

**https://doi.org/10.26637/MJM0803/0016**

# **On upper and lower completely contra e-irresolute fuzzy multifunction**

K. Kamala<sup>1\*</sup> and V. Seenivasan <sup>2</sup>

#### **Abstract**

In this paper the concepts of upper and lower fuzzy completely contra e-irresolute fuzzy multifunctions are introduced. Also the concepts of the upper and lower completely weakly e-irresolute fuzzy multifunctions are being discussed. Some characterizations of these classes and some basic interesting properties of such fuzzy multifunctions are obtained and the mutual relationship and with other existing fuzzy multifunctions are also discussed.

#### **Keywords**

Upper and lower fuzzy completely contra e-irresolute fuzzy multifunctions, upper and lower completely weakly e-irresolute fuzzy multifunctions.

## **AMS Subject Classification**

54A40.

1,2*Department of Mathematics, University College of Engineering Panruti, Panruti-607106, TamilNadu, India.* \***Corresponding author**: <sup>1</sup> krsaut@gmail.com; <sup>2</sup>seenujsc@yahoo.co.in **Article History**: Received **09** January **2020**; Accepted **19** May **2020** ©2020 MJM.

**Contents 1 [Introduction](#page-0-0) . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 827 2 [Preliminaries](#page-0-1) . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 827 3 Fuzzy** *CC<sup>U</sup>* **e- Irresolute and** *CC<sup>L</sup>* **[e- Irresolute Multi](#page-1-0)[functions](#page-1-0) . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 828 4 Fuzzy***CWC<sup>U</sup>* **[e-irresolute and Fuzzy](#page-3-0)***CWC<sup>L</sup>* **e-irresolute [Multifunctions](#page-3-0) . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 830 5 [Conclusion](#page-4-0) . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 831 [References](#page-4-1) . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 831**

## **1. Introduction**

<span id="page-0-0"></span>In the year 1985, Papageorgiou[\[7\]](#page-4-2) introduced the fuzzy multifunction as a function from an ordinary topological space X to a fuzzy topological space Y. Further a group of researchers are engaged themselves and have studied different types of fuzzy multifunctions. The upper and lower inverses of a fuzzy multifunction are discussed and defined Papageorgiou. Mukherjee and Malakar[\[6\]](#page-4-3) have studied fuzzy multifunctions with q-coincidence with the definition of upper inverse that were discussed and defined in[\[5\]](#page-4-4) and with the definition of lower inverse which is defined by J. E. Joseph and M. H. Kwack in [\[4\]](#page-4-5), On the other hand, J. Dontchev[\[3\]](#page-4-6) introduced and discussed a lot about contracontinuous functions. Joseph

and Kwack[\[4\]](#page-4-5) introduced another form of contra continuous functions. In recent years, several authors have studied some new forms of contra-continuity for functions and multifunctions. Also, Seenivasan.V and Kamala.K, have defined and studied about Fuzzy e-continuity and fuzzy e-open sets[\[9\]](#page-4-7) and studied about Fuzzy Ce-I(ec,eo) functions and fuzzy completely Ce-I(rc,eo) functions via fuzzy e-open sets[\[10\]](#page-4-8). In this paper we introduce fuzzy upper (lower) completely contra eirresolute multifunctions and fuzzy upper (lower) completely weakly contra e-irresolute multifunctions and give some characterizations and properties of such notions are discussed. Throughout this paper let us use the abbreviations as fuzzy topological space as fts, fuzzy multifunction as fmf, Regular open set as Ro, regular closed as Rc, fuzzy open set as FOS, fuzzy closed set as FCS, fuzzy e-closed set as fe-cs, fuzzy e-open set as fe-os, e-irrsolute multifunction as e-irmf etc.

## **2. Preliminaries**

<span id="page-0-1"></span>In this section, we recall some definitions and basic results which will be used. Through this paper, by  $(X, \tau)$  or simply by X we will mean a topological space in the classical sense, and  $(Y, \sigma)$  or simply Y will stand for a fuzzy topological space as defined by Chang[\[2\]](#page-4-9). Fuzzy sets in Y will be denoted by  $\lambda, \mu, \rho, \eta, \gamma$  etc., and although subsets of X will be denoted by A,B, M, U, V,W etc. A mapping  $F: X \to Y$  is called

a fuzzy multifunction<sup>[\[7\]](#page-4-2)</sup> if for each,  $x \in X$ ,  $F(x)$  is a fuzzy set in Y. For a fuzzy multifunction  $F: X \to Y$ , the upper inverse  $F^+(\mu)$  and lower inverse  $F^-(\mu)$  of a fuzzy set  $\mu$  in Y are defined as follows:  $F^+(\mu) = \{x \in X, F(x) \le \mu\}$  and  $F^{-}(\mu) = \{x \in X, F(x)q\mu\}.$ 

Definition 2.1. *[\[9\]](#page-4-7) A fuzzy set* µ *of a fts X is called fe-os if*  $\mu \leq cl(\text{int}_{\delta} \mu) \vee \text{int}(cl_{\delta} \mu)$  *anf Fe-os if*  $\mu \geq cl(\text{int}_{\delta} \mu) \wedge$ int( $cl_{\delta}\mu$ )*. The intersection of all fe-cs's containing*  $\mu$  *is called fe-closure of*  $\mu$  *and is denoted by fe-cl*  $(\mu)$  *and the union of all fe-os's contained in* µ *is called fuzzy e-interior of* µ *and is denoted by fe-*  $\text{int}(\mu)$ .

**Definition 2.2.** *[\[9\]](#page-4-7)A mapping*  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  *is said to be a fuzzy e-irresolute (briefly, f e-irresolute) if f* −1 (λ)) *is fuzzy e-open set in X for every fuzzy e-open set* λ *in Y.*

Definition 2.3. *[\[8\]](#page-4-11) A fuzzy set* µ *is quasi-coincidentwith a fuzzy set*  $\lambda$  *denoted by*  $\mu q \lambda$  *iff there exist*  $x \in X$  *such that*  $\mu(x) + \lambda(x) > 1$ . If  $\mu$  and  $\lambda$  are not quasi-coincident then we *write*  $\mu \overline{q} \lambda$  *and*  $\mu \leq \lambda \Leftrightarrow \mu \overline{q} \lambda - \lambda$ .

Definition 2.4. *[\[8\]](#page-4-11) A fuzzy point x<sup>p</sup> is quasi-coincident with a fuzzy set*  $\lambda$ *denoted by*  $x_p q \lambda$ *iff there exist*  $x \in X$  *such thatp* +  $\lambda(x) > 1$ .

**Lemma 2.5.** *[\[6\]](#page-4-3): For a fuzzy multifunction*  $G : M \rightarrow N$ , we *have*  $G^{-}(N - \mu) = M - G^{+}(\mu)$ *, for any fuzzy set*  $\mu$  *in N*.

# <span id="page-1-0"></span>**3. Fuzzy**  $CC^U$  e- Irresolute and  $CC_L$  e-**Irresolute Multifunctions**

In this section, we define fuzzy upper and lower completely contra e-irresolute multifunctions and we discuss with some properties.

**Definition 3.1.** A fmf  $G : P \to Q$  is called fuzzy lower com*pletely contra e-irresolute (briefly, fuzzyCC<sup>L</sup> e-irresolute) multifunction if for any fe-cs*  $\mu$  *in Q with*  $x \in G^{-}(\mu)$  *(i.e)* $G(x)q\mu$ *, there exists an Ro set M in P containing x such that*  $M \subset$  $G^{-}(\mu)$ .

**Definition 3.2.** *A fmf*  $G: P \rightarrow Q$  *is called fuzzy upper completely contra e-irresolute (briefly, fuzzy CC<sup>U</sup> e-irresolute) multifunction if for any fe-cs*  $\mu$  *in Q with*  $x \in G^+(\mu)$ *, there exists an Ro set M in X containing x such that*  $M \subset G^+(\mu)$ *.* 

<span id="page-1-1"></span>**Theorem 3.3.** *For a fmf*  $F : (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$  *the following statements are equivalent:*

*(i). F is fuzzy CC<sup>U</sup> e-irresolute*

*(ii). For each fe-cs*  $\mu$  *and*  $x \in X$  *such that*  $F(x) \leq \mu$ , *there exists an Ro set V containing x such that if*  $y \in V$ *, then*  $F(y) \leq$ µ*.*

*(iii).*  $F^+(\mu)$  *is Ro in X for any fe-cs*  $\mu$  *in Y.* 

*(iv).*  $F^{-}(\rho)$  *is Rc in X for any fe-os*  $\rho$  *in Y.* 

*(v). For every FOS*  $\mu$  *of Y, F<sup>−</sup>(fe-int*( $\mu$ )) *is Rc in X.* 

*(vi). For every FCS*  $\eta$  *of Y, F*<sup>+</sup>*(fe-cl*( $\eta$ )) *is Ro in X.* 

 $(vii).intclF^+(\mu) = F^+(fe\text{-}cl(\mu)),$  for every fuzzy set  $\mu$  in  $Y$ .

**Proof :** (*i*)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (*ii*) : obvious.

 $(i) \Rightarrow (iii)$ : Let  $\mu$  be any fe-cs in Y and  $x \in F^+(\mu)$ . By (i), there exists a Ro set M containing x such that  $M \subset F^+(\mu)$ . Thus,  $x \in \text{intcl } F^+(\mu)$  and hence  $F^+(\mu)$  is an Ro set in X.

 $(iii) \Rightarrow (i)$ : Let  $\rho$  be any fe-cs in Y and  $x \in F^+(\rho)$ . By (iii),  $F^+(\rho)$  is a Ro set in X. Take  $V = F^+(\rho)$ . Then  $V \subset$  $F^+(\rho)$ . Thus, F is fuzzy  $CC^U$  e-irresolute.

 $(iii) \Rightarrow (iv)$ : Let  $\rho$  be a fe-os in Y. Then  $1_Y - \rho$  is a fe-cs in Y. By (iii),  $F^+(1_Y - \rho)$  is a Ro set in X. Since  $F^+(1_Y - \rho) =$  $1_X - F^{-}(\rho)$ , then  $F^{-}(\rho)$  is a Rc set in X.

 $(iv) \Rightarrow (iii)$ : Let  $\mu$  be a fe-cs in Y. Then  $I_Y - \mu$  is a fe-os in Y. By (iv),  $F^{-}(1_Y - \mu)$  is a Rc set in X. Since  $F^{-}(1_Y - \mu)$  =  $1_X - F^+(\mu)$ , then  $F^+(\mu)$  is a Ro in X.

 $(iv) \Rightarrow (v)$ : Let  $\mu$  be a FOS in Y. Since  $fe$ -*int* $(\mu)$  is fuzzy e-open, then by (iv),  $F^-(fe\text{-}int(\mu))$  is a Rc set in X. Converse is obvious.

 $(iii) \Rightarrow (vi)$ : Let  $\eta$  be a FCS of Y. Since  $fe-cl(\eta)$  is fecs of Y, then by (iii),  $F^+(fe\text{-}cl(\eta))$  is a Ro set in X. Converse is obvious.

 $(v) \Rightarrow (vi)$ : Let  $\eta$  be a FCS of Y. Then  $I_Y - \eta$  is a FOS of Y. Since  $fe$ *-int* $(1_Y - \eta)$  is fe-os of Y. By (v),  $F^-(fe$ *-int* $(1_Y - \eta)$  $(\eta$ ))) is a Rc set in X. This implies,  $F^{-}(fe\text{-}int(1_f - \eta)) =$  $F^{-}(1_Y - fe\text{-}cl\eta) = 1_X - F^{+}(fe\text{-}cl\eta)$ . Then  $F^{+}(fe\text{-}cl(\eta))$ is Ro in X. Converse is obvious.

 $(vi) \Rightarrow (vii)$ : Let  $\mu$ be any fuzzy set in Y. Since  $fe$ - $cl(\mu)$  is fuzzy e-closed in Y then by (vii),  $F^+(fe\text{-}cl(\mu))$  is Ro in X and  $F^+(\mu) = F^+(fe\text{-}cl(\mu))$ . Therefore, we obtain *intclF*<sup>+</sup>( $\mu$ ) = *intclF*<sup>+</sup>(*fe-cl* $\mu$ ). Since *F*<sup>+</sup>(*fe-cl*( $\mu$ )) is Ro in X and hence  $intclF^{+}(\mu) = F^{+}(fe\text{-}cl\mu)).$ 

 $(vii) \Rightarrow (vi)$ : obvious.

**Theorem 3.4.** *For a fmf*  $F : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  *the following statements are equivalent:*

*(i). F is fuzzy CCL- e-irresolute*

*(ii). For each fe-cs*  $\mu$  *and*  $x \in X$  *such that*  $F(x) \leq \mu$ , *there exists an Ro set V containing x such that if*  $y \in V$ *, then*  $F(y) \leq$ µ*.*

*(iii).*  $F<sup>−</sup>(\mu)$  *is Ro in X for any fe-cs*  $\mu$  *in Y.* 

*(iv).*  $F^+(\rho)$  *is Rc in X for any fe-os*  $\rho$  *in Y.* 

(*v*). For every FOS  $\mu$  of Y,  $F^+(f e$ -int $\mu$ ) is Rc in X.

*(vi). For every FCS*  $\eta$  *of Y, F<sup>−</sup>(fe-cl* $\eta$ ) *is Ro in X.* 

 $(vii).intclF^{-}(\mu) = F^{-}(fe\text{-}cl(\mu)),$  for every fuzzy set  $\mu$  in Y.

Proof : It is similar to that of theorem [3.3](#page-1-1)

**Remark 3.5.** Every fuzzy  $CC^U$  e- irresolute (fuzzy  $CC_Le$ - ir*resolute) multifunction is fuzzy upper contra continuous. Converse is not true.*

**Example 3.6.** *Let*  $X = \{a, b, c\}$ ,  $\tau = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}\$ *and*  $Y = [0,1], \sigma = \{0,1,\mu,\lambda,\delta\}$  *where*  $\mu(y) = 0.4, \lambda(y) =$ 0.1,  $\delta(y) = 0.7$ ,  $v(y) = 0.3$ ,  $\gamma(y) = 0.5$ *. Consider the fmfF*:  $(X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  *is defined as*  $F(a) = \nu$ ,  $F(b) = \gamma$ ,  $F(c) = \delta$ . *Then*  $F^+(1-\mu) = \{a,b\}$ ,  $F^+(1-\lambda) = X$ ,  $F^+(1-\delta) = \{a\}$ *and*  $F^{-}(1 - \mu) = \{b, c\}, F^{-}(1 - \lambda) = X, F^{-}(1 - \delta) = \phi$ *which is open but not Ro in* (*X*, τ). *Then F is fuzzy upper contra continuous but not fuzzy CC<sup>U</sup> e-irresolute (fuzzy CC<sup>L</sup> e-irresolute ) multifunction.*



**Remark 3.7.** *Every fuzzy*  $CC^U$  *e-irresolute (fuzzy*  $CC_L$  *eirresolute) multifunction is fuzzy upper(lower) almost continuous. Converse is not true.*

**Example 3.8.** *Let*  $X = \{a, b, c\}$ ,  $\tau = \{X, \phi, \{b\}, \{b, c\}\}\$ and  $Y = [0, 1], \sigma = \{0, 1, \mu, \lambda, \eta\}$  *where*  $\mu(y) = 0.4, \lambda(y) = 0.1$ ,  $\eta(y) = 0.5$ ,  $\zeta(y) = 0.7$ ,  $\gamma(y) = 0.6$ *. Consider the fmf F*:  $(X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  *is defined as*  $F(a) = \mu$ ,  $F(b) = \zeta$ ,  $F(c) = \gamma$ . *Then*  $F^+(1-\mu) = \{a,c\}$ ,  $F^+(1-\lambda) = X$ ,  $F^+(1-\eta) = \{a\}$ *which is closed but not Ro in* (*X*, τ). *Then F is fuzzy upper almost continuous but not fuzzy CC<sup>U</sup> e-irmf.*

**Example 3.9.** *Let*  $X = \{a, b, c\}$ ,  $\tau = \{X, \phi, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}\$ and *Y* = [0, 1],  $\sigma$  = {0, 1,  $\mu$ ,  $\rho$ ,  $\eta$ } *where*  $\mu(y) = 0.4$ ,  $\rho(y) = 0.2$ ,  $\eta(y) = 0.5$ *. Consider the fmf*  $F : (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$  *is defined*  $as F(a) = \mu, F(b) = \rho, F(c) = \eta.$  *Then*  $F^{-}(1 - \mu) = \{c\},$  $F^{-}(1-\rho) = \{a, c\}, F^{-}(1-\eta) = \phi$  which is closed but not *Ro in* (*X*, τ). *Then F is fuzzy lower almost continuous but not fuzzy CC<sup>L</sup> e-irresolute multifunction.*

**Theorem 3.10.** *Let*  $\{Y_i : i \in I\}$  *be a family of product spaces. If a function F* :  $X \to \prod Y_i$  *is fuzzy*  $CC^U$  *e-irresolute (fuzzy*  $CC_L$ *e-irresolute* ), then  $P_i \circ F : X \to Y_i$  is fuzzy  $CC^U$  e-irresolute  $(fuzzy CC<sub>L</sub> e-irresolute) for each  $i \in I$  where  $P<sub>i</sub>$  is the projective.$ *tion of*  $\prod_{i \in I} Y_i$  *onto*  $Y_i$ *.* 

**Proof**:Let  $\delta_i$  be any fe-os in  $Y_i$ . Since  $P_i$  is a fuzzy continuous and fuzzy open set, it is a fe-os. Now  $P_i: \prod_i Y_i \to Y_i$ ,  $P_i^+(\delta_i)$  is a fuzzy e-open in  $\prod_{i \in I} Y_i$ . Therefore,  $P_i$  is a fuzzy e-irresolute func-

tion. Now  $(P_i \circ F)^+(\delta_i) = F^+(P_i^+(\delta_i)) = F^+$  $\prod_{i \neq j} Y_j \times \delta_i$ 

since F is fuzzy 
$$
CC^U
$$
 e -irresolute. Hence  $F^+(P_i^+(\delta_i))$  is a Rc  
set, since  $P_i^+(\delta_i)$  is a fuzzy e-open set. Hence  $P_i \circ F$  is fuzzy  $CC^U$  e -irresolute.

**Theorem 3.11.** *If the function*  $F: \prod_{i \in I} X_i \to \prod_{i \in I} Y_i$ , *defined by*  $F(x_i) = \prod_{i \in I} F_i(x_i)$ , *is fuzzy*  $CC^U$  *e-irresolute (fuzzy*  $CC^L$  *eirresolute* ) multifunction, then $F_i: X_i \rightarrow Y_i$  is fuzzy  $CC^U$  e*irresolute (fuzzy CC<sup>L</sup> e-irresolute ) multifunction for each*  $i \in I$ .

**Proof**:Let  $v_i$  be any fe-cs of  $Y_i$ , then  $\prod Y_j \times v_i$  is fuzzy e $i \neq j$ 

closed in 
$$
\prod_{i \in I} Y_i
$$
. Since F is fuzzy  $CC^U$  e - irmf, then

$$
F^+\left(\prod_{i\neq j} Y_j \times v_i\right) = \prod_{i\neq j} X_j \times F_i^+(v_i)
$$
 is Ro in  $\prod_{i\in I} X_i$  and hence  

$$
F_i^+(v_i)
$$
 is Ro in  $X_i$ . This implies,  $F_i$  is fuzzy  $CC^U$  e-irmf.

<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Theorem 3.12.** *For a fmf*  $F : X \to Y$ *, if*  $clint(F^-(\eta)) \le$  $F^-(fe$ **-K**<sub>η</sub>) for every fuzzy set **η** of Y, then F is fuzzy  $CC^U$ *e-irresolute.*

**Proof :**Suppose that  $clint(F^-(\eta)) \leq F^-(fe\cdot K_\eta)$ , for every fuzzy set  $\eta$  in Y. By definition,  $F^-(fe\cdot K_\eta) = F^-(\eta)$ . This implies that,  $clint(F^-(\eta)) = F^-(\eta)$  and  $F^-(\eta)$  is Rc in X. Thus, by theorem [3.3,](#page-1-1) F is fuzzy  $CC^U$  e-irresolute.

**Theorem 3.13.** For a fmf  $F : X \to Y$ , if  $clint(F^+(\eta)) \leq F^+$  $(f e$ - $K_n)$  *for every fuzzy set*  $n$  *of*  $Y$ *, then*  $F$  *is fuzzy*  $CC_L$  *eirresolute .*

**Proof**: It is similar to that of theorem [3.12](#page-2-0)

**Theorem 3.14.** *Let*  $\{V_i : i \in I\}$  *be a Ro cover of X and a fmf*  $F: X \rightarrow Y$  *is a fuzzy*  $CC^U$  *e-irresolute (fuzzy*  $CC_L$  *e-irresolute )* iff  $F|V_i: V_i \to Y$  is fuzzy  $CC^U$  e-irresolute (fuzzy  $CC_L$  e*irresolute* ) for each  $i \in I$ .

**Proof**: Suppose that F is fuzzy  $CC^U$  e-irmf. Let  $x \in X$  and  $x \in V_i$  for each  $i \in I$ . Let  $\lambda$  be a fe-cs of Y containing  $F|V_i(x)$ . Since F is fuzzy  $CC^U$  e-irmf and  $F(x) = F|V_i(x)$ , there exists an Ro set U containing x such that  $U \subset F^+(\lambda)$ . Take  $W =$ *U* ∩ *V*<sub>*i*</sub>. Then W is a Ro set *V*<sub>*i*</sub> containing x and  $F|V_i(W) =$ *F*(*W*)  $\leq \lambda$ . This implies that *W*  $\subset$  *F*<sup>+</sup>( $\lambda$ ). Thus *F*|*Vi* is fuzzy *CC<sup>U</sup>* e-irresolute.

Conversely, Let  $x \in X$  and  $\lambda$  be fe-cs in Y with  $x \in F^+(\lambda)$ . Since  $\{V_i : i \in I\}$  is a Ro cover for X, then  $x \in V_i$ . Since  $F|V_i$ is fuzzy  $CC^U$  e-irresolute and  $F(x) = F|V_i(x)$ , there exists a Ro set W such that  $F|V_i(W) \leq \lambda$ . Then we have, W is Ro in X and  $W \subset F^+(\lambda)$ . Therefore, F is fuzzy  $CC^U$  e-irresolute.

**Theorem 3.15.** For a fmf  $F: X \to Y$ , if the fuzzy graph multi*function*  $G_F: X \to X \times Y$  *is fuzzy*  $CC^U e$ -irresolute, then F is *fuzzy CC<sup>U</sup> e-irresolute.*

**Proof :**Suppose that fuzzy graph multifunction  $G_F : X \rightarrow$  $X \times Y$  is fuzzy  $CC^U$  e-irresolute and  $x \in X$ . Let  $\eta$  be fecs in Y with  $F(x) \leq \eta$ . Then  $G_F(x) \leq X \times \eta$ . Since the graph function  $G_F$  is fuzzy  $CC^U$  e-irresolute, there exists an Ro set M containing x such that  $G_F(M) \leq X \times \eta$ . For any *m*<sup>0</sup> ∈ *M* and *y* ∈ *Y*, we have  $F(m_0)(y) = G_F(m_0)(m_0, y)$  ≤  $(X \times \eta)(m_0, y) = \eta(y)$ . Then we have  $F(m_0)(y) = \eta(y)$  for all  $y \in Y$ . Thus,  $F(m_0) \le \eta$  for any  $m_0 \in M$ . Hence, F is fuzzy  $CC^U$  e-irresolute.

**Theorem 3.16.** *For a fmf*  $F : X \to Y$ *, if the fuzzy graph multifunction*  $G_F: X \to X \times Y$  *is fuzzy*  $CC_L$  *e-irresolute, then F is fuzzy CC<sup>L</sup> e-irresolute.*

**Proof :**Suppose that fuzzy graph multifunction  $G_F: X \rightarrow$  $X \times Y$  is fuzzy *CC<sub>L</sub>* e-irresolute and  $x \in X$ . Let  $\eta$  be fe-cs in Y such that  $F(x) \neq \eta$ . Then there exists  $y \in Y$  such that  $(F(x))(y) + \eta(y) > 1$ . Then we have  $G_F(x)(x, y) + (X \times$  $\eta(x, y) > 1$  which implies  $G_F(x)q(X \times \eta)$ . Since fuzzy graph function  $G_F$  is fuzzy  $CC_L$  e-irresolute, there exists an Ro set M in X such that  $x \in M$  and  $G_F(m_0)q(X \times \eta)$  for all  $m_0 \in M$ . Suppose that there exists a point  $n_0$  in M such that  $F(n_0)\bar{q}\eta$ . Then for all  $y \in Y$ ,  $(F(n_0))(y) + \eta(y) \le 1$  we have  $G_F(n_0)(x, y) \leq F(n_0)(y)$  and  $(X \times \eta)(x, y) \leq \eta(y)$ . Thus,  $G_F(n_0)(x, y) + (X \times \eta)(x, y) \leq 1$ . Thus,  $G_F(n_0) \bar{q}(X \times \eta)$ , for any  $n_0 \in M$  which is a contradiction. Hence F is fuzzy  $CC_L$ e-irresolute.

**Theorem 3.17.** *If*  $F : (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$  *is a fuzzy*  $CC^U$  *e- irresolute (fuzzy CC<sup>L</sup> e- irresolute ) injective fmf and F*(*x*) *be fuzzy*  $e$ - $T_2$  *space for every*  $x \in X$ *, then*  $X$  *is Urysohn space.* 



 $\setminus$ 

**Proof :**Let  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  be any two distinct points in X. Since F is injective,  $F(x_1) \neq F(x_2)$  in Y. Since Y is fuzzy *e-T*<sub>2</sub>, there exists fe-os  $\eta$  and  $\rho$  in Y such that  $F(x_1) \in \eta$  and  $F(x_2) \in \rho$ and  $\eta \wedge \rho = 0$ . This implies that fe-*cl*( $\eta$ ) and fe-*cl*( $\rho$ ) are fe-cs in Y. Then, since F is fuzzy  $CC^U$  e-irresolute, there exists a Ro sets *V* and *W* in *X* containing  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  respectively, such that  $F(V) \leq fe\text{-}cl(\eta)$  and  $F(W) \leq fe\text{-}cl(\rho)$ . This implies that  $V \subset F^+(fe\text{-}cl(\eta))$  and  $W \subset F^+(fe\text{-}cl(\rho))$ , we have  $F^+(fe\text{-}cl(\eta))$  and  $F^+(fe\text{-}cl(\rho))$  are disjoint and hence  $cl(V) \cap cl(W) = \emptyset$ , and by definition, X is Urysohn.

**Theorem 3.18.** Let  $F: X \to Y$  be a fuzzy  $CC^U$  e-irresolute *surjective multifunction and F(x) is fuzzy e-closed for each*  $x \in X$ . If X is nearly compact, then Y is fuzzy e-closed.

**Proof** :Let  $\{v_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \Omega\}$  be any cover of F(x) by fe-cs of Y. Since  $F(x)$  is fuzzy e-closed for any  $x \in X$ , there exists a finite subset  $\Delta$  of  $\Omega$  such that  $F(x) \leq \bigvee_{\alpha \in \Delta} f e \text{-}cl(v_{\alpha})$ . Take  $\lambda = \bigvee_{\alpha \in \Delta} f e \cdot cl(v_{\alpha})$ . Since F is fuzzy  $CC^U$  e-irresolute, there exists a Ro set  $A_x$  of X containing x such that  $F(A_x) \leq \lambda$ . Then  ${A_x}$ ,  $x \in X$  is a Ro cover of X. Since X is nearly compact, there exists  $x_i$ ,  $i = 1, ..., n$  in X such that  $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} A_{x_i}$  we have  $Y = F(X) = F\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} A_{x_i}\right) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} F(A_{x_i}) \leq \bigvee_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i = \bigvee_{i=1}^{n} \bigvee_{\alpha \in \Delta_i} \text{fe-cl}$  $(v_{\alpha})$ . Thus, Y is fuzzy e-closed.

**Theorem 3.19.** *If*  $F : X \to Y$  *is a fuzzy*  $CC^U e$ -irresolute injec*tion and Y is fuzzy e-normal then X is strongly normal.*

Proof :Let *V* and *W* be a disjoint nonempty closed sets of X. Since F is injective,  $F(V)$  and  $F(W)$  are disjoint FCSs. Since Y is fuzzy e-normal, there exists fe-os  $\mu$  and  $\lambda$  such that  $F(V) \leq \mu$  and  $F(W) \leq \lambda$  and  $\mu \wedge \lambda = 0$ . This implies that  $fe\text{-}cl(\mu)$  and  $fe\text{-}cl(\lambda)$  are fe-cs in Y. Then, since F is fuzzy  $CC^U$  e-irresolute,  $F^+(fe\text{-}cl(\mu))$  and  $F^+(fe\text{-}cl(\lambda))$  are Ro sets. Then  $V \subset F^+(fe\text{-}cl(\mu))$  and  $W \subset F^+(fe\text{-}cl(\lambda)),$ we have  $F^+(fe\text{-}cl(\mu))$  and  $F^+(fe\text{-}cl(\lambda))$  are disjoint, and by definition, X is strongly normal.

# <span id="page-3-0"></span>**4. Fuzzy** *CWC<sup>U</sup>* **e-irresolute and Fuzzy** *CWC<sup>L</sup>* **e-irresolute Multifunctions**

**Definition 4.1.** *A fmf*  $F : X \rightarrow Y$  *is called fuzzy lower completely weakly contra e-irresolute(briefly, fuzzy CWC<sup>L</sup> e irresolute*) multifunction if for any fe-cs  $\mu$  in Y with  $x \in F^{-}(\mu)$ *(i.e)F*(*x*)*q*µ*, there exists an open set V in X containing x such that*  $V \subset F^{-}(\mu)$ *.* 

**Definition 4.2.** *A fmf*  $F: X \to Y$  *is called fuzzy upper completely weakly contra e-irresolute(briefly, fuzzy CWC<sup>U</sup> e irresolute) multifunction if for any fe-cs*  $\mu$  *in Y with*  $x \in F^+(\mu)$ *, there exists an open set Vin X containing x such that*  $V \subset$  $F^+(\mu)$ .

Remark 4.3. *Every fuzzy CWC<sup>U</sup> e-irresolute (fuzzy CWC<sup>L</sup> e-irresolute) multifunction is fuzzy CWC<sup>U</sup> e-irresolute (fuzzy CWC<sup>L</sup> e-irresolute) multifunction.*

**Example 4.4.** *Let*  $X = \{a, b, c\}$ ,  $\tau = \{X, \phi, \{b\}, \{b, c\}\}\$ and  $Y = [0,1], \sigma = \{0,1,\mu,\lambda,\eta\}$  *where*  $\mu(y) = 0.4, \lambda(y) = 0.2$ ,  $\eta(y) = 0.8$ ,  $\zeta(y) = 0.5$ ,  $\gamma(y) = 0.6$ *. Consider the fmf F*:  $(X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  *is defined as*  $F(a) = \zeta$ ,  $F(b) = \eta$ ,  $F(c) = \gamma$ . *Then*  $F^+(1-\mu) = \{a,c\}$ ,  $F^+(1-\lambda) = X$ ,  $F^+(1-\eta) = \{a\}$ *which is open but not Ro in* (*X*, τ). *Then, F is fuzzy CWC<sup>U</sup> e-irresolute but not fuzzy CC<sup>U</sup> e-irmf.*

**Example 4.5.** *Let*  $X = \{a,b,c\}$ ,  $\tau = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a,b\}\}\$ *and*  $Y = [0, 1], \sigma = \{0, 1, \zeta, \eta, \rho\}$  *where*  $\zeta(y) = 0.1, \rho(y) =$  $0.6, \eta(y) = 0.4$ . *Consider the fmfF* :  $(X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  *is defined*  $as F(a) = \eta, F(b) = \rho, F(c) = \zeta$ . *Then*  $F^{-}(1-\zeta) = \{a,b\},$  $F^{-}(1-\eta) = \{b\}, F^{-}(1-\rho) = \phi$  *which is open but not Ro in*  $(X, \tau)$ . *Then F is fuzzy CWC<sub>L</sub> e-irresolute but not fuzzy CC<sub>L</sub> e-irmf.*

**Theorem 4.6.** *For a fmf*  $F : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  *the following statements are equivalent:*

*(i). F is fuzzy CWC<sup>U</sup> e-irresolute*

*(ii). For each fe-cs*  $\mu$  *and*  $x \in X$  *such that*  $F(x) \leq \mu$ *, there exists an open set V containing x such that if*  $y \in V$ *, then*  $F(y) \leq \mu$ .

*(iii).*  $F^+(\mu)$  *is open in X for any fe-cs*  $\mu$  *in Y.* 

*(iv).*  $F^{-}(\rho)$  *is closed in X for any fe-os*  $\rho$  *in Y.* 

 $(v)$ *. For every FOS*  $\mu$  *of Y, F<sup>−</sup>(fe-int* $\mu$ ) *is closed in X.* 

*(vi). For every FCS*  $\eta$  *of Y, F*<sup>+</sup>*(fe-cl* $\eta$ *) is open in X.* 

**Proof** :(*i*)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (*ii*): obvious.

 $i \Rightarrow (iii)$ : Let  $\mu$  be any fe-cs in Y and  $x \in F^+(\mu)$ . By (i), there exists an open set V containing x such that  $V \subset F^+(\mu)$ . Thus,  $x \in intF^+(\mu)$  and hence  $F^+(\mu)$  is an open set in X.

 $(iii) \Rightarrow (i)$ : Let  $\rho$  be any fe-cs in Y and  $x \in F^+(\rho)$ . By (iii),  $F^+(\rho)$  is an open set in X. Take  $V = F^+(\rho)$ . Then  $V \subset F^+(\rho)$ . Thus, F is fuzzy *CWC<sup>U</sup>* e-irresolute.

 $(iii) \Rightarrow (iv)$ : Let  $\rho$  be a fe-os in Y. Then  $I_Y - \rho$  is a fe-cs in Y. By (iii),  $F^+(1_Y - \rho)$  is an open set in X. Since  $F^+(1_Y - \rho)$  $\rho$ ) = 1<sub>*X*</sub> − *F*<sup>-</sup>( $\rho$ ), then *F*<sup>-</sup>( $\rho$ ) is a closed set in X.

 $(iv) \Rightarrow (iii)$ : Let  $\mu$  be a fe-cs in Y. Then  $1<sub>Y</sub> - \mu$  is a fe-os in Y. By (iv),  $F^{-}(1_Y - \mu)$  is a closed set in X. Since  $F^{-}(1_Y - \mu) = 1_X - F^{+}(\mu)$ , then  $F^{+}(\mu)$  is a fuzzy open in X.

 $(iv) \Rightarrow (v)$ : Let  $\mu$  be a FOS in Y. Since  $fe$ -*int* $(\mu)$  is fuzzy e-open, then by (iv),  $F^-(fe\text{-}int(\mu))$  is a closed set in X. Converse is obvious.

 $(iii) \Rightarrow (vi)$ : Let  $\eta$  be a FCS of Y. Since  $fe\text{-}cl(\eta)$  is fe-cs of Y, then by (3),  $F^+(fe\text{-}cl(\eta))$  is a open set in X. Converse is obvious.

 $(v) \Rightarrow (vi)$ : Let  $\eta$  be a FCS of Y. Then  $1_y - \eta$  is a FOS of Y. Since  $fe$ -*int*(1*y* −  $\eta$ ) is fe-os of Y. By (5),  $F^{-} (fe$ -*int*(1*y* −  $(\eta)$ ) is a closed set in X. This implies,  $F^-(fe\text{-}int(1_Y - \eta)) =$  $F^{-}(1_{Y} - fe\text{-}cl(\eta)) = 1_{X} - F^{+}(fe\text{-}cl(\eta)).$ 

Then  $F^+(fe\text{-}cl(\eta))$  is open in X. The converse is obvious.

**Theorem 4.7.** *If*  $F : X \to Y$  *is an upper almost continuous multifunction where X and Y are topological spaces and G* :  $Y \rightarrow Z$  *is a fuzzy*  $CC^U$  *e-irresolute multifunction where Z is a fuzzy topological space, then*  $G \circ F : X \to Z$  *is fuzzy*  $CWC^U$ *e-irresolute .*



<span id="page-4-10"></span>**Proof :** Let  $x \in X$  and  $\rho$  be a fuzzy e- closed set in Z we have  $(G \circ F)^+(\rho) = F^+(G^+)(\rho)$ . Since G is fuzzy  $CC^U$  eirresolute,  $G^+(\rho)$  is Ro in Y. Since F is upper almost continuous,  $F^+(G^+)(\rho) = (G \circ F)^+(\rho)$  is open in X. Thus,  $G \circ F$  is fuzzy *CWC<sup>U</sup>* e-irresolute.

**Theorem 4.8.** If  $F_i: X \to Y$  for  $i = 1, 2, ..., n$ , are fuzzy CWC<sup>U</sup> *e*-irmf, then  $\vee_{n=1}^{n} F_i$  is a fuzzy CWC<sup>U</sup> e-irmf.

**Proof :** Let  $\eta$  be a fe-cs of Y and  $F_i: X \to Y$  for  $i = 1, 2, ..., n$ , are fuzzy  $CWC^U$  e-irmf. Let  $x \in (\vee_{n=1}^n F_i)^+(\eta)$ . Then,  $\vee_{n=1}^n$  $F_i(x) \le \eta$ . Since  $F_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, ..., n$  are fuzzy  $CWC^U$  e-irmf's, then there exists an open set  $V_x$  containing x such that  $F_i(x_0) \leq$  $\eta$  for every  $x_0 \in V_x$ . Let  $V = \bigcup_{i=1}^n V_{x_i}$ . Then  $V \subset (\vee_{n=1}^n) F_i^+(\eta)$ . Thus  $(\vee_{n=1}^{n})F_i^+(\eta)$  is open in *X* and hence  $(\vee_{n=1}^{n})F_i$  is a fuzzy  $CWC^U$  e-irmf.

**Theorem 4.9.** *If*  $F_i: X \to Y$  for  $i = 1, 2, ..., n$ , is fuzzy  $CWC_L$ *e*-irmf, then  $\vee_{n=1}^{n} F_i$  is a fuzzy CWC<sub>*L*</sub> e-irmf.

**Proof**: Let  $\eta$  be a fe-cs of Y and  $F_i: X \to Y$  for  $i = 1, 2, ..., n$ , are fuzzy  $CWC<sub>L</sub>$  e-irresolute. Let  $x \in (\vee_{n=1}^{n} F_i)^-(\eta)$ . Then,  $∨_{n=1}^{n}F_i(x)qη$ . Then,  $∨_{n=1}^{n}F_i(x)qη$ . Since  $F_i, i = 1, 2, ..., n$  are fuzzy  $CWC<sub>L</sub>$  e-irmf's, then there exists an open set  $V<sub>x</sub>$  containing x such that  $F_i(x_0)q\eta$  for every  $x_0 \in V_x$ . Let  $V = \bigcup_{i=1}^n V_{x_i}$ . Then  $V \subset (\vee_{n=1}^{n})F_i^-(\eta)$ . Thus  $(\vee_{n=1}^{n})F_i^-(\eta)$  is open in X and hence  $(\vee_{n=1}^{n})F_i$  is a fuzzy  $CWC_L$  e-irmf.

## **5. Conclusion**

<span id="page-4-0"></span>Thus in this paper the concepts of upper and lower fuzzy completely contra e-irresolute fuzzy multifunctions were introduced. Also the concepts of the upper and lower completely weakly e-irresolute fuzzy multifunctions were being discussed. Some characterizations of these classes and some basic interesting properties of such fuzzy multifunctions were obtained and the mutual relationship with other existing fuzzy multifunctions were also discussed.

## **References**

- <span id="page-4-1"></span>[1] I. Beg, Fuzzy multivalued functions, *Bull. Allahabad Math. Soc.,* 21 (2006), 41–104.
- <span id="page-4-9"></span>[2] C.L. Chang, Fuzzy topological spaces,*J. Math. Anal.,* 24 (1968), 182–190.
- <span id="page-4-6"></span>[3] J. Dontchev, "Contra-continuous functions and strongly s-closed spaces," *Internat. J. Math. and Math. Sci.,* 19(1996), 303–310.
- <span id="page-4-5"></span>[4] J. E. Joseph and M. H. Kwack, On S-closed spaces,*Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.,* 80 (1980), 341–348.
- <span id="page-4-4"></span>[5] A. Mukherjee and S. Debnath,  $\delta$  -semi open sets in fuzzy setting, *J. Tri. Math.Soc.,* 8(2006), 51–54.
- <span id="page-4-3"></span>[6] M.N. Mukherjee and S. Malakar, On almost continuous and weakly continuous fuzzy multifunctions, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems,* 41 (1991), 113-125.
- <span id="page-4-2"></span>[7] N.S. Papageorgiou, Fuzzy topology and fuzzy multifunctions, *Jour. Math. Anal. Appl.,* 109 (1985), 397–425.
- <span id="page-4-11"></span>[8] Pao-Ming Pu and Ying- Ming Liu, Fuzzy Topology-I, Neighborhood structure of fuzzy point and Moore-smith convergence, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.,* 6(1980), 571–599.
- <span id="page-4-7"></span>[9] V. Seenivasan and K. Kamala, Fuzzy e-continuity and fuzzy e-open sets, *Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics,* 8(1)(2014), 141–148.
- <span id="page-4-8"></span>[10] V. Seenivasan and K. Kamala, Fuzzy Ce-I(ec,eo) functions and fuzzy completely Ce-I(rc,eo) functions via fuzzy e-open sets, *The Scientific World Journal,* 2016 (2016), 1–7.

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* ISSN(P):2319−3786 [Malaya Journal of Matematik](http://www.malayajournal.org) ISSN(O):2321−5666 \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*