



# $\mathcal{L}$ - generalized homeomorphism via double fuzzy topological spaces

Shiventhira devi Sathaananthan<sup>1</sup> and S. Tamilselvan<sup>2\*</sup>

## Abstract

We introduce and study  $df \mathcal{L}$ -generalized homeomorphisms and pre  $df \mathcal{L}$ -generalized homeomorphisms in double fuzzy topological spaces. Additionally, a portion of their principal properties are contemplated.

## Keywords

$df$  homeomorphisms,  $df \mathcal{L}$ -generalized homeomorphisms and pre  $df \mathcal{L}$ -generalized homeomorphisms.

## AMS Subject Classification

54A40, 45D05, 03E72.

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Eastern University, Vantharumoolai, Chenkalady, Sri Lanka.

<sup>2</sup>Mathematics Section (FEAT), Annamalai University, Annamalainagar, Tamil Nadu-608002, India.

\*Corresponding author: <sup>1</sup> shiventhira@yahoo.com; <sup>2\*</sup> tamil\_au@yahoo.com;

Article History: Received 19 March 2020; Accepted 09 May 2020

©2020 MJM.

## Contents

|   |   |     |
|---|---|-----|
| 1 | Introduction and Preliminaries.....                       | 955 |
| 2 | Double fuzzy $\mathcal{L}$ - generalized homeomorphism .. | 955 |
|   | References .....  | 957 |

## 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

In 1986, Atanassov [1] began “Intuitionistic fuzzy sets” and Coker [2] in 1997, started Intuitionistic fuzzy topological space. The term “double” rather than “intuitionistic” instituted by Garcia and Rodabaugh [5] in 2005. In the past two decades numerous experts achieving more applications on double fuzzy topological spaces.

From 2011,  $\mathcal{L}$ -open sets and maps were presented in topological spaces by El-Maghrabi and Mubarki [3].

$X$  denotes a non-empty set,  $I_1 = [0, 1)$ ,  $I_0 = (0, 1]$ ,  $I = [0, 1]$ ,  $0 = \underline{0}(X)$ ,  $1 = \underline{1}(X)$ ,  $\iota \in I_0$  &  $\kappa \in I_1$  and always  $1 \geq \iota + \kappa$ .  $I^X$  is a family of all fss on  $X$ . In 2002, double fuzzy topological spaces (briefly, dfts),  $(X, \eta, \eta^*)$ ,  $(\iota, \kappa)$ -fuzzy open (resp.  $(\iota, \kappa)$ -fuzzy closed) (briefly  $(\iota, \kappa)$ -fo (resp.  $(\iota, \kappa)$ -fc) set were given by Samanta and Mondal [11].

All other undefined notions are from [11, 15, 16, 18] and cited therein.

## 2. Double fuzzy $\mathcal{L}$ - generalized homeomorphism

**Definition 2.1.** A bijective mapping  $g : (X, \zeta_1, \zeta_1^*) \rightarrow (Y, \zeta_2, \zeta_2^*)$  is called double fuzzy  $\mathcal{L}$ -generalized homeomorphism (resp. double fuzzy homeomorphism) (briefly,  $DF \mathcal{L}gHom$  (resp.  $DFHom$ )) if  $g$  &  $g^{-1}$  are  $DF \mathcal{L}gCts$  (resp.  $DFCts$ ).

**Definition 2.2.** A bijective mapping  $g : (X, \zeta_1, \zeta_1^*) \rightarrow (Y, \zeta_2, \zeta_2^*)$  is called pre double fuzzy  $\mathcal{L}$  generalized homeomorphism (briefly,  $pDF \mathcal{L}gHom$ ) if  $g$  and  $g^{-1}$  are  $DF \mathcal{L}gIrr$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** Every  $DFHom$  is  $DF \mathcal{L}gHom$ . But not conversely.

*Proof.* Let  $g : X \rightarrow Y$  be  $DFHom$ . Then  $g$  and  $g^{-1}$  are  $DFCts$ . Let  $K$  be any  $(\iota, \kappa)$ -fc set in  $Y$ . Then  $g^{-1}(K)$  is also  $(\iota, \kappa)$ -fc in  $X$  as  $f$  is  $DFCts$ . As every  $(\iota, \kappa)$ -fc set is  $(\iota, \kappa)$ -f  $\mathcal{L}gc$ ,  $g^{-1}(K)$  is also  $(\iota, \kappa)$ -f  $\mathcal{L}gc$  in  $X$ . Thus  $g$  is  $DF \mathcal{L}gCts$ . Also  $g(g^{-1}(K)) = K$  is  $(\iota, \kappa)$ -fc in  $Y$  implies  $K$  is also  $(\iota, \kappa)$ -f  $\mathcal{L}gc$  in  $Y$ . Hence  $g(g^{-1}(K))$  is  $(\iota, \kappa)$ -f  $\mathcal{L}gc$  in  $Y$ . Thus  $g^{-1}$  is also  $DF \mathcal{L}Cts$ . Therefore,  $g$  is  $DF \mathcal{L}gHom$ .  $\square$

**Example 2.4.** Let  $\gamma$  &  $\delta$  be fuzzy subsets of  $X = Y = \{l_1, m_1, n_1\}$  are defined as  $\gamma(l_1) = 0.3$ ,  $\gamma(m_1) = 0.4$ ,  $\gamma(n_1) = 0.5$ ;  $\delta(l_1) = 0.4$ ,  $\delta(m_1) = 0.5$ ,  $\delta(n_1) = 0.5$ . Consider the dfts's  $(X, \zeta, \zeta^*)$  and  $(X, \eta, \eta^*)$  with

$$\zeta(\rho) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \rho = \underline{0} \text{ or } \underline{1}, \\ \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } \rho = \gamma, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad \zeta^*(\rho) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \rho = \underline{0} \text{ or } \underline{1}, \\ \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } \rho = \gamma, \\ 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$\eta(\rho) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \rho = \underline{0} \text{ or } \underline{1}, \\ \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } \rho = \delta, \\ 0, & \text{o.w.} \end{cases} \quad \eta^*(\rho) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \rho = \underline{0} \text{ or } \underline{1}, \\ \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } \rho = \delta, \\ 1, & \text{o.w.} \end{cases} \quad \text{(viii) } \forall fp x_p \text{ of } X \text{ and every } (\iota, \kappa)\text{-}f\mathcal{L}gq\text{-nbhd } \rho \text{ of } f(x_p), \\ \exists a (\iota, \kappa)\text{-}f\mathcal{L}gq\text{-nbhd } \mu \text{ of } x_p \ni f(\mu) \leq \rho.$$

are equivalent.

Then the id mapping  $g : (X, \zeta, \zeta^*) \rightarrow (X, \eta, \eta^*)$  is  $df\mathcal{L}$ -Hom. but not  $DFHom$  as the fs  $\delta$  is  $(\iota, \kappa)$ -fo set in  $Y$ , but  $g^{-1}(\delta) = \delta$  is not  $(\iota, \kappa)$ -fo set in  $Y$ . Hence  $g : Y \rightarrow X$  is not  $DFCts$ .

**Theorem 2.5.** Every  $pDF\mathcal{L}gHom$  is  $DF\mathcal{L}gHom$ .

**Theorem 2.6.** Let  $g : (X, \zeta_1, \zeta_1^*) \rightarrow (Y, \zeta_2, \zeta_2^*)$  be a bijective mapping. Then

- (i)  $g$  is  $DF\mathcal{L}gHom$ .
- (ii)  $g$  is  $DF\mathcal{L}gCts$  &  $DF\mathcal{L}gO$  map.
- (iii)  $g$  is  $DF\mathcal{L}gCts$  &  $DF\mathcal{L}gC$  map.

are equivalent.

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii): Let  $g$  be  $DF\mathcal{L}gHom$ . Then  $g$  and  $g^{-1}$  are  $DF\mathcal{L}gCts$ . To prove that  $g$  is  $DF\mathcal{L}gO$  map, let  $\rho$  be a  $(\iota, \kappa)$ -fo set in  $X$ . Since  $g^{-1} : Y \rightarrow X$  is  $DF\mathcal{L}gCts$ ,  $(g^{-1})^{-1}(\rho) = g(\rho)$  is  $(\iota, \kappa)$ - $f\mathcal{L}go$  in  $Y$ . Therefore  $g(\rho)$  is  $(\iota, \kappa)$ - $f\mathcal{L}go$  in  $Y$ . Hence  $g$  is  $DF\mathcal{L}gO$  map.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii): Let  $g$  be  $DF\mathcal{L}gCts$  and  $DF\mathcal{L}gO$  map. To prove that  $g$  is  $DF\mathcal{L}gC$  map. Let  $\mu$  be a  $(\iota, \kappa)$ -fc set in  $X$ . Then  $1 - \mu$  is  $(\iota, \kappa)$ -fo set in  $X$ . Since  $g$  is  $DF\mathcal{L}gO$  map,  $g(1 - \mu)$  is  $(\iota, \kappa)$ - $f\mathcal{L}go$  set in  $Y$ . Now,  $g(1 - \mu) = 1 - g(\mu)$ . Therefore  $g(\mu)$  is  $(\iota, \kappa)$ - $f\mathcal{L}gc$  in  $Y$ . Hence,  $g$  is a  $DF\mathcal{L}gC$  map.

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i): Let  $g$  be  $DF\mathcal{L}gCts$  and  $DF\mathcal{L}gC$  map. To prove that  $g^{-1}$  is  $DF\mathcal{L}gCts$ . Let  $\rho$  be a  $(\iota, \kappa)$ -fo set in  $X$ . Then  $1 - \rho$  is a fc set in  $X$ . Since  $g$  is  $DF\mathcal{L}gC$  map,  $g(1 - \rho)$  is  $f\mathcal{L}gc$  set in  $Y$ . Now,  $(g^{-1})^{-1}(1 - \rho) = g(1 - \rho) = 1 - g(\rho)$ . Therefore  $g(\rho)$  is  $f\mathcal{L}go$  set in  $Y$ . Hence  $g^{-1} : Y \rightarrow X$  is  $DF\mathcal{L}gCts$ . Thus  $g$  is  $DF\mathcal{L}gHom$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.7.** Let  $f : (X, \zeta, \zeta^*) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma, \sigma^*)$ . Then

- (i)  $f$  is  $DF\mathcal{L}gIrr$ .
- (ii)  $\forall (\iota, \kappa)$ - $f\mathcal{L}gc$  set  $\rho$  in  $Y$ ,  $f^{-1}(\rho)$  is  $(\iota, \kappa)$ - $f\mathcal{L}gc$  in  $X$ .
- (iii)  $\forall fp x_p$  of  $X$  and every  $(\iota, \kappa)$ - $f\mathcal{L}gnbhd$   $\rho$  of  $f(x_p)$ ,  $f^{-1}(\rho)$  is a  $(\iota, \kappa)$ - $f\mathcal{L}gnbhd$  of  $x_p$ .
- (iv)  $\forall fp x_p$  of  $X$  and every  $(\iota, \kappa)$ - $f\mathcal{L}gnbhd$   $\rho$  of  $f(x_p)$ , there is a  $(\iota, \kappa)$ - $f\mathcal{L}gnbhd$   $\mu$  of  $x_p \ni f(\mu) \leq \rho$ .
- (v)  $\forall fp x_p$  of  $X$  and every  $(\iota, \kappa)$ - $f\mathcal{L}go$   $\rho$  of  $Y \ni f(x_p) \in A$ ,  $\exists a (\iota, \kappa)$ - $f\mathcal{L}go$  set  $\ni x_p \in \mu$  and  $f(\mu) \leq \rho$ .
- (vi)  $\forall fp x_p$  of  $X$  and every  $(\iota, \kappa)$ - $f\mathcal{L}go$  set  $\rho$  of  $Y \ni f(x_p)q\rho$ ,  $\exists a (\iota, \kappa)$ - $f\mathcal{L}go$  set  $\mu$  of  $X \ni x_pq\mu$  and  $f(\mu) \leq \rho$ .
- (vii)  $\forall fp x_p$  of  $X$  and every  $(\iota, \kappa)$ - $f\mathcal{L}gq\text{-nbhd}$   $\rho$  of  $f(x_p)$ ,  $f^{-1}(\rho)$  is a  $(\iota, \kappa)$ - $f\mathcal{L}gq\text{-nbhd}$  of  $x_p$ .

*Proof.* (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii), (i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii), (v) $\Rightarrow$ (i) and (vii) $\Rightarrow$ (viii): Obvious.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): Let  $\rho$  be a  $(\iota, \kappa)$ - $f\mathcal{L}gc$  set in  $Y$  which implies  $\underline{1} - \rho$  is  $(\iota, \kappa)$ - $f\mathcal{L}go$ -open in  $Y$ .  $f^{-1}(\underline{1} - \rho) = \underline{1} - f^{-1}(\rho)$  is  $(\iota, \kappa)$ - $f\mathcal{L}go$  in  $X$  implies  $f^{-1}(\rho)$  is  $(\iota, \kappa)$ - $f\mathcal{L}gc$  in  $X$ . Hence  $f$  is  $DF\mathcal{L}Irr$ .

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv): Let  $x_p$  be a fp of  $X$   $\rho$  be a  $(\iota, \kappa)$ - $f\mathcal{L}gnbhd$  of  $f(x_p)$ . Taking  $\mu = f^{-1}(\rho)$  is a  $(\iota, \kappa)$ - $f\mathcal{L}gnbhd$  of  $x_p$  and  $f(\mu) = f(f^{-1}(\rho)) \leq \rho$ .

(iv) $\Rightarrow$ (v): Let  $x_p$  be a fp of  $X$   $\rho$  be a  $(\iota, \kappa)$ - $f\mathcal{L}go$  set  $\ni f(x_p) \in \rho$ . Then  $\rho$  is a  $(\iota, \kappa)$ - $f\mathcal{L}gnbhd$  of  $f(x_p)$ . Hence there is  $(\iota, \kappa)$ - $f\mathcal{L}gnbhd$   $\mu$  of  $x_p \in X \ni x_p \in \mu$  and  $f(\mu) \leq \rho$ . Hence there is  $(\iota, \kappa)$ - $f\mathcal{L}go$  set  $\gamma$  in  $X \ni x_p \in \gamma \leq \mu$  and  $f(\gamma) \leq f(\mu) \leq \rho$ .

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (vi): Let  $x_p$  be a fp of  $X$   $\rho$  be a  $(\iota, \kappa)$ - $f\mathcal{L}go$  set in  $Y \ni f(x_p)q\rho$ . Let  $\mu = f^{-1}(\rho)$ .  $\mu$  is a  $(\iota, \kappa)$ - $f\mathcal{L}go$  set in  $X$ ,  $\ni x_pq\mu$  and  $f(\mu) = f(f^{-1}(\rho)) \leq \rho$ .

(vi) $\Rightarrow$ (i): Let  $\rho$  be a  $(\iota, \kappa)$ -fo set in  $Y$  and  $x_p \in f^{-1}(\rho)$ . Clearly  $f(x_p) \in \rho$ .  $(x_p)^c = \underline{1} - x_p(x)$ . Then  $f(\underline{1} - x_p)q\rho$ . Hence  $\exists a (\iota, \kappa)$ - $f\mathcal{L}go$  set  $\mu$  of  $X \ni (1 - x_p)q\mu$  and  $f(\mu) \leq A$ . Now  $(\underline{1} - x_p)q\mu \Rightarrow (\underline{1} - x_p)(x) + \mu(x) = 1 - p + \mu(x) > 1 \Rightarrow \mu(x) > p \Rightarrow x_p \in \mu$ . Thus  $x_p \in \mu \leq f^{-1}(\rho)$ . Hence  $f^{-1}(\rho)$  is  $(\iota, \kappa)$ - $f\mathcal{L}go$  in  $X$ .

(vi) $\Rightarrow$ (vii): Let  $x_p$  be a fp of  $X$   $\rho$  be  $(\iota, \kappa)$ - $f\mathcal{L}gq\text{-nbhd}$  of  $f(x_p)$ . Then there is  $(\iota, \kappa)$ - $f\mathcal{L}go$  set  $\gamma$  in  $Y \ni x_pq\gamma$ . By hypothesis there is a  $(\iota, \kappa)$ - $f\mathcal{L}go$  set  $\mu$  of  $X \ni x_pq\mu$  and  $f(\mu) \leq \gamma$ . Thus  $x_pq\mu \leq f^{-1}(\gamma) \leq f^{-1}(\rho)$ . Hence  $f^{-1}(\rho)$  is a  $(\iota, \kappa)$ - $f\mathcal{L}gq\text{-nbhd}$  of  $x_p$ .

(viii) $\Rightarrow$ (vi) Let  $x_p$  be a fp of  $X$   $\rho$  be  $(\iota, \kappa)$ - $f\mathcal{L}go$  in  $Y \ni f(x_p)q\rho$ . Then  $\rho$  is  $(\iota, \kappa)$ - $f\mathcal{L}gq\text{-nbhd}$  of  $f(x_p)$ . So there is a  $(\iota, \kappa)$ - $f\mathcal{L}gq\text{-nbhd}$   $\gamma$  of  $x_p \ni f(\gamma) \leq \rho$ . Since  $\gamma$  is a  $(\iota, \kappa)$ - $f\mathcal{L}gq\text{-nbhd}$  of  $x_p \ni a (\iota, \kappa)$ - $f\mathcal{L}go$  set  $\mu$  of  $X \ni x_pq\mu \leq \gamma$ . Hence  $x_pq\mu$  and  $f(\mu) \leq \rho$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.8.** Let  $g : (X, \zeta_1, \zeta_1^*) \rightarrow (Y, \zeta_2, \zeta_2^*)$  be a bijective function. Then

- (i)  $g$  is  $pDF\mathcal{L}gHom$ .
- (ii)  $g$  is  $DF\mathcal{L}gIrr$  and  $pDF\mathcal{L}gO$  map.
- (iii)  $g$  is  $DF\mathcal{L}gIrr$  and  $pDF\mathcal{L}gC$  map.

are equivalent.

*Proof.* (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): Let  $g$  be  $pDF\mathcal{L}gHom$ . Then  $g$  and  $g^{-1}$  are  $DF\mathcal{L}gIrr$ . It is enough to prove that  $g$  is  $pDF\mathcal{L}gO$  map, let  $\rho$  be  $(\iota, \kappa)$ - $f\mathcal{L}go$  set in  $X$ . Since  $g^{-1} : Y \rightarrow X$  is  $DF\mathcal{L}Irr$ ,  $(g^{-1})^{-1}(\rho) = g(\rho)$  is  $(\iota, \kappa)$ - $f\mathcal{L}go$  set in  $Y$ . Therefore  $g(\rho)$  is  $(\iota, \kappa)$ - $f\mathcal{L}go$  set in  $Y$ . Hence  $g$  is  $pDF\mathcal{L}gO$  map.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii): Let  $g$  be  $DF\mathcal{L}gIrr$  and  $pDF\mathcal{L}gO$  map. To prove that  $g$  is  $pDF\mathcal{L}gC$  map, let  $\mu$  be a  $(\iota, \kappa)$ - $f\mathcal{L}gc$  set in  $X$ . Then  $1 - \mu$  is  $(\iota, \kappa)$ - $f\mathcal{L}go$  set in  $X$ . Since  $g$  is  $pDF\mathcal{L}gO$  map,  $g(1 - \mu)$  is  $(\iota, \kappa)$ - $f\mathcal{L}go$  set in  $Y$ . Now,  $g(1 - \mu) =$



$1 - g(\mu)$  implies  $1 - g(\mu)$  is  $(\iota, \kappa)$ - $f\mathcal{L}go$  set in  $Y$ . Therefore  $g(\mu)$  is  $(\iota, \kappa)$ - $f\mathcal{L}gc$  in  $Y$ . Hence,  $g$  is a  $pDF\mathcal{L}gC$  map.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): Let  $g$  be  $DF\mathcal{L}gIrr$  and  $pDF\mathcal{L}gC$  map. To prove that  $g$  is  $pDF\mathcal{L}gHom$ , let  $\rho$  be  $(\iota, \kappa)$ - $f\mathcal{L}go$  set in  $X$ . Then  $1 - \rho$  is  $(\iota, \kappa)$ - $f\mathcal{L}gc$  set in  $X$ . Since  $g$  is  $pDF\mathcal{L}gC$  map,  $g(1 - \rho)$  is also  $(\iota, \kappa)$ - $f\mathcal{L}gc$  set in  $Y$ . Now,  $(g^{-1})^{-1}(1 - \rho) = g(1 - \rho) = 1 - g(\rho)$  is  $(\iota, \kappa)$ - $f\mathcal{L}gc$  set in  $Y$ . Thus  $g(\rho)$  is  $(\iota, \kappa)$ - $f\mathcal{L}go$  set in  $Y$ . Hence  $g^{-1} : Y \rightarrow X$  is  $DF\mathcal{L}Cts$ . Since  $g$  is  $DF\mathcal{L}Irr$  and by Theorem 2.7, every  $DF\mathcal{L}Irr$  map is  $DF\mathcal{L}Cts$ . Thus  $g$  is  $DF\mathcal{L}Cts$ . Hence  $g$  is  $DF\mathcal{L}Hom$ .  $\square$

### References

[1] K. Atanassov, Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 20 (1) (1986), 84–96.

[2] D. Coker, An introduction to intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 88 (1997), 81–89.

[3] A. I. El-Magharabi and A. M. Mubarki, Z-open sets and Z-continuity in topological spaces, *International Journal of Mathematical Archive*, 2(10)(2011), 1819–1827.

[4] Fatimah M. Mohammed, M. S. M. Noorani and A. Ghareeb, Generalized fuzzy  $b$ -closed and generalized  $\star$ -fuzzy  $b$ -closed sets in double fuzzy topological spaces, *Egyptian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences*, 3(2016), 61–67.

[5] J. G. Garcia, S. E. Rodabaugh, Order-theoretic, topological, categorical redundancies of interval-valued sets, grey sets, vague sets, interval-valued-intuitionistic sets, intuitionistic fss and topologies, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 156(2005), 445–484.

[6] E. P. Lee, Y. B. Im, Mated fuzzy topological spaces, *International Journal of Fuzzy Logic and Intelligent Systems*, 11 (2001), 161–165.

[7] F. M. Mohammed and A. Ghareeb, More on generalized  $b$ -closed sets in  $dftopological$  spaces, *Songklanakarin J. Sci. Technol.*, 38 (1)(2016), 99–103.

[8] F. M. Mohammed, M. S. M. Noorani and A. Ghareeb, New notions from  $(\iota, \kappa)$ - generalized fuzzy preopen sets, *GU J. Sci.*, 30 (1) (2017), 311–331.

[9] P. Periyasamy, V. Chandrasekar, G. Saravanakumar and A. Vadivel, Fuzzy  $e$ -closed and generalized fuzzy  $e$ -closed sets in double fuzzy topological spaces, *JETIR*, 6(3) (2019), 34–40.

[10] A. A. Ramadan, S. E. Abbas and Abd El-Latif, Compactness in intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces, *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, 1 (2005), 19–32.

[11] S. K. Samanta, T. K. Mondal, On intuitionistic gradation of openness, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 131(2002), 323–336.

[12] Shiventhira devi Sathaanathan, A. Vadivel, S. Tamilselvan and G. Saravanakumar, *Fuzzy  $\mathcal{L}$  closed sets in double fuzzy topological spaces*, submitted.

[13] Shiventhira devi Sathaanathan, A. Vadivel, S. Tamilsel-

van and G. Saravanakumar, *Generalized Fuzzy  $\mathcal{L}$  Closed Sets in Double Fuzzy Topological Spaces*, submitted.

[14] Shiventhira devi Sathaanathan, A. Vadivel, S. Tamilselvan and G. Saravanakumar, *Fuzzy  $\mathcal{L}$ -continuous mappings in double fuzzy topological spaces*, submitted.

[15] Shiventhira devi Sathaanathan, A. Vadivel, S. Tamilselvan and G. Saravanakumar, *Fuzzy  $\mathcal{L}$ -open mappings in double fuzzy topological spaces*, submitted.

[16] Shiventhira devi Sathaanathan, A. Vadivel, S. Tamilselvan and G. Saravanakumar, *Several mappings via  $(\iota, \kappa)$ - $\mathcal{L}$ -generalized open sets in double fuzzy topological spaces*, submitted.

[17] L. A. Zadeh, *Fuzzy sets*, *Information and Control*, 8(1965), 338–353.

[18] A. M. Zahran, M. A. Abd-Allah and A. Ghareeb, Several types of double fuzzy irresolute functions, *International Journal of Computational Cognition*, 8(2)(2010), 19–23.

\*\*\*\*\*  
 ISSN(P):2319 – 3786  
 Malaya Journal of Matematik  
 ISSN(O):2321 – 5666  
 \*\*\*\*\*

