



# A short note on some of the fuzzy rough hyper-ideals in semihyper-groups

V. S. Subha<sup>1\*</sup>, N. Thillaigovindan<sup>2</sup>, V. Chinnadurai<sup>3</sup> and S. Sharmila<sup>4</sup>

## Abstract

In this paper we apply some of the ideals in semihyper-group in terms of fuzzy rough set. Semihyper-group is an algebraic structure which is an extended structure of semigroup. We introduce the concept of fuzzy rough hyper-ideal and fuzzy rough bi hyper-ideal in semihyper-group. We define the  $q$ -level set in semihyper-group and study the relation of ideals between the fuzzy rough set and the  $q$ -level set of a fuzzy rough set.

## Keywords

Fuzzy rough set, semihyper-group, Fuzzy rough hyper-ideals, Fuzzy rough subsemihyper-group, Fuzzy rough interior hyper-ideals,  $q$ -level set.

## AMS Subject Classification

20M05, 08A72, 20M12.

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Dharmapuram Gnanambigai Government Arts College, Mayiladuthurai-609001, Tamil Nadu, India.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Arba Minch University, Ethiopia.

<sup>3,4</sup>Department of Mathematics, Annamalai University Annamalaiagar-608002, Tamil Nadu, India.

\*Corresponding author: <sup>1</sup> dharshinisuresh2002@gmail.com; <sup>2</sup>thillaigovindan.natesan@gmail.com; <sup>3</sup>kv.chinnadurai@yahoo.com;

<sup>4</sup>gssamithra2011@gmail.com

Article History: Received 12 November 2019; Accepted 19 March 2020

©2020 MJM.

## Contents

1	Introduction .....	522
2	Preliminaries .....	522
3	Fuzzy rough hyper-ideals of $(\mathcal{H}^*, \rho)$ .....	523
4	Some properties on max-min hyper-product of fuzzy rough sets in $(\mathcal{H}^*, \rho)$ .....	524
5	Fuzzy rough bi hyper-ideal of $(\mathcal{H}^*, \rho)$ .....	525
6	Fuzzy rough interior hyper-ideal of $(\mathcal{H}^*, \rho)$ .....	525
7	Conclusion .....	526
	References .....	526

## 1. Introduction

Marty(1934)[6] inspired from algebraic structures, he extended the concept to algebraic hyper-structures. The attraction of hyper-structure is its special property that the image of each pair of a cross product of two sets is lead to a set where in classical structures it is an element again. Davvaz(2002)[2], Kehayopulu[4][5], Corsini and Shabir[1] studied some of the fuzzy ideals in hyper-structures. In this paper we apply some of the ideals which was introduced by Subha et.al [7] in hyper-structures. In each section we discuss distinct fuzzy rough

hyper-ideals and study its properties.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section we recollect the basic definitions of ideals in hyper-structures such as hyper-ideal, subsemihyper-group and bi hyper-ideal.

**Definition 2.1.** [4] Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a non-empty set and  $\Theta$  be the hyper-operation on  $\mathcal{H}$  is defined as

$$\Theta : \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$$

where  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$  is the set of all subsets of  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then the set  $\mathcal{H}$  with the hyper-operation  $\Theta$  is called hyper-groupoid(say  $\mathcal{H}^\Theta$ ).

The image of the pair  $(g_1, g_2) \in \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}$  is denoted by  $g_1 \Theta g_2$ , the hyper-product of the elements  $g_1, g_2 \in \mathcal{H}$ .

Define hyper-operation

$$\star : \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}) \times \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}).$$

If  $X$  and  $Y$  are the subsets of  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$  then the hyper-product of the sets  $X$  and  $Y$  is defined by

$$X \star Y = \bigcup_{(g_1, g_2) \in X \times Y} g_1 \Theta g_2 \quad (2.1)$$

We write the hyper-operation on a set  $X$  with an element

' $g_1$ ' as  $X \star \{g_1\}$  and an hyper-operation on an element ' $g_1$ ' with any set say  $X$  is defined by  $\{g_1\} \star X$ .

The hyper-operation between the elements is denoted by  $\Theta$ . The hyper-operation between the sets is denoted by  $\star$ .

**Definition 2.2.** [4] A hyper-groupoid  $(\mathcal{H}^\Theta)$  is called a semihyper-group (say  $\mathcal{H}^\star$ ) if

$$(\{r\} \star \{s\}) \star \{t\} = \{r\} \star (\{s\} \star \{t\}) \text{ for all } r, s, t \in \mathcal{H}.$$

**Example 2.3.** Let us consider the universe set  $\mathcal{H}$  as  $\mathcal{H} = \{r, s, t\}$ . Define a hyper-operation  $\Theta$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  is defined by  $r\Theta s = \{r, s\} \forall r, s \in \mathcal{H}$  which is a hyper-groupoid. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} r\Theta(s\Theta t) &= \{r\} \star \{s, t\} \\ &= (r\Theta s) \cup (r\Theta t) \\ &= \{r, s\} \cup \{r, t\} \\ &= \{r, s, t\} \end{aligned}$$

And also we have  $(r\Theta s)\Theta t = \{r, s, t\}$  Hence  $r\Theta(s\Theta t) = (r\Theta s)\Theta t$  holds for all  $r, s, t \in \mathcal{H}$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{H}$  is a semihyper-group on the hyper-operation  $\star$ .

**Definition 2.4.** [4] A non-empty subset  $F$  of a semihyper-group  $\mathcal{H}^\star$  is called a left(right) hyper-ideal of  $\mathcal{H}^\star$  if  $\mathcal{H}^\star F \subseteq F (F \mathcal{H}^\star \subseteq F)$ .

If a subset  $F$  in  $\mathcal{H}^\star$  is both a left and right hyper-ideal then  $F$  is called hyper-ideal of  $\mathcal{H}^\star$ .

### 3. Fuzzy rough hyper-ideals of $(\mathcal{H}^\star, \rho)$

In this section we introduce fuzzy rough hyper-ideals in semihyper-group.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $(\mathcal{H}^\star, \rho)$  be a fuzzy approximation space where  $\mathcal{H}^\star$  is a semihyper-group with hyper-operation  $\star$  and  $\rho$  be a fuzzy equivalence relation. From the fuzzy set  $\tilde{F}$  in  $\mathcal{H}^\star$  and the fuzzy equivalence relation  $\rho$ , we define a fuzzy rough (FR) set  $\rho(\tilde{F}) = (\underline{\rho}(\tilde{F}), \overline{\rho}(\tilde{F}))$  which is a pair of lower and upper approximations of a fuzzy set  $\tilde{F}$  and its membership function is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{F})} : \mathcal{H}^\star &\rightarrow [0, 1] \text{ and} \\ \mu_{\overline{\rho}(\tilde{F})} : \mathcal{H}^\star &\rightarrow [0, 1] \end{aligned}$$

with the property that  $\mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{F})}(f) \leq \mu_{\overline{\rho}(\tilde{F})}(f) \forall f \in \mathcal{H}^\star$ .

**Definition 3.2.** A FR set  $\rho(\tilde{F}) = (\underline{\rho}(\tilde{F}), \overline{\rho}(\tilde{F}))$  in  $(\mathcal{H}^\star, \rho)$  is said to be a fuzzy rough left hyper-ideal (FRHI<sub>L</sub>) of  $(\mathcal{H}^\star, \rho)$  if

- (i)  $\mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{F})}(n) \leq \inf_{g \in m\Theta n} \mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{F})}(g)$
- (ii)  $\mu_{\overline{\rho}(\tilde{F})}(n) \leq \inf_{g \in m\Theta n} \mu_{\overline{\rho}(\tilde{F})}(g) \forall m, n, g \in \mathcal{H}^\star$ .

A FR set  $\rho(\tilde{F}) = (\underline{\rho}(\tilde{F}), \overline{\rho}(\tilde{F}))$  in  $(\mathcal{H}^\star, \rho)$  is said to be a fuzzy rough right hyper-ideal (FRHI<sub>R</sub>) of  $(\mathcal{H}^\star, \rho)$  if

- (i)  $\mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{F})}(m) \leq \inf_{g \in m\Theta n} \mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{F})}(g)$

- (ii)  $\mu_{\overline{\rho}(\tilde{F})}(m) \leq \inf_{g \in m\Theta n} \mu_{\overline{\rho}(\tilde{F})}(g) \forall m, n, g \in \mathcal{H}^\star$ .

A FR set  $\rho(\tilde{F}) = (\underline{\rho}(\tilde{F}), \overline{\rho}(\tilde{F}))$  which is both a FRHI<sub>L</sub> and FRHI<sub>R</sub> is called FRHI of  $(\mathcal{H}^\star, \rho)$ .

**Proposition 3.3.** If  $\rho(\tilde{X}) = (\underline{\rho}(\tilde{X}), \overline{\rho}(\tilde{X}))$  and  $\rho(\tilde{Y}) = (\underline{\rho}(\tilde{Y}), \overline{\rho}(\tilde{Y}))$  are the two FRHIs of  $(\mathcal{H}^\star, \rho)$  then the intersection of  $\rho(\tilde{X})$  and  $\rho(\tilde{Y})$  is also a FRHI of  $(\mathcal{H}^\star, \rho)$ .

**Proof:** Let  $\underline{\rho}(\tilde{X})$  and  $\underline{\rho}(\tilde{Y})$  are FRHI<sub>L</sub>. For  $m, n, g \in (\mathcal{H}^\star, \rho)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{X}) \cap \underline{\rho}(\tilde{Y})}(n) &= \min\{\mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{X})}(n), \mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{Y})}(n)\} \\ &\leq \min\{\inf_{g \in m\Theta n} \mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{X})}(g), \inf_{g \in m\Theta n} \mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{Y})}(g)\} \\ &\leq \inf_{g \in m\Theta n} \{\min\{\mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{X})}(g), \mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{Y})}(g)\}\} \\ &\leq \inf_{g \in m\Theta n} \{\mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{X}) \cap \underline{\rho}(\tilde{Y})}(g)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\underline{\rho}(\tilde{X}) \cap \underline{\rho}(\tilde{Y})$  is a FRHI<sub>L</sub>. Similarly we can prove that  $\overline{\rho}(\tilde{X}) \cap \overline{\rho}(\tilde{Y})$  is also a FRHI<sub>L</sub> of  $(\mathcal{H}^\star, \rho)$ . Likewise we can prove that  $\rho(\tilde{X}) \cap \rho(\tilde{Y})$  is a FRHI<sub>R</sub>. Hence we conclude the theorem.

**Definition 3.4.** Let  $\rho(\tilde{X}) = (\underline{\rho}(\tilde{X}), \overline{\rho}(\tilde{X}))$  be a FR set of  $(\mathcal{H}^\star, \rho)$  then the  $q$ - level set of  $\rho(\tilde{X})$  is defined by

$$X_L^q = \{g \in \mathcal{H}^\star : \mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{X})}(g) \geq q\} \text{ and}$$

$$X_U^q = \{g \in \mathcal{H}^\star : \mu_{\overline{\rho}(\tilde{X})}(g) \geq q\} \forall g \in \mathcal{H}^\star.$$

**Theorem 3.5.** If  $\rho(\tilde{X}) = (\underline{\rho}(\tilde{X}), \overline{\rho}(\tilde{X}))$  is a FRHI of  $(\mathcal{H}^\star, \rho)$  then the  $q$ - level set  $X^q = (X_L^q, X_U^q)$  is a hyper-ideal.

**Proof:** Let us assume that  $\underline{\rho}(\tilde{X})$  be a FRHI<sub>L</sub>. By Def[3.4], it is clear that  $X_L^q$  is a crisp set. Now we have to show that  $X_L^q$  is a hyper-ideal. For that let us assume  $m \in \mathcal{H}^\star$  and  $n \in X_L^q$  which implies that

$$\mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{X})}(n) \geq q \tag{3.1}$$

Since  $\mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{X})}(n) \leq \inf_{g \in m\Theta n} \mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{X})}(g)$

$$\begin{aligned} &\implies \inf_{g \in m\Theta n} \mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{X})}(g) \geq q \\ &\implies \mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{X})}(g) \geq q \text{ for } g \in m\Theta n \\ &\implies m\Theta n \subseteq X_L^q \text{ for } m \in \mathcal{H}^\Theta \text{ and } n \in X_L^q. \end{aligned}$$

Hence from the Def[2.4] we can say that  $X_L^q$  is a left hyper-ideal. Similarly we can prove that  $X_U^q$  is a left hyper-ideal. Therefore  $X^q$  is a hyper-ideal of  $\mathcal{H}^\star$ .

Now let us assume that  $\underline{\rho}(\tilde{X})$  is a FRHI<sub>R</sub>

$$\text{i.e., } \mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{X})}(m) = \inf_{g \in m\Theta n} \mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{X})}(g) \tag{3.2}$$

Let  $m \in X_L^q$  and  $n \in \mathcal{H}^\star$  which implies  $\mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{X})}(m) \geq q$

$$\text{Eq. (3.2)} \implies \inf_{g \in m\Theta n} \mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{X})}(m) \geq q$$



$$\implies \mu_{\rho(\tilde{X})}(m) \geq q \text{ for } g \in m\Theta n$$

then  $m\Theta n \subseteq X_L^q$  for  $m \in X_L^q$  and  $n \in \mathcal{H}^*$ .

Therefore  $X_L^q$  is a right hyper-ideal. Similarly  $X_U^q$  is also a right hyper-ideal. Hence  $X^q$  is a right hyper-ideal of  $(\mathcal{H}^*, \rho)$ .

It is clear that if  $\rho(\tilde{X})$  is a FRHI then  $X^q$  is a left and right hyper-ideal. Therefore we conclude that  $X^q$  is a hyper-ideal of  $\mathcal{H}^*$ .

### 4. Some properties on max-min hyper-product of fuzzy rough sets in $(\mathcal{H}^*, \rho)$

In this section we introduce the concept of max-min hyper-product of fuzzy rough sets in semihyper-group and discuss some of the properties.

**Definition 4.1.** The max-min hyper-product of FR sets  $\rho(\tilde{X})$  and  $\rho(\tilde{Y})$  is denoted by  $\rho(\tilde{X}) \circ \rho(\tilde{Y})$  and is defined by for each  $g \in \mathcal{H}^*$ , we have

$$\mu_{\rho(\tilde{X}) \circ \rho(\tilde{Y})}(g) = \begin{cases} \max_{g \in h_1 \Theta h_2} \{ \min(\mu_{\rho(\tilde{X})}(h_1), \mu_{\rho(\tilde{Y})}(h_2)) \} \\ \text{if } g \in h_1 \Theta h_2, \forall h_1, h_2 \in \mathcal{H}^* \\ 0, \text{ if } g \notin h_1 \Theta h_2, \forall h_1, h_2 \in \mathcal{H}^*. \end{cases}$$

and

$$\mu_{\bar{\rho}(\tilde{X}) \circ \bar{\rho}(\tilde{Y})}(g) = \begin{cases} \max_{g \in h_1 \Theta h_2} \{ \min(\mu_{\bar{\rho}(\tilde{X})}(h_1), \mu_{\bar{\rho}(\tilde{Y})}(h_2)) \} \\ \text{if } g \in h_1 \Theta h_2, \forall h_1, h_2 \in \mathcal{H}^* \\ 0, \text{ if } g \notin h_1 \Theta h_2, \forall h_1, h_2 \in \mathcal{H}^*. \end{cases}$$

**Example 4.2.** Let  $(\mathcal{H}^*, \rho)$  be a fuzzy approximation space where  $\mathcal{H}^* = \{h_1, h_2, h_3, h_4\}$  with a hyper-operation  $\Theta$  is defined below:

$\Theta$	$h_1$	$h_2$	$h_3$	$h_4$
$h_1$	$h_1$	$h_2$	$h_3$	$h_4$
$h_2$	$h_2$	$\{h_1, h_3\}$	$\{h_2, h_3\}$	$h_4$
$h_3$	$h_3$	$\{h_2, h_3\}$	$\{h_1, h_2\}$	$h_4$
$h_4$	$h_4$	$h_4$	$h_4$	$\mathcal{H}^*$

Let us consider two fuzzy sets  $\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}$  and fuzzy equivalence relation  $\rho$  as

$$\tilde{X} = \{(h_1/0.2), (h_2/0.4), (h_3/0), (h_4/0.3)\}$$

$$\tilde{Y} = \{(h_1/0.3), (h_2/0.6), (h_3/0.5), (h_4/0.1)\} \text{ and}$$

$$\rho = \begin{matrix} & h_1 & h_2 & h_3 & h_4 \\ \begin{matrix} h_1 \\ h_2 \\ h_3 \\ h_4 \end{matrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0.8 & 0 & 0.4 \\ 0.8 & 1 & 0 & 0.4 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0.4 & 0.4 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{matrix}$$

respectively.

Then we have the FR sets  $\rho(\tilde{X})$  and  $\rho(\tilde{Y})$  as

$$\rho(\tilde{X}) = (\{(h_1/0.2), (h_2/0.2), (h_3/0), (h_4/0)\},$$

$$\{(h_1/0.9), (h_2/0.5), (h_3/0.5), (h_4/0.4)\})$$

$$\rho(\tilde{Y}) = (\{(h_1/0.3), (h_2/0.3), (h_3/0.5), (h_4/0.1)\},$$

$$\{(h_1/0.6), (h_2/0.6), (h_3/0.5), (h_4/0.4)\}).$$

Then

$$\rho(\tilde{X}) \circ \rho(\tilde{Y}) = (\{(h_1/0.2), (h_2/0.2), (h_3/0.2), (h_4/0.1)\},$$

$$\{(h_1/0.4), (h_2/0.4), (h_3/0.4), (h_4/0.4)\}).$$

**Proposition 4.3.** If  $\rho(\tilde{X}), \rho(\tilde{Y})$  and  $\rho(\tilde{Z})$  are the FR sets of  $(\mathcal{H}^*, \rho)$  then

- (i)  $\rho(\tilde{X}) \circ (\rho(\tilde{Y}) \cup \rho(\tilde{Z})) \supseteq (\rho(\tilde{X}) \circ \rho(\tilde{Y})) \cup (\rho(\tilde{X}) \circ \rho(\tilde{Z}))$
- (ii)  $\rho(\tilde{X}) \circ (\rho(\tilde{Y}) \cap \rho(\tilde{Z})) \subseteq (\rho(\tilde{X}) \circ \rho(\tilde{Y})) \cap (\rho(\tilde{X}) \circ \rho(\tilde{Z}))$  holds.

**Proof:** Let  $g \in \mathcal{H}^*$ . Consider

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu_{\rho(\tilde{X}) \circ (\rho(\tilde{Y}) \cup \rho(\tilde{Z}))}(g) \\ &= \max_{g \in m\Theta n} \{ \min\{\mu_{\rho(\tilde{X})}(m), \mu_{(\rho(\tilde{Y}) \cup \rho(\tilde{Z}))}(n)\} \} \\ &= \max_{g \in m\Theta n} \{ \min\{\mu_{\rho(\tilde{X})}(m), \max\{\mu_{\rho(\tilde{Y})}(n), \mu_{\rho(\tilde{Z})}(n)\} \} \} \\ &\geq \max_{g \in m\Theta n} \{ \max\{\min\{\mu_{\rho(\tilde{X})}(m), \mu_{\rho(\tilde{Y})}(n)\}, \\ &\quad \min\{\mu_{\rho(\tilde{X})}(m), \mu_{\rho(\tilde{Z})}(n)\} \} \} \\ &\geq \max\{ \max_{g \in m\Theta n} \{ \min\{\mu_{\rho(\tilde{X})}(m), \mu_{\rho(\tilde{Y})}(n)\} \}, \\ &\quad \max_{g \in m\Theta n} \{ \min\{\mu_{\rho(\tilde{X})}(m), \mu_{\rho(\tilde{Z})}(n)\} \} \} \\ &\geq \max\{ \mu_{(\rho(\tilde{X}) \circ \rho(\tilde{Y}))}(g), \mu_{(\rho(\tilde{X}) \circ \rho(\tilde{Z}))}(g) \} \\ &\geq \mu_{((\rho(\tilde{X}) \circ \rho(\tilde{Y})) \cup (\rho(\tilde{X}) \circ \rho(\tilde{Z})))}(g) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\rho(\tilde{X}) \circ (\rho(\tilde{Y}) \cup \rho(\tilde{Z})) \supseteq (\rho(\tilde{X}) \circ \rho(\tilde{Y})) \cup (\rho(\tilde{X}) \circ \rho(\tilde{Z})).$$

Similarly  $\bar{\rho}(\tilde{X}) \circ (\bar{\rho}(\tilde{Y}) \cup \bar{\rho}(\tilde{Z})) \supseteq (\bar{\rho}(\tilde{X}) \circ \bar{\rho}(\tilde{Y})) \cup (\bar{\rho}(\tilde{X}) \circ \bar{\rho}(\tilde{Z}))$  holds.

(ii) Let  $g \in \mathcal{H}^*$ . Consider

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu_{\rho(\tilde{X}) \circ (\rho(\tilde{Y}) \cap \rho(\tilde{Z}))}(g) \\ &= \max_{g \in m\Theta n} \{ \min\{\mu_{\rho(\tilde{X})}(m), \mu_{(\rho(\tilde{Y}) \cap \rho(\tilde{Z}))}(n)\} \} \\ &= \max_{g \in m\Theta n} \{ \min\{\mu_{\rho(\tilde{X})}(m), \min\{\mu_{\rho(\tilde{Y})}(n), \mu_{\rho(\tilde{Z})}(n)\} \} \} \\ &= \max_{g \in m\Theta n} \{ \min\{ \min\{\mu_{\rho(\tilde{X})}(m), \mu_{\rho(\tilde{Y})}(n)\}, \\ &\quad \min\{\mu_{\rho(\tilde{X})}(m), \mu_{\rho(\tilde{Z})}(n)\} \} \} \\ &\leq \min\{ \max_{g \in m\Theta n} \{ \min\{\mu_{\rho(\tilde{X})}(m), \mu_{\rho(\tilde{Y})}(n)\} \}, \\ &\quad \max_{g \in m\Theta n} \{ \min\{\mu_{\rho(\tilde{X})}(m), \mu_{\rho(\tilde{Z})}(n)\} \} \} \\ &\leq \min\{ \mu_{(\rho(\tilde{X}) \circ \rho(\tilde{Y}))}(g), \mu_{(\rho(\tilde{X}) \circ \rho(\tilde{Z}))}(g) \} \\ &\leq \mu_{(\rho(\tilde{X}) \circ \rho(\tilde{Y})) \cap (\rho(\tilde{X}) \circ \rho(\tilde{Z}))}(g). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\rho(\tilde{X}) \circ (\rho(\tilde{Y}) \cap \rho(\tilde{Z})) \subseteq (\rho(\tilde{X}) \circ \rho(\tilde{Y})) \cap (\rho(\tilde{X}) \circ \rho(\tilde{Z})).$$

Similarly we can prove for upper approximation.

**Proposition 4.4.** If  $\rho(X), \rho(Y)$  and  $\rho(Z)$  are the FRHI<sub>R</sub> of  $(\mathcal{H}^*, \rho)$  then the following property holds:

1.  $\rho(\tilde{X}) \circ \rho(\tilde{Y}) \subseteq \rho(\tilde{X}) \cap \rho(\tilde{Y})$
2.  $\bar{\rho}(\tilde{X}) \circ \bar{\rho}(\tilde{Y}) \subseteq \bar{\rho}(\tilde{X}) \cap \bar{\rho}(\tilde{Y})$

**Proof:** Let  $m, n, g \in \mathcal{H}^*$ . Consider

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu_{(\rho(\tilde{X}) \circ \rho(\tilde{Y}))}(g) \\ &= \max_{g \in m\Theta n} \{ \min\{\mu_{\rho(\tilde{X})}(m), \mu_{\rho(\tilde{Y})}(m)\} \} \\ &\leq \max_{g \in m\Theta n} \{ \min\{ \inf_{g \in m\Theta n} \mu_{\rho(\tilde{X})}(g), \inf_{g \in m\Theta n} \mu_{\rho(\tilde{Y})}(g) \} \} \\ &\leq \max_{g \in m\Theta n} \{ \inf_{g \in m\Theta n} \{ \min\{\mu_{\rho(\tilde{X})}(g), \mu_{\rho(\tilde{Y})}(g)\} \} \} \\ &\leq \max_{g \in m\Theta n} \{ \inf_{g \in m\Theta n} \mu_{\rho(\tilde{X}) \cap \rho(\tilde{Y})}(g) \} \\ &\leq \max_{g \in m\Theta n} \mu_{\rho(\tilde{X}) \cap \rho(\tilde{Y})}(m\Theta n) \text{ for } g \in m\Theta n \end{aligned}$$



$$\leq \mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{X}) \cap \underline{\rho}(\tilde{Y})}(g)$$

Therefore

$$\underline{\rho}(\tilde{X}) \circ \underline{\rho}(\tilde{Y}) \subseteq \underline{\rho}(\tilde{X}) \cap \underline{\rho}(\tilde{Y}).$$

Similarly (2) holds.

### 5. Fuzzy rough bi hyper-ideal of $(\mathcal{H}^*, \rho)$

In this section we introduce the concept of fuzzy rough bi hyper-ideal in semihyper-groups and study its properties

**Definition 5.1.** [8] A non-empty subset  $X$  of a semihyper-group  $\mathcal{H}^*$  is called a subsemihyper-group if  $XX \subseteq X$ .

**Definition 5.2.** A FR set  $\rho(\tilde{X}) = (\underline{\rho}(\tilde{X}), \overline{\rho}(\tilde{X}))$  in  $(\mathcal{H}^*, \rho)$  is said to be a FR subsemihyper-group of  $(\mathcal{H}^*, \rho)$  if

$$(i) \min\{\mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{X})}(m), \mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{X})}(n)\} \leq \inf_{g \in m\Theta u\Theta n} \mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{X})}(g)$$

$$(ii) \min\{\mu_{\overline{\rho}(\tilde{X})}(m), \mu_{\overline{\rho}(\tilde{X})}(n)\} \leq \inf_{g \in m\Theta u\Theta n} \mu_{\overline{\rho}(\tilde{X})}(g)$$

$$\forall m, n, g \in \mathcal{H}^*.$$

**Proposition 5.3.** If  $\rho(\tilde{X}) = (\underline{\rho}(\tilde{X}), \overline{\rho}(\tilde{X}))$  and  $\rho(\tilde{Y}) = (\underline{\rho}(\tilde{Y}), \overline{\rho}(\tilde{Y}))$  are the two FR subsemihyper-group of  $(\mathcal{H}^*, \rho)$  then the intersection of  $\rho(\tilde{X})$  and  $\rho(\tilde{Y})$  is also a FR subsemihyper-group of  $(\mathcal{H}^*, \rho)$ .

**Proof:** Let  $\rho(\tilde{X})$  and  $\rho(\tilde{Y})$  be the FR subsemihyper-group.

Let  $m, n, g \in \mathcal{H}^*$ . Consider

$$\begin{aligned} & \min\{\mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{X}) \cap \underline{\rho}(\tilde{Y})}(m), \mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{X}) \cap \underline{\rho}(\tilde{Y})}(n)\} \\ &= \min\{\min(\mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{X})}(m), \mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{Y})}(m)), \\ & \quad \min(\mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{X})}(n), \mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{Y})}(n))\} \\ &= \min\{\min(\mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{X})}(m), \mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{X})}(n)), \\ & \quad \min(\mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{Y})}(m), \mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{Y})}(n))\} \\ &\leq \min\{\inf_{g \in m\Theta u\Theta n} \mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{X})}(g), \inf_{g \in m\Theta u\Theta n} \mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{Y})}(g)\} \\ &\leq \inf_{g \in m\Theta u\Theta n} \{\min(\mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{X})}(g), \mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{Y})}(g))\} \\ &\leq \inf_{g \in m\Theta u\Theta n} \mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{X}) \cap \underline{\rho}(\tilde{Y})}(g) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$\underline{\rho}(\tilde{X}) \cap \underline{\rho}(\tilde{Y})$  is a FR subsemihyper-group. Similarly

$\overline{\rho}(\tilde{X}) \cap \overline{\rho}(\tilde{Y})$  is also a FR subsemihyper-group of  $(\mathcal{H}^*, \rho)$ .

**Theorem 5.4.** If a FR set is a FR subsemihyper-group of  $(\mathcal{H}^*, \rho)$  then the  $q$ -level set of  $\rho(X)[X^q]$  is a subsemihyper-group.

**Proof:** Let  $\rho(\tilde{X})$  be a FR subsemihyper-group. Let

$m, n, g \in \mathcal{H}^*$  such that  $m, n \in X_L^q$  and  $g \in \mathcal{H}^*$  which implies  $\mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{X})}(m) \geq q$  and  $\mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{X})}(n) \geq q$ .

Since  $\min\{\mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{X})}(m), \mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{X})}(n)\} \leq \inf_{g \in m\Theta u\Theta n} \mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{X})}(g)$

$$\implies \inf_{g \in m\Theta u\Theta n} \mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{X})}(g) \geq \min\{q, q\} = q$$

$$\implies \mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{X})}(g) \geq q \text{ for } g \in m\Theta u\Theta n$$

$$\implies m\Theta n \subseteq X_L^q.$$

Therefore  $X_L^q$  is a subsemihyper-group. Similarly we can prove for upper approximation.

**Definition 5.5.** [4] A subsemihyper-group  $X$  in  $\mathcal{H}^*$  is called a bi hyper-ideal if  $X\mathcal{H}^*X \subseteq X$ .

**Definition 5.6.** A FR subsemihyper-group  $\rho(\tilde{X}) = (\underline{\rho}(\tilde{X}), \overline{\rho}(\tilde{X}))$  of  $(\mathcal{H}^*, \rho)$  is said to be a fuzzy rough bi hyper-ideal (FRHI<sub>B</sub>) of  $(\mathcal{H}^*, \rho)$  if

$$(i) \min\{\mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{X})}(m), \mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{X})}(n)\} \leq \inf_{g \in m\Theta u\Theta n} \mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{X})}(g)$$

$$(ii) \min\{\mu_{\overline{\rho}(\tilde{X})}(m), \mu_{\overline{\rho}(\tilde{X})}(n)\} \leq \inf_{g \in m\Theta u\Theta n} \mu_{\overline{\rho}(\tilde{X})}(g)$$

$$\forall m, n, u, g \in \mathcal{H}^*.$$

**Proposition 5.7.** If  $\rho(\tilde{X}) = (\underline{\rho}(\tilde{X}), \overline{\rho}(\tilde{X}))$  and  $\rho(\tilde{Y}) = (\underline{\rho}(\tilde{Y}), \overline{\rho}(\tilde{Y}))$  are the two FRHI<sub>B</sub> of  $(\mathcal{H}^*, \rho)$  then the intersection of  $\rho(\tilde{X})$  and  $\rho(\tilde{Y})$  is also a FR bi hyper-ideal of  $(\mathcal{H}^*, \rho)$ .

**Proof:** Let  $\rho(\tilde{X})$  and  $\rho(\tilde{Y})$  be the FRHI<sub>B</sub>. Let  $m, n, u, g \in \mathcal{H}^*$ . Consider

$$\begin{aligned} & \min\{\mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{X}) \cap \underline{\rho}(\tilde{Y})}(m), \mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{X}) \cap \underline{\rho}(\tilde{Y})}(n)\} \\ &= \min\{\min(\mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{X})}(m), \mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{Y})}(m)), \\ & \quad \min(\mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{X})}(n), \mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{Y})}(n))\} \\ &\leq \min\{\inf_{g \in m\Theta u\Theta n} \mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{X})}(g), \inf_{g \in m\Theta u\Theta n} \mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{Y})}(g)\} \\ &\leq \inf_{g \in m\Theta u\Theta n} \{\min(\mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{X})}(g), \mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{Y})}(g))\} \\ &\leq \inf_{g \in m\Theta u\Theta n} \mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{X}) \cap \underline{\rho}(\tilde{Y})}(g) \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\min\{\mu_{\overline{\rho}(\tilde{X}) \cap \overline{\rho}(\tilde{Y})}(m), \mu_{\overline{\rho}(\tilde{X}) \cap \overline{\rho}(\tilde{Y})}(n)\} \leq \inf_{g \in m\Theta u\Theta n} \mu_{\overline{\rho}(\tilde{X}) \cap \overline{\rho}(\tilde{Y})}(g)$$

Then by the Proposition[5.3] we conclude that  $\underline{\rho}(\tilde{X}) \cap \underline{\rho}(\tilde{Y})$  is also a FRHI<sub>B</sub> of  $(\mathcal{H}^*, \rho)$ .

**Theorem 5.8.** If a FR set is a FRHI<sub>B</sub> of  $(\mathcal{H}^*, \rho)$  then the  $q$ -level set of  $\rho(X)[X^q]$  is a bi hyper-ideal.

**Proof:** Let  $\rho(\tilde{X})$  be a FRHI<sub>B</sub>. Since by the Theorem[5.4],  $X_L^q$  is a subsemihyper-group. Now consider  $m\Theta u\Theta n \in X_L^q \mathcal{H}^* X_L^q$  such that  $m, n \in X_L^q$  and  $g \in \mathcal{H}^*$  which implies  $\mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{X})}(m) \geq q$  and  $\mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{X})}(n) \geq q$ .

Since  $\min\{\mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{X})}(m), \mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{X})}(n)\} \leq \inf_{g \in m\Theta u\Theta n} \mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{X})}(g)$

$$\implies \inf_{g \in m\Theta u\Theta n} \mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{X})}(g) \geq \min\{q, q\} = q$$

$$\implies \mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{X})}(g) \geq q \text{ for } g \in m\Theta u\Theta n$$

$$\implies m\Theta u\Theta n \subseteq X_L^q.$$

Therefore  $X_L^q$  is a bi hyper-ideal. Similarly we can prove for upper approximation.

### 6. Fuzzy rough interior hyper-ideal of $(\mathcal{H}^*, \rho)$

In this section we study fuzzy rough interior hyper-ideal and its properties.

**Definition 6.1.** A subsemihyper-group  $X$  in  $\mathcal{H}^*$  is called interior hyper-ideal if  $\mathcal{H}^*X\mathcal{H}^* \subseteq X$ .

**Definition 6.2.** A FR subsemihyper-group  $\rho(\tilde{X}) = (\underline{\rho}(\tilde{X}), \overline{\rho}(\tilde{X}))$  of  $(\mathcal{H}^*, \rho)$  is said to be a FR interior hyper-ideal of  $(\mathcal{H}^*, \rho)$  if



- (i)  $\mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{X})}(x) \leq \inf_{g \in m\Theta x\Theta n} \mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{X})}(g)$
- (ii)  $\mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{X})}(x) \leq \inf_{g \in m\Theta x\Theta n} \mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{X})}(g) \forall m, x, n, g \in \mathcal{H}^*$ .

**Proposition 6.3.** *If  $\rho(\tilde{X}) = (\underline{\rho}(\tilde{X}), \overline{\rho}(\tilde{X}))$  and  $\rho(\tilde{Y}) = (\underline{\rho}(\tilde{Y}), \overline{\rho}(\tilde{Y}))$  are the two FRHI<sub>I</sub> of  $(\mathcal{H}^*, \rho)$  then the intersection of  $\rho(\tilde{X})$  and  $\rho(\tilde{Y})$  is also a FRHI<sub>I</sub> of  $(\mathcal{H}^*, \rho)$ .*

**Proof:** By the Proposition [5.3],  $\rho(\tilde{X}) \cap \rho(\tilde{Y})$  is a FR subsemihyper-group. Let  $\underline{\rho}(\tilde{X}), \underline{\rho}(\tilde{Y})$  be FRHI<sub>I</sub> and let  $a, b, g, x \in \mathcal{H}^*$ . Consider

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{X}) \cap \underline{\rho}(\tilde{Y})}(x) &= \min\{\mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{X})}(x), \mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{Y})}(x)\} \\ &\leq \min\left\{ \inf_{g \in a\Theta x\Theta b} \mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{X})}(g), \right. \\ &\quad \left. \inf_{g \in a\Theta x\Theta b} \mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{Y})}(g) \right\} \\ &\leq \inf_{g \in a\Theta x\Theta b} \{ \min(\mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{X})}(g), \mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{Y})}(g)) \} \\ &\leq \inf_{g \in a\Theta x\Theta b} \mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{X}) \cap \underline{\rho}(\tilde{Y})}(g). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$\underline{\rho}(\tilde{X}) \cap \underline{\rho}(\tilde{Y})$  is a FRHI<sub>I</sub> of  $(\mathcal{H}^*, \rho)$ .

Similarly  $\overline{\rho}(\tilde{X}) \cap \overline{\rho}(\tilde{Y})$  is a FRHI<sub>I</sub> of  $(\mathcal{H}^*, \rho)$ .

**Theorem 6.4.** *If a FR set  $\rho(\tilde{X})$  is a FRHI<sub>I</sub> of  $(\mathcal{H}^*, \rho)$  then for  $q \in [0, 1]$  the  $q$ -level set of  $X^q$  is an interior hyper-ideal.*

**Proof:** Let  $\underline{\rho}(\tilde{X})$  be a FRHI<sub>I</sub>.

$$\mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{X})}(x) \leq \inf_{g \in m\Theta x\Theta n} \mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{X})}(g) \tag{6.1}$$

Let us consider that  $X_L^q \neq \emptyset$ . For  $q \in [0, 1]$  and  $m\Theta x\Theta n \in \mathcal{H}^*$  such that  $x \in X_L^q$  and  $m, n \in \mathcal{H}^*$ , then  $\mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{X})}(x) \geq q$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Since by Equation (6.1), } \inf_{g \in m\Theta x\Theta n} \mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{X})}(g) &\geq q \\ \implies \mu_{\underline{\rho}(\tilde{X})}(g) &\geq q \text{ for } g \in m\Theta x\Theta n. \end{aligned}$$

Thus it can be easily shown that  $m\Theta x\Theta n \subseteq X_L^q$  for  $g \in m\Theta x\Theta n$

Hence  $X_L^q$  is an interior hyper-ideal. In the same way we can prove that  $X_U^q$  is an interior hyper-ideal of  $(\mathcal{H}^*, \rho)$ .

## 7. Conclusion

Fuzzy rough set is a basic key for this paper. We applied the new concept of fuzzy rough hyper-ideal, fuzzy rough bi hyper-ideal and fuzzy rough interior hyper-ideal in an algebraic structure of semihyper-group. We also gave some properties on fuzzy rough hyper-ideals by using the composition concept. In future we may extend the concept of quasi ideals in semihyper-group in terms of fuzzy rough set.

## References

- [1] P. Corsini, M. Shabir, T. Mahmood, Semisimple semihypergroups in terms of hyperideals and fuzzy hyperideals, *Iranian Journal of Fuzzy Systems*, 8(1)(2011), 95–111.
- [2] B. Davvaz, Fuzzy hyperideals in semihypergroups, *Italian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 8(2000), 67–74.

- [3] D. Dubois, H. Prade, Rough fuzzy sets and fuzzy rough sets, *Int. J. General System*. 17(2-3)(1990), 191–209.
- [4] N. Kehayopulu, Fuzzy right(left) ideals in hypergroupoids and fuzzy bi-ideals in hypersemigroups, *available at https://arxiv.org/abs/1606.00428*.
- [5] N. Kehayopulu, M. Tsingelis, On hypersemigroups, *arXiv: 1505.00648v1[math. RA]* 4 May 2015.
- [6] F. Marty, "Sur une generalization de la notion de groupe, 8<sup>iem</sup> congres, imathe'iciensScandinaves, Stockholm, 1934, 45-49.
- [7] V. S. Subha, N. Thillaigovindan, V. Chinnadurai and S. Sharmila, A study on fuzzy rough interior ideals in semigroups, *International Journal of Research and Analytical Reviews*, 6(2)(2019), 854–860.
- [8] J. Tang, B. Davvaz and Y. Luo, A study on fuzzy interior hyperideals in ordered semihypergroups, *Italian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 36(2016), 125–146.
- [9] L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, *Inform. Control*, 8(1965), 338–353.

\*\*\*\*\*  
 ISSN(P):2319 – 3786  
 Malaya Journal of Matematik  
 ISSN(O):2321 – 5666  
 \*\*\*\*\*

