

https://doi.org/10.26637/MJM0803/0015

β_{λ} -closed spaces

M. K. Ghosh¹*

Abstract

We introduce and study β_{λ} -closed spaces in generalized topological spaces (GTS) as a generalization of β -closed spaces [2] in topological spaces. Several characterizations and mapping properties of such spaces are obtained.

Keywords

Generalized topology, λ -space, λ - β -open, λ - β -regular, λ - β - θ -open, β_{λ} -closed, β_{λ} - θ -converge, β_{λ} - θ -accumulate, β_{λ} - θ -c.a.p.

AMS Subject Classification 54A05, 54A10, 54D20.

¹Department of Mathematics, Kalyani Mahavidyalaya, Kalyani-741235, Nadia, West Bengal, India. *Corresponding author: ¹ manabghosh@gmail.com Article History: Received 17 December 2019; Accepted 22 May 2020

©2020 MJM.

Contents

1	Introduction	822
2	Preliminaries	822
3	eta_λ -closed spaces	823
4	Mapping Properties	825
	References	825

1. Introduction

Concept of generalized topological spaces (GTS) has been introduced by A. Császár [4, 6, 8] in 2002. Since then, several research works have been done to generalize the existing notions of topological spaces to generalized topological spaces. Recently, the concept of covering properties in generalized topological spaces have been studied by some authors [15– 18]. On the other hand, β -open sets [1] plays a significant role in the theory of generalized form of open sets in topological spaces. Basu and Ghosh [2] introduced the concept of β -closed spaces in topological spaces and gave several characterizations of β -closed spaces.

In this paper, we have introduced and studied a new kind of covering properties in a generalized topological space (X, λ) known as β_{λ} -closed spaces via λ - β -open sets [8].

2. Preliminaries

A collection λ of subsets of *X* is called a generalized topology (briefly GT) on *X* [6] if and only if $\emptyset \in \lambda$ and $G_i \in \lambda$ for $i \in I \neq \emptyset$ implies $G = \bigcup_{i \in I} G_i \in \lambda$. A set *X* with a GT λ on *X* is called a generalized topological space (GTS) and is denoted by (X, λ) . By a space *X* or (X, λ) , we will always mean a GTS. A space (X, λ) is called a λ -space [14] if $X \in \lambda$. For a space (X, λ) , the elements of λ are called λ -open sets and the complements of λ -open sets are called λ -closed sets. A GT λ on *X* is said to be a quasi-topology [9] if and only if $A, B \in \lambda$ implies $A \cap B \in \lambda$. A set *X* with a quasi topology λ on *X* is called a quasi topological space.

For $A \subset X$, the λ -closure of A, denoted by cA is the intersection of all λ -closed sets containing A and the λ -interior of A, denoted by iA is the union of all λ -open sets contained in A. It was pointed out in [8] that each of the operations iA and cA are monotonic [10] i.e. if $A \subset B \subset X$, then $iA \subset iB$ and $cA \subset cB$, idempotent [10], i.e. if $A \subset X$, then i(iA) = iA and c(cA) = cA, iA is restricting [10], i.e. if $A \subset X$, then $iA \subset A$, cA is enlarging [10], i.e., if $A \subset X$, then $A \subset cA$. In a space (X,λ) , for $A \subset X$, $x \in iA$ if and only if there exists an λ -open set V containing x such that $V \subset A$ and $x \in cA$ if and only if $V \cap A \neq \emptyset$ for every λ -open set V containing x [5]. In a space (X,λ) , $A \subset X$ is λ -open if and only if A = iA and is λ -closed if and only if A = cA [4] and $cA = X \setminus i(X \setminus A)$.

A subset *A* of a topological space is called β -open [1] if $A \subset cl(int(cl(A)))$. The complement of a β -open set is called β -closed. For a subset *A* of a topological space (X, τ) , the β -closure of *A*, denoted by $\beta cl(A)$ is the intersection of all β -open sets containing *A* and the β -interior of *A*, denoted by $\beta int(A)$ is the union of all β -open sets contained in *A*. A topological space (X, τ) is said to be β -closed [2] if every cover of *X* by β -open sets has a finite subfamily whose β -closures cover *X*.

A set $A \subset X$ is said to be λ -semi-open (resp. λ -preopen, λ - α -open, λ - β -open) [8] if $A \subset ciA$ (resp. $A \subset icA$, $A \subset iciA$, $A \subset cicA$). We denote by $\sigma(\lambda)$ (resp. $\pi(\lambda), \alpha(\lambda), \beta(\lambda)$) the class of all λ -semi-open sets (resp. λ -preopen sets, λ - α -open sets, λ - β -open sets). From [8], it is clear that $\lambda \subset$ $\alpha(\lambda) \subset \sigma(\lambda) \subset \beta(\lambda), \ \alpha(\lambda) \subset \pi(\lambda) \subset \beta(\lambda)$ and each of the collections $\sigma(\lambda)$, $\pi(\lambda)$, $\alpha(\lambda)$, $\beta(\lambda)$ forms a GTS. The complements of λ -semi-open sets (resp. λ -preopen sets, λ - α -open sets, λ - β -open sets) are called λ -semi-closed sets (resp. λ -preclosed sets, λ - α -closed sets, λ - β -closed sets). For $A \subset X$, we denote by scA (resp. pcA, αcA , βcA) the intersection of all λ -semi-closed sets (resp. λ -preclosed sets, λ - α -closed sets, λ - β -closed sets) containing A and by siA (resp. *piA*, αiA , βiA) the union of all λ -semi-open sets (resp. λ -preopen sets, λ - α -open sets, λ - β -open sets) contained in A. A subset A of a λ -space (X, λ) is called λ -compact [16] if any cover of A by λ -open subsets of X has a finite subcover. A λ -space (X, λ) is weakly λ -compact [17] if any cover of X by λ -open sets has finite subfamily, the union of the λ -closures of whose members covers X.

3. β_{λ} -closed spaces

We first state a lemma which will be used in the sequel. Proofs can be checked easily and therefore omitted.

Lemma 3.1. The following hold for a subset A of GTS X:

(i) $\beta iA = A \cap cicA$ (ii) $\beta cA = A \cup iciA$ (iii) $x \in \beta cA$ if $A \cap U \neq \emptyset$ for every λ - β -open sets U of Xcontaining x(iv) $\beta c(X \setminus A) = X \setminus \beta iA$ (v) A is λ - β -closed if and only if $A = \beta cA$.

Definition 3.2. A subset A of a space X is said to be λ - β -regular if it is both λ - β -open and λ - β -closed. The family of all λ - β -regular sets of a space X is denoted by $\beta r(X)$ and that of containing a point x of X by $\beta r(X, x)$.

Lemma 3.3. For a subset A of a space X, $A \in \beta(\lambda)$ if and only if $\beta cA \in \beta r(X)$.

Proof. First suppose, $A \in \beta(\lambda)$. Then $A \subset cicA$ and therefore, $\beta c(cA) \subset \beta c(cicA) = cicA \subset cic(\beta cA)$ i.e. βcA is λ - β open. Since βcA is λ - β -open and λ - β -closed, $\beta cA \in \beta r(X)$. Next suppose, $\beta cA \in \beta r(X)$. Then $A \subset \beta cA \subset cic(\beta cA) \subset cic(cA) = cicA$. Hence $A \in \beta(\lambda)$.

Definition 3.4. A point $x \in X$ is said to be in the λ - β - θ closure of A, denoted by β - θ -cA, if $A \cap \beta cV \neq \emptyset$ for every λ - β -open set V of X containing x.

If $\beta \cdot \theta \cdot cA = A$, then A is said to be $\lambda \cdot \beta \cdot \theta \cdot closed$. The complement of a $\lambda \cdot \beta \cdot \theta \cdot closed$ set is said to be $\lambda \cdot \beta \cdot \theta \cdot open$.

Lemma 3.5. For a subset A of a space X,

 $\beta \cdot \theta \cdot cA = \cap \{ V : A \subset V \text{ and } V \text{ is } \lambda \cdot \beta \cdot \theta \cdot closed \} = \cap \{ V : A \subset V \text{ and } V \in \beta r(X) \}$

Proof. We give proof of the first equality. Other is quite similar. Suppose that, $x \notin \beta \cdot \theta \cdot cA$. Then there exists, $\lambda \cdot \beta \cdot \phi$ open set *V* containing *x* such that $\beta cV \cap A = \emptyset$. Therefore by Lemma 3.3, $X \setminus \beta cV$ is $\lambda \cdot \beta \cdot \phi$ -regular and so $\lambda \cdot \beta \cdot \theta - c$ losed set containing *A* such that $x \notin X \setminus \beta cV$. Hence, $x \notin \cap \{V : A \subset V \text{ and } V \text{ is } \lambda \cdot \beta - \theta - c$ losed set}. Conversely, suppose that, $x \notin \cap \{V : A \subset V \text{ and } V \text{ is } \lambda - \beta - \theta - c$ losed set}. Then there exist, a $\lambda \cdot \beta \cdot \theta - c$ losed set *V* containing *A* and $x \notin V$. Also, there exists an $U \in \beta(\lambda)$ such that $x \in U \subset \beta cU \subset X \setminus V$. Then we have, $\beta cU \cap A \subset \beta cU \cap V = \emptyset$ and so $x \notin \beta - \theta - cA$.

Lemma 3.6. Let A and B be any subset of a space X. Then the following properties hold:

(i) $x \in \beta - \theta - cA$ if and only if $A \cap V \neq \emptyset$ for every $V \in \beta r(X, x)$. (ii) If $A \subset B$ then $\beta - \theta - cA \subset \beta - \theta - cB$. (iii) $\beta - \theta - c(\beta - \theta - cA) = \beta - \theta - cA$. (iv) intersection of an arbitrary family of $\lambda - \beta - \theta$ -closed sets in X is $\lambda - \beta - \theta$ -closed in X. (v) A is $\lambda - \beta - \theta$ -open if and only if for each $x \in A$, there exists $V \in \beta r(X, x)$, such that $x \in V \subset A$. (vi) If $A \in \beta(\lambda)$ then $\beta cA = \beta - \theta - cA$.

(vi) If $A \in \beta r(X)$ then A is $\lambda - \beta - \theta$ -closed.

(viii) $A = \beta r(X)$ if and only if A is $\lambda - \beta - \theta$ -open and $\lambda - \beta - \theta$ -closed.

Proof. We give only proof of (iv). Others proofs are obvious. (iv) Let A_{α} be a λ - β - θ -closed for each $\alpha \in \Delta$. Then for each $\alpha \in \Delta$, we have $A = \beta$ - θ - cA_{α} . Therefore, β - θ - $c(\cap_{\alpha \in \Delta} A_{\alpha}) \subset \cap_{\alpha \in \Delta} \beta$ - θ - $cA_{\alpha} = \cap_{\alpha \in \Delta} A_{\alpha} \subset \beta$ - θ - $c(\cap_{\alpha \in \Delta} A_{\alpha})$. Hence, β - θ - $c(\cap_{\alpha \in \Delta} A_{\alpha}) = \cap_{\alpha \in \Delta} A_{\alpha}$. Therefore, $\cap_{\alpha \in \Delta} A_{\alpha}$ is λ - β - θ -closed.

Remark 3.7. If A be a λ - β -regular set in a GTS (X, λ) , then A is λ - β - θ -open and λ - β -open.

We now introduce β_{λ} -closed subset *A* of a λ -space (X, λ) . As a special case, we obtain β_{λ} -closed spaces when A = X. Several characterizations in terms of filter bases and generalized complete accumulation point are obtained.

Definition 3.8. A subset A of a λ -space is called β_{λ} -closed in X if any cover of A by λ - β -open subsets of X has a finite subfamily, the union of λ - β -closures of whose members covers A.

A λ -space (X, λ) is called β_{λ} -closed if any cover of X by λ - β -open sets has a finite subfamily, the union of λ - β -closures of whose members covers X.

Remark 3.9. We observe that the concept of β_{λ} -closed subset of a λ -space generalizes the concept of β -closed subset [3] of a topological space. Also, if A is a subset of a topological space (X, τ) and $\lambda = \tau$, then the concepts of β_{λ} -closedness and β -closedness are equivalent.

Theorem 3.10. Every β_{λ} -closed space (X, λ) is weakly λ -compact [17].

Proof. Proof follows from the fact that every λ -open set is λ - β -open in a space (X, λ) .



Theorem 3.11. For a λ -space X, the following are equivalent:

(i) A is β_{λ} -closed;

(ii) every cover of A by λ - β -regular sets has a subcover;

(iii) for each family $[{U_{\alpha} \in \beta r(X) : \alpha \in I}] \cap A = \emptyset$, there exist a finite subset I_0 of I such that $[{U_{\alpha} \in \beta r(X) : \alpha \in I_0}] \cap A = \emptyset$;

(iv) every cover of A by λ - β - θ -open has a finite subcover.

Proof. Straightforward.

Proposition 3.12. For a subset A of a λ -space X, the following are equivalent:

(i) A is β_{λ} -closed in X.

(ii) for any family $\mathscr{U} = \{U_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ of λ - β -closed subsets of X such that $[\{U_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \Lambda\}] \cap A = \emptyset$, there exist a finite subset Λ_0 of Λ such that $[\{\beta i U_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \Lambda_0\}] \cap A = \emptyset$;

Proof. Straightforward.

Definition 3.13. A filter base \mathscr{F} on a λ -space X is said to be β_{λ} - θ -converge to a point $x \in X$, if for each λ - β -open subset V of X containing x, there exists $F \in \mathscr{F}$ such that $F \subset \beta cV$.

A filter base \mathscr{F} is said to be β_{λ} - θ -accumulate at $x \in X$, if $F \cap \beta cV \neq \emptyset$ for every $F \in \mathscr{F}$ and for every λ - β -open subset V of X containing x.

Definition 3.14. A net $\{x_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda\in D}$ on a λ -space X, where D is a directed set, is said to be β_{λ} - θ -converge to a point $x \in X$, if for each λ - β -open subset V of X containing x, there exists $n_0 \in D$ such that $\forall n \succ n_0, x_n \in \beta cV$.

A net $\{x_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in D}$ on a λ -space X, where D is a directed set, is said to be β_{λ} - θ -accumulate at $x \in X$, if for each λ - β -open subset V of X containing x and $\forall n_0 \in D$ there exist $n \succ n_0$ such that $x_n \in \beta cV$.

Definition 3.15. A point x in a space X is called β_{λ} - θ -complete accumulation point (β_{λ} - θ -c.a.p, for short) of a subset S of X, if $|S| = |S \cap V|$ for each $V \in \beta r(X, x)$, where |S| denotes the cardinality of the subset S.

Theorem 3.16. *The following conditions are equivalent for a* λ *-space X:*

(i) X is β_{λ} -closed;

(*ii*) every infinite subset of X has a β_{λ} - θ -c.a.p in X;

(iii) each net with a well ordered directed set as its domain β_{λ} - θ -accumulate to a point in X.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow : Let *I* be an infinite subset in a β_{λ} -closed space and $A = \{x \in X : x \text{ is not a } \beta_{\lambda} - \theta \text{-c.a.p. of } I\}$. Then for each $x \in A$, there exists a $B_x \in \beta r(X, x)$ such that $|B_x \cap I| < |I|$. If *A* is the whole space, then it follows from the Theorem 3.11, that the cover $\{B_x : x \in A\}$ has a finite subcover, say $\{B_{x_1}, B_{x_2}, ..., B_{x_k}\}$. Then, $I \subset \bigcup \{B_{x_i} \cap I : i = 1, 2, ..., k\}$ and $|I| = max\{|B_{x_i} \cap I| : i = 1, 2, ..., k\} - a$ contradiction. Hence, *A* has a β_{λ} - θ -c.a.p. in *X*.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i): Suppose *X* is not β_{λ} -closed. Then by the Theorem 3.11, there exists a cover \mathscr{U} of *X* by λ - β -regular sets having

no finite subcover. We consider $\wp = \min\{|\mathscr{U}^*| : \mathscr{U}^* \subset \mathscr{U}\}$ and \mathcal{U}^{\star} is a cover of *X*}, where |.| denotes the cardinality. Let $\mathscr{U}_0 \subset \mathscr{U}$ be a cover of X for which $|\mathscr{U}_0| = \wp$. Clearly $\wp \geq \aleph_0$ and then by the well ordering of \mathscr{U}_0 , by some minimal well ordering \prec , we have $|\{U: U \in \mathcal{U}_0 \text{ and } U \prec U_0\}| < |\{U: U \in \mathcal{U}_0\}| <$ $U \in \mathscr{U}_0$ for each $U_0 \in \mathscr{U}_0$. It is clear that, X can not have any subcover with cardinality less than \wp and hence for each $U \in \mathscr{U}_0$, there exists a point $x_U \in X \setminus \bigcup \{U_0 \cup \{x_{U_0}\} : U_0 \in \mathscr{U}_0$ and $U_0 \prec U$. This can be done always, otherwise one can choose from \mathcal{U}_0 a cover of smaller cardinality. For any point $x \in X$, suppose $W = \{x_U : U \in \mathcal{U}_0\}$. Since \mathcal{U} is a cover of X, $x \in U^*$ for some $U^* \in \mathscr{U}_0$. But by the choice of $x_U, x_U \in \mathscr{U}^*$ implies $U \prec U^*$. Therefore, $Z = \{U \in \mathscr{U}_0 \text{ and } x_U \in U^*\} \subset$ $\{U \in \mathscr{U}_0 : U \prec U^*\}$. By the minimality of \prec , $|Z| < \wp$ and so $|W \cap U^*| < \wp$. Now, since for $U_1, U_2 \in \mathscr{U}_0$ with $U_1 \neq U_2$, we have $x_{U_1} \neq x_{U_2}$, then $|W| = \wp \ge \aleph_0$. Therefore, the infinite set W has no β_{λ} - θ -c.a.p. in X – a contradiction. Hence, X is β_{λ} -closed.

(iii) \Rightarrow (ii): Let *I* be an infinite subset of *X*. Then by Zorn's lemma, *I* can be assumed to be a net with a well ordered directed set as its domain. So, it has a β - θ -adherent point say, *x* and then clearly *x* is an β_{λ} - θ -c.a.p. of *I*.

(i) \Rightarrow (iii): Let $\{x_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in D}$ be a net with well ordered directed set D and it has no β_{λ} - θ -adherent point in X. Then, for each $x \in X$, there exists $U_x \in \beta r(X, x)$ and a $\lambda_x \in D$ such that $x_{\lambda} \in X \setminus U_x \forall \lambda \ge \lambda_x$. Now, since X is β_{λ} -closed, the cover $\{U_x : x \in X\}$ has a finite subcover $\{U_{x_1}, U_{x_2}, ..., U_{x_k}\}$ (say). Suppose $\{\lambda_{x_1}, \lambda_{x_2}, ..., \lambda_{x_k}\}$ be the corresponding elements in D and since it is finite, by the well orderedness of D, there exists a largest element say, λ_{x_k} in D. Therefore, we have $x_{\lambda} \in$ $\bigcap_{i=1}^k (X \setminus U_{x_i}) = X \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^k U_{x_i} = \emptyset$ for $\lambda > \lambda_{x_k} - a$ contradiction. Hence, the net $\{x_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in D}$ has a β_{λ} - θ -c.a.p. in X.

The proof of the following proposition is straightforward and thus omitted.

Proposition 3.17. *Let* \mathscr{F} *be a filter base on a* λ *-space X and* $x \in X$ *. Then*

(i) If \mathscr{F} is β_{λ} - θ -converge to x, then $\mathscr{F} \beta_{\lambda}$ - θ -accumulates at x.

(ii) If \mathscr{F} is a maximal filter base, then $\mathscr{F} \beta_{\lambda} \cdot \theta$ -converges if and only if $\beta_{\lambda} \cdot \theta$ -accumulates at x.

Theorem 3.18. For a subset A of a λ -space X, the following are equivalent:

(*i*) A is β_{λ} -closed in X;

(ii) every maximal filter base on X, each of whose members meet A, β_{λ} - θ -converges to some point of A;

(iii) every filter base on X, each of whose members meet A, β_{λ} - θ -accumulate to a point of A.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii): Let \mathscr{F} be a maximal filter base on X, each of whose members meet A, such that \mathscr{F} does not β_{λ} - θ -converge to any point of A. Now, since \mathscr{F} is maximal, by above Proposition 3.17, \mathscr{F} does not β_{λ} - θ -accumulates at any point of A. So, for each $x \in A$, there exists $F_x \in \mathscr{F}$ and λ - β -open set U_x of X containing x and $F_x \cap \beta c U_x = \emptyset$.

But, *A* being β_{λ} -closed in *X*, there exists $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n \in X$ such that $A \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n \beta_i CU_{x_i}$. Again, since \mathscr{F} is filter base on *X*, there exists $F \in \mathscr{F}$ such that $F \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^n F_{x_i}$, but $F_{x_i} \cap \beta_i CU_{x_i} = \emptyset$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$. Therefore, $F \cap \beta_i CU_{x_i} = \emptyset$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ i.e. $\emptyset = (\bigcup_{i=1}^n \beta_i CU_{x_i}) \cap F \supset A \cap F$ - a contradiction.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii): Let \mathscr{F} be a filter base on *X*, each of whose members meet *A*. Then $\mathscr{F}^A = \{F \cap A : F \in \mathscr{F}\}$ is a filter base on *X*. Therefore, \mathscr{F}^A is contained in a maximal filter base \mathscr{H} on *X*, each of whose members meet *A*. Hence, $\mathscr{H} \ \beta_{\lambda}$ - θ -converges to some *y* of *A* and so by Proposition 3.17 (ii), $\mathscr{H} \ \beta_{\lambda}$ - θ -accumulates at *y*. But since $\mathscr{F}^A \subset \mathscr{H}$, so $\mathscr{F}^A \ \beta_{\lambda}$ - θ -accumulates at *y*. Hence, $\mathscr{F} \ \beta_{\lambda}$ - θ -accumulates at *y*.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i): If possible, suppose that, *A* is not β_{λ} -closed. Then by Proposition 3.12, there exist a cover $\mathscr{U} = \{U_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ of *A* by λ - β -open subsets of *X* such that for any finite subset Λ_0 of Λ such that $[\beta i(X \setminus U_{\alpha}) : \alpha \in \Lambda_0\}] \cap A \neq \emptyset$. For each finite subset Λ_0 of Λ , let $F_{\Lambda_0} = [\cap \{\beta i(X \setminus U_{\alpha}) : \alpha \in \lambda_0\}] \cap A$. Then $\mathscr{F} = \{F_{\Lambda_0} : \Lambda_0 \text{ is a finite subset of } \Lambda\}$ is a filter base on *X*, each of whose members meet *A*. Therefore by (iii), $\mathscr{F} \ \beta_{\lambda}$ - θ -accumulates at some point *x* of *A*. Since \mathscr{U} is a cover of *A*, there exists $\alpha_0 \in \Lambda$ such that $x \in U_{\alpha_0}$, but $\mathscr{F} \ \beta_{\lambda}$ - θ -accumulates at *x* and U_{α_0} being a λ - β -open set, $F \cap \beta c U_{\alpha_0} \neq \emptyset$ for every $F \in \mathscr{F}$. Let $F = \beta i(X \setminus U_{\alpha_0}) \cap A$, then $F \in \mathscr{F}$. Thus $\beta i(X \setminus U_{\alpha_0}) \cap A \cap \beta c U_{\alpha_0} = \emptyset$ – a contradiction. Hence, *A* is β_{λ} -closed in *X*.

Proposition 3.19. Let X be a λ -space. If A is λ - β - θ -closed subset of X and B is a β_{λ} -closed in X, then $A \cap B$ is β_{λ} -closed in X.

Proof. Let $\mathscr{U} = \{U_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ be a cover of $A \cap B$ by λ - β -open subsets of X. Then $\mathscr{U} \cup \{X \setminus A\}$ is a cover of B. Now, since $X \setminus A$ is λ - β - θ -open, for each $x \notin A$, there exists a λ - β -open set U_x such that $x \in U_x \subset \beta c U_x \subset (X \setminus A)$. Then $\mathscr{U} \cup \{U_x : x \in X \setminus A\}$ is a cover of B by λ - β -open subsets of X. Since B is β_{λ} -closed in X, so there exists $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_n \in \Lambda$ and there exist $x_1, x_2, ..., x_m \in X \setminus A$ such that $B \subset [\cup_{i=1}^n \beta c U_{\alpha_i}] \cup [\cup_{i=1}^m \beta c U_{\alpha_i}]$. But, $\beta c U_{x_i} \subset X \setminus A$ and so $A \cap B \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n \beta c U_{\alpha_i}$. Hence, $A \cap B$ is β_{λ} -closed in X.

Theorem 3.20. For a λ -space X, the following are equivalent:

(*i*) X is β_{λ} -closed;

(ii) every proper λ - β - θ -closed set is β_{λ} -closed relative to X; (iii) every proper λ - β -regular set is β_{λ} -closed relative to X.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii): Let $\{U_{\alpha} : \alpha \in I\}$ be a cover of proper λ - β - θ -closed set *F* by λ - β -regular sets of *X*. Then $X \setminus F$ is λ - β - θ -open, so for each $x \in (X \setminus F)$, there exists a $V_x \in \beta r(X, x)$ such that $x \in V_x \subset (X \setminus F)$. Hence, the family $\{V_x : x \in X \setminus F\} \cup \{U_{\alpha} : \alpha \in I\}$ is a cover of *X* by λ - β -regular sets of *X*. Now, since *X* is β_{λ} -closed, there is a finite subset I_0 of *I* such that $F \subset \cup \{U_{\alpha} : \alpha \in I_0\}$. Hence by Theorem 3.11, *F* is β_{λ} -closed relative to *X*.

(i) \Rightarrow (ii): Follow from Theorem 3.6 (vii).

(iii) \Rightarrow (i): Let *F* be a λ - β -regular set. Then, $X = F \cup (X \setminus \beta)$

F) and since *F* and $X \setminus F$ are both λ - β -regular, *X* is β_{λ} -closed.

4. Mapping Properties

Definition 4.1. A function $f: (X, \lambda) \to (Y, \lambda')$ is called (λ, λ') -continuous [6] if the inverse image of each λ' -open set is λ -open.

Definition 4.2. A function $f : (X, \lambda) \to (Y, \lambda')$ is called $\beta_{(\lambda, \lambda')}$ -irresolute if the inverse image of each λ' - β -open set is λ - β -open.

Proof of the following lemma is quite straightforward and thus omitted.

Lemma 4.3. Let $f : (X, \lambda) \to (Y, \lambda')$ be a function. Then the following are equivalent:

(i) f is $\beta_{(\lambda,\lambda')}$ -irresolute;

(ii) for every $x \in X$ and for every λ' -open set V containing f(x), there exists a λ -open set U containing x such that $f(U) \subset V$;

(iii) $f(\beta c_{\lambda} A) \subset \beta c_{\lambda'} f(A)$ for every subset A of X (where $c_{\lambda} A$ denotes λ -closure of A in (X, λ));

(iv) $\beta c_{\lambda} f^{-1}(B) \subset f^{-1}(\beta c_{\lambda'}B)$ for every subset B of Y.

Theorem 4.4. Let $f : (X, \lambda) \to (Y, \lambda')$ be a $\beta_{(\lambda, \lambda')}$ -irresolute function, where (X, λ) is λ -space and (Y, λ') is a λ' -space. If A is β_{λ} -closed in X, then f(A) is $\beta_{\lambda'}$ -closed in Y.

Proof. Let $\mathscr{U} = \{U_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ be a cover of f(A) by λ' - β open subsets of Y. Since f is $\beta_{(\lambda,\lambda')}$ -irresolute, $\mathscr{V} = \{f^{-1}(U_{\alpha}) :$ $\alpha \in \Lambda\}$ is a cover of A by λ - β -open subsets of X. But, since Ais β_{λ} -closed in X, there exists $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_n \in \Lambda$ such that $A \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n \beta c_{\lambda} f^{-1}(U_{\alpha_i})$. This implies $f(A) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n f(\beta c_{\lambda} f^{-1}(U_{\alpha_i}))$.
Again, since f is $\beta_{(\lambda,\lambda')}$ -irresolute, by above Lemma 4.3, it
follows that $f(\beta c_{\lambda} f^{-1}(U_{\alpha_i})) \subset \beta c_{\lambda'} f(f^{-1}(U_{\alpha_i})) \subset \beta c_{\lambda'} U_{\alpha_i}$.
Therefore, f(A) is $\beta_{\lambda'}$ -closed in Y.

References

- [1] M. E. Abd El Monsef, S. N. El-Deeb and R. A. Mahmoud, β-open sets and β-continuous mappings, *Bull. Fac. Sci. Assiut Univ.*, 12(1), (1983), 77–90.
- [2] C. K. Basu and M. K. Ghosh, β-closed spaces and βθ-subclosed graphs, *European Jour. Pure Appl. Math.*, 1(2008), 40–50.
- [3] C. K. Basu and M. K. Ghosh, Locally β-closed spaces, *European Jour. Pure Appl. Math.*, 2(1)(2009), 85–96.
- [4] Á. Császár, Generalized open sets, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, 75 (1-2)(1997), 65–87.
- [5] Á. Császár, On the γ-interior and γ-closure of set, Acta Math. Hungar., 80 (1-2)(1998), 89–93.
- [6] Á. Császár, Generalized topology, generalized continuity, Acta Math. Hungar., 96 (4) (2002), 351–357.
- [7] Á. Császár, Separation axioms for generalized topologies, Acta Math. Hungar., 104(1-2) (2004), 63–69.



- [8] Á. Császár, Generalized open sets in generalized topologies, Acta Math. Hungar., 106(1-2)(2005), 53–66.
- [9] A. Császár, Further remarks on the formula for γ-interior, Acta Math. Hungar. 113(4)(2006), 325–328.
- [10] Á. Császár, Remarks on quasi topologies, Acta Math. Hungar., 119(2008), 197–200.
- ^[11] J. Dugundji, *Topology*, Allyn and Bacon, Boston, Mass, 1966.
- [12] R. Engelking, *General Topology*, Second edition, Sigma series in pure Mathematics, 6, Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [13] M. K. Ghosh and C. K. Basu, Generalized connectedness on generalized topologies, *Jour. Adv. Research in Appl. Math.*, 6(3)(2014), 23–34.
- T. Noiri, Unified characterizations for modifications of R₀ and R₁ topological spaces, *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo*, 55(2)(2006), 29–42.
- [15] Bishwamber Roy and S. Jafari, On covering properties via generalized open sets, *Annales Universitatis Scientiarum*, 55(2012), 57–65.
- [16] Mohammad S. Sarsak, On μ-compact sets in μ-spaces, Questions and Answers in General Topology, 31(2013), 49–57.
- [17] Mohammad S. Sarsak, Weakly μ-compact spaces, Demonstratio Mathematica, XLV (4), (2012), 929–938.
- [18] Mohammad S. Sarsak, μ-S-closed spaces, Acta Math. Hungarica, 146 (2)(2015), 285–299.

********* ISSN(P):2319 – 3786 Malaya Journal of Matematik ISSN(O):2321 – 5666 *******

