

**https://doi.org/10.26637/MJM0801/0048**

# **Common fixed point theorems for three self maps of a complete S-metric space**

V. Kiran<sup>1\*</sup>, K. Rajani Devi<sup>2</sup> and J. Niranjan Goud<sup>3</sup>

## **Abstract**

In this present paper we prove a common fixed point theorem for three self maps of a S-metric space which satisfy certain conditions.

## **Keywords**

S-metric space, Compatible mappings, Fixed point, Associated sequence of a point relative to three self maps, contractive modulus.

**AMS Subject Classification**

54H25,47H10.

1,2,3*Department of Mathematics, Osmania University, Hyderabad-500007, Telangana, India.* \***Corresponding author**: <sup>1</sup> kiranmathou@gmail.com **Article History**: Received **24** November **2019**; Accepted **16** February **2020** ©2020 MJM.

#### **Contents**



# **1. Introduction**

<span id="page-0-0"></span>In an attempt to generalize metric space Gahler [2,3] introduced the notion of 2-metric spaces while B.C.Dhage [1] initiated the notion of *D*- metric spaces.Subsequently several researchers have proved that most of their claims are not valid.As probable modification to *D*- metric spaces,very recently Shaban Sedghi, Nabi Shobe and Haiyun Zhou [4] introduced *D* ∗ - metric spaces. In 2006 Zead Mustafa and Brailey Sims [7] have initiated *G*- metric spaces, while Shaban Sedghi, Nabi Shobe and Abdelkrm Aliouche [5] considered *S*-mertic spaces in 2012.Of these three generalizations, the *S*-metric space seen evinced interest in many researchers.

The purpose of this paper is to prove a common fixed point theorem for three self maps of a S-metric space.Also as a consequence, we prove a common fixed point theorem for three self maps of a complete S-metric space. Further we show that a common fixed point theorem for three self maps of a metric space proved by S.L.Singh and S.P.Singh ([6] pp 1584-1586) follows as a particular case of our theorem.

Now we recall some basic definitions and lemmas required in

<span id="page-0-1"></span>the sequel in section 2 and establish main results in section 3

## **2. Preliminaries**

Definition 2.1. *Let X be a non empty set.By S-metric we*  $\emph{mean a function }$   $S$  :  $X^3$   $\rightarrow$   $[0,\infty)$  *which satisfies the following conditions for each*  $x, y, z, w \in X$  $(a) S(x, y, z) \ge 0$ 

(*b*)  $S(x, x, y) = 0$  *if and only if*  $x = y = z$ .  $(S(x, y, z) \leq S(x, x, w) + S(y, y, w) + S(z, z, w)$ *In this case* (*X*,*S*) *is called a S-metric space*

**Example 2.2.** *Let*  $X = \mathbb{R}$  *and*  $S : \mathbb{R}^3 \to [0, \infty)$  *be defined by*  $S(x, y, z) = |y + z - 2x| + |y - z|$  *for*  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$ *, then*  $(X, S)$  *is a S-metric space.*

Example 2.3. *Let* (*X*,*d*) *be a metric space. Define*  $S_d$  :  $X^3 \to [0, \infty)$  *by*  $S_d(x, y, z) = d(x, y) + d(y, z) + d(z, x)$ *then S<sup>d</sup> is a S-metric on X and we call this as the S-metric induced by d.*

<span id="page-0-2"></span>Remark 2.4. *It is shown ([5], Lemma 2.5) in a S-metric space that*

 $S(x, x, y) = S(y, y, x)$  *for all*  $x, y \in X$ . Also we need the follow*ing notions given in [6].*

**Definition 2.5.** *Let*  $(X, S)$  *be an S-metric space. Let*  $x \in X$ *and r* > 0, *then the open ball with centre at x and radius r is given by*  $B(x, r) = \{y \in X : S(y, y, x) < r\}$ 

**Remark 2.6.** *Let*  $(X, S)$  *be an S-metric space and*  $A \subset X$ . *(1) It has been proved in [5] that B*(*x*,*r*) *is an open set in X and that the topology generated by the open balls as a basis is a topology called the topology induced by the S-metric on X. (2) If for every x* ∈ *A*, *there exists a r* > 0 *such that*  $B_s(x, r)$  ⊂ *A*, *then the subset A is called an open subset of X.*

*(3) A sequence*  $\{x_n\}$  *in X said to converge to x if*  $S(x_n, x_n, x) \rightarrow$ 0*;* that is for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists an  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that *for all*  $n \geq n_0$ *, we have*  $S(x_n, x_n, x) < \varepsilon$  *and we write this by*  $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = x$  *in this case.* 

*(4)A sequence* {*xn*} *in X is called a Cauchy sequence if to each*  $\varepsilon > 0$ *, there exists*  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  *such that*  $S(x_n, x_n, x) < \varepsilon$  for *each*  $n, m \geq n_0$ .

*(5) In [5] it has been proved that if* {*xn*} *is a sequence in S-metric space* (*X*,*S*) *that converges to x is unique and that* {*xn*} *is a Cauchy sequence.*

*(6) An S-metric space* (*X*,*S*) *is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence in it converges.*

Definition 2.7. *Let* (*X*,*S*) *be an S-metric space, If there exists sequences*  $\{x_n\}$  *and*  $\{y_n\}$  *such that*  $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = x$  *and*  $\lim_{n\to\infty} y_n = y$ *then*  $\lim_{n\to\infty} S(x_n, x_n, y_n) = S(x, x, y)$ *, then we say that*  $S(x, y, z)$  *is continuous in x and y.*

It is well known now that the commutativity of maps is generalized as follows

Definition 2.8. *If g and f are self maps of a S-metric space* (*X*,*S*) *such that for every sequence* {*xn*} *in X with*  $\lim_{n \to \infty} gx_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} fx_n = t$  *for some*  $t \in X$  *we have*  $\lim_{n\to\infty} S(gfx_n, gfx_n, fgx_n) = 0$  then g and f are said to be com*patible*

Trivially commuting self maps of a S-metric space are compatible but not conversely. For example

**Example 2.9.** *Let*  $X = [0, 1]$  *and*  $S(x, y, z) = |x - y| + |y - z| + |z - x|$  *for*  $x, y, z \in X$ . *Defining*  $f: X \to X, g: X \to X$  *by*  $gx = \frac{x^2}{2}$  $\frac{x^2}{2}$  *and*  $fx = \frac{x^2}{3}$  $rac{r}{3}$  for *x* ∈ *X then it is easy to see that g,f are compatible but not commutative.*

<span id="page-1-1"></span>**Lemma 2.10.** *Let*  $(X,d)$  *be any metric space and*  $S_d$  *be the S-metric induced by d. For any sequence*  $\{x_n\}$  *in*  $(X, S_d)$ *, is a Cauchy sequence if and only if* {*xn*} *is a Cauchy sequence in*  $(X,d).$ 

*Proof.* First observe that  $d(x, y) \leq S_d(x, x, y) \leq 2d(x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . Now the lemma follows immediately in view of the above inequality  $\Box$ 

<span id="page-1-3"></span>**Corollary 2.11.** Let  $(X,d)$  be any metric space and  $S_d$  be the *S-metric on X. Then*  $(X, S_d)$  *is a complete if and only if*  $(X, d)$ *is complete*

*Proof.* Follows from Lemma [2.10](#page-1-1)

Definition 2.12. *If f*,*g and h be self maps of a non empty set X* such that  $f(X) \cup g(X) \subseteq h(X)$ , then for any  $x_0 \in X$ , there is a *sequence*  $\{x_n\}$  *in X such that*  $f x_{2n} = h x_{2n+1}, g x_{2n+1} = h x_{2n+2}$ *for*  $n \geq 0$  *then*  $\{x_n\}$  *is called an associated sequence of*  $x_0$ *relative to three self maps f*,*g and h.*

The existence of an associated sequence of  $x_0$  relative to *f*,*g* and *h* is ensured.

In fact, if  $x_0 \in X$  then  $fx_0 \in f(X)$  and  $f(X) \subseteq h(X)$  imply that there is a  $x_1 \in X$  such that  $fx_0 = hx_1$ . Now  $gx_1 \in g(X)$ and  $g(X) \subseteq h(X)$  imply that there is a  $x_2 \in X$  with  $gx_1 = hx_2$ . Again  $fx_2 \in f(X)$  and  $f(X) \subseteq h(X)$  then we get  $x_3 \in X$  with  $fx_2 = hx_3$  and  $gx_3 \in g(X), g(X) \subseteq h(X)$  gives  $gx_3 = hx_4$  for some  $x_4 \in X$ . Repeating this process, alternatively using the fact  $f(X) \subseteq h(X)$  and  $g(X) \subseteq h(X)$  we can find a sequence  ${x_n}$  with  $f_{x_{2n}} = hx_{2n+1}$  and  $gx_{2n+1} = h_{2n+2}$  for  $n \ge 0$ .

It may be noted that for a given point  $x_0 \in X$  there may be more than one sequence  $\{x_n\}$  with the above condition. For example

**Example 2.13.** *Suppose*  $X = \mathbb{R}$  *with*  $S(x, y, z) = |x - y| + |y - z|$ *z*|+|*z*−*x*| *for x*, *y*,*z* ∈ *X. Define self maps f* : *X* → *X, g* : *X* → *X* and  $h: X \to X$  by  $fx = gx = \frac{x^2}{3}$  $\frac{x^2}{3}$  and  $h(x) = x^2$ . Then as *explained above we get a sequence*  $\{x_n\}$  *with*  $fx_{2n} = hx_{2n+1}$ *and*  $gx_{2n+1} = h_{2n+2}$  *for*  $n \geq o$  *where each*  $x_n$  *has two choices viz*  $\frac{x_0}{(\sqrt{3})^n}$  *or*  $\frac{-x_0}{(\sqrt{3})^n}$  $\frac{-x_0}{(\sqrt{3})^n}$  for  $n \geq 0$ . Hence to each  $x_0 \in X$ , there are *infinitely many associated sequences* {*xn*}.

**Definition 2.14.** *A mapping*  $\phi$  :  $[0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  *is said to be a contractive modulus if*  $\phi(0) = 0$  *and*  $\phi(t) < t$  *for*  $t > 0$ 

**Example 2.15.** *The mapping*  $\phi$  :  $[0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  *defined by*  $\phi(t) = ct$  where  $0 \leq c < 1$  *is a contractive modulus.* 

#### **3. Main Results**

<span id="page-1-2"></span><span id="page-1-0"></span>Theorem 3.1. *Suppose f*,*g and h be three self maps of Smetric space* (*X*,*S*) *satisfying the conditions*

$$
(i) f(X) \cup g(X) \subseteq h(X)
$$

(*ii*)  $S(fx, fx, gy) \leq \phi\left(\lambda(x, y)\right)$  *for all x*,  $y \in X$  *where*  $\phi$  *is an upper semi continuous contractive modulus and*  $\lambda(x, y) = \max\{S(hx, hx, hy), S(fx, fx, hx), S(gy, gy, hx),\}$  $\frac{1}{2}[S(fx, fx, hy) + S(gy, gy, hx)]\}$ 

- *(iii) Either* (*f*,*h*) *or* (*g*,*h*) *is compatible pair and*
- *(iv) h is continuous Further if*
- *(v) There is a point x*<sup>0</sup> ∈ *X and an associated sequence*  ${x_n}$  *of*  $x_0$  *relative to the three self maps such that the sequence*  $fx_0, gx_1, fx_2, gx_3, \cdots fx_{2n}, gx_{2n+1}, \cdots$  *converge to some point*  $z \in X$ .

*Then z is the unique common fixed point for f*,*g and h.*



 $\Box$ 

Before proving the main theorem, we establish a lemma which is noteworthy.

<span id="page-2-7"></span>Lemma 3.2. *Suppose f*,*g and h be three self maps of S-metric space* (*X*,*S*) *satisfying the conditions (i),(ii),(iv) and (v) of the Theorem [3.1.](#page-1-2) Then for the associated sequence*  $\{x_n\}$  *of*  $x_0$ *relative to f*,*g and h we have*

 $(a)$   $\lim_{n \to \infty} \lambda(hx_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) = S(z, z, hz)$  *if*  $(f, h)$  *is compatible*  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \lambda(x_{2n}, hx_{2n+1}) = S(z, z, hz)$  *if*  $(g, h)$  *is compatible* 

*Proof.* Since by (v), each of the sequence  $fx_{2n}$  and  $gx_{2n+1}$ converges to  $z \in X$  and since  $fx_{2n} = hx_{2n+1}$  and  $gx_{2n+1} =$  $h_{2n+2}$  for  $n \geq 0$ , we have

<span id="page-2-0"></span>
$$
fx_{2n}, gx_{2n+1}, hx_{2n+1}, hx_{2n+2} \rightarrow z \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.1}
$$

Now since h is continuous, we have

$$
hf x_{2n} \to h z, \qquad h^2 x_{2n} \to h z \text{ as } n \to \infty \tag{3.2}
$$

(a) If the pair  $(f,h)$  is compatible, we have

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} S(hfx_{2n}, hfx_{2n}, fhx_{2n}) = 0 \tag{3.3}
$$

since  $fx_{2n}$ ,  $hx_{2n} \rightarrow z$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  by [3.1](#page-2-0) Now, in view of [3.2](#page-2-1) and [3.3,](#page-2-2) we get

$$
f h x_{2n} \to h z \text{ as } n \to \infty \tag{3.4}
$$

Also from (ii) we have

$$
\lambda(hx_{2n}, x_{2n+1})
$$
  
= max{ $S(h^2x_{2n}, h^2x_{2n}, hx_{2n+1}), S(fhx_{2n}, fhx_{2n}, h^2x_{2n}),$   
 $S(gx_{2n+1}, gx_{2n+1}, hx_{2n+1}),$   

$$
\frac{1}{2}[S(fhx_{2n}, fhx_{2n}, hx_{2n+1}) + S(gx_{2n+1}, gx_{2n+1}, h^2x_{2n})]\}
$$

So that, in view of Remark [2.4](#page-0-2) , we have

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \lambda(hx_{2n}, x_{2n+1})
$$
  
= max{ $S(hz, hz, z)$ ,  $S(hz, hz, hz)$ ,  $S(z, z, z)$ },  

$$
\frac{1}{2}[S(hz, hz, z) + S(z, z, hz)]
$$
}  
=  $S(z, z, hz)$ 

Proving part (a) of the lemma (b) If the pair  $(g,h)$  is compatible, we have by [3.1](#page-2-0)

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} S(hgx_{2n+1}, hgx_{2n+1}, ghx_{2n}) = 0 \tag{3.5}
$$

Also since h is continuous, we have again by [3.1,](#page-2-0) that

<span id="page-2-6"></span>
$$
h^2 x_{2n+1} \to hz \text{ and } hgx_{2n+1} \to hz \text{ as } n \to \infty \tag{3.6}
$$

Now, in view of [3.5](#page-2-3) and [3.6,](#page-2-4) we get

 $ghx_{2n+1} \to hz$  as  $n \to \infty$  (3.7)

Now, from (ii) we have

$$
\lambda(x_{2n}, hx_{2n+1}) = \max\{S(hx_{2n}, hx_{2n}, h^2x_{2n+1}),\qquad(3.8)
$$

$$
S(fx_{2n}, fx_{2n}, hx_{2n}), S(ghx_{2n+1}, ghx_{2n+1}, \qquad (3.9)
$$

<span id="page-2-5"></span>
$$
h^{2}x_{2n+1}), \frac{1}{2}[S(fx_{2n}, fx_{2n}, h^{2}x_{2n+1}) + (3.10)
$$

$$
S(ghx_{2n+1}, ghx_{2n+1}, hx_{2n})]\}
$$
(3.11)

 $\Box$ 

Now, letting  $n \to \infty$  in [3.8](#page-2-5) and using the continuity of  $S(x, y, z)$ in x and y [,3.1,](#page-2-0) [3.6,](#page-2-4) [3.7](#page-2-6) we get

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \lambda(x_{2n}, hx_{2n+1})
$$
  
= max{ $S(z, z, hz), S(z, z, z), S(hz, hz, hz)$ ),  

$$
\frac{1}{2}[S(z, z, hz) + S(hz, hz, z)]
$$
}  
=  $S(z, z, hz)$ 

<span id="page-2-2"></span><span id="page-2-1"></span>Proving part (b) of the lemma

#### Proof of the Theorem 3.1

In this section we first prove the existence of a common fixed point in one of the two cases of the condition (iii) and the other case follows similarly with appropriate changes. Here we prove in case the pair  $(f,h)$  is compatible. Now from (ii), we have

$$
S(fhx_{2n}, fhx_{2n}, gx_{2n+1}) \le \phi\left(\lambda(hx_{2n}, x_{2n+1})\right) \quad (3.12)
$$

in which on letting  $n \to \infty$  and using Lemma [3.2](#page-2-7) and the continuity of  $S(x, y, z)$  in x and y we get

$$
S(hz, hz, z) \le \phi\left(S(hz, hz, z)\right) \tag{3.13}
$$

And this leads to a contradiction if  $hz \neq z$ . Therefore  $hz = z$ . Again from the condition (ii), we have

<span id="page-2-8"></span>
$$
S(fz, fz,gx_{2n+1}) \leq \phi\bigg(\lambda(z,x_{2n+1})\bigg) \tag{3.14}
$$

But

$$
\lambda(z, x_{2n+1}) = \max\{S(hz, hz, hx_{2n+1})
$$
  

$$
S(fz, fz, hz), S(gx_{2n+1}, gx_{2n+1}, hx_{2n+1})),
$$
  

$$
\frac{1}{2}[S(fz, fz, hx_{2n+1}) + S(gx_{2n+1}, gx_{2n+1}, hz)]\}
$$

<span id="page-2-4"></span><span id="page-2-3"></span>In which on letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we find

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \lambda(z, x_{2n+1}) = \max \{ S(hz, hz, z), S(fz, fz, z),
$$
  

$$
S(z, z, z), \frac{1}{2} [S(fz, fz, z) + S(z, z, z)] \}
$$
  

$$
= \max \{ 0, S(fz, fz, z), 0, \frac{1}{2} [S(fz, fz, z) + 0] \}
$$
  

$$
= S(fz, fz, z)
$$

Now, letting  $n \to \infty$  in [3.14,](#page-2-8) we get by the upper semicontinuity of  $\phi$ , that

$$
S(fz, fz, z) \le \phi\left(S(fz, fz, z)\right) \tag{3.15}
$$

which leads to a contradiction if  $f z \neq z$ . Therefore  $f z = z$ . Now, again from the condition (ii), we have

$$
S(fx_{2n}, fx_{2n}, gz) \le \phi\left(\lambda(x_{2n}, z)\right) \tag{3.16}
$$

But

$$
\lambda(x_{2n}, z) = \max\{S(hx_{2n}, hx_{2n}, hz),S(fx_{2n}, fx_{2n}, hx_{2n}), S(gz, gz, hz)),\frac{1}{2}[S(fx_{2n}, fx_{2n}, hz) + S(gz, gz, hx_{2n})]\}
$$

so that

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \lambda(x_{2n}, z) = \max \{ S(z, z, hz), S(z, z, hz), S(gz, gz, z), \n\frac{1}{2} [S(z, z, z) + S(gz, gz, z)] \}
$$
\n
$$
= S(gz, gz, z) = S(z, z, gz)
$$

Now, letting  $n \to \infty$  in [3.16,](#page-3-0) we get by the upper semicontinuity of  $\phi$ , that

$$
S(z, z, gz) \le \phi\left(S(z, z, gz)\right) \tag{3.17}
$$

and this leads to a contradiction if  $gz \neq z$ . Therefore  $gz = z$ . Hence  $z = fz = gz = hz$ 

Showing that z is a common fixed point of f,g and h.

We now prove the uniqueness of the common fixed point.If possible let  $z'$  be another common fixed point of f,g and h. Then from condition (ii), we have

<span id="page-3-1"></span>
$$
S(z, z, z') = S(fz, fz, gz') \le \phi\left(\lambda(z, z')\right) \tag{3.18}
$$

where

$$
\lambda(z, z') = \max\{S(hz, hz, hz'), S(fz, fz, hz), S(gz', gz', hz')\},\
$$
  

$$
\frac{1}{2}[S(fz, fz, hz') + S(gz', gz', hz)]\}
$$
  

$$
= \max\{S(z, z, z'), 0, 0, \frac{1}{2}[S(z, z, z') + S(z', z', z)]\}
$$
  

$$
= S(z, z, z')
$$

Therefore [3.18](#page-3-1) gives

$$
S(z, z, z') \le \phi\left(S(z, z, z')\right) \tag{3.19}
$$

which leads to a contradiction if  $z \neq z'$ . Hence z is the unique common fixed point of f,g and h.

Hence the Theorem [3.1](#page-1-2) is completely proved.

<span id="page-3-3"></span>Theorem 3.3. *Suppose* (*X*,*S*) *is a S-metric space satisfying the conditions (i) to (iv)of Theorem [3.1.](#page-1-2) Further if (v)'* (*X*,*S*) *is complete Then*  $f$ *,* $g$  and  $h$  have a unique common fixed point  $z \in X$ .

<span id="page-3-0"></span>Before proving the main theorem, we establish an essential lemma.

<span id="page-3-2"></span>**Lemma 3.4.** Suppose  $(X, S)$  is a S-metric space  $(X, S)$  and *f*,*g and h be three self maps of X such that*

(i) 
$$
f(X) \cup g(X) \subseteq h(X)
$$
  
\n(ii)  $S(fx, fx, gy) \le c(\lambda(x, y))$  for all  $x, y \in X$  where  
\n $0 \le c < \frac{1}{2}$  and  
\n $\lambda(x, y) = \max\{2S(hx, hx, hy), S(fx, fy, hx), S(gy, gy, hx), \frac{1}{2}[S(fx, fx, hy) + S(gy, gy, hx)]\}$  and

#### *(iii)* (*X*,*S*) *is complete*

*Then for any*  $x_0 \in X$  *and for any of its associated sequence*  ${x<sub>n</sub>}$  *relative to the three self maps, the sequence*  $f{x_0, gx_1, fx_2,}$  $gx_3, \dots f x_{2n}, gx_{2n+1}, \dots$  *converge to some point*  $z \in X$ .

*Proof.* Suppose *f*,*g* and *h* be self maps of a S-metric space  $(X, S)$  for which condition (i) and (ii) hold.

Let  $x_0 \in X$  and  $\{x_n\}$  be an associated sequence of  $x_0$  relative to the three self maps. Then since  $fx_{2n} = hx_{2n+1}$  and  $gx_{2n+1} = hx_{2n+2}$  for  $n \ge 0$ . Note that  $\lambda(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) = \max\{2S(hx_{2n}, hx_{2n}, hx_{2n+1}),\}$  $S(fx_{2n}, fx_{2n}, hx_{2n}), S(gx_{2n+1}, gx_{2n+1}, hx_{2n+1}),$  $\frac{1}{2}[S(fx_{2n},fx_{2n},hx_{2n+1}) + S(gx_{2n+1},gx_{2n+1},hx_{2n})]$  $=$  max $\{2S(hx_{2n},hx_{2n},hx_{2n+1}), S(hx_{2n+1},hx_{2n+1},hx_{2n}),\}$  $S(hx_{2n+2},hx_{2n+2},hx_{2n+1}), \frac{1}{2}[S(hx_{2n+1},hx_{2n+1},hx_{2n+1}) +$  $S(hx_{2n+2}, hx_{2n+2}, hx_{2n})$ }  $=$  max $\{2S(hx_{2n}, hx_{2n}, hx_{2n+1}), S(hx_{2n+2}, hx_{2n+2}, hx_{2n+1}),\}$  $\frac{1}{2}S(hx_{2n+2},hx_{2n+2},hx_{2n})\}$ *andsince*  $\frac{1}{2}S(hx_{2n+2},hx_{2n+2},hx_{2n})$  $\leq S(hx_{2n+2},hx_{2n+2},hx_{2n+1}) + \frac{1}{2}S(hx_{2n},hx_{2n},hx_{2n+1})$ and  $\alpha + \beta \leq 2 \max(\alpha, \beta)$  for any  $\alpha \geq 0, \beta \geq 0$ , we get  $\frac{1}{2}S(hx_{2n+2},hx_{2n+2},hx_{2n})$  $\leq$  2 max $\{S(hx_{2n+2}, hx_{2n+2}, hx_{2n+1}), \frac{1}{2}S(hx_{2n}, hx_{2n}, hx_{2n+1})\}$  $=$  max $\{2S(hx_{2n+2},hx_{2n+2},hx_{2n+1}), S(hx_{2n},hx_{2n},hx_{2n+1})\}$ It follows that  $\lambda(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) \leq \max\{2S(hx_{2n}, hx_{2n}, hx_{2n+1}),\}$  $2S(hx_{2n+2},hx_{2n+2},hx_{2n+1})\}$ Now by (ii) and ?? we have  $S(hx_{2n+1},hx_{2n+1},hx_{2n+2})$ 

$$
= S(fx_{2n}, fx_{2n}, gx_{2n+1})
$$
  
\n
$$
\le c\lambda (x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})
$$
  
\n
$$
\le 2c \max \{ S(hx_{2n}, hx_{2n}, hx_{2n+1}),
$$
  
\n
$$
S(hx_{2n+2}, hx_{2n+2}, hx_{2n+1}) \}
$$



<span id="page-4-0"></span>therefore, in view of Remark [2.4](#page-0-2) and the fact  $0 < 2c < 1$ , we get

$$
S(hx_{2n+1}, hx_{2n+1}, hx_{2n+2}) \le 2cS(hx_{2n}, hx_{2n}, hx_{2n+1}) \quad (3.20)
$$

Similarly we can prove that

<span id="page-4-1"></span>
$$
S(hx_{2n}, hx_{2n}, hx_{2n+1}) \leq 2cS(hx_{2n-1}, hx_{2n-1}, hx_{2n}) \quad (3.21)
$$

From [3.20](#page-4-0) and [3.21](#page-4-1) we have for any  $m \ge 1$  that

$$
S(hx_m, hx_m, hx_{m+1}) \le 2cS(hx_{m-1}, hx_{m-1}, hx_m) \quad (3.22)
$$

which on repeated application yields

$$
S(hx_m, hx_m, hx_{m+1}) \le 2cS(hx_{m-1}, hx_{m-1}, hx_m)
$$
  
\n
$$
\le 4c^2S(hx_{m-2}, hx_{m-2}, hx_{m-1})
$$
  
\n...  
\n
$$
\le (2c)^mS(hx_1, hx_1, hx_0)
$$

which imply that the sequence  $\{hx_n\}$  and hence

 $f_{x_0}, g_{x_1}, f_{x_2}, g_{x_3}, \cdots, f_{x_{2n}}, g_{x_{2n+1}}, \cdots$  is a Cauchy sequence in the complete metric space  $(X, S)$  and therefore converges to a point say  $z \in X$ , proving the lemma.  $\Box$ 

Remark 3.5. *The converse of the above lemma is not true. That is, suppose f*,*g and h are self maps of a S-metric space* (*X*,*S*) *satisfying conditions (i) and (ii) of Lemma [3.4.](#page-3-2) Even if for each*  $x_0 \in X$  *and for each associated sequence*  $\{x_n\}$  *of*  $x_0$ *relative to f*,*g and h.*

*The sequence*  $fx_0, gx_1, fx_2, gx_3, \cdots fx_{2n}, gx_{2n+1}, \cdots$  *converges in X*

*Then* (*X*,*S*) *need not be complete as shown in the following example.*

**Example 3.6.** *Let*  $X = [0, 1)$  *and*  $d(x, y) = |x - y|$  *for*  $x, y \in X$ . *Then we know that* (*X*,*d*) *is a metric space,which is not complete. Now if*  $S_d(x, y, z) = d(x, y) + d(y, z) + d(z, x)$  *for*  $x, y, z \in X$ . Then  $(X, S_d)$  *is a S-metric space and it is not complete by Corollary [2.11](#page-1-3)*

*Now define self maps f*,*g and h of X by*

$$
f(x) = g(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{3} & \text{if } x = 0\\ \frac{11}{20} & \text{if } x \in (0,1) \end{cases}
$$

*and*  $h(x) = \frac{3x+1}{4}$  *if*  $x \in [0,1)$ *Then*  $f(X) = g(X) = \left\{ \frac{1}{3}, \frac{11}{20} \right\}$  *and*  $h(X) = \left[ \frac{1}{4}, 1 \right)$ , *so that*  $f(X) ∪ g(X) ⊆ h(X)$ *Also suppose*  $x, y \in X$ . We now prove

$$
S_d(fx, fx, gy) \le c.\lambda(x, y) \text{ for some } c \tag{3.23}
$$

**case (i):**  $x = y = 0$  *then*  $S_d(fx, fx, gy) = 0, S_d(hx, hx, hy) = 0, S_d(fx, fx, hx) = \frac{1}{6},$  $S_d(gy,gy,hy) = \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{2}[S_d(fx, fx, hy) + S_d(gy, gy, hx)] = \frac{1}{6}$ *so that*  $\lambda(x, y) = \max\{0, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}\} = \frac{1}{6}$ 

*Therefore* [3.23](#page-4-2) *holds with c satisfying*  $0 \leq c < \frac{1}{2}$ *.* **case (ii):**  $x = 0$  *and*  $y \neq 0$ *. Then*  $S_d(fx, fx, gy) = \frac{13}{30}, \quad S_d(hx, hx, hy) = 3y, S_d(fx, fx, hx) = \frac{1}{6},$  $S_d(gy, gy, hy) = \frac{|15y - 6|}{10}$ and  $\frac{1}{2}[S_d(fx, fx, hy) + S_d(gy, gy, hx)] = \frac{3|y-1|}{4} + \frac{3}{10}$ <br>and since  $\frac{13}{30} \leq c \max\{3y, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{115y-6}{10}, \frac{3|y-1|}{4} + \frac{3}{10}\}$  holds for  $\frac{13}{90} \leq c < \frac{1}{2}$  is true in this case. *In the other cases of (iii)*  $x \neq 0$ ,  $y = 0$  *and (iv)x*  $\neq 0$ ,  $y \neq 0$  *also [3.23](#page-4-2) holds.*

*Thus conditions (i) and (ii) of Lemma [3.4](#page-3-2) hold for all these self maps f*,*g and h.*

*Now, to prove that if*  $\{x_n\}$  *is an associated sequence of any*  $x_0 \in X$ , then the sequence  $fx_0, gx_1, fx_2, gx_3, \cdots fx_{2n}, gx_{2n+1}, \cdots$ *converges. We consider the cases if*  $x_0 = 0$  *and*  $x_0 \neq 0$  *separately.*

*Let*  $x_0 = 0$  *so that*  $fx_0 = \frac{1}{3}$  *and*  $x_1 \in X$  *with*  $fx_0 = hx_1$  *is given*  $by x_1 = \frac{1}{9}$ *. Now*  $x_2 \in X$  *with*  $gx_1 = hx_2$  *is*  $x_2 = \frac{2}{5}$ *. Now*  $x_3 \in X$ *with*  $f x_2 = h x_3$  *is*  $x_3 = \frac{2}{5} A g \sin x_4 \in X$  *such that*  $g x_3 = h x_4$  *is*  $x_4 = \frac{2}{5}.$ 

*Thus the sequence*  $fx_0, gx_1, fx_2, gx_3, \cdots fx_{2n}, gx_{2n+1}, \cdots$  *is given by*  $\frac{1}{3}$ ,  $\frac{11}{20}$ ,  $\frac{11}{20}$ ,  $\frac{11}{20}$ , *in case*  $x_0 = 0$ *. Proceeding in this manner if*  $x_0 \neq 0$ , we get the sequence

 $f x_0, g x_1, f x_2, g x_3, \cdots f x_{2n}, g x_{2n+1}, \cdots \text{ as } \frac{11}{20}, \frac{11}{20}, \frac{11}{20}.$ *In any case the sequence*  $f x_0, g x_1, f x_2, g x_3, \cdots f x_{2n}, g x_{2n+1}, \cdots$ *converges to a point*  $\frac{11}{20} \in X$ .

*However X is not a complete metric space.*

#### Proof of Theorem [3.3](#page-3-3)

In view of Lemma [3.4,](#page-3-2) the condition (v)' of Theorem [3.1](#page-1-2) holds in view of (v)', hence the theorem follows from Theorem [3.1](#page-1-2)

Corollary 3.7. *([6] pp1584-1586) Let f*,*g and h be self maps of a metric space* (*X*,*d*) *such that*

- *(i) f*(*X*)∪*g*(*X*) ⊆ *h*(*X*)
- $(iii)$   $d(fx, gy) \leq c\lambda_0(x, y)$  *for all*  $x, y \in X$ *where*  $\lambda_0(x, y) = \max\{d(hx, hy), d(fx, hx), d(gy, hx),\}$  $\frac{1}{2}[d(fx, hy) + d(gy, hx)]\}$  *and*  $0 \le c < 1$
- *(iii) h is continuous and*
- *(iv)*  $fh = hf$  *and gh* =  $hg$ *Further if*
- *(v) X is complete*

*Then f*,*g* and *h* have a unique common fixed point  $x \in X$ .

<span id="page-4-2"></span>*Proof.* Given that  $(X, d)$  is a metric space satisfying condition (i) to (v) of the corollary. If

 $S_d(x, y, z) = d(x, y) + d(y, z) + d(z, x)$  then  $(X, S_d)$  is a S-metric space.

Also (ii) can be written as  $S_d(fx, fy, fy) \leq c\lambda(x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in X$  where



<span id="page-5-1"></span> $\lambda(x, y) = \max\{S_d(hx, hy, hy), s_d(fx, fx, hx), S_d(gy, gy, gy)\},\$  $\frac{1}{2}[S_d(fx, fx, hy) + S_d(gy, gy, hx)]\}$ 

which is same as the condition (ii) of Theorem [3.3.](#page-3-3) Also since  $(X, d)$  is complete, we have  $(X, S)$  is complete, by Theorem.. Now,  $f, g$  and  $h$  are self maps on  $(X, S)$  satisfying conditions of Theorem [3.3](#page-3-3) and hence the corollary follows

 $\Box$ 

## **References**

- <span id="page-5-0"></span>[1] B.C. Dhage, Generalized metric spaces and mappings with fixed point, *Bull. Cal. Math. Soc.*, 84(1992), 329– 336.
- [2] S. Gahler, 2- metrische Raume and ihre topologische struktur, *Math.Nachr*, 26(1963), 115–148.
- [3] S.Gahler, Zur geometric 2-metriche raume, *Revue Roumaine de Mathmatiques pures et Appliquees*, 11(1966), 665–667.
- [4] S. Sedghi, N. Shobe and H. Zhou, A Common fixed point theorem in *D* ∗ -metric spaces, *Fixed point Theory Appl.*, (2007), 1–3.
- [5] S. Sedghi,N.Shobe and Abdelkrim Aliouche, A generalization of fixed point theorems in *S*-metric spaces, *Mat. Vesnik*, 64(3)(2012), 258–266.
- [6] S.L. Singh and S.P. Singh, A fixed point theorems, *Indian J.Pure and Applied. Math.,* 11(1980), 1584–1586.
- [7] Zead Mustafa and B. Sims A new approach to generalized metric spaces, *J Nonlinear and Convex Analysis*, 7(2006), 289–297.

 $* * * * * * * * * * *$ ISSN(P):2319−3786 [Malaya Journal of Matematik](http://www.malayajournal.org) ISSN(O):2321−5666 \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

