

**https://doi.org/10.26637/MJM0801/0033**

# **Exact 2-distance b-coloring of some classes of graphs**

S. Saraswathi<sup>1\*</sup> and M. Poobalaranjani<sup>2</sup>

# **Abstract**

Given a graph  $G$ , the exact distance-p (or p-distance) graph  $G^{[ep]}$  has  $V(G)$  as its vertex set and two vertices are adjacent whenever the distance between them in *G* equals *p*. An exact 2-distance coloring of a graph *G* is a proper coloring of vertices of *G* such that any two vertices which are at distance exactly 2 receive distinct colors. An exact 2-distance chromatic number of *G* is the minimum *k* for which *G* admits an exact 2-distance coloring with *k* colors. A b-coloring of a graph *G* by *k* colors is a proper *k*-vertex coloring such that in each color class, there exists a vertex adjacent to at least one vertex in every other color class. In this paper we introduce a new coloring called exact 2-distance b-coloring. It is a b-coloring of *G* such that any two vertices at distance exactly 2 receive distinct colors and a graph *G* is called exact 2-distance b-colorable graph if it admits such a coloring. An exact 2-distance b-chromatic number  $\chi_{e2b}(G)$  of G is the largest integer k such that G has an exact 2-distance b-coloring with *k*-colors. If each color class contains a vertex that has a 2-neighbour in all other color classes, such a vertex is called an exact 2-distance color dominating vertex. Some results based on exact 2-distance b-coloring are obtained. Exact 2-distance b-chromatic number of some classes of graphs are obtained.

## **Keywords**

Exact 2-distance coloring (*e*2-coloring), exact 2-distance chromatic number (*e*2-number), b-coloring, b-chromatic number, exact 2-distance b-coloring(*e*2-b-coloring), exact 2-distance b-chromatic number (*e*2-b-number), exact 2-distance b-colorable graph(*e*2-b-colorable graph), exact 2-distance color dominating vertex(*e*2-b-cdv).

1,2*PG and Research Department of Mathematics, Seethalakshmi Ramaswami College, Trichy-620002, Tamil Nadu, India.* \***Corresponding author**: <sup>1</sup> sarassrc75@gmail.com; <sup>2</sup>mpranjani@hotmail.com **Article History**: Received **04** September **2019**; Accepted **30** December **2019** c 2020 MJM.

# **Contents**



# **1. Introduction**

<span id="page-0-0"></span>All graphs considered in this paper are finite, simple and undirected. For those terminologies not defined in this paper, the reader may refer to [[\[1\]](#page-5-2)]. A proper *k*-coloring of a graph *G* is an assignment of *k*-colors to the vertices of *G* such that no two adjacent vertices are assigned the same color. The chromatic number  $\chi(G)$  is the minimum k for which G admits a proper *k*-coloring. Based on this proper coloring of vertices, various types of coloring were defined. The distance coloring was introduced by F. Kramer and H. Kramer [[\[4\]](#page-5-3)],[[\[5\]](#page-5-4)] in

1969. As the name suggests it is based on distance between two vertices. A 2-distance coloring of a graph *G* is an assignment of colors to the vertices of *G* such that every two vertices at distance at most 2 receive distinct colors. The 2-distance chromatic number of *G*, denoted  $\chi_2(G)$  is the smallest integer k for which *G* admits a 2-distance k-coloring. One should be careful while defining exact distance coloring. Since kdistance coloring is a coloring of *G* in which two vertices *u*, *v* receive distinct colors if  $d(u, v) \leq k$ , while in exact k-distance coloring *u*, *v* receive distinct colors if  $d(u, v) = k$ . Hence if  $ux_1x_2 \cdots x_{r-1}v$  is a  $u - v$  path, then in a k-distance coloring, *r* ≤ *k*,*r* − 1 + 2 ≤ *k*(i.e., *r* + 1 ≤ *k*), each vertex receive distinct colors while in exact k-distance coloring, all the *xi*'s may receive same color. If *u* and *v* are vertices such that  $d(u, v) = 2$ , then  $u$  is said to be a 2-neighbour of  $v$  and vice versa. The set of all 2-neighbours of *u* is denoted by  $N_2(u)$  and is called open 2-neighbournood of *u* and  $N_2[u] = N_2(u) \cup \{u\}$  is called the closed 2-neighbourhood of *u*. In this paper, we consider only exact 2-distance coloring. Exact k-distance coloring of *G* can also be analyzed from the exact k-distance graph.

The concept of the exact p-distance (or distance-p) graph, where p is a positive integer, was introduced by Simi'c [[\[7\]](#page-5-6)] in the 1980s and was recently rediscovered by Ne'set'ril and Ossona De Mendez[[\[6\]](#page-5-7)]. If *G* is a graph, then the exact pdistance graph  $G^{[ep]}$  of *G* is the graph with  $V(G^{[ep]}) = V(G)$ and two vertices in  $G^{[ep]}$  are adjacent if and only if they are at distance exactly p in *G*. In particular, the exact 2 distance graph  $G^{[e2]}$  of *G* is the graph with  $V(G^{[e2]}) = V(G)$ and two vertices in  $G^{[e2]}$  are adjacent if and only if they are at distance exactly 2 in *G*. Note that  $G^{[e_1]} = G$ . Clearly,  $\chi(G^{[e2]}) = \chi_{e2}(G)$ . The other coloring of interest is b-coloring. The concept of b-coloring was introduced by Irving and Manlove[[\[2\]](#page-5-8)] in 1991. A b-coloring of *G* by k-colors is a proper k-coloring such that in each color class, there exists a vertex adjacent to at least one vertex in every other color class. Such a vertex is called a color dominating vertex. The b-chromatic number  $\chi_b(G)$  of *G* is the largest integer k such that *G* has a b-coloring by k-colors. The m-degree  $m(G)$  of a graph was defined as  $m(G) = \max\{i : 1 \le i \le |V(G)|, G\}$ has at least *i* vertices of degree at least *i*−1}. In this paper, we have defined a new coloring based on two types of coloring viz. (i) exact p-distance coloring and (ii) b-coloring. In this paper, an attempt is made to combine the concept of exact 2-distance coloring and b-coloring. Difficulty arose as b-coloring tries for maximum coloring and exact 2-distance for minimum coloring. Hence to support the definition exact 2-distance b-coloring or e2b-coloring, the terms color dominating vertex, b-spectrum and b-continuity which are the fundamental terminologies of b-coloring are redefined based on distance. Consequently chromatic parameter exact 2-distance b-chromatic number  $\chi_{e2b}(G)$  of *G* is introduced. Results are obtained for some well known classes of graphs.

# <span id="page-1-0"></span>**2. Definitions and some prior results related to exact 2-distance coloring**

In this section, graphs are constructed from given graph *G* based on exact distance between two vertices of *G*. Here, we discuss exact 2-distance coloring and exact 2-distance graph. We give the general definition first. In this section, the particular case i.e,  $p = 2$  of exact p-distance coloring and the corresponding graphs are studied. Hence we give the definition for the particular case. Also 2-distance chromatic number of some well known graphs are given. Further exact 2-distance chromatic number of some graph families which are not studied ealier are discussed.

Definition 2.1. *An exact p-distance coloring (or an ep-coloring) of a graph G is defined as a coloring of vertices of G which are at distance exactly p receive distinct colors.*

*An exact p-distance chromatic number (or an ep- number)*  $\chi_{ep}(G)$  *of G is the minimum k for which G admits an ep-coloring with k-colors.*

Definition 2.2. *An exact 2-distance coloring (or an e*2*-coloring) of a graph G is defined as a coloring of vertices of G which*

*are at distance exactly 2 receive distint colors.*

*An exact 2-distance chromatic number (or an e*2*- number)*  $\chi_{e2}(G)$  *of G is the minimum k for which G admits an e*2*-coloring with k-colors.*

*i.e.,*  $\chi_{e2}(G) = \min\{k : G \text{ has an } e2 \text{ coloring with } k \text{ colors }\}.$ 

Definition 2.3. *The color classes of an e*2*-coloring are called e*2*-color classes.*

**Definition 2.4.** *For a vertex*  $u, d_2(u)$  *is called the*  $d_2$ -*degree of u.*

Example 2.5.





(b) *G* with  $\chi(G) = 2$  and  $\chi_{e2}(G) = 4$ .

$$
\bullet \hspace{10mm} \bullet \hspace{10mm} \bullet \hspace{10mm} \bullet
$$

(c) *G* with  $\chi(G) = \chi_{e2}(G) = 2$ .

#### Figure 1

Notation 2.6. *Let u be a vertex of a graph G. Then*

- *i*)  $d_2(u) = |N_2(u)|$
- *ii*)  $\Delta_2(G) = \max\{d_2(u) : \forall u \in V(G)\}$ *, where*  $\Delta_2(G)$  *the maximum degree with respect to 2-neighbours.*
- *iii*)  $\delta_2(G) = \min\{d_2(u): \forall u \in V(G)\}$ , where  $\delta_2(G)$  the min*imum degree with respect to 2-neighbours.*

**observation 2.7.** Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a connected graph of *order*  $n > 3$ *. Then the followings hold.* 

- *(i) If*  $u \in V$ *, then*  $d_2(u) \leq n 1 d(u)$ *.*
- $(iii)$  *d*<sub>2</sub> $(u) \le n-2$ .



*Proof.* (i) 
$$
d_2(u) = |N_2(u)| \le |V(G)| - |N[u]| = n - (d(u) + 1)
$$
  
 $d_2(u) \le n - 1 - d(u).$ 

(ii) Since 
$$
d(u) \ge 1, d_2(u) \le n-2
$$
.

**observation 2.8** ([\[8\]](#page-5-9)). Let G be a bipartite graph, then  $G^{[e2]}$ *is not connected.*

**observation 2.9** ([\[6\]](#page-5-7), [\[7\]](#page-5-6)). *For any graph*  $G, \chi(G^{[e2]}) = \chi_{e2}(G)$ 

- observation 2.10. *i) An e*2*-coloring is not a proper vertex coloring. i.e., adjacent vertices may receive the same color.*
	- *ii) A given class of graphs need not to have unique* χ*e*2*.*
	- *iii*) If *H* is any induced sub graph of *G*, then  $\chi_{e2}(H) \leq$  $\chi_{e2}(G)$ .
	- *iv) e*2*-color classes need not be independent.*
	- *v*) *For any incomplete graph of order at least 3,*  $\chi_{e2}(G) \geq$ 2*.*
- *Proof.* i) By the definition of *e*2-coloring, adjacent vertices may receive the same color.
	- ii) Refer example 2.5, figure 1(b) and 1(c). Though  $K_{1,4}$ and  $P_3$  are bipartite graphs,  $\chi_{e2}(K_{1,4}) = 4$  and  $\chi_{e2}(P_3) =$ 2.

iii) Trivial.

- iv) Follows from (i).
- v) Since  $P_3$  is an induced sub graph of *G*, from (iii),  $\chi_{e2}(G) \ge$ 2.

The following proposition gives exact bounds of χ*ek* for some standard graphs.

- **Proposition 2.11** ([\[3\]](#page-5-10)). *i)*  $For n \geq 3$ ,  $\chi_{ek}(P_n) = 2$ ,  $for 2 \leq 1$  $k$  ≤ *n*−1*.* 
	- *ii*) *For*  $n \geq 2$ ,  $\chi_{e2}(K_{1,n}) = n$ .
	- *iii*) *For*  $n \geq 5$ ,  $\chi_{e2}(W_n) = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ .
	- *iv*) *For*  $m \geq 1$  *and*  $n \geq 1$ ,  $\chi_{e2}(K_{m,n}) = \max\{m,n\}.$

v) For 
$$
m \ge 1
$$
 and  $n \ge 1$ ,  $\chi_{ek}(B_{m,n}) = \begin{cases} \max\{m, n\} + 1, if k = 1 \text{ or } 3 \\ 2, if k = 1 \text{ or } 3 \end{cases}$ 

Lemma 2.12. *If G is a connected incomplete graph of order n*  $\geq$  3*, then*  $2 \leq \chi_{e2}(G) \leq n-1$  *and the bounds are sharp.* 

*Proof.* Lower inequality follows from observation 2.10 (v). Let *u* be an arbitrary vertex of *G*. Since *G* is connected, *u* is adjacent to at least one vertex say *v*. Assign color 1 to *u* and *v*, and distinct *n*−2 colors to the remaining *n*−2 vertices. This give an *e*2-coloring of *G*. Hence the upper inequality follows. From observation 2.11,  $\chi_{e2}(P_4) = 2$  and  $\chi_{e2}(K_{1,n-1}) = n - 1$ . Hence, the bounds are sharp. П

**Lemma 2.13.** If a graph G has a vertex u such that  $N(u)$  is *independent, then*  $\chi_{e2}(G) \geq d(u) \geq \delta$ .

*Proof.* Let  $d(u) = r$ . Then  $N[u]$  induces  $K_{1,r}$ . From observa- $\chi_{e2}(G) \geq \chi_{e2}(K_{1,r}) = r = d(u) \geq \delta.$ □

**Proposition 2.14.** *For n*  $>$  4*,* 

 $\Box$ 

$$
\chi_{e2}(C_n) = \begin{cases} 2, if \ n \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \\ 3, otherwise \end{cases}
$$

*Proof.* Let  $C_n$  be  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n$ . Based on *n*, there are four cases to consider.

Let  $c: V(C_n) \to \{1,2\}$  be a coloring defined as follows.

$$
c(u_i) = \begin{cases} 1, if i \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ i \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \\ 2, if i \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \\ i \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \end{cases}
$$

From the above it is clear that any  $u_i$  and  $u_{i+1}$  receive distinct colors. Hence it is an *e*2-coloring of  $P_n$ . Since  $C_n$ ,  $d(u_1, u_{n-1}) =$  $d(u_2, u_n) = 2$ , it is enough to verify the colors for the pair of vertices *u*<sub>1</sub> and *u*<sub>*n*</sub>-1, and *u*<sub>2</sub> and *u*<sub>*n*</sub>. Clearly,  $c(u_1) = c(u_2)$ 1.

**Case (i):**  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ 

Since  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ ,  $n - 1 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ . Therefore,  $c(u_n) =$  $c(u_{n-1}) = 2$ . As  $c(u_1) = c(u_2) = 1$ , *c* is an *e*2-coloring of  $C_n$ . **Case (ii)**:  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ 

As in case (i), color  $C_n$  such that all  $u_i$ 's are colored except the vertex  $u_n$ . Since  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ ,  $n - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$  and  $n-2 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ . Hence,  $c(u_{n-1}) = c(u_{n-2}) = 2$ . Thus *u*<sub>1</sub> and *u*<sub>*n*−1</sub> receive distinct colors. Since  $c(u_2) = 1$  and  $c(u_{n-2}) = 2, u_n$  cannot be assigned either the color 1 or the color 2. Assign color 3 to *un*. This gives a minimum *e*2 coloring of *Cn*.

**Case (iii)**:  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ 

Follow the same color scheme as in case (i) up to the vertex *u*<sub>*n*−2</sub>. Since  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ ,  $n-3 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  and  $n-2 \equiv$ 0(*mod* 4). Hence,  $c(u_{n-3}) = c(u_{n-2}) = 2$ . Since  $c(u_1) = 1$ and  $d(u_{n-1}, u_1) = d(u_{n-1}, u_{n-3}) = 2, u_{n-1}$  can't be given either color 1 or 2. Hence  $\chi_{e2}(C_n) > 2$ . Now let  $c(u_{n-1}) =$  $c(u_n) = 3$ . Then *c* is an *e*2-coloring.

<span id="page-2-0"></span>Case (iv): 
$$
n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}
$$

 $max{m, n} + 1$ , *if*  $k = 2$ Color up to the vertex  $u_{n-2}$ . Clearly  $n - 3 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$  and hence,  $c(u_{n-3}) = 2$ . Now  $d(u_{n-1}, u_1) = d(u_{n-1}, u_{n-3}) = 2$ . Since  $c(u_1) = 1, u_{n-1}$  can't be given the colors 1 as well as 2. Hence  $\chi_{e2}(C_n) > 2$ . Now let  $c(u_{n-1}) = c(u_n) = 3$ . Then *c* is an *e*2-coloring. П

 $\Box$ 

# **3. Exact 2-distance b-coloring**

In this section some definitions and results of an exact 2-distance b-coloring are discussed. Also exact 2-distance b-chromatic number of some graph families are obtained. Further exact 2-distance b-discontinuity properties of some graph families are discussed.

Definition 3.1. *An exact 2-distance b-coloring (or an e*2*-b coloring)) of a graph G is an e*2*-coloring of G such that each color class contains a vertex that has a 2-neighboour in all other color classes.*

*An exact 2-distance b-chromatic number (or an e*2*-bnumber*)  $\chi_{e2b}(G)$  *of G is the largest integer k such that G has e*2*-b-coloring with k-colors.*

*A vertex which has a 2-neighbour in all other classes is called an exact 2-distance color dominating vertex (or an e*2*-cdv). If u is an e*2*-cdv of color i, then it is called an e*2*-icdv. The color classes of an e*2*-b-coloring is called e*2*-color classes.*

**Definition 3.2.** *The term*  $m_2$  *degree of*  $m_2(G)$  *of G is defined as the largest integer m such that G has at least m vertices having at least* (*m*−1) *2- neighbours.*

Example 3.3.



#### Figure 2

#### Proposition 3.4. *Any minimum e*2*-coloring of a graph is an e*2*-b-coloring.*

*Proof.* Let *G* be a graph with  $\chi_{e2}(G) = l$ . Then there exists an *e*2-coloring say 'c' with *l* colors. Then its vertex set *V* can be partitioned into *l* color classes namely  $V_1, V_2, \dots, V_l$ . Suppose *c* is not an *e*2-b-coloring. Then atleast one color class *V<sup>i</sup>* does not have any *e*2-cdv. Therefore each *u* does not have any 2-neighbour in atleast some  $V_j$ ,  $j \neq i$ . Put *u* is the respective  $V_j$ . Hence  $V_i$  becomes  $\emptyset$ . Therefore there exists an *e*2-coloring with *l* − 1 colors, a contradiction.  $\Box$  **Definition 3.5.** *If for each integer k satisfying*  $\chi_{e2}(G) \leq k \leq$ χ*e*2*b*(*G*),*G has an e*2*b-coloring by k-colors, then G is said to be an exact 2-distance b-continuous (or e*2*-b-continuous) graph.*

Definition 3.6. *The exact 2-distance b-spectrum (or e*2*-bspectrum*)  $S_{e2b}(G)$ *of G is defined by the set of all k such that G has an e*2*-b-coloring by k-colors. In other words,*  $S_{e2b}(G) = \{k : G \text{ has an } e2-b-coloring with k-colors \}.$ *Thus, if*  $S_{e2b}(G)$  *contains all the integers from*  $\chi_{e2}(G)$  *to*  $\chi_{e2b}(G)$ , then G is e2-b-continuous.

observation 3.7. *For a graph G,*

- *i*)  $\chi_{e2}(G) \leq \chi_{e2b}(G) \leq m_2(G) \leq \Delta_2(G) + 1$ .
- *ii) If*  $\chi_{e2b}(G) = \chi_{e2}(G)$  *or*  $\chi_{e2b}(G) = \chi_{e2}(G) + 1$ *, then G is e*2*-b-continuous.*

**observation 3.8.** *(i)*  $For n \geq 3, m_2(P_n) = \begin{cases} 2, if 3 \leq n \leq 6 \\ 2, if n \leq n \end{cases}$  $3,$ *if*  $n \geq 7$ 

*(ii) For*  $n \geq 4, m_2(C_n) = \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if } n = 4 \\ 2, & \text{if } n > 5 \end{cases}$  $3,$ *if*  $n \geq 5$ 

(*iii*) For 
$$
n \ge 2, m_2(K_{1,n}) = n
$$
.

- *(iv) For*  $m, n \geq 2, m_2(K_{m,n}) = \max\{m, n\}.$
- *(v) For n* > 5,*m*<sub>2</sub>(*W<sub>n</sub>*) = *n* − 3
- *(vi) For*  $m \ge 1$  *and*  $n \ge 1, m_2(B_{m,n}) = \max\{m, n\} + 1$

**Proposition 3.9.** *(i) For*  $n \ge 2$ ,  $\chi_{e2b}(K_{1,n}) = n$ .

- *(ii) For*  $m \geq 1$  *and*  $n \geq 1$ ,  $\chi_{e2b}(K_{m,n}) = \max\{m,n\}.$
- *(iii) For*  $m \ge 1$  *and*  $n \ge 1$ ,  $\chi_{e2b}(B_{m,n}) = \max\{m,n\} + 1$ .

*Proof.* (i) to (iii) follow from observations 3.7,3.8 and Proposition 2.11. П

**Proposition 3.10.** *For*  $n \geq 3$ *,* 

$$
\chi_{e2b}(P_n) = \begin{cases} 2, & if \ 3 \leq n \leq 6 \\ 3, & if \ n \geq 7 \end{cases}
$$

*Proof.* From proposition 2.11,  $\chi_{e2}(P_n) = 2$ , for all  $n \geq 3$ . Then there are two cases to consider.

**Case(i)**:  $3 \le n \le 6$ 

From observations 3.7 (i) and 3.8 (i),  $\chi_{e2b}(P_n) = 2$ . Case (ii):  $n > 7$ From observations 3.7(i) and 3.8 (i),

$$
2 \leq \chi_{e2b}(P_n) \leq 3 \tag{3.1}
$$

There are at least three vertices namely,  $v_3$ ,  $v_4$ ,  $v_5$  having two 2-neighbours. Therefore these three vertices must receive three distinct colors namely 1, 2 and 3. Let  $c(v_3) = 1$ ;  $c(v_4) =$  $2, c(v_5) = 3$ . Since  $d(v_3, v_1) = d(v_3, v_5) = 2$ , assign color 2 to the vertices  $v_1$  and  $v_7$ .

∴ *v*<sub>3</sub> is *e*2-1- cdv and *v*<sub>5</sub> is *e*2-3-cdv. Since  $d(v_3, v_2) =$  $d(v_5, v_6) = 1$  assign 1 to  $v_2$  and 3 to  $v_6$ . Hence  $v_4$  is *e*2-2-cdv. For the remaining vertices  $v_i, i \geq 8$ .

$$
c(v_i) = \begin{cases} 2, if i \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \\ i \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \\ 1, if i \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ i \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \end{cases}
$$

Clearly, this coloring is an *e*2*b*-coloring by 3-colors. Therefore from (1),  $\chi_{e2b}(P_n) = 3$ .  $\Box$ 

#### Corollary 3.11. *P<sup>n</sup> is e*2*b-continuous.*

*Proof.* From observation 3.7(ii) and the above proposition 3.10,  $P_n$  is  $e2b$ -continuous.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 3.12.** *For n*  $\geq 4$ *,* 

$$
\chi_{e2b}(C_n) = \begin{cases} 2, if \ n = 4, 8 \\ 3, if \ n \ge 5 \ and \ n \ne 8 \end{cases}
$$

*Proof.* There are 4 cases.

**Case(i):**  $n = 4$ 

From observation 3.7(i) and 3.8(iii,) $\chi_{e2b}(C_n) = 2$ . Case (ii):  $n = 8$ 

By the similar argument as in case (i),  $2 \leq \chi_{e2b}(C_n) \leq$  $3, n = 8$ . Assign three distinct colors say 1,2 and 3 to the vertices  $v_{i-2}$ ,  $v_i$  and  $v_{i+2}$ ,  $i = 3$  or 4 or 5 in any manner. Let  $c(v_1) = 1$ ;  $c(v_3) = 2$ ;  $c(v_5) = 3$ . Then  $v_3$  is *e*2-2-cdv. Since  $c(v_5) = 3$ ;  $c(v_1) = 1$ ,  $d(v_5, v_7) = 2$  and  $d(v_1, v_7) = 2$ , colors 1 or 3 cannot be assigned to the vertex *v*7. Hence assign color 2 to *v*7.

∴ *v*<sub>1</sub> and *v*<sub>5</sub> cannot be *e*2-1 cdv and *e*2-3 cdv. Since  $c(v_1)$  =  $1, c(v_3) = 2, c(v_5) = 3$  and  $c(v_7) = 2$ , assign colors 1 or 3 to any two of the remaining vertices  $v_i$ ,  $i = 2, 4, 6, 8$  which are not yet colored. Clearly,  $d(v_i, v_j) = 2$  or 4,  $i, j = 2, 4, 6, 8, i \neq j$ . **Subcase(a)**: Suppose  $d(v_i, v_j) = 2, i, j = 2, 4, 6, 8$  and  $i \neq j$ . Assign colors 1 and 3 to any two of these vertices say  $v_2$  and *v*<sub>4</sub>. (ie), Let  $c(v_2) = 1$ ;  $c(v_4) = 3$ . Then color 1 cannot be assigned to  $v_8$  and 3 cannot be assigned to  $v_6$ . To get  $e_2$ -1 cdv and *e*2-3 cdv, color 2 should be given to both of the vertices  $v_6$  and  $v_8$ . But  $d(v_2, v_8) = 2$ . Therefore assign color 2 to one of the vertices, namely  $v_6$ . Hence  $v_4$  is  $e^{2-3}$  cdv. Clearly *e*2-1-cdv cannot be obtained.

**Subcase(b)**: Suppose  $d(v_i, v_j) = 4, i, j = 2, 4, 6, 8$  and  $i \neq j$ proceed as in subcase (a).

 $\chi_{e2b}(C_n) \neq 3, n = 8.$ 

**Case (iii):**  $n \not\cong 0 \pmod{3}$ 

From proposition 2.13 and observations 3.7(i) and 3.8(iii),  $\chi_{e2b}(C_n) = 3.$ 

**Case (iv):**  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$  and  $n \ge 12$ .

By similar argument as in case (i),  $2 \leq \chi_{e2b}(C_n) \leq 3$ . Assign colors 1,2,3 to the vertices  $v_i$ ,  $i = 1$  to 12 in cyclic order. Since  $C_n$  contains  $P_7$  as an induced subgraph,  $C_n$  has *e*2-1-cdv, *e*2-2-cdv, *e*2-3-cdv.

For the remaining vertices, assign color 1,1,3,3 in cyclic order. Since  $d(v_{n-1}, v_1) = d(v_n, v_2) = 2, 1 = c(v_1) \neq c(v_{n-1}) = 3$ and  $3 = c(v_n) \neq c(v_2) = 2$ , an *e*2-b-coloring by 3 colors is obtained.

$$
\therefore \chi_{e2b}(C_n) = 3
$$

 $\Box$ 

Corollary 3.13. *C<sup>n</sup> is e*2*b-continuous.*

*Proof.* From observation 3.7(ii) and the above proposition 3.10,  $C_n$  is *e*2*b*-continuous.  $\Box$ 

**Proposition 3.14.** *For*  $n \geq 5$ 

$$
\chi_{e2b}(W_n) = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor
$$

*Proof.* From observation 3.7(i) and 3.8,  $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \leq \chi_{e2b}(W_n) \leq$ *n*−3.

The color schemes slightly varies according as *n* is even or odd. Suppose *c* is an *e*2-b-coloring.

Case(i): *n* is even

.

Let *v* be the central vertex and  $v_1, v_2, \cdots v_{n-1}$  are the vertices of  $C_{n-i}$ ,  $v_1$ ,  $v_3$ ,  $v_5$ ,  $\cdots$ ,  $v_{n-3}$  are mutually at distance 2 and hence must receive distinct colors. Assign to them respectively the colors  $1, 2, 3, \cdots, \lceil \frac{n-3}{2} \rceil$ .

Suppose  $v_{n-1}$  is given a new color  $\lceil \frac{n-3}{2} \rceil + 1 = \lceil \frac{n-1}{2} \rceil = \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil = \frac{n}{2} = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ . Then this color cannot be given to any of the vertices  $v_2, v_4, \dots, v_{n-4}$ . But  $v_{n-2}$ . Since *v*<sub>1</sub> need to have 2-neighbour of color  $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$  and *v*<sub>*n*−1</sub> is

not a 2-neighbour of  $v_1$ ,  $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$  should be given to  $v_{n-2}$ . If  $v_2$  is given a new color, then this color cannot be assigned to  $v_4$ ,  $v_6$ ,  $\cdots$ ,  $v_{n-4}$ . Further no vertex other than  $v_1$ can receive color 1. Hence  $v_1$  is the only dominating vertex of color 1. But  $v_1$  cannot dominate the color  $c(v_2)$ , contradiction. Hence  $v_2$  cannot be assigned any new color.

By similar argument  $v_2, v_4, \dots, v_{n-4}$  cannot be assigned any new color. For the vertices  $v_{2i}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{n-4}{2}$ , assign colors  $i+1$  respectively. Also assign any one of these colors  $1, 2, \dots, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$  to the central vertex. Clearly the vertices  $v_1, v_3, \dots, v_{n-3}$  and  $v_{n-2}$  are *e*2-color dominating vertices of colors  $1, 2, 3, \dots, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$  respectively. Hence an *e*2-b-coloring by  $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$  colors.

 $\therefore \chi_{e2b}(W_n) = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ , *n* is even. Case(ii): *n* is odd.

 $v_1, v_3, v_5, \cdots, v_{n-2}$  are mutually at distance 2 and hence must receive distinct colors. Assign to them respectively the colors  $1, 2, 3, \cdots, \lceil \frac{n-2}{2} \rceil$ . Suppose  $v_2$  is given a new color  $\lceil \frac{n-2}{2} \rceil + 1$ . This color cannot be given to the any one of the vertices  $v_4$ ,  $v_6$ ,  $\cdots$ ,  $v_{n-1}$ . Since  $v_1$  need to have 2-neighbour of color  $\lceil \frac{n-2}{2} \rceil + 1$ . ∴ *v*<sub>1</sub> cannot dominate the color *c*(*v*<sub>2</sub>), a contradiction. Hence  $v_2$  cannot be assigned any new color. By similar argument  $v_4$ ,  $v_6$ ,  $\cdots$  ,  $v_{n-2}$  cannot be assigned any new color. Therefore for the vertices,  $v_{2i}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{n-1}{2}$ assign colors *i* respectively.

Also assign any one of the colors  $1, 2, \cdots, \lceil \frac{n-2}{2} \rceil$  to

<span id="page-5-5"></span>*u*. Clearly,  $v_1, v_3, v_5, \cdots, v_{n-2}$  are *e*2-color dominating vertices of colors  $1, 2, \dots, \lceil \frac{n-2}{2} \rceil$ . Hence an *e*2-b-coloring by  $\lceil \frac{n-2}{2} \rceil = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$  colors is obtained.  $\Box$ 

# **4. Conclusion**

<span id="page-5-0"></span>In this paper, a new type of coloring called exact 2-distance b-coloring and it's chromatic parameters were introduced. Some results based on exact 2-distance b-coloring were obtained. Also exact 2-distance b-chromatic number of some classes of graphs were obtained.

## **References**

- <span id="page-5-2"></span><span id="page-5-1"></span>[1] Harary F, *Graph Theory*, Narosa Addison Wesley, Indian Student Edition, 1988.
- <span id="page-5-8"></span>[2] Irving R.W and Manlove D.F, The b-chromatic number of a graph, *Discrete. Appl. Math.,* 91(1991), 127–141.
- <span id="page-5-10"></span>[3] Janakiraman T.N, Poobalaranjani M and Senthil Thilak A, Exact k-distance coloring of graphs, *Proceeding of the UGC sponsored National Seminar on Applications in Graph Theory*.
- <span id="page-5-3"></span>[4] Kramer K and Kramer H, Un Probleme de coloration des sommets d'un graphe. *C.R.Acad.Sci. Paris A,* 268(7)(1969), 46–48.
- <span id="page-5-4"></span>[5] Kramer F and Kramer H, EinFarbungsproblem der Knotenpunkteeines Graphenbezuglich der Distanzp, *Rev. Roumaince Math. Pure Application*, 14(2)(1969), 1031– 1038.
- <span id="page-5-7"></span>[6] Nesetril J, Ossona de Mendez, *Sparsity, Graphs, Structures, and Algorithms*, Springer Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2012.
- <span id="page-5-6"></span>[7] Simic S.K, Graph equations for line graphs and nth distance graphs, *Publ. Inst. Math.,* 33(1983), 203–216.
- <span id="page-5-9"></span>[8] Ziegler G.M, Coloring Hamming Graphs, Optimal binary codes, and the 0/1-Borsuk problem in low dimensions, *Lecture Notes Comp. Sci.,* 2122(2001), 159–171.

 $**********$ ISSN(P):2319−3786 [Malaya Journal of Matematik](http://www.malayajournal.org) ISSN(O):2321−5666 \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

