

https://doi.org/10.26637/MJM0802/0014

A note on the zeros of polar derivative of a polynomial

K. Praveen Kumar^{1*} and B. Krishna Reddy²

Abstract

In [\[4,](#page-7-0) [7\]](#page-7-1), Enestrom Kakeya theorem has stated as the following. If $f(z) = \sum_{j=0}^n k_j z^j$ is the n^{th} degree polynomial with real coefficients such that $0{<}k_0\leq k_1\leq...\leq k_{n-2}\leq k_{n-1}\leq k_n$ then all zeros of f(z) lies in $|z|\leq1.$ In [\[1\]](#page-7-2), Aziz and Mahammad, showed that zeros of $f(z)$ satisfies $|z|\geq \frac{n}{n+1}$ are simple, under the same conditions. In this paper, we extend the above result to the polar derivative by relaxing the hypothesis in different ways.

Keywords

Zeros, polynomial, Eneström-Kakeya theorem, polar derivative.

AMS Subject Classification 30C10, 30C15.

¹*Department of Mathematics, Gpt.Vikarabad, Department of Technical Education, Telangana-501102, India.*

²*Department of Mathematics, UCS, Osmania University, Hyderabad, Telangana-500007, India.*

***Corresponding author**: ¹ k.praveen1729@gmail.com; ² bkrbkr 07@yahoo.com

Article History: Received **07** December **2019**; Accepted **22** February **2020** c 2020 MJM.

Contents

1. Introduction

Let $f(z)$ be the nth degree polynomial with real coefficients. Let $D_{\alpha} f(z)$ be the polar derivative of $f(z)$ w.r.t the point α and it is defined by $D_{\alpha} f(z) = nf(z) + (\alpha - z)f'(z)$.

In this case the degree of $D_{\alpha} f(z)$ is at most *n* − 1 and if α tends to ∞ then it generalize the ordinary derivative

$$
i.e. \lim_{\alpha \to \infty} \frac{D_{\alpha}f(z)}{\alpha} = f'(z)
$$

Regarding the distribution of zeros of $f(z)$, Enestrom Kakeya proved the folowing result.

Theorem 1.1. Let $f(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_j z^j$ be the nth degree polyno*mial with real coefficients such that for some* $0 \lt k_0 \leq k_1 \leq$... ≤ k_{n-2} ≤ k_{n-1} ≤ k_n *then all zeros of f*(*z*) *lies in* $|z|$ ≤ 1*.*

Regarding the multiplicity of zeros of $f(z)$, Aziz and Mahammad [\[1\]](#page-7-2) proved the folowing result

Theorem 1.2. Let $f(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_j z^j$ be the n^{th} degree polyno*mial with real coefficients such that for some* $0 < k_0 \leq k_1 \leq$... \leq k_n *then all zeros of* $f(z)$ *of modulus greater than or equal to* $\frac{n}{n+1}$ are simple.

Gulzar, Zargar, Akhter [\[6\]](#page-7-4) have extended the above results to the polar derivatives, there exist some generalizations and extentions of Enestrom kakeya theorem in [\[2,](#page-7-5) [3,](#page-7-6) [5,](#page-7-7) [8,](#page-7-8) [9\]](#page-8-0).

In this paper we prove the interesting results by relaxing the hypethesis by replacing b_t *with* $(t-1)[t\alpha k_t + (n-(t-1))]$ 1)) k_{t-1} for $t = 2, 3, 4, ..., n$

2. Main Results

Theorem 2.1. Let $f(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_j z^j$ be the n^{th} degree poly*nomial with real coefficients. Let* α *be a real number,* $s \geq 1$ *,* $0<\delta \leq 1$ *such that for some*

$$
sb_n \ge b_{n-1} \ge \ldots \ge b_4 \ge b_3 \ge b_2 - \delta
$$

then all zeros of $D_{\alpha} f(z)$ *which does not lie in*

$$
|z+s-1| \le \frac{sb_n - b_2 + |b_2| + 2\delta}{|b_n|}
$$

Corollary 2.1. *If* $f(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_j z^j$ *is the n*th degree polyno*mial with real coefficients, and* α *be a real number,* $s \geq 1$ *,* $0<\delta<1$ *such that for some*

$$
sb_n \ge b_{n-1} \ge \dots \ge b_4 \ge b_3 \ge b_2 - \delta \ge 0
$$

then all zeros of $D_{\alpha} f(z)$ *which does not lie in*

$$
|z+s-1| \le \frac{sb_n+2\delta}{|b_n|}
$$

*are simple, where b*_t = $(t-1)[t\alpha k_t + (n-(t-1))k_{t-1}]$ *for* $t = 2, 3, 4, ..., n$

Corollary 2.2. If $f(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_j z^j$ is the nth degree polyno*mial with real coefficients, and* α *be a real number, such that for some*

$$
b_n \ge b_{n-1} \ge \dots \ge b_4 \ge b_3 \ge b_2
$$

then all zeros of D^α *f*(*z*) *which does not lie in*

$$
|z| \leq \frac{b_n - b_2 + |b_2|}{|b_n|}
$$

are simple, where $b_t = (t-1)[t\alpha k_t + (n-(t-1))k_{t-1}]$ *for* $t = 2, 3, 4, ..., n$

Corollary 2.3. If $f(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_j z^j$ is the nth degree polyno*mial with real coefficients, and* α *be a real number, such that for some*

$$
b_n \ge b_{n-1} \ge \ldots \ge b_4 \ge b_3 \ge b_2 \ge 0
$$

then all zeros of $D_{\alpha} f(z)$ *which does not lie in*

$$
|z| \leq 1
$$

*are simple, where b*_t = $(t-1)[t\alpha k_t + (n-(t-1))k_{t-1}]$ *for* $t = 2, 3, 4, ..., n$

Corollary 2.4. If $f(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_j z^j$ is the nth degree polyno*mial with real coefficients, and* $s\geq 1$ *,* $0<\delta\leq 1$ *such that for some*

 $sc_n ≥ c_{n-1} ≥ ... ≥ c₄ ≥ c₃ ≥ c₂ −δ$

then all zeros of $D_0 f(z)$ *which does not lie in*

$$
|z+s-1| \le \frac{sc_n - c_2 + |c_2| + 2\delta}{|c_n|}
$$

are simple, where $c_t = (t-1)[(n-(t-1))k_{t-1}]$ *for* $t = 2, 3, 4, ..., n$

Corollary 2.5. If $f(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_j z^j$ is the nth degree polyno*mial with real coefficients, such that for some* $s \geq 1$ *,* $0 < \delta < 1$

$$
sc_n \ge c_{n-1} \ge \ldots \ge c_4 \ge c_3 \ge c_2 - \delta > 0
$$

then all zeros of $D_0 f(z)$ *which does not lie in*

$$
|z+s-1| \le \frac{sc_n + 2\delta}{|c_n|}
$$

are simple, where $c_t = (t-1)[(n-(t-1))k_{t-1}]$ *for* $t = 2, 3, 4, ..., n$

Corollary 2.6. *If* $f(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_j z^j$ *is the n*th *degree polynomial with real coefficients, such that for some*

 $c_n \geq c_{n-1} \geq ... \geq c_4 \geq c_3 \geq c_2 \geq 0$

then all zeros of $D_0 f(z)$ *which does not lie in*

 $|z|$ < 1

are simple, where $c_t = (t-1)[(n-(t-1))k_{t-1}]$ *for* $t = 2, 3, 4, ..., n$

Corollary 2.7. *If* $f(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_j z^j$ *is the nth degree polynomial with real coefficients, and s* ≥ 1*,* 0<δ < 1 *such that for some*

$$
sc_n \geq c_{n-1} \geq \ldots \geq c_4 \geq c_3 \geq c_2 - \delta
$$

then all zeros of $D_0 f(z)$ *which does not lie in*

$$
|z+s-1| \le \frac{sc_n - c_2 + |c_2| + 2\delta}{|c_n|}
$$

are simple, where $c_t = (t-1)[(n-(t-1))k_{t-1}]$ *for* $t = 2, 3, 4, ..., n$

- **Remark 2.1.** *1. By substituting* $b_t \geq 0$ *in Theorem 2.1, then it gives Corollary 2.1.*
	- 2. By substituting $s = 1$, $\delta = 0$ in Theorem 2.1, then it *gives Corollary 2.2.*
	- *3. By substituting* $\delta = 0$, $s = 1$ *and* $b_t \ge 0$ *in Theorem 2.1, then it gives Corollary 2.3.*
	- *A. By substituting* $\alpha = 0$ *in Theorem 2.1, then it gives Corollary 2.4.*
	- *5. By substituting* $\alpha = 0$ *and* $c_t \geq 0$ *in Theorem 2.1, then it gives Corollary 2.5.*
	- *6. By substituting* $\alpha = 0$, $s = 1$, $\delta = 0$ *and* $c_t \geq 0$ *in Theorem 2.1, then it gives Corollary 2.6.*
	- *7. By substituting* $\delta = 0$, $s = 1$, $\alpha = 0$ *in Theorem 2.1*, *then it gives Corollary 2.7.*

Theorem 2.2. Let $f(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_j z^j$ be the n^{th} degree polyno*mial with real coefficients, and* α *be a real number,* $\delta > 0$ *,* $0 < r \leq 1$ such that for some

$$
rb_n \ge b_{n-1} \ge \ldots \ge b_4 \ge b_3 \ge b_2 - \delta
$$

then all zeros of $D_{\alpha} f(z)$ *which does not lie in*

$$
|z| \le \frac{|b_n| + r(b_n - |b_n|) - b_2 + |b_2| + 2\delta}{|b_n|}
$$

Corollary 2.8. *If* $f(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_j z^j$ is a polynomial of degree n *with real coefficients, and* α *be a real number,* $\delta > 0$, $0 < r \leq 1$ *such that for some*

$$
rb_n \ge b_{n-1} \ge \dots \ge b_4 \ge b_3 \ge b_2 - \delta \ge 0
$$

then all zeros of $D_{\alpha} f(z)$ *which does not lie in*

$$
|z| \le \frac{|b_n| + r(b_n - |b_n|) + 2\delta}{|b_n|}
$$

are simple, where b_t = $(t-1)[t\alpha k_t + (n-(t-1))k_{t-1}]$ *for* $t = 2, 3, 4, ..., n$

Corollary 2.9. *If* $f(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_j z^j$ *is the n*th *degree polynomial with real coefficients, and* α *be a real number, such that for some*

$$
b_n \ge b_{n-1} \ge \ldots \ge b_4 \ge b_3 \ge b_2
$$

then all zeros of $D_{\alpha} f(z)$ *which does not lie in*

$$
|z| \leq \frac{b_n - b_2 - |b_2|}{|b_n|}
$$

*are simple, where b*_t = $(t-1)[t\alpha k_t + (n-(t-1))k_{t-1}]$ *for* $t = 2, 3, 4, ..., n$

Corollary 2.10. *If* $f(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_j z^j$ is the nth degree polyno*mial with real coefficients, and* $\delta > 0$, $0 < r \le 1$ *such that for some*

$$
rc_n \geq c_{n-1} \geq \ldots \geq c_4 \geq c_3 \geq c_2 - \delta
$$

then all zeros of $D_0 f(z)$ *which does not lie in*

$$
|z| \le \frac{|c_n| + r(c_n - |c_n|) - c_2 + |c_2| + 2\delta}{|c_n|}
$$

are simple, where $c_t = (t-1)[(n-(t-1))k_{t-1}]$ *for* $t = 2, 3, 4, ..., n$

Corollary 2.11. *If* $f(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_j z^j$ is the nth degree polyno*mial with real coefficients, and* $\delta > 0$, $0 < r \le 1$ *such that for some*

$$
rc_n \geq c_{n-1} \geq \ldots \geq c_4 \geq c_3 \geq c_2 - \delta \geq 0
$$

then all zeros of $D_0 f(z)$ *which does not lie in*

$$
|z| \le \frac{|c_n| + r(c_n - |c_n|) + 2\delta}{|c_n|}
$$

are simple, where $c_t = (t-1)[(n-(t-1))k_{t-1}]$ *for* $t = 2, 3, 4, ..., n$

Corollary 2.12. *If* $f(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_j z^j$ *is the n*th *degree polynomial with real coefficients, such that for some*

$$
c_n \geq c_{n-1} \geq \ldots \geq c_4 \geq c_3 \geq c_2
$$

then all zeros of $D_0 f(z)$ *which does not lie in*

$$
|z| \le \frac{c_n - c_2 - |c_2|}{|c_n|}
$$

are simple, where $c_t = (t-1)[(n-(t-1))k_{t-1}]$ *for* $t = 2, 3, 4, ..., n$

- **Remark 2.2.** *1. By substituting* $b_t \geq 0$ *in Theorem 2.2, then it gives Corollary 2.8.*
	- 2. By substituting $\delta = 0$, $r = 1$ in Theorem 2.2, then it *gives Corollary 2.9.*
	- *3. By substituting* $\delta = 0$, $r = 1$ *and* $b_t \ge 0$ *in Theorem 2.2, then it gives Corollary 2.3.*
	- *4. By substituting* $\alpha = 0$ *in Theorem 2.2, then it gives Corollary 2.10.*
	- *5. By substituting* $\alpha = 0$ *and* $c_t \geq 0$ *in Theorem 2.2, then it gives Corollary 2.11.*
	- *6. By substituting* $\alpha = 0$, $r = 1$, $\delta = 0$ *and* $c_t \ge 0$ *in Theorem 2.2, then it gives Corollary 2.6.*
	- *7. By substituting* $\delta = 0$, $r = 1$, $\alpha = 0$ *in Theorem 2.2, then it gives Corollary 2.12.*

Theorem 2.3. Let $f(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_j z^j$ be the n^{th} degree poly*nomial with real coefficients, and* α *be a real number,* $s \geq 1$ *,* $0<\delta\leq 1$ *such that for some*

$$
sb_n \le b_{n-1} \le \dots \le b_4 \le b_3 \le b_2 + \delta
$$

then all zeros of $D_{\alpha} f(z)$ *which does not lie in*

$$
|z+s-1| \le \frac{b_2+|b_2|-sb_n+2\delta}{|b_n|}
$$

*are simple, where b*_t = $(t-1)[t\alpha k_t + (n-(t-1))k_{t-1}]$ *for* $t = 2, 3, 4, ..., n$

Corollary 2.13. *If* $f(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_j z^j$ is the nth degree polyno*mial with real coefficients, and* α *be a real number,* $s \geq 1$ *,* $0<\delta\leq 1$ *such that for some*

$$
0 \leq sb_n \leq b_{n-1} \leq \ldots \leq b_4 \leq b_3 \leq b_2 + \delta
$$

then all zeros of $D_{\alpha} f(z)$ *which does not lie in*

$$
|z+s-1| \le \frac{2b_2 - sb_n + 2\delta}{|b_n|}
$$

*are simple, where b*_t = $(t-1)[t\alpha k_t + (n-(t-1))k_{t-1}]$ *for* $t = 2, 3, 4, ..., n$

Corollary 2.14. *If* $f(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_j z^j$ *is the nth degree polynomial with real coefficients, and* α *be a real number such that for some*

$$
b_n \le b_{n-1} \le \dots \le b_4 \le b_3 \le b_2
$$

then all zeros of $D_{\alpha} f(z)$ *which does not lie in*

$$
|z| \leq \frac{b_2 + |b_2| - b_n}{|b_n|}
$$

Corollary 2.15. *If* $f(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_j z^j$ is the nth degree polyno*mial with real coefficients, and* α *be a real number such that for some*

$$
0\leq b_n\leq b_{n-1}\leq\ldots\leq b_4\leq b_3\leq b_2
$$

then all zeros of $D_{\alpha} f(z)$ *which does not lie in*

$$
|z| \le \frac{2b_2 - b_n}{|b_n|}
$$

are simple, where b_t = $(t-1)[t\alpha k_t + (n-(t-1))k_{t-1}]$ *for* $t = 2, 3, 4, ..., n$

Corollary 2.16. *If* $f(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_j z^j$ is the nth degree polyno*mial with real coefficients, and* $s \geq 1$, $0 < \delta \leq 1$ *such that for some*

$$
sc_n \leq c_{n-1} \leq \ldots \leq c_4 \leq c_3 \leq c_2 + \delta
$$

then all zeros of $D_0 f(z)$ *which does not lie in*

$$
|z+s-1| \le \frac{c_2 + |c_2| - sc_n + 2\delta}{|c_n|}
$$

are simple, where $c_t = (t-1)[(n-(t-1))k_{t-1}]$ *for* $t = 2, 3, 4, ..., n$

Corollary 2.17. If $f(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_j z^j$ is the nth degree polyno*mial with real coefficients, and* $s \geq 1$, $0 < \delta \leq 1$ *such that for some*

$$
0 \leq sc_n \leq c_{n-1} \leq \ldots \leq c_4 \leq c_3 \leq c_2 + \delta
$$

then all zeros of $D_0 f(z)$ *which does not lie in*

$$
|z+s-1| \le \frac{2c_2 - sc_n + 2\delta}{|c_n|}
$$

are simple, where $c_t = (t-1)[(n-(t-1))k_{t-1}]$ *for* $t = 2, 3, 4, ..., n$

Corollary 2.18. *If* $f(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_j z^j$ is the nth degree polyno*mial with real coefficients, such that for some*

$$
0 \leq c_n \leq c_{n-1} \leq \ldots \leq c_4 \leq c_3 \leq c_2
$$

then all zeros of $D_0 f(z)$ *which does not lie in*

$$
|z| \le \frac{2c_2 - c_n}{|c_n|}
$$

are simple, where $c_t = (t-1)[(n-(t-1))k_{t-1}]$ *for* $t = 2, 3, 4, ..., n$

Corollary 2.19. *If* $f(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_j z^j$ is the nth degree polyno*mial with real coefficients, such that for some*

$$
c_n \leq c_{n-1} \leq \ldots \leq c_4 \leq c_3 \leq c_2
$$

then all zeros of $D_0 f(z)$ *which does not lie in*

$$
|z| \le \frac{c_2 + |c_2| - c_n}{|c_n|}
$$

are simple, where $c_t = (t-1)[(n-(t-1))k_{t-1}]$ *for* $t = 2, 3, 4, ..., n$

- **Remark 2.3.** *1. By substituting* $b_t \geq 0$ *in Theorem 2.3, then it gives Corollary 2.13.*
	- 2. *By substituting* $\delta = 0$, $s = 1$ *in Theorem 2.3, then it gives Corollary 2.14.*
	- *3. By substituting* $\delta = 0$, $s = 1$ *and* $b_t \geq 0$ *in Theorem 2.3, then it gives Corollary 2.15.*
	- *4. By substituting* $\alpha = 0$ *in Theorem 2.3, then it gives Corollary 16.*
	- *5. By substituting* $\alpha = 0$ *and* $c_t \geq 0$ *in Theorem* 2.3, *then it gives Corollary 2.17.*
	- *6. By substituting* $\alpha = 0$, $s = 1$, $\delta = 0$ *and* $c_t \ge 0$ *in Theorem 2.3, then it gives Corollary 2.18.*
	- *7. By substituting* $\delta = 0$, $s = 1$, $\alpha = 0$ *in Theorem 2.3, then it gives Corollary 2.19.*

Theorem 2.4. Let $f(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_j z^j$ be the n^{th} degree poly*nomial with real coefficients, Let* α *be a real number,* $\delta > 0$ *,* $0 < r \leq 1$ *such that for some*

$$
rb_n \le b_{n-1} \le \dots \le b_4 \le b_3 \le b_2 + \delta
$$

then all zeros of $D_{\alpha} f(z)$ *which does not lie in*

$$
|z| \le \frac{|b_n| - r(b_n + |b_n|) + b_2 + |b_2| + 2\delta}{|b_n|}
$$

*are simple, where b*_t = $(t-1)[t\alpha k_t + (n-(t-1))k_{t-1}]$ *for* $t = 2, 3, 4, ..., n$

Corollary 2.20. *If* $f(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_j z^j$ is the nth degree polyno*mial with real coefficients, and* α *be a real number,* $\delta > 0$ *,* $0 < r \leq 1$ *such that for some*

$$
0 \leq rb_n \leq b_{n-1} \leq \ldots \leq b_4 \leq b_3 \leq b_2 + \delta
$$

then all zeros of $D_{\alpha} f(z)$ *which does not lie in*

$$
|z| \le \frac{(1-2r)b_n + 2b_2 + 2\delta}{|b_n|}
$$

*are simple, where b*_t = $(t-1)[t\alpha k_t + (n-(t-1))k_{t-1}]$ *for* $t = 2, 3, 4, ..., n$

Corollary 2.21. *If* $f(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_j z^j$ *is the nth degree polynomial with real coefficients, and* α *be a real number, such that for some*

$$
b_n \le b_{n-1} \le \dots \le b_4 \le b_3 \le b_2
$$

then all zeros of $D_{\alpha} f(z)$ *which does not lie in*

$$
|z| \le \frac{-b_n + b_2 + |b_2|}{|b_n|}
$$

Corollary 2.22. *If* $f(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_j z^j$ is the nth degree polyno*mial with real coefficients, and* α *be a real number, such that for some*

$$
0 \le b_n \le b_{n-1} \le \dots \le b_4 \le b_3 \le b_2
$$

then all zeros of $D_{\alpha} f(z)$ *which does not lie in*

$$
|z| \le \frac{-b_n + 2b_2}{|b_n|}
$$

*are simple, where b*_t = $(t-1)[t\alpha k_t + (n-(t-1))k_{t-1}]$ *for* $t = 2, 3, 4, ..., n$

Corollary 2.23. *If* $f(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_j z^j$ is the nth degree polyno*mial with real coefficients, and* $\delta > 0$, $0 < r \le 1$ *such that for some*

$$
rc_n \leq c_{n-1} \leq \ldots \leq c_4 \leq c_3 \leq c_2 + \delta
$$

then all zeros of $D_0 f(z)$ *which does not lie in*

$$
|z| \le \frac{|c_n| - r(c_n + |c_n|) + c_2 + |c_2| + 2\delta}{|c_n|}
$$

are simple, where $c_t = (t-1)[(n-(t-1))k_{t-1}]$ *for* $t = 2, 3, 4, ..., n$

Corollary 2.24. *If* $f(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_j z^j$ is the nth degree polyno*mial with real coefficients, and* $\delta > 0$, $0 < r \le 1$ *such that for some*

$$
0 \leq rb_n \leq b_{n-1} \leq \ldots \leq b_4 \leq b_3 \leq b_2 + \delta
$$

then all zeros of $D_0 f(z)$ *which does not lie in*

$$
|z| \le \frac{(1-2r)c_n + 2c_2 + 2\delta}{|b_n|}
$$

are simple, where $c_t = (t-1)[(n-(t-1))k_{t-1}]$ *for* $t = 2, 3, 4, ..., n$

Corollary 2.25. *If* $f(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_j z^j$ is the nth degree polyno*mial with real coefficients, such that for some*

$$
0 \leq c_n \leq c_{n-1} \leq \ldots \leq c_4 \leq c_3 \leq c_2
$$

then all zeros of D $_0 f(z)$ *which does not lie in*

$$
|z| \le \frac{-c_n + 2c_2}{|c_n|}
$$

are simple, where $c_t = (t-1)[(n-(t-1))k_{t-1}]$ *for* $t = 2, 3, 4, ..., n$

Corollary 2.26. If $f(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_j z^j$ is the nth degree polyno*mial with real coefficients, such that for some*

$$
c_n \leq c_{n-1} \leq \ldots \leq c_4 \leq c_3 \leq c_2
$$

then all zeros of $D_0 f(z)$ *which does not lie in*

$$
|z| \le \frac{-c_n + c_2 + |c_2|}{|c_n|}
$$

are simple, where $c_t = (t-1)[(n-(t-1))k_{t-1}]$ *for* $t = 2, 3, 4, ..., n$

- **Remark 2.4.** *1. By substituting* $b_t \geq 0$ *in Theorem 2.4, then it gives Corollary 2.20.*
	- 2. *By substituting* $\delta = 0$, $r = 1$ *in Theorem 2.4, then it gives Corollary 2.21.*
	- *3. By substituting* $\delta = 0$, $r = 1$ *and* $b_t \ge 0$ *in Theorem 2.4, then it gives Corollary 2.22.*
	- *4. By substituting* $\alpha = 0$ *in Theorem 2.4, then it gives Corollary 2.23.*
	- *5. By substituting* $\alpha = 0$ *and* $c_t \geq 0$ *in Theorem 2.4, then it gives Corollary 2.24.*
	- *6. By substituting* $\alpha = 0$, $r = 1$, $\delta = 0$ *and* $c_t \ge 0$ *in Theorem 2.4, then it gives Corollary 2.25.*
	- *7. By substituting* $\delta = 0$, $r = 1$, $\alpha = 0$ *in Theorem 2.4, then it gives Corollary 2.26.*

3. Proof of the theorems

Proof of the Theorem 2.1.

Let $f(z) = k_n z^n + k_{n-1} z^{n-1} + \dots + k_1 z + k_0$ be the n^{th} degree polynomial with real coefficients. by the definition of polar derivative, $D_{\alpha} f(z) = nf(z) + \alpha f'(z) - zf'(z)$ there fore,

$$
D_{\alpha}f(z) = n(k_{n}z^{n} + k_{n-1}z^{n-1} + \dots + k_{1}z + k_{0})
$$

+ $\alpha(nk_{n}z^{n-1} + (n-1)k_{n-1}z^{n-2} + \dots + k_{1})$
- $z(nk_{n}z^{n-1} + (n-1)k_{n-1}z^{n-2} + \dots + k_{1})$
= $[n\alpha k_{n} + (n - (n - 1))k_{n-1}]z^{n-1}$
+ $[(n-1)\alpha k_{n-1} + (n - (n-2))k_{n-2}]z^{n-2}$
+ $\dots + [2\alpha k_{2} + (n - 1))k_{1}]z + [\alpha k_{1} + nk_{0}]$

Now find
$$
D'_{\alpha}f(z)
$$
, we get
\n $D'_{\alpha}f(z) = b_n z^{n-2} + b_{n-1} z^{n-3} + ... + b_4 z^2 + b_3 z + b_2$
\nwhere $b_t = (t-1)[t\alpha k_t + (n - (t-1))k_{t-1}]$ for
\n $t = 2, 3, 4, ..., n$.
\nNow Consider $g(z) = (1 - z)D'_{\alpha}f(z)$, so that

$$
g(z) = (1 - z)[b_n z^{n-2} + b_{n-1} z^{n-3} + b_{n-2} z^{n-4} + \dots + b_4 z^2
$$

+ $b_3 z + b_2$,

then

$$
|g(z)| \ge |b_n||z|^{n-2} \left[|z+s-1| - \frac{1}{|b_n|} \{ |sb_n - b_{n-1}| + \frac{|b_{n-1} - b_{n-2}|}{|z|} + \frac{|b_{n-2} - b_{n-3}|}{|z|^2} + \dots + \frac{|b_4 - b_3|}{|z|^{n-4}} + \frac{|b_3 - b_2|}{|z|^{n-3}} + \frac{|b_2|}{|z|^{n-2}} \} \right]
$$

If
$$
|z| > 1
$$
 then $\frac{1}{|z|} < 1$
\n
$$
\geq |b_n||z|^{n-2} \Big[|z+s-1| - \frac{1}{|b_n|} \{ |sb_n - b_{n-1}| + ... + |b_3 - \delta - (b_2 - \delta)| + |b_2| \} \Big]
$$
\n
$$
\geq |b_n||z|^{n-2} \Big[|z+s-1| - \frac{1}{|b_n|} \{ |sb_n - b_{n-1}| + ... + |b_3 - (b_2 - \delta)| + |\delta| + |b_2| \} \Big]
$$
\n
$$
\geq |b_n||z|^{n-2} \Big[|z+s-1| - \frac{1}{|b_n|} \{ (sb_n - b_{n-1}) + ... + (b_3 - b_2 + \delta) + |\delta| + |b_2| \} \Big]
$$
\n
$$
\geq |b_n||z|^{n-2} \Big[|z+s-1| - \frac{1}{|b_n|} \{ sb_n - b_2 + 2\delta + |b_2| \} \Big]
$$
\n
$$
\geq |b_n||z|^{n-2} \Big[|z+s-1| - \frac{1}{|b_n|} \{ sb_n - b_2 + 2\delta + |b_2| \} \Big]
$$

Hence $|g(z)| > 0$ if

$$
|z+s-1| > \frac{1}{|b_n|} \{sb_n-b_2+2\delta+|b_2|\}.
$$

This implies that all zeros of $g(z)$ whose modulus is greater than 1 are lie in

$$
|z+s-1| \leq \frac{1}{|b_n|} \{sb_n-b_2+2\delta+|b_2|\}.
$$

Since the zeros of $g(z)$ whose modulus is less than or equal to 1 are already lie in

$$
|z+s-1| \leq \frac{1}{|b_n|} \{sb_n-b_2+2\delta+|b_2|\},\
$$

it follows that all the zeros of $g(z)$ lie in

$$
|z+s-1| \leq \frac{1}{|b_n|} \{sb_n-b_2+2\delta+|b_2|\}.
$$

Since all the zeros of $g(z)$ are also the zeros of D' $\int_{\alpha} f(z)$ lie in

$$
|z+s-1| \leq \frac{1}{|b_n|} \{sb_n - b_2 + 2\delta + |b_2|\}.
$$

Thus all the zeros of D' ^{α} $f(z)$ lie in

$$
|z+s-1| \leq \frac{1}{|b_n|} \{sb_n-b_2+2\delta+|b_2|\}.
$$

In other words all zeros of $D_{\alpha} f(z)$ which does not lie in

$$
|z+s-1| \leq \frac{1}{|b_n|} \{sb_n-b_2+2\delta+|b_2|\}.
$$

are simple, where $b_t = (t-1)[t\alpha k_t + (n - (t-1))k_{t-1}]$ *for* $t = 2, 3, 4, ..., n$ Proof of the Theorem 2.2.

Let $f(z) = k_n z^n + k_{n-1} z^{n-1} + ... + k_1 z + k_0$ be the n^{th} degree polynomial with real coefficients. by the definition of polar derivative, $D_{\alpha} f(z) = nf(z) + \alpha f'(z) - zf'(z)$ therefore,

$$
D_{\alpha}f(z) = (k_{n}z^{n} + k_{n-1}z^{n-1} + ... + k_{1}z + k_{0})
$$

+ $\alpha(nk_{n}z^{n-1} + (n-1)k_{n-1}z^{n-2} + ... + k_{1})$
- $z(nk_{n}z^{n-1} + (n-1)k_{n-1}z^{n-2} + ... + k_{1})$
= $[n\alpha k_{n} + (n - (n - 1))k_{n-1}]z^{n-1}$
+ $[(n-1)\alpha k_{n-1} + (n - (n-2))k_{n-2}]z^{n-2}$
+ ... + $[2\alpha k_{2} + (n - 1))k_{1}]z + [\alpha k_{1} + nk_{0}]$

Now find
$$
D'_{\alpha}f(z)
$$
, we get
\n
$$
D'_{\alpha}f(z) = b_n z^{n-2} + b_{n-1} z^{n-3} + ... + b_4 z^2 + b_3 z + b_2
$$
\nwhere $b_t = (t-1)[t\alpha k_t + (n - (t-1))k_{t-1}]$
\nfor $t = 2, 3, 4, ..., n$
\nNow Consider $g(z) = (1-z)D'_{\alpha}f(z)$, so that
\n $g(z) = (1-z)[b_n z^{n-2} + b_{n-1} z^{n-3} + ... + b_4 z^2 + b_3 z + b_2]$, then

$$
|g(z)| \ge |b_n||z|^{n-2} \left[|z| - \frac{1}{|b_n|} \left\{ |b_n - b_{n-1}| + \frac{|b_{n-1} - b_{n-2}|}{|z|} + \dots \right\} + \frac{|b_4 - b_3|}{|z|^{n-4}} + \frac{|b_3 - b_2|}{|z|^{n-3}} + \frac{|b_2|}{|z|^{n-2}} \right\} \right]
$$

If $|z| > 1$ then $\frac{1}{|z|} < 1$

$$
|g(z)| \ge |b_n||z|^{n-2} \Big[|z| - \frac{1}{|b_n|} \{ |b_n - rb_n + rb_n - b_{n-1}|
$$

+ ... + $|b_3 - \delta - (b_2 - \delta)| + |b_2| \} \Big]$

$$
\ge |b_n||z|^{n-2} \Big[|z| - \frac{1}{|b_n|} \{ |b_n - rb_n| + |rb_n - b_{n-1}|
$$

+ ... + $|b_3 - (b_2 - \delta)| + |\delta| + |b_2| \} \Big]$

$$
\ge |b_n||z|^{n-2} \Big[|z| - \frac{1}{|b_n|} \{ (1-r)|b_n| + (rb_n - b_{n-1})
$$

+ ... + $(b_3 - b_2 + \delta) + |\delta| + |b_2| \} \Big]$

$$
\ge |b_n||z|^{n-2} \Big[|z| - \frac{1}{|b_n|} \{ (1-r)|b_n| + rb_n - b_2 + 2\delta + |b_2| \} \Big]
$$

$$
\ge |b_n||z|^{n-2} \Big[|z| - \frac{|b_n| + r(b_n - |b_n|) - b_2 + 2\delta + |b_2|}{|b_n|} \Big].
$$

Hence $|g(z)| > 0$ if

$$
|z| > \frac{1}{|b_n|} \{ |b_n| + r(b_n - |b_n|) - b_2 + 2\delta + |b_2| \}.
$$

This implies that all zeros of $g(z)$ whose modulus is greater than 1 are lie in

$$
|z| \leq \frac{1}{|b_n|} \{ |b_n| + r(b_n - |b_n|) - b_2 + 2\delta + |b_2| \}.
$$

Since the zeros of $g(z)$ whose modulus is less than or equal to 1 are already lie in

[|]*z*| ≤ ¹ |*bn*|+*r*(*bⁿ* −|*bn*|)−*b*² +2δ +|*b*2| , |*bn*|

it follows that all the zeros of $g(z)$ lie in

$$
|z| \leq \frac{1}{|b_n|} \{ |b_n| + r(b_n - |b_n|) - b_2 + 2\delta + |b_2| \}.
$$

Since all the zeros of $g(z)$ are also the zero of D' $\int_{\alpha} f(z)$ lie in

$$
|z| \leq \frac{1}{|b_n|} \{ |b_n| + r(b_n - |b_n|) - b_2 + 2\delta + |b_2| \}.
$$

Thus all the zeros of D' ^{α} $f(z)$ lie in

$$
|z| \leq \frac{1}{|b_n|} \{ |b_n| + r(b_n - |b_n|) - b_2 + 2\delta + |b_2| \}.
$$

In other words all zeros of $D_{\alpha} f(z)$ which does not lie in

$$
|z| \leq \frac{1}{|b_n|} \big\{ |b_n| + r(b_n - |b_n|) - b_2 + 2\delta + |b_2| \big\}.
$$

are simple, where $b_t = (t-1)[t\alpha k_t + (n - (t-1))k_{t-1}]$ *for* $t = 2, 3, 4, \dots, n$

Proof of the Theorem 2.3.

Let $f(z) = k_n z^n + k_{n-1} z^{n-1} + ... + k_1 z + k_0$ be the n^{th} degree polynomial with real coefficients. by the definition of polar derivative, $D_{\alpha} f(z) = nf(z) + \alpha f'(z) - zf'(z)$ there fore,

$$
D_{\alpha}f(z) = n(k_{n}z^{n} + k_{n-1}z^{n-1} + ... + k_{1}z + k_{0})
$$

+ $\alpha(nk_{n}z^{n-1} + (n-1)k_{n-1}z^{n-2} + ... + k_{1})$
- $z(nk_{n}z^{n-1} + (n-1)k_{n-1}z^{n-2} + ... + k_{1})$
= $[n\alpha k_{n} + (n - (n - 1))k_{n-1}]z^{n-1}$
+ $[(n-1)\alpha k_{n-1} + (n - (n-2))k_{n-2}]z^{n-2}$
+ ... + $[2\alpha k_{2} + (n - 1))k_{1}]z + [\alpha k_{1} + nk_{0}]$

Now find D' $\int_{\alpha}^{'} f(z)$, we get *D* 0 f_{α} $f(z) = b_n z^{n-2} + b_{n-1} z^{n-3} + \ldots + b_4 z^2 + b_3 z + b_2 z$ where $b_t = (t-1)[t\alpha k_t + (n-(t-1))k_{t-1}]$ *for* $t = 2, 3, 4, ..., n$ Now Consider $g(z) = (1-z)D'$ α *f*(*z*), so that $g(z) = (1-z)[b_n z^{n-2} + b_{n-1} z^{n-3} + \dots + b_4 z^2 + b_3 z + b_2],$ then

$$
|g(z)| \ge |b_n||z|^{n-2} \Big[|z+s-1| - \frac{1}{|b_n|} \{ |sb_n - b_{n-1}|
$$

+
$$
\frac{|b_{n-1} - b_{n-2}|}{|z|} + \frac{|b_{n-2} - b_{n-3}|}{|z|^2} + \dots
$$

+
$$
\frac{|b_4 - b_3|}{|z|^{n-4}} + \frac{|b_3 - b_2|}{|z|^{n-3}} + \frac{|b_2|}{|z|^{n-2}} \} \Big]
$$

If $|z| > 1$ then $\frac{1}{|z|} < 1$

$$
|g(z)| \ge |b_n||z|^{n-2} \left[|z+s-1| - \frac{1}{|b_n|} \left\{ |sb_n - b_{n-1}| \right.\right.\left. + ... + |b_3 + \delta - (b_2 + \delta)| + |b_2| \right\} \right]
$$

\n
$$
\ge |b_n||z|^{n-2} \left[|z+s-1| - \frac{1}{|b_n|} \left\{ |sb_n - b_{n-1}| \right.\right.\left. + ... + |b_3 - (b_2 + \delta)| + |\delta| + |b_2| \right\} \right]
$$

\n
$$
\ge |b_n||z|^{n-2} \left[|z+s-1| - \frac{1}{|b_n|} \left\{ (b_{n-1} - sb_n) + \right.\right.\left. ... + (b_2 + \delta - b_3) + |\delta| + |b_2| \right\} \right]
$$

\n
$$
\ge |b_n||z|^{n-2} \left[|z+s-1| - \frac{1}{|b_n|} \left\{ 2\delta + b_2 + |b_2| - sb_n \right\} \right]
$$

Hence $|g(z)| > 0$ if

$$
|z+s-1| > \frac{1}{|b_n|} \{ 2\delta + b_2 + |b_2| - sb_n \}.
$$

.

This implies that all zeros of $g(z)$ whose modulus is greater than 1 are lie in

$$
|z+s-1| \leq \frac{1}{|b_n|} \{ 2\delta + b_2 + |b_2| - sb_n \}.
$$

Since the zeros of $g(z)$ whose modulus is less than or equal to 1 are already lie in

$$
|z+s-1| \leq \frac{1}{|b_n|} \{ 2\delta + b_2 + |b_2| - sb_n \},\
$$

it follows that all the zeros of $g(z)$ lie in

$$
|z+s-1| \leq \frac{1}{|b_n|} \{ 2\delta + b_2 + |b_2| - kb_n \}.
$$

Since all the zeros of $g(z)$ are also the zeros of D' $\int_{\alpha} f(z)$ lie in

$$
|z+s-1| \leq \frac{1}{|b_n|} \{ 2\delta + b_2 + |b_2| - sb_n \}.
$$

Thus all the zeros of D' ^{α} $f(z)$ lie in

$$
|z+s-1| \leq \frac{1}{|b_n|} \{ 2\delta + b_2 + |b_2| - sb_n \}.
$$

In other words all zeros of $D_{\alpha} f(z)$ which does not lie in

$$
|z+s-1| \leq \frac{1}{|b_n|} \{ 2\delta + b_2 + |b_2| - sb_n \}.
$$

are simple. where $b_t = (t-1)[t\alpha k_t + (n - (t-1))k_{t-1}]$ *for* $t = 2, 3, 4, \dots, n$

Proof of the Theorem 2.4.

Let $f(z) = k_n z^n + k_{n-1} z^{n-1} + ... + k_1 z + k_0$ be the n^{th} degree polynomial with real coefficients. by the definition of polar

derivative, $D_{\alpha} f(z) = nf(z) + \alpha f'(z) - zf'(z)$ there fore,

$$
D_{\alpha}f(z) = n(k_nz^n + k_{n-1}z^{n-1} + ... + k_1z + k_0)
$$

+ $\alpha(nk_nz^{n-1} + (n-1)k_{n-1}z^{n-2} + ... + k_1)$
- $z(nk_nz^{n-1} + (n-1)k_{n-1}z^{n-2} + ... + k_1)$
= $[n\alpha k_n + (n - (n-1))k_{n-1}]z^{n-1}$
+ $[(n-1)\alpha k_{n-1} + (n - (n-2))k_{n-2}]z^{n-2}$
+ ... + $[2\alpha k_2 + (n-1))k_1]z + [\alpha k_1 + nk_0]$

Now find $D_{0}^{'}$ $f_{\alpha}f(z)$, we get

$$
D'_{\alpha}f(z) = b_n z^{n-2} + b_{n-1} z^{n-3} + \dots + b_4 z^2 + b_3 z + b_2
$$

where $b_t = (t-1)[t\alpha k_t + (n - (t-1))k_{t-1}]$
for $t = 2, 3, 4, ..., n$
Now Consider $g(z) = (1-z)D'_{\alpha}f(z)$, so that
 $g(z) = (1-z)[b_n z^{n-2} + b_{n-1} z^{n-3} + ... + b_4 z^2 + b_3 z + b_2]$, then

$$
|g(z)| \ge |b_n||z|^{n-2} \left[|z| - \frac{1}{|b_n|} \{ |b_n - b_{n-1}| + \frac{|b_{n-1} - b_{n-2}|}{|z|} + \frac{|b_{n-2} - b_{n-3}|}{|z|^2} + \dots + \frac{|b_4 - b_3|}{|z|^{n-4}} + \frac{|b_3 - b_2|}{|z|^{n-3}} + \frac{|b_2|}{|z|^{n-2}} \} \right]
$$

If $|z| > 1$ then $\frac{1}{|z|} < 1$

$$
\geq |b_n||z|^{n-2} \Big[|z| - \frac{1}{|b_n|} \{ |b_n - rb_n + rb_n - b_{n-1}|
$$

+ |b_{n-1} - b_{n-2}| + ... + |b_4 - b_3|
+ |b_3 + \delta - (b_2 + \delta)| + |b_2| \} \Big]
\geq |b_n||z|^{n-2} \Big[|z| - \frac{1}{|b_n|} \{ |b_n - rb_n| + |rb_n - b_{n-1}|
+ |b_{n-1} - b_{n-2}| + ... + |b_4 - b_3|
+ |b_3 - (b_2 + \delta)| + |\delta| + |b_2| \} \Big]
\geq |b_n||z|^{n-2} \Big[|z| - \frac{1}{|b_n|} \{ (1-r)|b_n| + (b_{n-1} - rb_n) + ... + (b_2 + \delta - b_3) + |\delta| + |b_2| \} \Big]
\geq |b_n||z|^{n-2} \Big[|z| - \frac{(1-r)|b_n| - rb_n + b_2 + 2\delta + |b_2|}{|b_n|} \Big]
\geq |b_n||z|^{n-2} \Big[|z| - \frac{|b_n| - r(b_n + |b_n|) + b_2 + 2\delta + |b_2|}{|b_n|} \Big]

$$
\geq |b_n||z|^{n-2} \Big[|z| - \frac{|b_n| - r(b_n + |b_n|) + b_2 + 2\delta + |b_2|}{|b_n|} \Big]
$$

Hence $|g(z)| > 0$ if

$$
|z| > \frac{1}{|b_n|} \{ |b_n| - r(b_n + |b_n|) + b_2 + 2\delta + |b_2| \}.
$$

This implies that all zeros of $g(z)$ whose modulus is greater than 1 are lie in

$$
|z| \leq \frac{1}{|b_n|} \{ |b_n| - r(b_n + |b_n|) + b_2 + 2\delta + |b_2| \}.
$$

Since the zeros of $g(z)$ whose modulus is less than or equal to 1 are already lie in

$$
|z| \leq \frac{1}{|b_n|} \{ |b_n| - r(b_n + |b_n|) + b_2 + 2\delta + |b_2| \},\
$$

it follows that all the zeros of $g(z)$ lie in

$$
|z| \leq \frac{1}{|b_n|} \{ |b_n| - r(b_n + |b_n|) + b_2 + 2\delta + |b_2| \}.
$$

Since all the zeros of $g(z)$ are also the zeros of D' $\int_{\alpha} f(z)$ lie in

$$
|z| \leq \frac{1}{|b_n|} \{ |b_n| - r(b_n + |b_n|) + b_2 + 2\delta + |b_2| \}.
$$

Thus all the zeros of D' $\int_{\alpha} f(z)$ lie in

$$
|z| \leq \frac{1}{|b_n|} \{ |b_n| - r(b_n + |b_n|) + b_2 + 2\delta + |b_2| \}.
$$

In other words all zeros of $D_{\alpha} f(z)$ which does not lie in

$$
|z| \leq \frac{1}{|b_n|} \{ |b_n| - r(b_n + |b_n|) + b_2 + 2\delta + |b_2| \}.
$$

are simple, where $b_t = (t-1)[t\alpha k_t + (n-(t-1))k_{t-1}]$ *for* $t = 2, 3, 4, ..., n$

References

- [1] Abdul Aziz, Q.G.Mahammad, On the zeros of certain class of polynomials and related analytic functions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 75(1980), 495–502.
- [2] Abdul Aziz, Q.G.Mahammad, Zero free regions for polynomials and some generalizations of Enestrom Kakeya theorem, *Cana. Math. Bull.,* 27(3)91984), 265–272.
- [3] Bairagi, Vinay kumar, Saha, T.K. Mishra, On the location of zeros of certain polynomials ,publication de L'institut mathematics, *Nuvelle serie, tome*, 99(113)(2016), 287– 294.
- ^[4] G.Eneström, Remarquee sur un théorème relatif aux racines de l'equation $a_n + ... + a_0 = 0$ ou tous les coefficient sont et positifs, *Tôhoku Math. J*, 18 (1920), 34–36.
- [5] C. Gangadhar, P.Ramulu and G.L Reddy, Zero free regions of polar derivatives of polynomial with restricted coefficients, *IJPEM*, 4(30(2016), 67–74.
- [6] M.H.Gulzar, B.A.Zargar, R.Akhter, On the zeros of the polar derivative of polynomial, *Communication Nonlinear Analysis*, 6(1)(2019), 32–39.
- [7] S.KAKEYA, On the limits of the roots of an alegebraic equation with positive coefficient, *Tôhoku Math. J*, 2(1912-1913), 140–142.
- [8] P. Ramulu and G.L Reddy, On the zeros of polar derivatives, *International Journal of Recent Research in Mathematics Computer Science and Information Technology*, 2(1)(2015), 143-145.

1

[9] G.L. Reddy, P.Ramulu and C.Gangadhar, On the zeros of polar derivatives of polynomial, *Journal of Research in Applied Mathematics*, 2(4)(2015), 07–10.

> $\star\star\star\star\star\star\star\star\star$ ISSN(P):2319−3786 [Malaya Journal of Matematik](http://www.malayajournal.org) ISSN(O):2321−5666 $**********$

