

https://doi.org/10.26637/MJM0802/0055

Assessing the organic and inorganic fertilizers in a crop under agriculture sector using normal fuzzy TOPSIS method

G. Marimuthu¹, K. Usharani^{2*} and S. Sankaranarayanan³

Abstract

The farmers depend on agriculture, which has been developed in several states in India. organic and inorganic farming promote agriculture in various ways. Organic farming enhances agriculture in providing healthy food to human being and reflects relationship between its productivity and better environment. Farming are using their inorganic farming to push crop yield with rising healthy hazard and harms in earth. Organic and inorganic fertilizers in agriculture sector can be selected in the order and ranked by using normal fuzzy TOPSIS method[NFTM] in order to obtain the quantitative and qualitative manner.

Keywords

Agriculture, Organic content, Inorganic content, Fuzzy TOPSIS, Normal TOPSIS.

AMS Subject Classification

03E72.

^{1,2,3} Department of Mathematics, A.V.V.M. Sri Pushpam College (Autonomous), Poondi-613503, Tamil Nadu, India.

*Corresponding author: ²usharanikumar1988@gmail.com

Article History: Received 14 December 2019; Accepted 28 March 2020

©2020 MJM

Contents

1	Introduction
2	Normal Fuzzy TOPSIS Method [NFTM]647
3	Computational Procedure for Assessing the Fertilizers in Normal Agricultural Activity 647
3.1	Calculations 647
4	Conclusion
	References

1. Introduction

The chemical fertilizers are easiest available on cash payment to the farmers. They are using their Inorganic farming to push crop yield with rising healthy hazard and harms in earth. Farmers are facing many socio-economic problems. The harsh and harmful content of the chemical in the soil is depleted the procurement of the production.

Organic farming enhances agriculture in proving healthy food to the human being and reflects relationship between its productivity and better environment. So, with abundant use of chemical and anti-biotic in Inorganic farming in the Agricultural technology has compelled the healthier people to explore and support Organic farming technology in Agricultural sectors.

Normal fuzzy TOPSIS Method is used to evaluate the preference ranking for the results of agricultural production and based on the farming. Triangular Fuzzy numbers are used to solve the decision making by arranging the order of using the Organic and Inorganic farming and these methods can be applied effectively with necessary support information and quantitative result which has objective and reasonable [17]. Rank of assessing organic waste and Inorganic fertilizers in the order of using normal fuzzy TOPSIS Methods in agricultural sectors.

The development of application of fuzzy set theory [1– 5, 14, 15] has created great opportunities for decision of agricultural land suitability problem. In particularly, fuzzy indicators have been successfully applied for the assessment of particular field contaminated by harsh farming [12, 13], for the assessment of low area after flooding [6], for the assessment of contaminated agricultural field in the order of taking a strategy for prophylactic action [7], for the assessment of destructing area with aim of planning land restoration [8], for the assessment of land suitability process in agricultural sector [9], for the assessment of agricultural lands specifying effective management [10] and for the assessment of agricultural lands with evaluation of land markets [11, 16].

2. Normal Fuzzy TOPSIS Method [NFTM]

The following steps are the procedure for NFTM. **Step 1:** Given X_{ij} , decision table, i = 1, 2, ..., m, and j = 1, 2, ..., n, the performance of each alternative required to be expanded with equation

$$r_{ij} = \frac{X_{ij}}{\sum_{j=1}^{m} X_{ij}},$$
(2.1)

Step 2: obtain the weight W_i from the given initial table.

 $\sum_{i=1}^{n} W_i$ given i = 1, 2, 3, ..., m

Step 3: The Positive ideal solution A + and negative ideal solution A-can be calculated with weighted normalized vector (v_{ij}) as:

$$v_{ij} = w_i(\mathbf{r})_{ij}$$
; given $i = 1, 2, 3, ..., m$; and $j = 1, 2, 3, ..., n$.
(2.2)

Step 4: The positive ideal solution matrix can be evaluated as,

$$A^{+} = (y_{1}^{+}, y_{2}^{+}, \dots, y_{n}^{+})$$
(2.3)

The negative ideal solution matrix can be evaluated as

$$A^{-} = (y_{1}^{-}, y_{2}^{-}, \dots, y_{n}^{-})$$
(2.4)

Step 5: The difference of positive ideal solution can be formulated with equation;

$$S_i^+ = \sum_{j=1}^n |y_{ij} - y_i^+|; \ i = 1, 2, 3..., m$$
(2.5)

The difference of negative ideal solution can be formulated with equation ;

$$S_i^- = \sum_{j=1}^n |y_{ij} - y_i^-|; \ i = 1, 2, 3..., m$$
(2.6)

Step 6: Estimate the value of preference for each alternative (C_i) is given as:

$$C_i = \frac{s_i^-}{s_i^+ + s_i^-}$$

3. Computational Procedure for Assessing the Fertilizers in Normal Agricultural Activity

The application of the agricultural sector in which Organic and Inorganic farming the order can be computed with above procedure can be summarized as follow.

Take 4 criteria used as a basis for decision making in Organic fertilizer.

X1: Agricultural Waste;

X₂: Municipal sludge;

- X₃: Livestock manure;
- *X*₄: Industrial Waste;

On the other hand, take 10 criteria as a basis for decision making on Inorganic fertilizer.

- X_1 : Potassium fertilizer
- X₂: Calcium nitrate
- X₃: Calcium superphosphate
- *X*₄: Sodium nitrate
- X₅: Citrate-soluble phosphate fertilizer
- X_6 : Ammonium chloride
- X_7 : phosphorus fertilizer
- X₈: Nitrate nitrogen
- *X*₉: Ammonium bicarbonate
- X₁₀: Nitrogen fertilizer

Next, The decision for the linguistic variable for the importance weight of each criterion for organic fertilizer is given in Table 3.1 below.

The decision for the linguistic variable for the importance weight of each criterion for Inorganic fertilizer is given in Table 3.2 below.

Table 3.1. Organic fertilizer				
Alternative	Linguistic Variable	Fuzzy Number		
C_1	Very High(H)	(0.4, 0.5, 0.6)		
C_2	High(H)	(0.3, 0.4, 0.5)		
C_3	Medium(M)	(0.2, 0.3, 0.4)		
C_4	Low(L)	(0.1, 0.2, 0.3)		

Table 3.2. Inorganic fertilizer

Alternative	Linguistic Variable	Fuzzy Number
C_1	Extermely Low (EL)	(0.00, 0.15, 0.30)
C_2	Very Low (VL)	(0.15, 0.30, 0.45)
C_3	Low (L)	(0.30, 0.45, 0.60)
C_4	Medium Low (ML)	(0.45, 0.60, 0.75)
C_5	Low Medium(LM)	(0.60, 0.75, 0.90)
C_6	Medium(M)	(0.75, 0.90, 1.05)
C_7	High Medium(HM)	(0.90, 1.05, 1.20)
C_8	Medium High(MH)	(1.05, 1.20, 1.35)
C_9	High(H)	(1.20, 1.35, 1.50)
C_{10}	Very High(VH)	(1.35, 1.50, 1.65)

3.1 Calculations

Table 3.3				
Alternative Criteria	A_1	A_2	A_3	Total
C_1	0.4	0.5	0.6	1.5
C_2	0.3	0.4	0.5	1.2
C_3	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.9
C_4	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.6
Total	1.0	1.4	1.8	4.2

Weight $\sum W_i = 1, W_1 = 0.2381,$

 $W_2 = 0.3333, W_3 = 0.4286$



Assessing the organic and inorganic fertilizers in a crop under agriculture sector using normal fuzzy TOPSIS method — 648/649

Table 3.4. Normalized Fuzzy Numbers					
Alternative Criteria	A_1	A_2	A_3		
C_1	0.4	0.3571	0.3333		
C_2	0.3	0.2857	0.2778		
C_3	0.2	0.2143	0.2222		
C_4	0.1	0.1429	0.1667		
$v_{ij} = (r_{ij})W_i$					

...

Table 3.5. Normalized Fuzzy Numbers						
Alternative Criteria	A_1	A_2	A_3			
C_1	0.0952	0.1190	0.1429			
C_2	0.0714	0.0952	0.1191			
C_3	0.0476	0.0714	0.0952			
C_4	0.0238	0.0476	0.0714			
$A^+ = \{0.0238, 0.1190, 0.0714\},\$						
$A^{-} = \{0.0952, 0.0476, 0.1429\}$						

Table 3.6					
No.	Criteria	$S_i^+ = \left V_{ij} - v_i^+ \right $	$S_i^- = V_{ij} - v_i^- $	$C_i = \frac{S_i^-}{S_i^+ + S_i^-}$	Rank
1	C_1	0.1428	0.0714	0.333	4
2	C_2	0.1191	0.0952	0.444	3
3	C_3	0.0952	0.1191	0.55576	2
4	C_4	0.0714	0.1429	0.6696	1

Table 3.7. Inorganic fertilizers

Alternative Criteria	A_1	A_2	A_3	Total
<i>C</i> ₁	0.00	0.15	0.30	0.45
C_2	0.15	0.30	0.45	0.90
C_3	0.30	0.45	0.60	1.35
C_4	0.45	0.60	0.75	1.80
C_5	0.60	0.75	0.90	2.25
C_6	0.75	0.90	1.05	2.70
C_7	0.90	1.05	1.20	3.15
C_8	1.05	1.20	1.35	3.60
C_9	1.20	1.35	1.50	4.05
C_{10}	1.35	1.50	1.65	4.50
Total	6.75	8.25	9.75	24.75
Weight $W_1 = 0.2727$,			

 $W_2 = 0.3333, W_3 = 0.3939$

4. Conclusion

It can be concluded that the analysis and requirement of fertilizers in agriculture sector have been taken in order in the normal fuzzy TOPSIS Method. The result indicated that the assessment of ordering the selection in the requirement using Organic and Inorganic fertilizers is same result in using

Table 3.8. Normalized Fuzzy Numbers					
Alternative Criteria	A_1	A_2	A_3		
C_1	0.00	0.0182	0.0308		
C_2	0.0222	0.0364	0.0462		
C_3	0.0444	0.0545	0.0615		
C_4	0.0667	0.0727	0.0769		
C_5	0.0887	0.0909	0.0923		
C_6	0.1111	0.1091	0.1077		
C_7	0.1333	0.1273	0.1231		
C_8	0.1556	0.1455	0.1385		
C_9	0.1778	0.1636	0.1538		
C_{10}	0.2	0.1818	0.1692		
$\mathbf{v} = (\mathbf{r} \cdot \cdot) \mathbf{W}$					

 $v_{ij} = (r_{ij})W_i$

Table 3.9					
Alternative Criteria	A_1	A_2	A_3		
C_1	0.0000	0.0061	0.0121		
C_2	0.0061	0.0121	0.0182		
C_3	0.0121	0.0182	0.0242		
C_4	0.0182	0.0242	0.0303		
C_5	0.0242	0.0303	0.0364		
C_6	0.0303	0.0364	0.0424		
C_7	0.0364	0.0424	0.0485		
C_8	0.0424	0.0485	0.0546		
C_9	0.0485	0.0545	0.0606		
C_{10}	0.0545	0.0606	0.0666		
$A^+ = \{0.0000, 0.060\}$	$A^+ = \{0.0000, 0.0606, 0.0121\},\$				

 $A^{-} = \{0.0545, 0.0061, 0.0666\}$

	Table 3.10					
No.	Criteria	$S_i^+ = V_{ij} - v_i^+ $	$S_i^- = V_{ij} - v_i^- $	$C_i = \frac{S_i^-}{S_i^+ + S_i^-}$	Rank	
1	C_1	0.0545	0.109	0.6667	1	
2	C_2	0.0607	0.1028	0.6287	2	
3	C_3	0.0666	0.0969	0.5927	3	
4	C_4	0.0728	0.0907	0.5547	4	
5	C_5	0.0788	0.0847	0.5180	5	
6	C_6	0.0848	0.0787	0.4813	6	
7	C_7	0.091	0.0725	0.4434	7	
8	C_8	0.097	0.0665	0.4067	8	
9	C_9	0.1031	0.0604	0.3694	9	
10	C_{10}	0.109	0.0545	0.3333	10	

TOPSIS Method. Therefore, we can also formulate the order in this method used in this paper for indicating the rank and has an efficient evaluation rather than the TOPSIS Method. So we can highly recommend the same method to select the preferences in any fields.

References

- [1] S. Baja, D.M. Chapman and D. Dragovich, A conceptual model for defining and assessing land management units using a fuzzy modelling approach in GIS environment, *Environmental Management*, 29 (2002), 647–661.
- [2] S. Baja, D.M. Chapman and D. Dragovich, Spatial based compromise programming for multiple criteria decision making in land use planning, *Environmental Modelling* and Assessment, 12 (2007), 171–184.
- ^[3] W. Busscher, E. Krueger, J. Novak and D. Kurterner, Comparison of soil amendments to decrease high strength in SE USA Coastal Plain soils using fuzzy decision- making analyses, *International Agrophysics*, 21 (2007), 225– 231.
- [4] P.A. Burrough, Principles of Geographical Information Systems for Land Resource Assessment, Oxford University Press, New York, 1986.
- [5] S. Carver, Integrating multi-criteria evaluation with geographical information systems, *International Journal of Geographical Information Systems*, 5 (1991), 321–339.
- D. Kurterner and V. Badenko, Questions of integration of some ecological models into geoinformation system, In: UDMS Press, Venice, 1999.
- [7] D. Kurterner, Y. Yakushev, V. Badenko and E. Pourbbas, Development of methodology of multiple assessment of landscape parcels on the base fuzzy models integrated into GIS environment. *Special Publ. No 1. SPBISTRO*, St. Petersburg, 1999.
- [8] D. Kurterner, V. Badenko and W. Cudlip, Development of methodology of multiple assessment of burned areas in forest regions for the planning of restoration actions. In: Kurterner, D.A., Yakushev, V.P.(eds.) *Agro physical and Ecological Problems of Agriculture in the 21st Century*, vol. 2(2000), 54–62.
- [9] D. Kurterner and V. Badenko, Precision agriculture experimentation on the base of fuzzy models synthesized with GIS, *Aspects of Applied Biology*, 61(2000), 139–143.
- [10] D. Kurterner and V. Badenko, Development of methodology of multiple assessment of site-specific residue management actions on the basis of fuzzy models integrated into a GIS environment. In: 15th ISTRO Conference on Agroecological and Ecological Aspects of Soil Tillage, ISTRO Press, Fort Worth, Texas, 2000.
- [11] D. Kurterner and V. Badenko, Applications of GIS knowledge management for decision making in the field of land market and land consolidation. In: *UDMS 2000*, UDMS Press, Delft, 2000.
- [12] D. Kurterner and V. Badenko, Methodological framework based on fuzzy set theory for land use management, J. *Braz. Comp. Soc.*, 6(2000), 26–32.
- [13] D. Kurterner and V. Badenko, Fuzzy Algorithms to Support Spatial Planning. In: Geertman, S., Stillwell, J. (eds.) *Planning Support Systems in Practice*, Springer, Berlin, 2002.
- ^[14] E. Krueger-Shvetsov and D. Kurterner, Evaluation

of cultivation practices using fuzzy multi-attributive decision-making approach. In: Kurterner, D.A., Yakushev, V.P.(eds.), *Agro physical and Ecological Problems of Agriculture in the 21st Century*, 4(2)(2003), 44–53.

- [15] W. Pedrycz and F. Gomide, An Introduction to Fuzzy Sets, MIT Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [16] V.P. Yakushev, D.A. Kurterner, V.L. Badenko, E.V. Kudashev, W. Cudlip, Methodology of multiple assessment of landscape parcels on the base fuzzy set theory models integrated into geographic information systems (GIS), *Russian Agricultural Science*, 4(2000), 42–43.
- [17] F. Yuan-guang, The TOPSIS Method of Multiple Attribute Decision Making With Triangular fuzzy a valued Weight, *International Workshop on Model Modelling*, *Simulation and Optimization*, (2008), 11–14.