\hat{a}, \hat{b}) denoted by $x_{\hat{t}} q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} \hat{\mu}$) if $\hat{\mu}(x) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$ (resp. $\hat{\mu}(x) + \hat{t} > \hat{d}$ i.e., $\hat{\mu}(x) + \hat{t} > rmin\{2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a}\} = \hat{d}$.) If $x_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} \hat{\mu}$ or $x_{\hat{t}} q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} \hat{\mu}$, then we write $x_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} \hat{\mu}$.

Definition 3.2. An interval-valued fuzzy subset $\hat{\mu}$ of a KU-algebra X is said to be an interval valued $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -fuzzy ideal of X if

- (i) $x_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} \hat{\mu} \Rightarrow 0_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} \hat{\mu}$.
- (ii) $(x * (y * z))_{\hat{t}}, y_{\hat{s}} \in_{\hat{a}} \hat{\mu} \Rightarrow (x * z)_{rmin(\hat{t}, \hat{s})} \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} \hat{\mu}, \forall x, y \in X, \forall \hat{s}, \hat{t} \in (\hat{a}, \hat{1}]$

Remark 3.3. When $\hat{a} = \hat{0}, \hat{b} = \hat{1}$, then $\hat{d} = \hat{1}, \hat{k} = \frac{1}{2}$. then $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal becomes an $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal.

Example 3.4. Consider KU-algebra $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ with the following cayley table.



Table 1. Example of $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -fuzzy ideal.

*	0	1	2	3	4
0	0	1	2	3	4
1	0	0	2	3	4
2	0	1	0	3	3
3	0	0	2	0	2
4	0	0	0	0	0

Define a map $\hat{\mu} : X \rightarrow D[0, 1]$ by $\hat{\mu}(0) = [0.6, 0.9], \hat{\mu}(1) = [0.5, 0.6], \hat{\mu}(2) = [0.4, 0.5], \hat{\mu}(3) = [0.2, 0.3], \hat{\mu}(4) = [0.2, 0.3]$. Let $\hat{a} = [0.3, 0.4], \hat{b} = [0.6, 0.8]$ then $\hat{d} = rmin\{[1.2, 1.6], [1.3, 1.4]\} = [1.2, 1.4], \hat{k} = \hat{d}/2 = [0.6, 0.7]$ then by routine calculations it can be verified that $\hat{\mu}$ is an $([0.3, 0.4], [0.6, 0.8]; \in_{[0.3, 0.4]}, \in_{[0.3, 0.4]} \vee q_{([0.3, 0.4], [0.6, 0.8])})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal X.

Theorem 3.5. An interval-valued fuzzy subset $\hat{\mu}$ of a KU-algebra X is an $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval-valued fuzzy ideal of X if

- (i) $\hat{\mu}(0) \geq rmin\{\hat{\mu}(x), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})\}$,
- (ii) $\hat{\mu}(x * z) \geq rmin\{\hat{\mu}(x * (y * z)), \hat{\mu}(y), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})\}$.

Proof. Suppose $\hat{\mu}$ is an $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval-valued fuzzy ideal of X.

(i) Assume that (i) is not valid, then there exists some $x \in X$ such that $\hat{\mu}(0) < rmin\{\hat{\mu}(x), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})\}$. choose an interval \hat{t} such that

$$\hat{\mu}(0) < rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}) < rmin\{\hat{\mu}(x), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})\} \quad (3.1)$$

$\Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(x) > rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$
 $\Rightarrow x_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} \hat{\mu}$
 $\Rightarrow 0_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} \hat{\mu}$
 $\Rightarrow 0_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} \hat{\mu}$ or $0_{\hat{t}} q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} \hat{\mu}$
 $\Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(0) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$ or $\hat{\mu}(0) + \hat{t} > rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})$
 $\Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(0) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$ or $rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a}) < \hat{\mu}(0) + \hat{t} < rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}) + \hat{t} = 2rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$ by (3.1)
 $\Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(0) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$ or $rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2}) < rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$ which contradicts (3.1)

Hence (i) is valid.

(ii) Assume that (ii) is not valid then there exists some $x, y, z \in X$ such that $\hat{\mu}(x * z) < rmin\{\hat{\mu}(x * (y * z)), \hat{\mu}(y), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})\}$ choose an interval number \hat{t} such that

$$\hat{\mu}(x * z) < rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}) < rmin\{\hat{\mu}(x * (y * z)), \hat{\mu}(y), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})\} \quad (3.2)$$

$\Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(y) > rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$ and $\hat{\mu}(x * (y * z)) > rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$
 $\Rightarrow (x * (y * z))_{\hat{t}}, y_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} \hat{\mu}$

$\Rightarrow (x * z)_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} \hat{\mu}$
 $\Rightarrow (x * z)_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} \hat{\mu}$ or $(x * z)_{\hat{t}} q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} \hat{\mu}$
 $\Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(x * z) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$ or $\hat{\mu}(x * z) + \hat{t} > rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})$
 $\Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(x * z) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$ or $rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a}) < \hat{\mu}(x * z) + \hat{t} < rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}) + \hat{t} = 2rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$ by (3.2)
 $\Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(x * z) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$ or $rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2}) < rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$ which contradicts (3.2)
Hence (ii) is valid. □

Remark 3.6. Every interval valued fuzzy KU-ideal is an $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal but the converse is not true as shown in following Example.

Example 3.7. Consider KU-algebra $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ with the following cayley table.

Table 2. Illustration of converse of Remark 3.6.

*	0	1	2	3	4
0	0	1	2	3	4
1	0	0	2	3	4
2	0	1	0	3	3
3	0	0	2	0	2
4	0	0	0	0	0

Define a map $\hat{\mu} : X \rightarrow D[0, 1]$ by $\hat{\mu}(0) = [0.3, 0.4], \hat{\mu}(1) = [0.5, 0.6], \hat{\mu}(2) = [0.4, 0.5], \hat{\mu}(3) = \hat{\mu}(4) = [0.4, 0.5]$. Let $\hat{a} = [0.1, 0.2], \hat{b} = [0.3, 0.4]$ then $\hat{d} = rmin\{[0.6, 0.8], [1.1, 1.2]\} = [0.6, 0.8], \hat{k} = \hat{d}/2 = [0.3, 0.4]$ then $\hat{\mu}$ is an $([0.1, 0.2], [0.3, 0.4]; \in_{[0.1, 0.2]}, \in_{[0.1, 0.2]} \vee q_{([0.3, 0.4], [0.3, 0.4])})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal X by Theorem 3.5, however it is not an interval valued fuzzy ideal of X, since $\hat{\mu}(0) = [0.3, 0.4] \not\geq \hat{\mu}(1) = [0.5, 0.6]$.

Theorem 3.8. If $\hat{\lambda}$ and $\hat{\mu}$ be two $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideals of X, then $\hat{\lambda} \cap \hat{\mu}$ is also an $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal of X.

Proof. Here $\hat{\lambda}, \hat{\mu}$ both are $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideals of X. Therefore

$$\hat{\mu}(0) \geq rmin\{\hat{\mu}(x), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})\} \quad (3.3)$$

$$\hat{\mu}(x * z) \geq rmin\{\hat{\mu}(x * (y * z)), \hat{\mu}(y), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})\} \quad (3.4)$$

$$\hat{\lambda}(0) \geq rmin\{\hat{\lambda}(x), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})\} \quad (3.5)$$

$$\hat{\lambda}((x * z)) \geq rmin\{\hat{\lambda}(x * (y * z)), \hat{\lambda}(y), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})\} \quad (3.6)$$



Now

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\hat{\lambda} \cap \hat{\mu})(0) &= rmin\{\hat{\lambda}(\hat{0}), \hat{\mu}(\hat{0})\} \\
 &\geq rmin\{rmin\{\hat{\lambda}(x), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})\}, \\
 &\quad rmin\{\hat{\mu}(x), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})\}\} \\
 &= rmin\{rmin(\hat{\lambda}(x), \hat{\mu}(x), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2}))\} \\
 &= rmin\{(\hat{\lambda} \cap \hat{\mu})(x), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})\} \\
 \\
 (\hat{\lambda} \cap \hat{\mu})(x * z) \\
 &= rmin\{\hat{\lambda}(x * z), \hat{\mu}(x * z)\} \\
 &\geq rmin\{rmin\{\hat{\lambda}(x * (y * z)), \hat{\lambda}(y), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})\}, \\
 &\quad rmin\{\hat{\mu}(x * (y * z)), \hat{\mu}(y), \hat{\mu}(rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2}))\}\} \\
 &= rmin\{rmin(\hat{\lambda}(x * (y * z)), \hat{\mu}(x * (y * z))), \\
 &\quad rmin(\hat{\lambda}(y), \hat{\mu}(y), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2}))\} \\
 &= rmin\{(\hat{\lambda} \cap \hat{\mu})(x * (y * z)), (\hat{\lambda} \cap \hat{\mu})(y), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})\}
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence $(\hat{\lambda} \cap \hat{\mu})$ is an $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal of X . \square

Theorem 3.9. *If $\hat{\mu}$ be an $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal of X , then $\hat{\mu}$ is an interval valued fuzzy ideal of X .*

Proof. Let $\hat{\mu}$ be an $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal of X . To prove $\hat{\mu}$ is an interval valued fuzzy ideal of X . Let $x \in X$ such that $rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}) = \hat{\mu}(x)$ then $\hat{\mu}(x) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$ i.e. $x_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} \hat{\mu} \Rightarrow 0_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} \hat{\mu}$ [Since $\hat{\mu}$ be an $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal]

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(0) &\geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}) = \hat{\mu}(x) \\
 \Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(0) &\geq \hat{\mu}(x)
 \end{aligned}$$

Again let $x, y, z \in X$ such that $rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}) = \hat{\mu}(x * (y * z))$, $rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{s}) = \hat{\mu}(y)$ then $\hat{\mu}(x * (y * z)) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$, $\hat{\mu}(y) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{s})$ i.e., $(x * (y * z))_{\hat{t}, \hat{s}} \in_{\hat{a}} \hat{\mu} \Rightarrow (x * z)_{rmin(\hat{t}, \hat{s})} \in_{\hat{a}} \hat{\mu}$ [Since $\hat{\mu}$ be an $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal]

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(x * z) &\geq rmax\{\hat{a}, rmin(\hat{t}, \hat{s})\} \\
 &= rmin\{rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}), rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{s})\} \\
 \Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(x * z) &\geq rmin\{\hat{\mu}(x * (y * z)), \hat{\mu}(y)\}
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\hat{\mu}$ is an interval valued fuzzy ideal of X . \square

Theorem 3.10. *If $\hat{\mu}$ is a $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})}, q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal of X , then it is also an $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal of X .*

Proof. Let $\hat{\mu}$ be a $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})}, q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal of X . Let $x \in X$ such that $x_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} \hat{\mu}$ then $\hat{\mu}(x) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$
 $\Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(x) + \hat{\delta} > rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$
 $\Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(x) + \hat{\delta} - rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}) + rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a}) > rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})$
 $\Rightarrow x_{(\hat{\delta} - rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}) + rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a}))} q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} \hat{\mu}$
 $\Rightarrow (0)_{(\hat{\delta} - rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}) + rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a}))} q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} \hat{\mu}$

[Since $\hat{\mu}$ be an $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal.]

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(0) + \hat{\delta} - rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}) + rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a}) &> rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a}) \\
 \Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(0) + \hat{\delta} &> rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}) \\
 \Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(0) &\geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}) \\
 \Rightarrow 0_{\hat{t}} &\in_{\hat{a}} \hat{\mu}
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $x_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} \hat{\mu} \Rightarrow 0_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} \hat{\mu}$.

Again let $x, y, z \in X$ such that $(x * (y * z))_{\hat{t}, \hat{s}} \in_{\hat{a}} \hat{\mu}$
 $\Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(x * (y * z)) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$, $\hat{\mu}(y) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{s})$
 $\Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(x * (y * z)) + \hat{\delta} > rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$, $\hat{\mu}(y) + \hat{\delta} > rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{s})$
 $\Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(x * (y * z)) + \hat{\delta} - rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}) + rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})$
 $\quad > rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})$, and
 $\hat{\mu}(y) + \hat{\delta} - rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{s}) + rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a}) > rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})$
 $\Rightarrow (x * (y * z))_{(\hat{\delta} - rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}) + rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a}))} q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} \hat{\mu}$ and
 $(y)_{(\hat{\delta} - rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{s}) + rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a}))} q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} \hat{\mu}$
 $\Rightarrow (x * z)_{\{rmin(\hat{\delta} - rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}) + rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a}), \hat{\delta} - rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{s}) + rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a}))\}} q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} \hat{\mu}$
 $\Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(x * z) + rmin\{\hat{\delta} - rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}) + rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a}),$
 $\quad \hat{\delta} - rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{s}) + rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})\}$
 $\quad > rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})$
 $\Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(x * z) + \hat{\delta} - rmax\{rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}), rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{s})\}$
 $\quad + rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a}) > rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})$
 $\Rightarrow \mu(x * z) + \hat{\delta} > rmax\{rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}), rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{s})\}$
 $\quad \geq rmin\{rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}), rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{s})\}$
 $\Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(x * z) \geq rmin\{rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}), rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{s})\}$
 $\Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(x * z) \geq rmin\{rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}, \hat{s})\} = rmax(\hat{a}, rmin(\hat{t}, \hat{s}))$
 $\Rightarrow (x * z)_{rmin(\hat{t}, \hat{s})} \in_{\hat{a}} \hat{\mu}$
 i.e. $(x * (y * z))_{\hat{t}, \hat{s}} \in_{\hat{a}} \hat{\mu} \Rightarrow (x * z)_{rmin(\hat{t}, \hat{s})} \in_{\hat{a}} \hat{\mu}$

Hence $\hat{\mu}$ is an $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal of X . \square

Theorem 3.11. *An interval valued fuzzy subset $\hat{\mu}$ of KU -algebra X is an $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal of X .*

(i) *If $\hat{\mu}(x) < rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2}) \forall x \in X$, then $\hat{\mu}$ is also an $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal of X .*

(ii) *If $\hat{\mu}(x) \geq rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})$ for some $x \in X$, then $\hat{\mu}(0) \geq rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})$*

Proof. (i) Let $\hat{\mu}$ be an $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal of X and $\hat{\mu}(x) < rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2}) \forall x \in X$
 Let $x_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} \hat{\mu} \Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(x) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$
 $\Rightarrow rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}) \leq \hat{\mu}(x) < rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})$ and
 also $\hat{\mu}(0) < rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})$
 $\Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(0) + rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}) < rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2}) + rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})$



$= rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})$

$\Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(0) + \hat{t} < rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a}) \Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(0) + \hat{t} \not\geq rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})$
 $\Rightarrow 0_{\hat{t}}\bar{q}_{(a,b)}\hat{\mu}$ therefore $x_t \in a \hat{\mu} \Rightarrow 0_{\hat{t}}\bar{q}_{(\hat{a},\hat{b})}\hat{\mu}$

Since $\hat{\mu}$ be an $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a},\hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal of X , therefore we must have $x_t \in_{\hat{t}} \hat{\mu} \Rightarrow 0_t \in_a \hat{\mu}$

Again let $(x*(y*z))_{\hat{t}}, y_{\hat{t}} \in_a \hat{\mu}$
 $\Rightarrow rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}) \leq \hat{\mu}(x*(y*z)) < rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})$ and $rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{s}) \leq \hat{\mu}(y) < rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})$
 $\Rightarrow rmin\{rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}), rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{s})\} < rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})$
 $\Rightarrow rmax(\hat{a}, rmin(\hat{t}, \hat{s})) < rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})$ and also
 $\hat{\mu}(x*z) + rmax(\hat{a}, rmin(\hat{t}, \hat{s})) < rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2}) + rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2}) = rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})$
 $\Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(x*z) + rmin(\hat{t}, \hat{s}) < rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})$

Since $\hat{\mu}$ is an $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a},\hat{b})})$ -fuzzy ideal of X
 i.e., either $\hat{\mu}(x*z) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, rmin(\hat{t}, \hat{s}))$
 or $\hat{\mu}(x*z) + rmin(\hat{t}, \hat{s}) > rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})$

So we must have $\hat{\mu}(x*z) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, rmin(\hat{t}, \hat{s}))$
 i.e., $(x*z)_{rmin(\hat{t}, \hat{s})} \in_a \hat{\mu}$

Hence $\hat{\mu}$ is an $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal of X .

(ii) we have $\hat{\mu}(x) \geq rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})$ for some $x \in X$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mu}(0) &= \hat{\mu}(x*x) \\ &\geq rmin\{\hat{\mu}(x*(x*x)), \hat{\mu}(x), \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2}\} \\ &= rmin\{\hat{\mu}(0), \hat{\mu}(x), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})\} \\ &\geq rmin\{\hat{\mu}(0), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2}), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})\} \\ &= rmin\{\hat{\mu}(0), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})\} \\ \Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(0) &\geq rmin\{\hat{\mu}(0), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})\} \\ \Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(0) &\geq rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2}) \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 3.12. An interval valued fuzzy set $\hat{\mu}$ in X is an $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a},\hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal of X if and only if the level set $\hat{\mu}_{\hat{t}} = \{x \in X | \hat{\mu}(x) \geq \hat{t}\}$ is an ideal of X for all $\hat{t} \in D(\hat{0}, rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2}))$ and $\hat{\mu}_{\hat{t}} \neq \phi$

Proof. Assume that $\hat{\mu}$ be an $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a},\hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal of X and $\hat{t} \in D(\hat{0}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})$. Let $x \in X$ such that $x \in \hat{\mu}_{\hat{t}}$, therefore $\hat{\mu}(x) \geq \hat{t}$

Now by the Theorem 3.5
 $\hat{\mu}(0) \geq rmin\{\hat{\mu}(x), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})\} \geq rmin\{\hat{t}, rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})\} = \hat{t}$
 $\Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(0) \geq \hat{t} \Rightarrow 0 \in \hat{\mu}_{\hat{t}}$

Again let $x, y, z \in X$ such that $x*(y*z), y \in \hat{\mu}_{\hat{t}}$. Therefore $\hat{\mu}(x*(y*z)) \geq \hat{t}, \hat{\mu}(y) \geq \hat{t}$

Now by the Theorem 3.5

$\hat{\mu}(x*z) \geq rmin\{\hat{\mu}(x*(y*z)), \hat{\mu}(y), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})\}$
 $\geq rmin\{\hat{t}, \hat{t}, rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})\} = \hat{t} \Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(x*z) \geq \hat{t} \Rightarrow (x*z) \in \hat{\mu}_{\hat{t}}$

Therefore $x*(y*z), y \in \hat{\mu}_{\hat{t}} \Rightarrow (x*z) \in \hat{\mu}_{\hat{t}}$. Therefore $\hat{\mu}_{\hat{t}}$ is a ideal of X .

Conversely,

Suppose that $\hat{\mu}$ be an interval valued fuzzy set in X and $\hat{\mu}_{\hat{t}} = \{x \in X | \hat{\mu}(x) \geq \hat{t}\}$ is an ideal of X for all $\hat{t} \in D(\hat{0}, rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2}))$. To prove $\hat{\mu}$ is an $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a},\hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal of X . Suppose $\hat{\mu}$ is not an $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a},\hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal of X . Then there exists some $x, y, z \in X$ such that at least one of $\hat{\mu}(0) < rmin\{\hat{\mu}(x), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})\}$ and $\hat{\mu}(x*z) < rmin\{\hat{\mu}(x*(y*z), \hat{\mu}(y), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2}))\}$ hold. Suppose $\hat{\mu}(0) < rmin\{\hat{\mu}(x), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})\}$ holds. Choose an interval number $\hat{t} \in D(\hat{0}, rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2}))$. such that

$$\hat{\mu}(0) < \hat{t} < rmin\{\hat{\mu}(x), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})\} \tag{3.7}$$

$\Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(x) > \hat{t}$
 $\Rightarrow x \in \hat{\mu}_{\hat{t}} \Rightarrow 0 \in \hat{\mu}_{\hat{t}}$ [Since $\hat{\mu}_{\hat{t}}$ is an ideal
 $\Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(0) \geq \hat{t}$
 which contradicts (3.7).

Therefore we must have $\hat{\mu}(0) \geq rmin\{\hat{\mu}(x), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})\}$

Again if $\hat{\mu}(x*z) < rmin\{\hat{\mu}(x*(y*z), \hat{\mu}(y), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2}))\}$ holds. Again choose an interval number $\hat{t} \in D(\hat{0}, rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2}))$, such that

$$\hat{\mu}(x*z) < \hat{t} < rmin\{\hat{\mu}(x*(y*z)), \hat{\mu}(y), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})\} \tag{3.8}$$

$\Rightarrow (x*(y*z)), y \in \hat{\mu}_{\hat{t}}$. Since $\hat{\mu}_{\hat{t}}$ is an ideal of X , it follows that $x*z \in \hat{\mu}_{\hat{t}}$ so that $\hat{\mu}(x*z) \geq \hat{t}$ which contradicts (3.8).

Hence we must have

$$\hat{\mu}(x*z) \geq rmin\{\hat{\mu}(x*(y*z)), \hat{\mu}(y), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})\}.$$

Consequently $\hat{\mu}$ is an $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a},\hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal of X . □

Theorem 3.13. If $\hat{\mu}$ is a non zero $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; q_{(\hat{a},\hat{b})}, \in_{\hat{a}})$

(or $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; q_{(\hat{a},\hat{b})}, q_{(\hat{a},\hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal of X . Then the set

$$X_0 = \{x \in X | \hat{\mu}(x) > \hat{0}\}$$

is an ideal of X .

Proof. First part for $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; q_{(\hat{a},\hat{b})}, \in_{\hat{a}})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal.

Let $x \in X_0$. Then $\hat{\mu}(x) > \hat{0}$ Therefore $\hat{\mu}(x) + rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a}) > rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})$ that is $x_{rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})} q_{(\hat{a},\hat{b})} \hat{\mu}$ Since $\hat{\mu}$ is a non zero $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; q_{(\hat{a},\hat{b})}, \in_{\hat{a}})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal of X . Therefore $0_{rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})} q_{(\hat{a},\hat{b})} \hat{\mu}$ which implies $\hat{\mu}(0) + rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a}) > rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})$ i.e., $\hat{\mu}(0) > \hat{0}$ i.e., $0 \in X_0$.

Again let $(x*(y*z)), y \in X_0$. Then $\hat{\mu}(x*(y*z)) > \hat{0}, \hat{\mu}(y) > \hat{0}$ Therefore $\hat{\mu}(x*(y*z)) + rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a}) > rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})$



\hat{a}) and $\hat{\mu}(y) + rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a}) > rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})$ that is $(x * (y * z))_{rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})} q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} \hat{\mu}, y_{rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})} q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} \hat{\mu}$. Since $\hat{\mu}$ is a non zero $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})}, \in_{\hat{a}})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal of X . Therefore $(x * z)_{rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})} q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} \hat{\mu}$ implies $\hat{\mu}(x * z) + rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a}) > rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})$ i.e., $\hat{\mu}(x * z) > \hat{0}$ i.e., $(x * z) \in X_0$. Hence X_0 is an ideal of X .

Similarly second part can be prove for $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})}, q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal. □

Definition 3.14. Let $\hat{\mu}$ be a fuzzy set in KU -algebra X and $\hat{t} \in D(0, 1]$, let

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mu}_{\hat{t}} &= \{x \in X | x_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} \hat{\mu}\} = \{x \in X | \hat{\mu}(x) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})\} \\ < \hat{\mu} >_{\hat{t}} &= \{x \in X | x_{\hat{t}} q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} \hat{\mu}\} \\ &= \{x \in X | \hat{\mu}(x) + \hat{t} > rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{\mu}]_{\hat{t}} &= \{x \in X | x_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} \hat{\mu}\} \\ &= \{x \in X | \hat{\mu}(x) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}) \text{ or } \hat{\mu}(x) + \hat{t} > rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})\} \end{aligned}$$

Here $\hat{\mu}_{\hat{t}}$ is called $\in_{\hat{a}}$ level set of $\hat{\mu}$, $< \hat{\mu} >_{\hat{t}}$ is called $q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})}$ level set of $\hat{\mu}$ and $[\hat{\mu}]_{\hat{t}}$ is called $(\in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -level set of $\hat{\mu}$. Clearly $[\hat{\mu}]_{\hat{t}} = < \hat{\mu} >_{\hat{t}} \cup \hat{\mu}_{\hat{t}}$

Theorem 3.15. An interval valued fuzzy set $\hat{\mu}$ in X is an $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal of X if and only if the level set $[\hat{\mu}]_{\hat{t}}$ is an ideal of X for all $\hat{t} \in D(\hat{0}, \hat{1})$. We called $[\hat{\mu}]_{\hat{t}}$ as $\in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})}$ levels ideals of $\hat{\mu}$.

Proof. Assume that $\hat{\mu}$ is an $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal of X . To prove $[\hat{\mu}]_{\hat{t}}$ is an ideal of X . Let $x \in [\hat{\mu}]_{\hat{t}}$ for $\hat{t} \in D(0, 1]$ then $x_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} \hat{\mu}$. Therefore we have $\hat{\mu}(x) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$ or $\hat{\mu}(x) + \hat{t} > rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})$. Since $\hat{\mu}$ in X is an $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal of X . Therefore

$$\hat{\mu}(0) \geq rmin\{\hat{\mu}(x), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2})\}, \forall x, y \in X \quad (3.9)$$

Now we have the following cases:

CaseI. Let $\hat{\mu}(x) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$ now if $\hat{t} \leq rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2})$ also since $\hat{a} < \hat{b}$ therefore $rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}) < rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2})$. Hence by eqn 3.9

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mu}(0) &\geq rmin\{\hat{\mu}(x), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2})\} \\ &\geq rmin\{rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2})\} \\ &\geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}) \end{aligned}$$

Which implies $0_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} \hat{\mu}$.

Again if $\hat{t} > rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2})$ also since $\hat{a} < \hat{b}$ therefore $rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}) \geq rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2})$. Hence by eqn 3.9

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mu}(0) &\geq rmin\{\hat{\mu}(x), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2})\} \\ &\geq rmin\{rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2})\} \\ &\geq rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2}) \end{aligned}$$

Which implies $\hat{\mu}(0) \geq rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2})$. Therefore $\hat{\mu}(0) + rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2}) \geq rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2}) + rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2}) = rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})$.

Therefore $0_{\hat{t}} q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} \hat{\mu}$.

Hence from above $0_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} \hat{\mu}$ i.e., $0 \in [\hat{\mu}]_{\hat{t}}$.

CaseII. Let $\hat{\mu}(x) + \hat{t} > rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})$ and if $\hat{t} \leq rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2})$ also since $\hat{a} < \hat{b}$ therefore $rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}) < rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2})$. Hence by eqn 3.9

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mu}(0) &\geq rmin\{\hat{\mu}(x), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2})\} \\ &\geq rmin\{rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a}) - \hat{t}, rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2})\} \\ &\geq rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2}) \\ &\geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $0_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} \hat{\mu}$.

Again if $\hat{t} > rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2})$ also since $\hat{a} < \hat{b}$ therefore $rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}) \geq rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2})$. Hence by eqn 3.9

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mu}(0) &\geq rmin\{\hat{\mu}(x), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2})\} \\ &\geq rmin\{rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a}) - \hat{t}, rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2})\} \\ &\geq rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a}) - \hat{t} \\ \hat{\mu}(0) + \hat{t} &\geq rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a}) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $0_{\hat{t}} q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} \hat{\mu}$.

Hence from above $0_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} \hat{\mu}$ i.e., $0 \in [\hat{\mu}]_{\hat{t}}$.

Again let $x * (y * z), y \in [\hat{\mu}]_{\hat{t}}$ for $\hat{t} \in D(\hat{0}, \hat{1})$ then $(x * (y * z))_{\hat{t}} (y)_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} \hat{\mu}$ then $\hat{\mu}(x * (y * z)) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$ or $\hat{\mu}(x * (y * z)) + \hat{t} > rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})$ and $\hat{\mu}(y) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$ or $\hat{\mu}(y) + \hat{t} > rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})$. Since $\hat{\mu}$ is an $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal of X . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mu}(x * z) &\geq rmin\{\hat{\mu}(x * (y * z)), \hat{\mu}(y), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2})\}, \\ &\quad \forall x, y \in X \quad (3.10) \end{aligned}$$

CaseI. Let $\hat{\mu}(x * (y * z)) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$ and $\hat{\mu}(y) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$ if $\hat{t} \leq rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2})$ also since $\hat{a} < \hat{b}$ therefore $rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}) <$



$rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})$. Hence by eqn 3.10

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mu}(x*z) &\geq rmin\{\hat{\mu}(x*(y*z)), \hat{\mu}(y), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})\} \\ &\geq rmin\{rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}), rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})\} \\ &\geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $(x*z)_t \in_{\hat{a}} \hat{\mu}$

Again if $\hat{t} > rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})$ also since $\hat{a} < \hat{b}$ therefore $rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}) \geq rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})$. Hence by 3.10

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mu}(x*z) &\geq rmin\{\hat{\mu}(x*(y*z)), \hat{\mu}(y), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})\} \\ &\geq rmin\{rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}), rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})\} \\ &\geq rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2}) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\hat{\mu}(x*z) \geq rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})$

$$\hat{\mu}(x*z) + rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2}) \geq rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2}) + rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2}) = rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a}).$$

Therefore $(x*z)_t q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} \hat{\mu}$.

Hence from above $(x*z)_t \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} \hat{\mu}$ i.e., $x*z \in [\hat{\mu}]_t$.

CaseII. Let $\hat{\mu}(x*(y*z)) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$ and $\hat{\mu}(y) + \hat{t} > rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})$ Assume $\hat{t} \leq rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})$ also since $\hat{a} < \hat{b}$ therefore $rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}) < rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})$. Hence by eq 3.10

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mu}(x*z) &\geq rmin\{\hat{\mu}(x*(y*z)), \hat{\mu}(y), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})\} \\ &\geq rmin\{rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}), rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a}) - \hat{t}, rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})\} \\ &\geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $(x*z)_t \in_{\hat{a}} \hat{\mu}$

Again if $\hat{t} > rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})$ also since $\hat{a} < \hat{b}$ therefore $rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}) \geq rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})$. Hence by 3.10

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mu}(x*z) &\geq rmin\{\hat{\mu}(x*(y*z)), \hat{\mu}(y), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})\} \\ &\geq rmin\{rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}), rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a}) - \hat{t}, rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})\} \\ &\geq rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a}) - \hat{t} \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \hat{\mu}(x*z) + \hat{t} \geq rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})$$

Therefore $(x*z)_t q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} \hat{\mu}$

Hence from above $(x*z)_t \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} \hat{\mu}$. i.e., $x*z \in [\hat{\mu}]_t$

CaseIII. Let $\hat{\mu}(x*(y*z)) + \hat{t} > rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})$ and $\hat{\mu}(y) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$

Similar to Case II.

CaseIV. Let $\hat{\mu}(x*(y*z)) + \hat{t} > rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})$ and $\hat{\mu}(y) + \hat{t} > rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})$

Similar to Case II.

Conversely, let $\hat{\mu}$ be an interval valued fuzzy set in X and $\hat{t} \in D(0, 1]$ such that $[\hat{\mu}]_t$ is an ideal of X . To prove $\hat{\mu}$ is an $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal of X . If $\hat{\mu}$ is not an $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal of X , then there exists $x, y, z \in X$ such that at least one of $\hat{\mu}(0) < rmin\{\hat{\mu}(x), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})\}$ and $\hat{\mu}(x*z) < rmin\{\hat{\mu}(x*(y*z)), \hat{\mu}(y), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})\}$ hold.

Suppose $\hat{\mu}(0) < rmin\{\hat{\mu}(x), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})\}$ hold. Then choose an interval \hat{t} such that

$$\hat{\mu}(0) < rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}) < rmin\{\hat{\mu}(x), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})\} \quad (3.11)$$

Which implies $\hat{\mu}(x) > rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$ i.e., $x_t \in_{\hat{a}} \hat{\mu}$. Since $\hat{\mu}$ is an $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal of X . Therefore we must have $0_t \in_{\hat{a}} \hat{\mu}$ i.e., $\hat{\mu}(0) > rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$ which contradicts eqn 3.11. Again if $\hat{\mu}(x*z) < rmin\{\hat{\mu}(x*(y*z)), \hat{\mu}(y), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})\}$ hold. Then choose an interval \hat{t} such that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mu}(x*z) &< rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}) \\ &< rmin\{\hat{\mu}(x*(y*z)), \hat{\mu}(y), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})\} \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

Which implies $\hat{\mu}(x*(y*z)) > rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$, $\hat{\mu}(y) > rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$ i.e., $(x*(y*z))_t \in_{\hat{a}} \hat{\mu}$, $y_t \in_{\hat{a}} \hat{\mu}$ since $\hat{\mu}$ is an $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal of X . Therefore we must have $(x*z)_t \in_{\hat{a}} \hat{\mu}$ i.e., $\hat{\mu}(x*z) > rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$ which contradicts 3.12. Hence $\hat{\mu}$ is an $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal of X . \square

Theorem 3.16. Let X, Y be two *KU*-algebras. Then their cartesian product $X \times Y = \{(x, y) \mid x \in X, y \in Y\}$ is also a *KU*-algebra under the binary operation $*$ defined in $X \times Y$ by $(x, y) * (p, q) = (x * p, y * q)$ for all $(x, y), (p, q) \in X \times Y$.

Proof. Straightforward. \square

Definition 3.17. Let $\hat{\lambda}$ and $\hat{\mu}$ be two $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideals of *KU*-algebra X . Then their cartesian product $\hat{\lambda} \times \hat{\mu}$ is defined by

$$(\hat{\lambda} \times \hat{\mu})(x, y) = rmin\{\hat{\lambda}(x), \hat{\mu}(x)\}$$

where $(\hat{\lambda} \times \hat{\mu}) : X \times X \rightarrow D[0, 1] \quad \forall x, y \in X$.

Theorem 3.18. Let $\hat{\lambda}$ and $\hat{\mu}$ be two $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideals of a *KU*-algebra X . Then $\hat{\lambda} \times \hat{\mu}$



is also an $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal of $X \times X$.

Proof. Similar to Theorem 3.8 □

Definition 3.19. Let X and X' be two KU -algebras. Then a mapping $f : X \rightarrow X'$ is said to be homomorphism if $f(x * y) = f(x) * f(y) \forall x, y \in X$.

Theorem 3.20. Let X and X' be two KU -algebras and $f : X \rightarrow X'$ be a homomorphism. Then $f(0) = 0'$, where $0 \in X$ and $0' \in X'$.

Proof. We have $f(0) = f(x * x) = f(x) * f(x) = 0'$ □

Theorem 3.21. Let X and X' be two KU -algebras and $f : X \rightarrow X'$ be a homomorphism. If $\hat{\mu}$ be an $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal of X' , then $f^{-1}(\hat{\mu})$ is an $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal of X .

Proof. $f^{-1}(\hat{\mu})$ is defined as $f^{-1}(\hat{\mu})(x) = \hat{\mu}(f(x)) \forall x \in X$. Let $\hat{\mu}$ be an $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal of X' and $x \in X$ such that $x_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} f^{-1}(\hat{\mu})$ then $f^{-1}(\hat{\mu})(x) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}), \hat{\mu}f(x) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$
 $\Rightarrow (f(x))_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} \hat{\mu}$
 $\Rightarrow 0'_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} \hat{\mu}$ [Since $\hat{\mu}$ be an $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal of X']
 $\Rightarrow 0'_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} \hat{\mu}$ or $0'_{\hat{t}} q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} \hat{\mu}$
 $\Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(0') \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$ or $\hat{\mu}(0') + \hat{t} \geq rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})$
 $\Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(f(0)) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$ or $\hat{\mu}(f(0)) + \hat{t} \geq rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})$
 $\Rightarrow f^{-1}(\hat{\mu})(0) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$
 or $f^{-1}(\hat{\mu})(0) + \hat{t} \geq rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})$
 $\Rightarrow 0_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} f^{-1}(\hat{\mu})$ or $0_{\hat{t}} q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} f^{-1}(\hat{\mu})$
 $\Rightarrow 0_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} f^{-1}(\hat{\mu})$

Therefore $x_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} f^{-1}(\hat{\mu}) \Rightarrow 0_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} f^{-1}(\hat{\mu})$
 Again let $x, y, z \in X$ such that $(x * y(*z))_{\hat{t}}, y_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} f^{-1}(\hat{\mu})$ then $f^{-1}(\hat{\mu})(x * y(*z)) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$ and $f^{-1}(\hat{\mu})(y) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{s})$ $\hat{\mu}f(x * y(*z)) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$ and $\hat{\mu}f(y) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{s})$
 $\Rightarrow [f(x * (y * z))]_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} \hat{\mu}$ and $[f(y)]_{\hat{s}} \in_{\hat{a}} \hat{\mu}$
 $\Rightarrow [f(x) * (f(y) * f(z))]_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} \hat{\mu}$ and $[f(y)]_{\hat{s}} \in_{\hat{a}} \hat{\mu}$
 $\Rightarrow [f(x) * f(z)]_{rmin(\hat{t}, \hat{s})} \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} \hat{\mu}$
 $\Rightarrow [f(x) * f(z)]_{rmin(\hat{t}, \hat{s})} \in_{\hat{a}} \hat{\mu}$ or $[f(x) * f(z)]_{rmin(\hat{t}, \hat{s})} q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} \hat{\mu}$
 $\Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(f(x * z)) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, rmin(\hat{t}, \hat{s}))$
 or $\hat{\mu}(f(x * z)) + rmin(\hat{t}, \hat{s}) \geq rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})$
 $\Rightarrow f^{-1}(\hat{\mu})(x * z) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, rmin(\hat{t}, \hat{s}))$ or $f^{-1}(\hat{\mu})(x * z) + rmin(\hat{t}, \hat{s}) \geq rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})$
 $\Rightarrow (x * z)_{rmin(\hat{t}, \hat{s})} \in_{\hat{a}} f^{-1}(\hat{\mu})$ or $(x * z)_{rmin(\hat{t}, \hat{s})} q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} f^{-1}(\hat{\mu})$
 $\Rightarrow (x * z)_{rmin(\hat{t}, \hat{s})} \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} f^{-1}(\hat{\mu})$
 Therefore $(x * (y * z))_{\hat{t}}, y_{\hat{s}} \in_{\hat{a}} f^{-1}(\hat{\mu})$
 $\Rightarrow (x * z)_{rmin(\hat{t}, \hat{s})} \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} f^{-1}(\hat{\mu})$
 Hence the proof □

Theorem 3.22. Let X and X' be two KU -algebras and $f : X \rightarrow X'$ be an onto homomorphism. If $\hat{\mu}$ be an interval valued fuzzy subset of X' such that $f^{-1}(\hat{\mu})$ is an $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal of X , then $\hat{\mu}$ is also an $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal of X' .

Proof. Let $x' \in X'$ such that $x'_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} \hat{\mu}$ where $\hat{t} \in D[0, 1]$ then $\hat{\mu}(x') \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$ since f is onto so there exists $x \in X$ such that $f(x) = x'$. Now $\hat{\mu}(x') \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$
 $\Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(f(x)) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$
 $\Rightarrow f^{-1}(\hat{\mu})(x) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$
 $\Rightarrow x_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} f^{-1}(\hat{\mu})$
 $\Rightarrow 0_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} f^{-1}(\hat{\mu})$
 [since $f^{-1}(\hat{\mu})$ is an $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal of X]
 $\Rightarrow 0_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} f^{-1}(\hat{\mu})$ or $0_{\hat{t}} q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} f^{-1}(\hat{\mu})$
 $\Rightarrow f^{-1}(\hat{\mu})(0) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$
 or $f^{-1}(\hat{\mu})(0) + \hat{t} > rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})$
 $\Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(f(0)) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$ or $\hat{\mu}(f(0)) + \hat{t} > rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})$
 $\Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(0') \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$ or $\hat{\mu}(0') + \hat{t} > rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})$
 $\Rightarrow 0'_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} \hat{\mu}$ or $0'_{\hat{t}} q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} \hat{\mu}$
 $\Rightarrow 0'_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} \hat{\mu}$
 Therefore $x'_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} \hat{\mu} \Rightarrow 0'_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} \hat{\mu}$
 Again let $x', y', z' \in X'$ such that $(x' * y'(*z'))_{\hat{t}}, y'_{\hat{s}} \in_{\hat{a}} \hat{\mu}$ where $\hat{t}, \hat{s} \in D[0, 1]$ then $\hat{\mu}(x' * (y' * z')) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}), \hat{\mu}(y') \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$ since f is onto so there exists $x, y \in X$ such that $f(x) = x', f(y) = y', f(z) = z'$ also f is homomorphism so
 $f(x * (y * z)) = f(x) * (f(y) * f(z)) = x' * (y' * z')$
 Now $\hat{\mu}(x' * (y' * z')) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$ and $\hat{\mu}(y') \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$
 $\Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(f(x) * (f(y) * f(z))) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$ and $\hat{\mu}(f(y)) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$
 $\Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(f(x * (y * z))) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$ and $\hat{\mu}(f(y)) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{s})$
 [Since f is homomorphism.]
 $\Rightarrow f^{-1}(\hat{\mu})(x * (y * z)) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$ and $f^{-1}(\hat{\mu})(y) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$
 $\Rightarrow (x * (y * z))_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} f^{-1}(\hat{\mu})$ and $y_{\hat{s}} \in_{\hat{a}} f^{-1}(\hat{\mu})$
 $\Rightarrow x_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} f^{-1}(\hat{\mu})$
 [since $f^{-1}(\hat{\mu})$ is an $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal of X]
 $\Rightarrow (x * z)_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} f^{-1}(\hat{\mu})$ or $(x * z)_{\hat{t}} q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} f^{-1}(\hat{\mu})$
 $\Rightarrow f^{-1}(\hat{\mu})(x * z) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$
 or $f^{-1}(\hat{\mu})(x * z) + \hat{t} > rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})$
 $\Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(f(x * z)) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$
 or $\hat{\mu}(f(x * z)) + \hat{t} > rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})$
 $\Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(f(x) * f(z)) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$
 or $\hat{\mu}(f(x) * f(z)) + \hat{t} > rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})$
 $\Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(x' * z') \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$ or $\hat{\mu}(x' * z') + \hat{t} > rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})$
 $\Rightarrow (x' * z')_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} \hat{\mu}$ or $(x' * z')_{\hat{t}} q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} \hat{\mu}$
 $\Rightarrow (x' * z')_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} \hat{\mu}$
 Therefore $(x' * (y' * z'))_{\hat{t}}, y'_{\hat{s}} \in_{\hat{a}} \hat{\mu} \Rightarrow (x' * z')_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} \hat{\mu}$.
 Hence $\hat{\mu}$ is an $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal of X' . □



Theorem 3.23. Let I be an ideal of X and let $\hat{\mu}$ be an interval valued fuzzy set of X such that

(i) $\hat{\mu}(x) = \hat{0}$, for all $x \in X \setminus I$,

(ii) $\hat{\mu}(x) \geq rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})$, for all $x \in I$.

Then $\hat{\mu}$ is an $(q_{(\hat{a},\hat{b})}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a},\hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal of X .

Proof. Let $x \in X$ and $\hat{t} \in D(0, rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2}))$ be such that $x_i q_{(\hat{a},\hat{b})} \hat{\mu}$. Then we get $\hat{\mu}(x) + \hat{t} > rmin\{2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a}\} = \hat{d}$. Since I is an ideal therefore $0 \in I$, i. e., $\hat{\mu}(0) \geq rmin\{2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a}\}$. Now if $rmin\{2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a}\} \geq \hat{t}$ then $\hat{\mu}(0) \geq rmin\{2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a}\} \geq \hat{t}$ which implies $\hat{\mu}(0) \geq rmax\{\hat{a}, \hat{t}\}$ i.e., $0_{\hat{t}} \in \hat{\mu}$. If $\hat{t} > rmin\{2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a}\}$ then $\hat{\mu}(0) + \hat{t} > 2rmin\{2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a}\}$ and so $0_{\hat{t}} q_{(\hat{a},\hat{b})} \hat{\mu}$. Hence $0_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a},\hat{b})}$.

Again let $x, y, z \in X$ and $\hat{t}, \hat{s} \in D(0, rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2}))$ be such that $(x * (y * z))_i q_{(\hat{a},\hat{b})} \hat{\mu}$ and $x_s q_{(\hat{a},\hat{b})} \hat{\mu}$. Then we get that $\hat{\mu}(x * (y * z)) + \hat{t} > rmin\{2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a}\}$ and $\hat{\mu}(y) + \hat{s} > rmin\{2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a}\}$. We can conclude that $x * z \in X$, since in otherwise $x * z \in X \setminus I$, and therefore $\hat{t} > rmin\{2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a}\}$ or $\hat{s} > rmin\{2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a}\}$ which is a contradiction. If $rmin(\hat{t}, \hat{s}) > rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})$, then $\hat{\mu}(x * z) + rmin(\hat{t}, \hat{s}) > rmin\{2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a}\}$ and so $(x * z)_{rmin(\hat{t},\hat{s})} q_{(\hat{a},\hat{b})} \hat{\mu}$. If $rmin(\hat{t}, \hat{s}) \leq rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})$, then $\hat{\mu}(x * z) \geq rmin(\hat{t}, \hat{s})$ i.e., $\hat{\mu}(x * z) \geq rmax\{\hat{a}, rmin(\hat{t}, \hat{s})\}$ and thus $(x * z)_{rmin(\hat{t},\hat{s})} \in_{\hat{a}} \hat{\mu}$. Hence $(x * z)_{rmin(\hat{t},\hat{s})} \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a},\hat{b})}$. \square

4. Conclusion

In this paper, we have introduced $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a},\hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal of KU-algebra and discussed some related properties. $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a},\hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal is the Generalised form ideals in KU-algebra, since but putting $\hat{a} = \hat{0}, \hat{b} = \hat{1}$, we get $\hat{d} = \hat{1}, \hat{k} = \frac{1}{2}$. then $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a},\hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal becomes an $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal. Further interval valued fuzzy ideal i.e., (\in, \in) -interval valued fuzzy ideal is a particular case of $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal and also fuzzy ideal is a particular case of interval valued fuzzy ideal. It is our hope that this work would other foundations for further study of the theory of BCK/BCI-algebras.

References

[1] M. Akram, N. Yaqoob and J. Kavikumar, Interval valued $(\tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\delta})$ -fuzzy KU-ideals of KU-algebras, *Int. J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 92(3)(2014), 335–349.
 [2] S. K. Bhakat and P. Das, $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -fuzzy subgroup, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 80(1996), 359–368.
 [3] S. K. Bhakat and P. Das, $(\in \vee q)$ -level subset, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 103(3)(1999), 529–533.
 [4] R. Biswas, Rosenfels fuzzy subgroups with interval valued membership functions, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 63(1994), 87–90.
 [5] P. Das, Products of $(a, b; \in_a, \in_a \vee q_{(a,b)})$ -Fuzzy subgroups, *J. Fuzzy Math.*, 4(4)(1996), 871–878.

[6] P. Das, Fuzzy subalgebras redefined, *J. Fuzzy Math.*, 3(3)(1995), 517–527.
 [7] T. K. Dutta, S. Kar, and S. Purkait, Interval-valued fuzzy prime and semiprime ideals of a hyper semiring, *Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics*, 9(2)(2015), 261–278.
 [8] Y. Imai and K. Iseki, On Axiom systems of Propositional calculi XIV, *Proc. Japan Academy*, 42(1966), 19–22.
 [9] K. Iseki, An algebra related with a propositional calculus, *Proc. Japan Academy*, 42(1966), 26–29.
 [10] K. Iseki, On some ideals in BCK-algebras, *Math. Seminar Notes*, 3 (1975), 65–70.
 [11] D. S. Lee, and C. H. Park, Interval valued $(\in \vee q_k)$ -Fuzzy Ideals Rings, *International Mathematical Forum*, 4(13)(2009), 623–630.
 [12] P. P. Ming and L. Y. Ming, Fuzzy topology I, Neighbourhood structure of a fuzzy point and Moore-Smith convergence, *J. Maths. Anal. Appl.*, 76(1980), 571–599.
 [13] V. Murali, Fuzzy points of equivalent fuzzy subsets, *Inform Sci*, 158 (2004), 277–288.
 [14] G. Muhiuddin, Bipolar fuzzy KU-subalgebras and ideals of KU-algebras, *Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform.*, 8(3)(2014), 409–418.
 [15] J. Neggers and H. S. Kim, On B-algebras, *Math. Vensik*, 54(2002), 21–29.
 [16] C. Prabpayak and U. Leerawat, On ideals and congruence in KU-algebras, *scientia Magna, international book series*, 5(1)(2009), 54–57.
 [17] C. Prabpayak and U. Leerawat, *On Isomorphisms of KU-algebras*, Scientia Magna, International Book Series, 5(3)(2009), 25–31.
 [18] S. M. Mostafa, M. A. Abd-Elnaby and M.M.M. Yousef, Fuzzy ideals of KU-Algebras, *Int. Math. Forum*, 6(63)(2011), 3139–3149.
 [19] Mostafa, S.M., Abd-Elnaby, M.A., Elgendy, O.R. Interval-valued fuzzy KU-ideals in KU-algebras, *Int. Math. Forum*, 6(64)(2011), 3151–3159.
 [20] S. M. Mostafa and F. F. Kareem, N-fold commutative KU-Algebras, *Int. J. Algebra*, 8(6)(2014), 267–275.
 [21] S. M. Mostafa and F. F. Kareem, Fuzzy n-fold KU-ideals KU-Algebras, *Ann. Fuzzy Math.*, 4(3)(2014), 12–23.
 [22] M. Gulistan, M. Shahzad and S. Ahmed, On (α, β) -fuzzy KU-ideals of KUalgebras, *Afr. Mat.*, (2014), 1–11.
 [23] O. G. Xi, Fuzzy BCK algebras, *Math Japonica*, 36(1991), 935–942.
 [24] Y. B. Yun, On (α, β) -Fuzzy ideals of BCK/BCI-Algebras, *Scientiae Mathematicae Japonicae*, 101–105.
 [25] Y. B. Yun, Interval-valued fuzzy subalgebras/ideals in BCK-algebras, *Scientiae Mathematicae*, 3(3)(2000), 435–444.
 [26] Y. B. Jun and K.H. Kim, Interval-valued fuzzy r-subgroups of near-rings, *Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 33(1)(2002), 71–80.
 [27] L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, *Information and Control*,



- 8(1965), 338–353.
- [28] L. A. Zadeh, The concept of a linguistic variable and its application to approximate reasoning-I, *Inf Science.*, 8(1975), 199–249.
- [29] J. Zhan, Y. B. Jun and B. Davvaz, On $(\in \vee q)$ -Fuzzy Ideals of BCI-algebras, *Iranian Journal of Fuzzy Systems*, 6(1)(2009), 81–94.
- [30] X. Ma, J. Zhan, B. Davvaz and Y. B. Jun, Some kinds of $(\in \vee q_k)$ -interval-valued fuzzy ideals of BCI-algebras, *Information Sciences*, 178(2008), 3738–3754.

ISSN(P):2319 – 3786
Malaya Journal of Matematik
ISSN(O):2321 – 5666

