

**https://doi.org/10.26637/MJM0802/0040**

# **On the** *k***-distant total labeling of graphs**

# Akul Rana

## **Abstract**

A labeling of a graph is a mapping that maps some set of graph elements to a set of numbers. In this paper, two new variations of labeling named *k*-distant edge total labeling and *k*-distant vertex total labeling are introduced. Moreover, the study of two new graph parameters, called *k*-distant edge chromatic number  $(\gamma'_{kd})$  and *k-*distant vertex chromatic number (γ*kd*) related this labeling are initiated. The *k*-distant vertex total labeling for paths, cycles, complete graphs, stars, bi-stars and friendship graphs are studied and the value of the parameter γ*kd* determined for these graph classes. Then *k*-distant edge total labeling for paths, cycles and stars are studied. Also, an upper bound of  $\gamma_{kd}$  and a lower bound of  $\gamma'_{kd}$  are presented for general graphs.

#### **Keywords**

Graph Labeling, total labeling, *k*-distant vertex total labeling, *k*-distant edge total labeling.

**AMS Subject Classification** 05C78.

*Department of Mathematics, Narajole Raj College, West Bengal-721211, India.* \***Corresponding author**: arnrc79@gmail.com **Article History**: Received **09** January **2020**; Accepted **22** April **2020** ©2020 MJM.

# **Contents**



# **1. Introduction**

<span id="page-0-0"></span>All graph considered in this paper are simple, connected and undirected. A labeling of a graph  $G = (V, E)$  is a function from the vertex set  $V$  or the edge set  $E$  or both to the set of integers subject to certain restrictions. If the domain is the vertex-set (edge-set) the labeling is called vertex-labeling (edge labeling). Graph labeling has a variety of applications oriented areas like coding theory, x-ray crystallography, radar, astronomy, circuit design, communication network addressing, etc. Despite a tremendous amount of research done, there are still a lot of open problems in this area and many researchers working on various aspects of graph labeling. The several graphs labeling problems has been widely studied for many particular classes of graphs. The purpose of this paper is to introduce to new variations of labeling, called *k*-distant vertex

total labeling and *k*-distant edge total labeling on graphs. Now, the notion of *k*-distant vertex total labeling and *k*-distant edge total labeling are defined more precisely as follows.

Given an undirected connected graph  $G(V, E)$  with vertex set *V* and edge set *E*. For  $x, y \in V$ , let  $(x, y) \in E$ , i.e., the vertices *x* and *y* are adjacent. A labeling  $f: V \cup E \rightarrow \{1, 2, ..., r\}$ is called *k*-distant vertex total labeling if |*w*(*x*)−*w*(*y*)| ≥ *k* for  $(x, y)$  ∈ *E* where  $w(x) = f(x) + \sum_{(x, y) \in E}$  $f(x, y)$ , is the weight of the vertex *x*. The minimum *r* for which a graph *G* has *k*-distant vertex total labeling is called the total *k*-distant chromatic number of *G* and is denoted by  $\gamma_{kd}(G)$ .

A labeling  $f: V \cup E \rightarrow \{1, 2, ..., r\}$  is called *k*-distant edge total labeling, if for any two adjacent edges  $e_1, e_2 \in E$ ,  $|w(e_1) - w(e_2)|$  ≥ *k* where  $w(e) = f(e) + f(x) + f(y)$  and  $x, y \in V$  are two end vertices of the edge  $e \in E$ . The minimum *r* for which a graph *G* has *k*-distant edge total labeling is called the total *k*-distant edge chromatic number of *G* and is denoted by  $\gamma'_{kd}(G)$ .

From the above definitions, it follows that for the *k*-distant vertex (edge) total labeling of a graph, the weights of two adjacent vertices (edges) must differ by at least *k*. It is easily observed that the parameters  $\gamma_{kd}(G)$  and  $\gamma_{kd}'(G)$  exist for every connected graph having at least two vertices and two edges respectively.

Baca et. al. introduced edge irregular total *k*-labeling in [\[2\]](#page-4-2). A labeling  $f : V(G) \cup E(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, ..., k\}$  is said to be a

edge irregular total *k* -labeling if for every two different edges  $e_1$  and  $e_2$ ,  $w(e_1) \neq w(e_2)$ , where  $w(e) = f(e) + f(u) + f(v)$ and *u*, *v* are two end vertices of the edge *e*. The minimum *k* for which *G* has an edge irregular total *k*-labeling is defined as the total edge irregularity strength of *G* and is denoted by tes(*G*). Again, a labeling  $f : V(G) \cup E(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, ..., k\}$ is said to be a vertex irregular total  $k$  -labeling if for every two different vertices *u* and *v*,  $w(u) \neq w(v)$ , where  $w(u) =$  $f(u) + \sum f(u, v)$ . The minimum *k* for which *G* has a  $(u, v)∈E$ 

vertex irregular total *k*-labeling is defined as the total vertex irregularity strength of  $G$  and is denoted by tvs $(G)$ .

From the definition of vertex (edge) irregular total labeling, it follows that  $w(x) \neq w(y)$  for all  $x, y \in V$  ( $w(e_1) \neq w(e_2)$ ) for all  $e_1, e_2 \in E$ ). Therefore, every vertex (edge) irregular total labeling is a 1-distant vertex (edge) total labeling but the converse may not be true. Clearly,  $tvs(G) \geq \gamma_{1d}(G)$  and  $tes(G) \geq \gamma'_{1d}(G).$ 

In [\[1\]](#page-4-4), Arumugam et. al. extended irregular total labeling and introduced a new labeling scheme for graph where adjacent vertices (edges) get different weights. Such a vertex (edge) labeling is called chromatic vertex (edge) labeling. So, *k*-distant vertex total labeling and *k*-distant edge total labeling are natural extension of the chromatic vertex labeling and chromatic edge labeling respectively. Here, the weights of adjacent edges are not only distinct but also the absolute difference of weights of two adjacent edges must be at least *k*.

The *k*-distant total labeling might be used to set up various service center, so that the minimum number of service center results most extreme advantages. Let each vertex represents a city an edge is the road between two cities. We want to set up minimum number of service center in cities and in between two cities such that there are back up of at least *k* service center which can serve during any disaster. This issue can be demonstrated as *k*-distant total labeling problem of graphs.

#### <span id="page-1-0"></span>**1.1 Review**

The study of graphs labeling was initiated by Sadlacek in 1964 [\[7\]](#page-4-5). This study was continued by Stewart [\[9\]](#page-4-6) and then Rosa in 1967 and by Kotzig and Rosa [\[4\]](#page-4-7) in 1970. In the intervening years, many new directions and new results of graph labeling have been developed. Most graph labeling methods find their origin that was introduced by Rosa [\[6\]](#page-4-8). A labeling of a graph *G* is a mapping that carries a set of graph elements into a set of integers, called labels [\[10\]](#page-4-9). The labeling is called a vertex labeling or edge labeling or total labeling, if the domain of the mapping is a vertex set or an edge set or a union of vertex and edge sets respectively. A lots of variation of labeling on graphs are available in literature [\[3\]](#page-4-10). In the recent book "A Dynamic Survey of Graph labeling" by J. A. Gallian lists 2643 papers on over 200 variations of graph labeling. Irregular total labeling is one among the kinds of labeling that appeared in the literature which is defined by Baca et.al. [\[2\]](#page-4-2). For an extended and up-to-date survey of graph labeling one can see [\[3\]](#page-4-10).

In this paper, the study of two new variations of labeling,

that is, *k*-distant vertex total labeling and *k*-distant edge total labeling are initiated. Also, two new graph parameters γ*kd* and  $\gamma_{kd}$  are introduced. The value of  $\gamma_{kd}$  and  $\gamma_{kd}$  for some classes of graphs are determined and bounds for these parameter of general graph are presented.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, some results on total *k*-distant vertex chromatic number ( γ*kd* ) are presented. Section 3 presents some results on total *k*-distant vertex chromatic number ( $\gamma'_{kd}$ ). An upper bounds of  $\gamma_{kd}$  and a lower bound of  $\gamma_{kd}^{\prime}$  for general graph are determined in Section 4. Finally, Section 5 contains some concluding remarks.

#### **2. Some Results on** γ*kd*

<span id="page-1-1"></span>This section presents the exact values of  $\gamma_{kd}$  for paths, cycles, complete graphs, star graphs, bi-star and friendship graphs.

**Lemma 2.1.** *For a path P<sub>n</sub>,*  $\gamma_{kd}(P_n) = k (n > 2)$ *.* 

**Proof:** Let  $P_n$  be a path with *n* vertices  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$ . Then the vertex set of  $P_n$  is  $V = \{v_i : 1 \le i \le n\}$  and edge set is  $E = \{v_i v_{i+1} : 1 \le i \le n-1\}.$ 

Let us define a function  $f: V \cup E \rightarrow \{1, ..., k\}$  as follows:  $f(v_1) = 1$ ,  $f(v_1v_2) = 1$ ,  $f(v_2) = 1$ ,  $f(v_2v_3) = k$ ,  $f(v_3) = k$ ,  $f(v_3v_4) = 2, f(v_4) = 1$  and so on.

Then  $|w(v_i) - w(v_j)| \geq k$  for all  $1 \leq i, j \leq n, i \neq j$ . Therefore *f* is a *k*-distant vertex total labeling of *Pn*.

Observe that *k* is the least positive integer such that *f* is a *k*-distant vertex total labeling and consequently  $\gamma_{kd}(P_n) = k$ .  $\Box$ 

It is easy to see that for  $P_2$ ,  $\gamma_{kd}(P_2) = k + 1$ .

A cycle graph  $C_n$ ,  $n \geq 3$  of *n* vertices is a graph on *n* vertices containing a single cycle through all the vertices.

**Lemma 2.2.** *For a cycle*  $C_n$  *with n vertices,*  $\gamma_{kd}(C_n) = k + 1$ *.* 

**Proof:** Let  $C_n$  be a cycle of *n* vertices  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$ .

If *n* be even, then define a mapping  $f : V \cup E \rightarrow \{1, ..., k+\}$ 1} as  $f(v_n v_1) = 1$ ,  $f(v_1) = 1$ ,  $f(v_1 v_2) = 1$ ,  $f(v_2) = k + 1$ ,  $f(v_2v_3) = 1, ..., f(v_{n-1}v_n) = 1, f(v_n) = k+1$ . Thus label the vertices of  $C_n$  with the integers 1 and  $k+1$  alternately and all the edges with the label 1. Then *f* satisfies the conditions of *k*-distant vertex total labeling and  $k+1$  is the least positive integer. Therefore  $\gamma_{kd}(C_n) = k + 1$ .

If *n* be odd, then define a mapping  $\phi : V \cup E \rightarrow \{1, \ldots, k+\}$ 1} as  $\phi(v_n v_1) = 1$ ,  $\phi(v_1) = 1$ ,  $\phi(v_1 v_2) = 1$ ,  $\phi(v_2) = k + 1$ ,  $\phi(v_2v_3) = 1, \ldots, \phi(v_{n-2}) = 1, \phi(v_{n-2}v_{n-1}) = 1, \phi(v_{n-1}) = 1,$  $\phi(v_{n-1}v_n) = k+1$ ,  $\phi(v_n) = k+1$ . Thus, label the vertices of  $C_n$  with the integers 1 and  $k+1$  alternately but both the vertices  $v_{n-2}$  and  $v_{n-1}$  with 1 and all the edges with the label 1 but the last edge with  $k + 1$ . Then  $\phi$  satisfies the conditions of *k*-distant vertex total labeling and  $k + 1$  is the least positive integer. Therefore  $\gamma_{kd}(C_n) = k+1$ .

A complete graph is a simple graph in which every pair of vertices is connected by an edge. A complete graph of *n* vertices is denoted by *Kn*.



**Lemma 2.3.** *For a complete graph*  $K_n$  *with n vertices,*  $\gamma_{kd}(K_n) =$  $k+1$ 

**Proof:** Let  $K_n$  be a complete graph of *n* vertices with vertex set  $V = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}.$ 

Then the edge set of the 
$$
K_n
$$
 is  $E = \{v_i v_j : 1 \le i, j \le n, i \ne j\}$ .

Let us define *f* : *V* ∪ *E* → {1, *k* + 1} as  $f(v_1) = 1$ ,  $f(v_1v_i) =$  $1, 1 < i \leq n$ .  $f(v_2) = k + 1, f(v_2v_i) = 1, 2 < i \leq n$  $f(v_3) = k + 1, f(v_3v_i) = 1, 3 < i \leq n - 1, f(v_3v_n) = k + 1$  $f(v_4) = k + 1, f(v_4v_i) = 1, 4 < i \leq n - 2, f(v_4v_{n-1}) = k + 1$  $1, f(v_4v_n) = k+1$ ...,...,...  $f(v_{n-1}) = k+1, f(v_{n-1}v_n) = k+1$  $f(v_n) = k + 1$ Therefore  $\gamma_{kd}(K_n) = k + 1$ .

A star  $K_{1,n}$  is the complete bipartite graph, a tree with one internal vertex and *n* pendant vertices.

**Lemma 2.4.** *For a star graph*  $K_{1,n}$  *with n vertices,*  $\gamma_{kd}(K_{1,n}) =$  $\left( 1, \right)$  $k < n$  $k - n + 2$ ,  $k \ge n$ 

**Proof:** Let  $K_{1,n}$  be a star graph of  $n+1$  vertices with vertex set  $V = \{v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$  where  $v_0$  is the internal vertex and all other vertices are pendant vertex. The edge set of  $K_{1,n}$  is  $E = \{v_0v_i : 1 \le i \le n\}.$ 

Two cases may arise. **Case 1:**  $k$  ≤  $n-1$ 

Let us define a mapping *f* from the domain  $V \cup E$  as  $f(v_0) = 1$ ,  $f(v_0v_i) = 1$  and  $f(v_i) = 1$   $i = 1, 2, ..., n$ . Then *w*(*v*<sub>0</sub>) = *n* + 1 and *w*(*v*<sub>*i*</sub>) = 2, 1 ≤ *i* ≤ *n*. Therefore  $|w(v_0)$  −  $|w(v_i)| = n - 1 \geq k$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Hence *f* is a *k*-distant vertex total labeling of  $K_{1,n}$  and  $\gamma_{kd}(K_{1,n}) = 1$ .

**Case 2:**  $k \ge n-1$ 

In this case, let us define a mapping  $\phi$  from the domain *V* ∪ *E* as  $f(v_0) = k - n + 2$ ,  $f(v_0v_i) = 1$  and  $f(v_i) = 1$  *i* = 1,2,...,*n*. Then  $w(v_0) = k - n + 2 + n = k + 2$  and  $w(v_i) =$ 2, 1 ≤ *i* ≤ *n*. Therefore  $|w(v_0) - w(v_i)| = k$  for all  $1 \le i \le n$ . Hence  $\phi$  is a *k*-distant vertex total labeling of  $K_{1,n}$ . Clearly,  $k - n + 2$  is the least positive integer such that  $\phi$  is a *k*-distant vertex total labeling of  $K_{1,n}$  and therefore  $\gamma_{kd}(K_{1,n}) = k - n + 2$ .  $\Box$ 

A graph obtained by joining two internal vertices of two copies of star  $K_{1,n}$  is called a bi-star and is denoted by  $B_{n,n}$ . So a bi-star  $B_{n,n}$  has  $2n+2$  vertices and  $2n+1$  edges.

**Lemma 2.5.** For a bistar 
$$
B_{n,n}
$$
,  $\gamma_{kd}(B_{n,n}) = \begin{cases} 2, & k \leq n-1 \\ \lceil \frac{2k+2}{n+1} \rceil, & k > n-1 \end{cases}$ 

**Proof:** Let  $V = \{u, v, u_i, v_i : 1 \le i \le n\}$  and  $E = \{uv, uu_i, vv_i\}$ are the vertex set and edge set of  $B_{n,n}$  respectively, where *u* and *v* are two internal vertices of two stars. **Case 1:**  $k \leq n-1$ We assign the label 1 to each of the vertices  $u_i, 1 \le i \le n$ , edges  $uu_i, 1 \le i \le n$  and *uv*. Then  $w(u_i) = 2$  and  $w(u) = 1$  $n+1$ . Again, we assign the label 1 to each of the vertices



**Figure 1.** Star graph  $K_{1,5}$ 

 $v_i, 1 \le i \le n$ , the label 2 to each of the edges  $vv_i, 1 \le i \le n$  and the vertex *v*. Then  $w(v_i) = 3$  and  $w(v) = 2n + 1$ . Therefore  $|w(x) - w(y)|$  ≥ *k* for all *xy* ∈ *E* and hence  $\gamma_{kd}(B_{n,n}) = 2$ .



**Figure 2.**  $\gamma_{11d}(B_{7,7}) = 3$ 

**Case 2:**  $k > n - 1$ 

Let us define a mapping *f* from the domain set  $V \cup E$  as  $f(u_i) = 1$  for  $1 \le i \le n$ ,  $f(v_i) = 1$  for  $1 \le i \le n$ ,  $f(uu_i) = 1$ for  $1 \le i \le n$ ,  $f(vv_i) = \lceil \frac{2k+2}{n+1} \rceil$  for  $1 \le i \le n$ ,  $f(u) = k - n + 1$ , *f*(*uv*) = 1 and *f*(*v*) =  $\lceil \frac{2k+2}{n+1} \rceil$ . Then *w*(*u<sub>i</sub>*) = 2, *w*(*u*) = *k* + 2, *w*(*v*) = 2*k* + 2 and *w*(*v*<sub>*i*</sub>) =  $\lceil \frac{2k+2}{n+1} \rceil + 1$ . Then  $|w(x) - w(y)|$  ≥ *k* for all  $xy \in E$  and hence  $\gamma_{kd}(B_{n,n}) = \lceil \frac{2k+2}{n+1} \rceil$  $\Box$ 

A friendship graph  $F_n$  is copies of *n* triangle with a common vertex. The vertex set of  $F_n$  is  $V(F_n) = \{v_{00}, v_{i1}, v_{i2} : i =$ 1,2,...,*n*} and edge set is  $E(F_n) = \{v_{00}v_{i1}, v_{00}v_{i2}, v_{i1}v_{i2} : i =$ 1,2,...,*n*}. So  $|V(F_n)| = 2n + 1$  and  $|E(F_n)| = 3n$ . The exact value of *k*-distant chromatic number is obtained in the next lemma.

**Lemma 2.6.** 
$$
\gamma_{kd}(F_n) = \begin{cases} 2k, & k \geq n \\ 2n, & k < n \end{cases}
$$

vertex set  $\{v, v_{i1}, v_{i2}\}$ . Then *V* has vertex set  $V = \{v, v_{i1}, v_{i2}\}$ . **Proof:** Let  $F_n$  be a friendship graph and  $v$  be the common vertex of *n* 3-cycle graphs  $C_{3i}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, ..., n$ . Let  $C_{3i}$  has  $1 \le i \le n$  and edge set  $E = \{vv_{i1}, vv_{i2}, v_{i1}v_{i2}\}$ 

<span id="page-2-0"></span>Let us define a mapping *f* from the domain  $V \cup E$  as  $f(v) = 1, f(vv_{i1}) = 1, f(vv_{i1}) = 1, f(v_{i1}) = k, f(vv_{i2}) = 2k$ and  $f(v_{i1}v_{i2}) = 2n$  for  $1 \le i \le n$ . Then *f* is a *k*-distant vertex total labeling of  $F_n$  and therefore  $\gamma_{kd}(F_n) = \begin{cases} 2\mathbf{k}, & k \ge n \\ 2\mathbf{n}, & k < n \end{cases}$  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}$ ,  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}$   $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}$  $\Box$ 





**Figure 3.** Friendship graph *F<sup>n</sup>*

# **3. Some Results on**  $\gamma_{kd}'(G)$

In this section the boundary values of  $\gamma'_{kd}$  presented for paths, cycles and star graphs.

**Lemma 3.1.** *For a path*  $P_n$  *of*  $n(> 3)$  *vertices,*  $\gamma_{kd}'(P_n) =$  $\lceil \frac{3k}{4} \rceil + 1.$ 

**Proof:** Let  $P_n$  be a path with *n* vertices  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$ . Then the vertex set of  $P_n$  is  $V = \{v_i : 1 \le i \le n\}$  and edge set is  $E = \{v_i v_{i+1} : 1 \le i \le n-1\}.$ 

Let us define a function  $g: V \cup E \rightarrow \{1, \ldots, \lceil \frac{3k}{4} \rceil + 1\}$  as follows:  $g(v_1) = 1$ ,  $g(v_1v_2) = 1$ ,  $g(v_2) = 1$ ,  $g(v_2v_3) = \lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil + \frac{k}{2}$  $1, g(v_3) = \left[\frac{k}{2}\right] + 1, g(v_3v_4) = \left[\frac{3k}{4}\right] + 1, g(v_4) = \left[\frac{3k}{4}\right] + 1,$  $g(v_4v_5) = \lceil \frac{k}{4} \rceil + 1$ . Then repeat the mapping for the remaining vertices and edges of *Pn*.

Then  $|w(v_i v_j) - w(v_i v_k)| \geq k$  for all *i*, *j* and *k*. Therefore *f* is a *k*-distant edge total labeling of *Pn*.

Also,  $\lceil \frac{3k}{4} \rceil + 1$  is the least positive integer such that  $\lceil w(v_i v_j) - w(i) \rceil$  $w(v_i v_k)| \geq k$  for all *i*, *j* and *k*. Therefore  $\gamma_{kd}'(P_n) = \lceil \frac{3k}{4} \rceil + 1$ .  $\Box$ 

It should be noted that,  $\gamma'_{kd}(P_3) = \lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil + 1$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** *For a cycle C<sub>n</sub> with*  $n(\geq 3)$  *vertices,* 

$$
\gamma_{kd}'(C_n) = \begin{cases} \frac{2^{\frac{n}{2}} - 1}{2^{\frac{n}{2}}} \mathbf{k} + 1, & n \text{ is even} \\ \mathbf{k} + 1, & n \text{ is odd} \end{cases}.
$$

**Proof:** Let  $C_n$  be a cycle of *n* vertices  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$ . Two cases which may arise.

**Case 1:** *n* is even. First, we shall show that the theorem is true for  $n = 4$ .

Let  $C_4$  be a cycle of four vertices  $v_1$ ,  $v_2$ ,  $v_3$ ,  $v_4$ . Let us define a mapping *g* such that  $g(v_1) = 1, g(v_1v_2) = 1, g(v_2) = 1$  $1, g(v_2v_3) = \lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil + 1, g(v_3) = \lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil + 1, g(v_3v_4) = \lceil \frac{3k}{4} \rceil + 1,$  $g(v_4) = \lceil \frac{3k}{4} \rceil + 1$ ,  $g(v_4v_1) = \lceil \frac{k}{4} \rceil + 1$ . Then *g* satisfy the condition of *k*-distant total edge labeling and  $\lceil \frac{3k}{4} \rceil + 1$  is the least

positive integer. So,  $\gamma_{kd}'(C_4) = \lceil \frac{3k}{4} \rceil + 1 = \frac{2^{\frac{4}{2}} - 1}{2^{\frac{4}{3}}}$  $\frac{2-1}{2^{\frac{4}{2}}}k+1$ . Therefore the lemma is true for  $n = 4$ .

Let it be true for *m*, where  $m = 2k$ . Then 2*k* vertices and  $2k$  edges of  $C_{2k}$  can be labeled by using not greater than  $2^{\frac{m}{2}}-1$  $\frac{2-1}{2^{\frac{m}{2}}}k+1$  integers.

When  $m = 2k + 2$ , extra two vertices and three edges will be generated. These two vertices are  $v_{m-1}$ ,  $v_m$  and three edges are  $v_{m-2}v_{m-1}$ ,  $v_{m-1}v_m$ ,  $v_m v_1$ . Then define  $g(v_{m-2}v_{m-1})$  =  $1, g(\nu_{m-1}) = \frac{1}{2^{\frac{m}{2}-1}} k, g(\nu_{m-1}\nu_m) = \frac{2^{\frac{m}{2}}-1}{2^{\frac{m}{2}}}$  $\frac{\frac{m}{2}-1}{2^{\frac{m}{2}}}k+1, g(v_m) = \frac{2^{\frac{m}{2}}-1}{2^{\frac{m}{2}}}$  $\frac{2-1}{2^{\frac{m}{2}}}k +$ 1,  $g(v_m v_1) = \frac{1}{2^{\frac{m}{2}}} k$ . Other vertices and edges get the same label as in the case for  $m = 2k$ . Then *g* satisfy the condition of *k*-distant total edge labeling and  $\frac{2\frac{m}{2}-1}{\frac{m}{2}}$  $\frac{2-1}{2^{\frac{m}{2}}}k+1$  is the least positive integer.

Therefore, by principle of mathematical induction, the lemma is true for all even positive integers.

Case 2: *n* is odd.

Let  $C_n$  has the vertex set  $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n\}$  where  $n = 2m +$ 1. Let us define a function *g* from the set  $V \cup E$  to the set of natural numbers such that  $w(v_1v_2) = 3$ ,  $w(v_2v_3) = k+3$ ,  $w(v_3v_4) = 2k + 3$ ,  $w(v_4v_5) = k + 3$  and so on.

In this case the weight of the last edge i.e.,  $v_n v_1$  must be  $2k+3$ . Since vertex 1 got label 1, the sum of the labels of the vertex  $v_n$  and the edge  $v_n v_1$  must be  $2k + 2$ . This is achieved by using the least positive integer  $k + 1$ . Hence the lemma follows.

**Lemma 3.3.** *For a star graph*  $K_{1,n}$  *with n vertices,*  $\gamma_{kd}'(K_{1,n}) =$  $\lceil \frac{(n-1)k}{2} \rceil$  $\frac{-1}{2}$ <sup>-1</sup>)<sup>*k*</sup> | + 1.

**Proof:** Let  $K_{1,n}$  be a star graph of  $n+1$  vertices with vertex set  $V = \{v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$  where  $v_0$  is the internal vertex and all other vertices are pendant vertex. The edge set of  $K_{1,n}$  is  $E = \{v_0v_i : 1 \le i \le n\}.$ 

A mapping *g* from the vertex set and edge set to the set of natural numbers is defined as follows.

 $g(v_0) = 1, g(v_0v_1) = 1, g(v_1) = 1$  $g(v_0v_2) = 1 + \left[\frac{k}{2}\right], g(v_2) = 1 + \left[\frac{k}{2}\right]$  $g(v_0v_3) = 1 + \lceil \frac{2k}{2} \rceil, g(v_3) = 1 + \lceil \frac{2k}{2} \rceil$ ······  $g(v_0v_n) = 1 + \left[\frac{(n-1)k}{2}\right]$  $\frac{(-1)k}{2}$ ,  $g(v_n) = 1 + \lceil \frac{(n-1)k}{2} \rceil$  $\frac{-1}{2}$  $\left| \frac{\pi}{2} \right|$  $\text{Then } |w(v_0v_i) - w(v_0v_j)| \geq k \text{ and } 1 + \left\lceil \frac{(n-1)k}{2} \right\rceil$  $\frac{-1}{2}$  is the least positive integer such that this inequality holds. Therefore  $\gamma_{kd}'(K_{1,n}) = \lceil \frac{(n-1)k}{2} \rceil$  $\frac{-1}{2}$  | + 1.

# <span id="page-3-0"></span>**4. Bounds of**  $\gamma_{kd}(G)$  and  $\gamma_{kd}'(G)$  for general **graphs**

Theorem 4.1. *For an arbitrary graph G with maximum degree* ∆*,*

$$
\gamma_{kd}(G)\leq k+\Delta.
$$

**Proof:** Let *G* be an arbitrary graph with maximum degree ∆ and *v* be a vertex of degree ∆. Then minimum weight of



<span id="page-4-3"></span>the vertex  $v$  is  $\Delta$ . Observe that, if there exists any leaf vertex adjacent to  $\nu$  then to satisfy  $k$ -distant vertex total labeling, minimum label of that vertex will be  $k + \Delta$ . Again, if there does not exist any leaf vertex adjacent to *v* then minimum label of that vertex will be not greater than  $k + \Delta$ . Therefore,  $\gamma_{kd}(G) \leq k + \Delta$ .

Theorem 4.2. *For an arbitrary graph G with n vertices,*

$$
\gamma'_{kd}(G) \ge \lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil + 1.
$$

**Proof:** Observe that, the value of  $\gamma_{kd}(G)$  is minimum, when it is possible to label the vertices and edges of *G* in such a way that weight of each edge is least as well as difference of weight between two adjacent edges not less than *k*. Now, the least possible weight of an edge is 3. In that case each of the end vertices of the edge and edge itself get label 1. So the minimum weight of any adjacent edge must be at least  $k + 3$ . Since, one end vertex of the edge already labeled by 1, the sum of the labels of another end vertex and the edge must be  $k + 2$ .

It is easy to check that,  $\lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil + 1$  is the least positive integer so that sum of two numbers is  $k + 2$  and hence  $\gamma'_{kd}(G) \geq$  $\lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil$  $+1.$ 

Note that, the value of  $\gamma'_{kd}(G)$  is maximum, if there exist en edge between every pair of vertices of *G*.

Conjecture 4.3. *For an arbitrary graph G with n vertices,*

$$
\gamma'_{kd}(G) \le \lceil \frac{(n+2)k}{2} \rceil + 1.
$$

## **5. Conclusion**

<span id="page-4-0"></span>In this paper the study of a new labeling of graph, called *k*-distant total labeling is initiated. Then two new graph pamperers *k*-distant chromatic number (γ*kd*) and *k*-distant edge chromatic number  $(\gamma_{kd})$  are introduced as the natural extensions of the vertex irregularity strength and the vertex irregularity strength of a graph respectively. Also, the values of γ*kd* and  $\gamma_{kd}^{\prime}$  for some particular classes of graphs namely, paths, cycles, complete graphs, stars, bistars and friendship graphs are estimated. An upper bound of γ*kd* and a lower bound of γ 0 *kd* for general graph is provided. Many real life problems can be modeled using *k*-distant total labeling of graphs. Future study can be done to find the value of  $\gamma_{kd}$  and  $\gamma'_{kd}$  for more general graph classes like trees, wheel graphs etc..  $\Box$ 

#### **References**

- <span id="page-4-4"></span><span id="page-4-1"></span>[1] S. Arumugam, M. Baca, D. Froncek, J. Ryan and K. A. Sugeng, Some open problems in graph labelling, *AKCE Int. J. Graphs Comb.*, 10(2) (2013), 237-243.
- <span id="page-4-2"></span>[2] M. Baca, S. Jendrol, M. Miller and J. Ryan, On irregular total labeling, *Discrete Math.*, 307 (2007), 1378-1388.
- <span id="page-4-10"></span>[3] J. A. Gallian, A dynamic survey of graph labeling, *Electron. J. Combin.* 17 (2014), DS6.
- <span id="page-4-7"></span>[4] A. Kotzig, and A. Rosa, Magic valuations of finite graphs, *Canadian Mathematical Bulletin*, 13 (1970), 451-461.
- [5] A. Rana, A. Sinha and A. Pal, On Roman domination of circular-arc graphs, *Int. J. Advanced Intelligence Paradigms*, DOI: 10.1504/IJAIP.2018.10020075
- <span id="page-4-8"></span>[6] A. Rosa, On certain valuations of the vertices of a graph, *Theory of Graphs, Int. Symp. Rome*, (1966), 349-355.
- <span id="page-4-5"></span>[7] J. Sedlacek, Problem 27, Theory of Graphs and Its Applications, *Proceedings of the Symposium held in Smolenice*, (1963), 163-167.
- [8] A. Sinha, A. Rana and A. Pal, Signed Edge Domination Number of Interval Graphs, *Electronic Notes in Discrete Mathematics* 63 (2017), 279-286.
- <span id="page-4-6"></span>[9] B. M. Stewart, Magic Graphs, *Canadian Journal of Mathematics*, 18 (1966), 1031-1059.
- <span id="page-4-9"></span>[10] W. D. Wallis, *Magic Graphs*, Birkhauser, Boston, 2001.
- [11] A. M. Marr and W.D. Wallis, *Magic Graphs*, Birkhauser/Springer, New York, 2013.

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* ISSN(P):2319−3786 [Malaya Journal of Matematik](http://www.malayajournal.org) ISSN(O):2321−5666 \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

