

https://doi.org/10.26637/MJM0804/0039

On certain degree based Zagreb and Randic indices ´ for cubic tungsten trioxide [*p*,*q*,*r*] **nanomultilayer**

M.S. Duraisami ^{1*} and K. Parasuraman²

Abstract

Topological index is one of the significant tools in chemical graph theory, and is designed to transform a molecular map into a number. Basically, topological index is a single numeric quantity which characterises the entire chemical structure of a compound. Topological indices are crucial relevance to the physicochemical properties of the molecular compounds and also predicting their bioactivity. As an n-type semiconducting metal oxide, cubic tungsten trioxide (hereafter $c-WO₃$) nanostructure has been considered as a potential candidate, which offers manifold applications. Therefore, the chemistry of $c-WO_3$ is very important and its interdisciplinary study provides a way to understand the importance of various domains. In this study, we computed certain degree based Zagreb and Randić topological indices for $c - WO_3$ nanomultilayer for all values of p,q and r by adopting edge partition technique. The computational results are analysed, compared and the general formulas to the indices are obtained.

Keywords

Topological index, Zagreb, Randić, WO₃ nanomultilayer, Molecular graph.

AMS Subject Classification

05C05, 05C12, 05C50.

1,2*PG and Research Department of Physics, Poompuhar College (Autonomous), Melaiyur, Tamil Nadu-609107, India. Affiliated to Bharathidasan University, Tiruchirappalli.* ***Corresponding author**: 1 tharmamithran@gmail.com; ²parasu1959@gmail.com **Article History**: Received **19** May **2020**; Accepted **22** August **2020** c 2020 MJM.

Contents

1. Introduction

Chemical compounds are often modelled by molecular graphs. Mathematical chemistry has a lot of potential tools in real life such as topological indices, which are served as molecular descriptors to reveal the unknown facts behind the symmetry of molecular graphs. Topological index is a graph-theoretical invariant, which provides a mathematical formulation to chemical structures through their molecular graphs and also describes their topology [1-3]. Owing to its

mathematical nature in chemical graph theory this idea has drawn the attention of many researchers. Topological indices are being widely employed to correlate and predict certain physicochemical properties (e.g. solubility [4] and refractive index [5]) and thermodynamic properties (eg. vaporization [6] and heats of formation [7]) of molecular species without using quantum mechanics. To get more information about topological indices and their significance, we should refer the following texts [8-10] to the reader.

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a (molecular) graph with vertex set *V* and edge set *E*. Edge of *G* is represented by $e = uv$, connecting the vertices u and v . For each vertex v of G , the degree of v is denoted by *d^v* and is defined as number of vertices adjacent to *v*. Suppose Ω denotes the class of all graphs, then a function $\Psi : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^+$ is said to be a topological index if $A \cong B$ implies $\Psi(A) = \Psi(B)$. Throughout this article only simple connected graphs (i.e. connected graphs without self loops and parallel edges) are considered. For more related on algebraic and graph theoretical tools used herein, the reader is referred to the following text [11].

To date, numerous topological descriptors were established

such as distance based, degree based, eccentricity based and spectral based topological indices. Topological indices were first introduced by Wiener for predicting certain physical properties of alkanes in 1947 [12]. In 1972, Gutman and Trinajstic have been derived a pair of degree based topological indices named as the first Zagreb index $M_l(G)$ and second Zagreb index $M_2(G)$, which are employed as branching indices and are used in the development of structure property modelling, QSAR (quantitative structure-activity relationship) and QSPR (quantitative structure-property relationship) studies [13]. These indices were helpful in the topological formula for predicting the total $\pi-$ electron energy of conjugated molecules [14]. Later, third Zagreb index was brought into light by Fath-Tabar et al. [15]. In this line, hyper Zagreb indices [16], Reduced second Zagreb index [17], Redefined Zagreb indices [18], Nano-Zagreb index [19] and Sum Nano-Zagreb index [20] were introduced and discussed by respective authors in their research articles. In 1975, Milan Randic´ introduced a connectivity index known as branching index (later called as Randić index) which is represented by $\chi(G)$ and served as a reliable tool for quantitative assessment of branching of molecules, QSAR and QSPR studies [6]. Many modified forms of Randic indices were established such as, ´ general Randić index [21], Reciprocal Randić index [22], Reduced Reciprocal Randić index, [23], modified Randić index [24] and Zeroth– order general Randić index $[25-28]$ and so on.

The interdisciplinary co-operation among various domains such as chemical graph theory, materials science and computational methods paving a way of new dimension in the development of nanotechnology. For instance, Idrees et al. [29] have proposed the topological Indices for H-Naphtalenic nanosheet. Bača et al. [30] computed the topological indices for the carbon nanotube networks. Afzal et al. [31] have demonstrated some topological indices of OT [m,n] Octagonal Tillings and $TiO₂$ nanotubes. Javaid et al. [32] have reported certain topological indices of Titania Nanotube $TiO₂[m,n]$. Munir et al. [33] have discussed certain computational aspects of triangular Boron nanotubes. Topological Indices of the Pent- Heptagonal Nanosheets VC_5C_7 and HC_5C_7 were discussed by Deng et al. in [34].

In this scenario, we compute and analyse various Zagreb and Randić topological indices for $c - WO_3[p,q,r]$ nanomultilayer through its molecular graph, which is precisely the contribution of this study. To the best of our knowledge, Zagreb and Randić topological indices of $WO₃$ nanomultilayer have not been reported yet. It is worth mentioning that, $WO₃$ is one of the most investigated nanomaterials due to its unique physicochemical properties such as high surface area to volume ratios, various surface morphologies, wider optical band gap and tunable transport properties, which are very benefices in gas sensing devices, water splitting applications and photocatalytic activities $[35]$. Details about the applications of $WO₃$ nanostructures in various disciplines can be found in the paper [36] and references cited therein.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, some wellknown connectivity indices are given. In Section 3, we describe the molecular construction of *c* − *WO*₃ nanomultilayer and provide some mathematical formulation of its molecular graph. In Section 4, computations are conducted for *c*−*WO*³ nanomultilayer through degree based Zagreb and Randic topological indices with appropriate illustrations. Moreover, In Section 5, we apply some of our results to compare the values of these indices.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we have enumerated basic definitions of certain topological indices which are needed in framing our work. In mathematical chemistry, most of the topological indices have the form

$$
TI = TI(G) = \sum_{u_iu_j \in E(G)} F(d_i, d_j).
$$

Definition 2.1 ([13],[14]). *For a simple connected graph G*, *the first and second Zagreb indices are defined as*

$$
M_1(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} (d_u + d_v), \ M_2(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} (d_u \cdot d_v),
$$

where d^v denotes the degree (number of first neighbours) of vertex v in G.

Definition 2.2 ([15]). *Let G be a simple connected graph G*, *the third Zagreb index is defined as*

$$
ZG_3(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} |d_u - d_v|.
$$

Definition 2.3 ([16]). *For a simple connected graph G, the first and second hyper Zagreb indices are defined as*

$$
HM_1(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} (d_u + d_v)^2, HM_2(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} (d_u \cdot d_v)^2.
$$

The general case of first and second hyper Zagreb indices are defined as

$$
M_1^{\alpha}(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \left(d_u + d_v \right)^{\alpha}, \quad M_2^{\alpha}(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \left(d_u \cdot d_v \right)^{\alpha}.
$$

Definition 2.4 ([17]). *For a simple connected graph G, the reduced second Zagreb index is defined as*

$$
RM_2(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} (d_u - 1) \cdot (d_v - 1).
$$

Definition 2.5 ([18]). *For a simple connected graph G, the first, second and third redefined Zagreb indices of G are defined as*

$$
\text{Re}\, ZG_1(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \frac{d_u + d_v}{d_u \cdot d_v}, \text{ Re}\, ZG_2(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \frac{d_u \cdot d_v}{d_u + d_v},
$$
\n
$$
and \text{ Re}\, ZG_3(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} (d_u \cdot d_v) \cdot (d_u + d_v).
$$

Definition 2.6 ([19]). *Let G be a simple connected graph. The Nano - Zagreb index of G is defined as*

$$
\mathscr{N}z(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \left(d_u^2 - d_v^2 \right),
$$

with $d_u \gg d_v$.

Definition 2.7 ([20]). *For a simple loopless connected graph G, the sum Nano-Zagreb index of G is defined as*

$$
\chi_{\frac{1}{2}}\mathscr{N}z(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} (d_u^2 - d_v^2)^{\frac{1}{2}},
$$

with $d_u \gg d_v$.

Definition 2.8 ([6]). *For a simple connected graph G, Randic´ index of G is defined as*

$$
R_{-\frac{1}{2}}(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} (d_u \cdot d_v)^{\frac{-1}{2}}.
$$

Definition 2.9 ([21]). *Let G be a simple connected graph. The Reciprocal Randic index is denoted by RR(G) and is defined ´ as*

$$
RR(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \sqrt{d_u \cdot d_v}.
$$

Definition 2.10 ([22]). *For a simple connected graph G, the Reduced Reciprocal Randic index of ´* G *is defined as*

$$
RRR(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \sqrt{(d_u - 1) \cdot (d_v - 1)}.
$$

Definition 2.11 ([23]). *For a simple connected graph G, the zeroth - order Randic index of G is defined as ´*

$$
R_{-\frac{1}{2}}^0(G) = \sum_{u \in V(G)} (d_u)^{\frac{-1}{2}}.
$$

Definition 2.12 ([25-28]). *If* G *is a simple connected graph, then the zeroth - order general Randic index of ´* G *is defined as*

$$
R_{\alpha}^{0}(G) = \sum_{u \in V(G)} (d_{u})^{\alpha}
$$

and $\alpha \neq 0,1$.

Definition 2.13 ([24]). *For a simple connected graph G, the modified Randic index of G is defined as ´*

$$
\mathsf{R}^{\prime}(\mathsf{G}) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \frac{1}{\max\{d_u, d_v\}}.
$$

3. Discussions

In this section, first we present the step-by-step formation of *c*−*WO*³ nanomultilayer and then discuss the partitions of the vertex set and the edge set of its molecular graph.

Formation of WO_3 nanomultilayers are experimentally studied in [37]. Since topological indices play a predominant

role in correlating physiochemical properties of the chemical compounds, we eager to find some degree based Zagreb and Randic indices for $c - WO_3$ nanomultilayer through its molecular graph. The pictorial representation of $WO₃$ molecular formation is shown in Fig. 1. Unit cell and nanolayer structure of c−WO³ are displayed in Fig. 2 (a) and 2 (b) respectively. The typical $WO₃$ molecular structure clearly indicates that, each tungsten atom with six oxygen atoms in close proximity, and each oxygen atom and two tungsten atoms are closely adjacent, then each tungsten atom is sub-neighbour with another one. Oxygen atoms are in the surface while tungsten atoms in the center as depicted in Fig. 1 and Fig. 2.

Figure 1. Molecular formation of WO_3 (2-D, 3-D view)

Figure 2. (a) Unit cell of *c*−*WO*³ and (b) Nanolayer structure (Top View)

Figure 3. (a) Vertices of *c*−*WO*³ [1,1,1] nanomultilayer labelled with degrees 1,2,6 and (b) *c*−*WO*³ [1,1,2] nanomultilayer

Figure 4. *c*−*WO*³ [3,1,2] nanomultilayer

The intermediate space between two horizontal linear arrangements of connected tungsten trioxide molecules is treated as a single row $(p = 1)$ in which two tungsten atoms are connected by an oxygen atom. A similar vertical arrangement of this pattern constitutes a single column $(q = 1)$. These two parameters combined together to form a single layer $(r = 1)$. Consider a cubic system of WO₃ nanostructures with p rows, q columns and r layers, and each layer consists of p rows and q columns. Such a typical WO₃ molecular graph *W* and *O* atoms displayed as vertices and the bonds connecting them to each other can be represented by edges.There are three types of vertices in c – WO₃ [p, q, r] nanomultilayer namely of degree 1,2 and 6, and two types of edges with respect to degree of end vertices namely, $\{1.6\}$ and $\{2.6\}$ as depicted in Fig. 3 (a). The nanomultilayer of $c - WO_3$ [1,1,2] and

c−*WO*₃ [3,1,2] are shown in Fig. 3 (b) and Fig. 4.

Fig. 5 shows the molecular graph of c $-WO_3[p,q,r]$ nanomultilayer (big and small dots indicate W and O atoms respectively). From this, we can observe that the total number of vertices are

$$
4pqr + 6r + 15(pr+qr) + pq + p + q + 1
$$

and the total number of edges are

$$
6r(pq+p+q+1).
$$

All surface oxygen atoms connected with tungsten atoms are denoted by the edge type $E_{(1,6)}$ and the intermediated oxygen atoms binded with tungsten atoms are shown by the edge type $E_{(2,6)}$.

The edge set of c – WO₃[p, q, r] nanomultilayer with $p, q, r \geq$ 1 has following two partitions,

$$
E_{(1,6)} = \{e = uv \in E(c - WO_3[p,q,r]) \mid d_u = 1, d_v = 6\}
$$

and

$$
E_{(2,6)} = \{e = uv \in E(c - WO_3[p,q,r]) \mid d_u = 2, d_v = 6\}
$$

The vertex set of c – WO₃[p, q, r] nanomultilayer with $p, q, r \geq$ 1 has following three partitions,

$$
V_1 = \{ v \in V (c - WO_3[p, q, r]) \mid d_v = 1 \},
$$

$$
V_2 = \{ v \in V (c - WO_3[p, q, r]) \mid d_v = 2 \}
$$

and

$$
V_6 = \{ v \in V (c - WO_3[p, q, r]) \mid d_v = 6 \}
$$

Edge partition and Vertex partition of c $-WO_3$ [p,q,r] nanomultilayer based on the degree of end vertices of each edge in *r* layers are given in Table 1 and Table 2.

Figure 5. Molecular graph of $c - WO_3[p,q,r]$ nanomultilayer

Table 1. Edge partition of *c*−*WO*³ [p,q,r] nanomultilayer based on the degree of end vertices of each edge in *r* layers

Type of edges	$E_{(1,6)}$	$E_{(2,6)}$
(d_u, d_v) , $uv \in E(G)$	(1.6)	(2.6)
Edge cardinality	$2(pq+qr+rp+p+q+2r+1)$	$6pqr+4(pr+qr)+2r$
$E_{(d_u,d_v)}$		$-2(pq+p+q+1)$

4. Main Results

In this section, we present accurate expression of several degree based Zagreb and Randić indices of c – *WO*₃[p,q,r] nanomultilayer by edge partition technique.

Theorem 4.1. *For all p, q, r* \geq 1*, The first Zagreb index of c*−*WO*³ *[p,q,r] nanomultilayer is given by*

$$
M_1(c-WO_3[p,q,r]) = 48pqr + 46(pr+qr) + 2(22r - pq) - 2(p+q+1).
$$

Proof. Using Table 1 and the mathematical expression of first

Zagreb index $M_1(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} (d_u + d_v)$, we get

$$
M_1(c-WO_3[p,q,r])
$$

= $|E_{(1,6)}|(1+6)+|E_{(2,6)}|(2+6)$
= $2(pq+qr+rp+p+q+2r+1)(7)$
+ $8(6pqr+4(pr+qr)+2r-2(pq+p+q+1))$
= $48pqr+46(pr+qr)+2(22r-pq)-2(p+q+1).$

 \Box

Example 4.2. *Consider a 3D structure of* $c - WO_3[5, 4, 3]$ *nanomultilayer consisting of 423 atoms and 540 chemical bonds, we obtain,*

$$
M_1(c-WO_3[5,4,3]) = 4194.
$$

Vertex partition V_{d_u} ⁺	l V-1	$V_{\mathcal{D}}$	
$d_u, u \in V(G)$			
Vertex			
cardinality	$2(pq+qr+rp+p+q+2r+1)$ 3pqr + 2(pr + qr)		$(pq+p+q+1)r$
V_{d_u}		$-(pq+p+q+r+1)$	

Table 2. Vertex partition of *c*−*WO*³ [*p*,*q*,*r*] nanomultilayer based on the degree of end vertices of each edge in *r* layers

Theorem 4.3. *For all p,* $q, r \geq 1$ *, the second Zagreb index of c*−*WO*³ [*p*,*q*,*r*] *nanomultilayer is given by*

$$
M_2(c-WO_3[p,q,r]) = 72pqr + 60(pr+qr) + 12(4r-pq) - 12(p+q+1).
$$

Proof. Using Table 1 and the mathematical expression of second Zagreb index $M_2(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} (d_u \cdot d_v)$, we get

$$
M_2(c-WO_3[p,q,r])
$$

= $|E_{(1,6)}|(1 \times 6) + |E_{(2,6)}|(2 \times 6)$
= $2(pq+qr+rp+p+q+2r+1)(6)$
+ $12(6pqr+4(pr+qr)+2r-2(pq+p+q+1))$
= $72pqr+60(pr+qr)+12(4r-pq)-12(p+q+1)$.

Example 4.4. *Consider a* 3*D structure of c*−*WO*3[17,45,76] *nanomultilayer consisting of 257404 atoms and 377568 chemical bonds, we obtain,*

$$
M_2(c-WO_3[17,45,76]) = 4462512.
$$

Theorem 4.5. *For all p,* $q, r \geq 1$ *, the third Zagreb index of c*−*WO*³ [*p*,*q*,*r*] *nanomultilayer is given by*

$$
M_2(c-WO_3[p,q,r]) = 24pqr + 26(pr+qr) + 2(14r+pq) + 2(p+q+1).
$$

Proof. Using Table 1 and the mathematical expression of third Zagreb index $ZG_3(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} |d_u - d_v|$, we get

$$
ZG_3(c-WO_3[p,q,r])
$$

=|E_(1,6)||1-6|+|E_(2,6)||2-6|
=2(pq+qr+rp+p+q+2r+1)(5)
+ (6pqr+4(pr+qr)+2r-2(pq+p+q+1))(4)
=24pqr+26(pr+qr)+2(14r+pq)+2(p+q+1).

Example 4.6. *Consider a 3 D structure of c* − WO_3 [54,78,48] *nanomultilayer consisting of 845017 atoms and 1021554 chemical bonds, we obtain,*

$$
ZG_3(c-WO_3[54,78,48]) = 5026994.
$$

Theorem 4.7. *For all* $p, q, r \geq 1$ *the first hyper Zagreb index of c*−*WO*³ [*p*,*q*,*r*] *nanomultilayer is given by*

HM¹ (c−WO3[p,q,r]) = 384pqr +354(*pr* +*qr*) +3(108*r* −10*pq*)−30(*p*+*q*+1).

Proof. Using Table 1 and the mathematical expression of first hyper Zagreb index $HM_1(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} (d_u + d_v)^2$ we get

HM¹ (c−WO3[p,q,r]) = *^E*(1,6) (1+6) ² + *^E*(2,6) (2+6) 2 =98(*pq*+*qr* +*rp*+ *p*+*q*+2*r* +1) +64(6*pqr* +4(*pr* +*qr*) +2*r* −2(*pq*+ *p*+*q*+1)) =384*pqr* +354(*pr* +*qr*) +3(108*r* −10*pq*) −30(*p*+*q*+1).

Example 4.8. *Consider a* 3*D structure of c*−*WO*³ [57,88,65] *nanomultilayer consisting of 1356837 atoms and 2029434 chemical bonds, we obtain,*

$$
HM_1(c-WO_3[57,88,65]) = 1.3 \times 10^8.
$$

Theorem 4.9. *For all* $p,q, r \geq 1$ *, the second hyper Zagreb index of c*−*WO*³ [p,q,r] *nanomultilayer is given by*

$$
HM_2(c-WO_3[p,q,r]) = 864pqr + 648(pr+qr)
$$

+72(6r-3pq) - 216(p+q+1).

Proof. Using Table 1 and the mathematical expression of second hyper Zagreb index $HM_2(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} (d_u \cdot d_v)^2$, we get

HM² (c−WO3[p,q,r]) = *^E*(1,6) (1×6) ² + *^E*(2,6) (2×6) 2 =72(*pq*+*qr* +*rp*+ *p*+*q*+2*r* +1) +144(6*pqr* +4(*pr* +*qr*) +2*r* −2(*pq*+ *p*+*q*+1)) =864*pqr* +648(*pr* +*qr*) +72(6*r* −3*pq*)−216(*p*+*q*+1).

Example 4.10. *Consider a* 3*D structure of* c −*WO*₃ [24,46,76] *nanomultilayer consisting of* 363847 *atoms and 535800 chemical bonds, we obtain,*

$$
HM_2(c-WO_3[24,46,76]) = 7.6 \times 10^7.
$$

 \Box

 \Box

.

.

 \Box

Theorem 4.11. *For all* $p, q, r \geq 1$ *, the general case of first hyper Zagreb index of* $c - WO_3$ [p, q, r] *nanomultilayer is given by*

$$
M_1^{\alpha} (c-WO_3[p,q,r])
$$

=2(pq+qr+rp+p+q+2r+1)(7)^{\alpha}
+ (6pqr+4(pr+qr)+2r-2(pq+p+q+1))(8)^{\alpha}

Proof. Using Table 1 and the mathematical expression of general case of first hyper Zagreb index

$$
M_1^{\alpha}(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} (d_u + d_v)^{\alpha},
$$

we get

$$
M_1^{\alpha} (c - WO_3[p, q, r])
$$

= $|E_{(1,6)}| (1+6)^{\alpha} + |E_{(2,6)}| (2+6)^{\alpha}$
= $2(pq + qr + rp + p + q + 2r + 1)(7)^{\alpha}$
+ $(6pqr + 4(pr + qr) + 2r - 2(pq + p + q + 1))(8)^{\alpha}$

Theorem 4.12. *For all* $p, q, r \ge 1$ *, the general case of second hyper Zagreb index of* c−WO3[p,q,r] *nanomultilayer is given by*

$$
M_2^{\alpha} (c-WO_3[p,q,r])
$$

=2(pq+qr+rp+p+q+2r+1)(6)^{\alpha}
+ (6pqr+4(pr+qr)
+2r-2(pq+p+q+1))(12)^{\alpha}.

Proof. Using Table 1 and the mathematical expression of general case of second hyper Zagreb index

$$
M_2^{\alpha}(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \left(d_u \cdot d_v \right)^{\alpha},
$$

we get

$$
M_2^{\alpha} (c - WO_3[p, q, r])
$$

= $|E_{(1, 6)}| (1 \times 6)^{\alpha} + |E_{(2, 6)}| (2 \times 6)^{\alpha}$
= $2(pq + qr + rp + p + q + 2r + 1)(6)^{\alpha}$
+ $(6pqr + 4(pr + qr)$
+ $2r - 2(pq + p + q + 1))(12)^{\alpha}$.

Theorem 4.13. *For all* $p, q, r \geq 1$ *, the Reduced second Zagreb index of c*−*WO*3[*p*,*q*,*r*] *nanomultilayer is given by*

$$
RM_2(c-WO_3[p,q,r])
$$

= 30pqr+20(pr+qr)+10(r-pq-p-q-1).

Proof. Using Table 1 and the mathematical expression of Reduced second Zagreb index $RM_2(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} (d_u - 1) \cdot$ $(d_v - 1)$, we get

$$
RM_2(c-WO_3[p,q,r])
$$

= $|E_{(1,6)}|(1-1)\cdot(6-1)+|E_{(2,6)}|(2-1)\cdot(6-1)$
= $2(pq+qr+rp+p+q+2r+1)(0)$
+ $5(6pqr+4(pr+qr)+2r-2(pq+p+q+1))$
= $30pqr+20(pr+qr)+10(r-pq-p-q-1).$

 \Box

 \Box

Example 4.14. *Consider a 3D structure ofc*−*WO*3[10,7,9] *nanomultilayer consisting of* 3427 *atoms and 4752 chemical bonds, we obtain,* M_3 (c – WO₃[10,7,9]) = 21170*.*

Theorem 4.15. *For all* $p, q, r \geq 1$ *, the first Redefined Zagreb index of c - WO*₃ $[p,q, r]$ *nanomultilayer is given by*

Re*Z*G¹ (c−WO3[p,q,r]) = 4*pqr* +5(*pr* +*qr*) + (6*r* + *pq*) + (*p*+*q*+1).

Proof. Using Table 1 and the mathematical expression of first Redefined Zagreb index

$$
\text{Re}\,ZG_1(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \frac{d_u + d_v}{d_u \cdot d_v},
$$

we get

Re ZG₁ (c – WO₃[p,q,r])
\n= |E_(1,6)|
$$
\left(\frac{1+6}{1\times6}\right)
$$
 + |E_(2,6)| $\left(\frac{2+6}{2\times6}\right)$
\n= $\frac{7}{3}$ (pq+qr+rp+p+q+2r+1) + $\frac{2}{3}$ (6pqr
\n+4(pr+qr)+2r-2(pq+p+q+1))
\n=4pqr+5(pr+qr)+(6r+pq)+(p+q+1).

Example 4.16. *Consider a 3D structure of* $c - WO_3[5,8,5]$

nanomultilayer consisting of 1209 atoms and 1620 chemical bonds, we obtain, $\text{Re}\,ZG_1(c-WO_3[5,8,5]) = 1209$.

Theorem 4.17. *For all* $p, q, r \geq 1$ *, the second Redefined Zagreb index of c*−*WO*3[*p*,*q*,*r*] *nanomultilayer is given by*

Re*Z*G² (c−WO3[p,q,r]) =9*pqr* +7.7143(*pr* +*qr*) + (6.4285*r* −1.2857*pq*) −1.2857(*p*+*q*+1).

Proof. Using Table 1 and the mathematical expression of second Redefined Zagreb index Re $ZG_2(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \frac{d_u \cdot d_v}{d_u + d_v}$

 \Box

we get

Re ZG₂ (c – WO₃[p,q,r])
\n= |E_(1,6)|
$$
\left(\frac{1\cdot 6}{1+6}\right)
$$
 + |E_(2,6)| $\left(\frac{2\cdot 6}{2+6}\right)$
\n= $\frac{12}{7}$ (pq+qr+rp+p+q+2r+1)
\n+ $\frac{12}{8}$ (6pqr+4(pr+qr)
\n+2r-2(pq+p+q+1))
\n=9pqr+7.7143(pr+qr)+(6.4285r-1.2857pq)
\n- 1.2857(p+q+1).

Example 4.18. *Consider a 3D structure of c* − WO_3 [10,8,5] *nanomultilayer consisting of 2179 atoms and 2970 chemical bonds, we obtain,* $Re ZG_2(c - WO_3[10, 8, 5]) = 4199.143$.

Theorem 4.19. *For all p,* $q, r \geq 1$ *, the third Redefined Zagreb index of c*−*WO*3[*p*,*q*,*r*] *nanomultilayer is given by*

ReZG³ (c−WO3[p,q,r]) =576*pqr* +468(*pr* +*qr*) +24(15*r* −4.5*pq*) −108(*p*+*q*+1).

Proof. Using Table 1 and the mathematical expression of third Redefined Zagreb index

$$
\text{ReZG}_3(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} (d_u \cdot d_v) \cdot (d_u + d_v),
$$

we get

$$
ReZG_3 (c-WO_3[p,q,r])
$$

= $|E_{(1,6)}|(6)(1)\cdot(6+1) + |E_{(2,6)}|(6)(2)\cdot(6+2)$
= $84(pq+qr+rp+p+q+2r+1)$
+ $96(6pqr+4(pr+qr)+2r-2(pq+p+q+1))$
= $576pqr+468(pr+qr)+24(15r-4.5pq)$
- $108(p+q+1)$.

Example 4.20. *Consider a 3D structure of* $c - WO_3[10,6,5]$ *nanomultilayer consisting of 1707 atoms and* 2310 *chemical bonds, we obtain,*

$$
ReZG_3(c-WO_3[10,6,5]) = 203724.
$$

Theorem 4.21. *For all* $p, q, r \ge 1$ *, the Nano - Zagreb index of c*−*WO*³ [*p*,*q*,*r*] *nanomultilayer is given by*

$$
\mathcal{N}z(c - WO_3[p, q, r])
$$

= 192pqr + 198(pr + qr) + 6(34r + pq) + 6(p + q + 1).

Proof. Using Table 1 and the mathematical expression of the Nano - Zagreb index

$$
\mathscr{N}z(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \left(d_u^2 - d_v^2 \right), \text{ with } d_u \gg d_v,
$$

we get

 \Box

$$
\mathcal{N}z(c-W03[p,q,r])
$$
\n
$$
= |E_{(1,6)}| (6^{2} - 1^{2}) + |E_{(2,6)}| (6^{2} - 2^{2})
$$
\n
$$
= 70(pq + qr + rp + p + q + 2r + 1) + 32(6pqr + 4(pr + qr) + 2r - 2(pq + p + q + 1))
$$
\n
$$
= 192pqr + 198(pr + qr) + 6(34r + pq)
$$
\n
$$
+ 6(p + q + 1).
$$

 \Box

Example 4.22. *Consider a* 3*D structure of* c − $WO_3[10,8,15]$ *nanomultilayer consisting of 6339 atoms and 8910 chemical bonds, we obtain,* $\mathcal{N}z$ (*c*−*WO*₃ [10,8,15]) = 287514*.*

Theorem 4.23. *For all* $p, q, r \geq 1$ *, the Sum Nano - Zagreb index of c*−*WO*³ [*p*,*q*,*r*] *nanomultilayer is given by*

$$
\chi_{\frac{1}{2}}\mathcal{N}z(c-WO_{3}[p,q,r])
$$

=2(pq+qr+rp+p+q+2r+1)(35)¹
+ (6pqr+4(pr+qr)+2r
-2(pq+p+q+1))(32)¹₂.

Proof. Using Table 1 and the mathematical expression of Sum Nano-Zagreb index $\chi_{\frac{1}{2}}$ $\mathcal{N}z(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} (d_u^2 - d_v^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, with $d_u \gg d_v$, we get

$$
\chi_{\frac{1}{2}}\mathscr{N}z(c-WO_{3}[p,q,r])
$$
\n
$$
=|E_{(1,6)}|(6^{2}-1^{2})^{\frac{1}{2}}+|E_{(2,6)}|(6^{2}-2^{2})^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$
\n
$$
=2(pq+qr+rp+p+q+2r+1)(35)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$
\n
$$
+(6pqr+4(pr+qr)+2r
$$
\n
$$
-2(pq+p+q+1))(32)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
$$

 \Box

Example 4.24. *Consider a 3D structure of* c −*WO*₃ [15,18,5] *nanomultilayer consisting of 6559 atoms and 9120 chemical bonds, we obtain,*

$$
\chi_{\frac{1}{2}}\mathcal{N}z(c-\text{WO}_3[15,18,5])=51838.8488.
$$

Theorem 4.25. *For all* $p, q, r \geq 1$, *the Randić index of* c − *WO*3[*p*,*q*,*r*] *nanomultilayer is given by*

$$
R_{\frac{-1}{2}}(WO_3[p,q,r]) = 2(pq+qr+rp+p+q+2r+1)/\sqrt{6}
$$

+((6pqr+4(pr+qr)+2r-2(pq+p+q+1)))/(2 $\sqrt{3}$).

 \Box

Proof. Using Table 1 and the mathematical expression of Randić index $R_{-\frac{1}{2}}(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} (d_u \cdot d_v)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, we get

$$
R_{-1} (WO_3[p,q,r]) = |E_{(1,6)}| (1 \times 6)^{-1} + |E_{(2,6)}| (2 \times 6)^{-1}
$$

= $(2(pq+qr+rp+p+q+2r+1))/\sqrt{6}$
+ $((6pqr+4(pr+qr)+2r-2(pq+p+q+1)))/(2\sqrt{3}).$

Example 4.26. *Consider a 3D structure of c* − WO_3 [10,7,4] *nanomultilayer consisting of 1572 atoms and 2112 chemical bonds, we obtain,* $R_{\frac{-1}{2}}(c - WO_3[10, 7, 4]) = 648.901879$.

Theorem 4.27. *For all* $p,q,r \geq 1$ *, the Reciprocal Randić index of c*−*WO*³ [*p*,*q*,*r*] *nanomultilayer is given by*

$$
RR(c-WO3[p,q,r])
$$

=2 $\sqrt{6}(pq+qr+rp+p+q+2r+1)$
+2 $\sqrt{3}(6pqr+4(pr+qr)+2r-2(pq+p+q+1)).$

Proof. Using Table 1 and the mathematical expression of the Reciprocal Randic index ´

$$
RR(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \sqrt{d_u \cdot d_v},
$$

we get

$$
RR(c-WO3[p,q,r]) = |E(1,6)| \sqrt{1 \cdot 6} + |E(2,6)| \sqrt{2 \cdot 6}
$$

= 2(pq+qr+rp+p+q+2r+1) \sqrt{6}
+ \sqrt{12}(6pqr+4(pr+qr)+2r-2(pq+p+q+1))
= 2\sqrt{6}(pq+qr+rp+p+q+2r+1)
+2\sqrt{3}(6pqr+4(pr+qr)+2r-2(pq+p+q+1)).

Example 4.28. *Consider a 3D structure ofc*−*WO*3[10,5,5] *nanomultilayer consisting of 1471 atoms and 1980 chemical bonds, we obtain,* $RR(c-WO_3[10,5,5]) = 6552.50841$.

Theorem 4.29. *For all p, q, r* \geq 1*, the Reduced Reciprocal Randić index of* $c - WO_3[p,q,r]$ *nanomultilayer is given by*

RRR (c – WO₃[p,q,r]) =
$$
\sqrt{5}
$$
(6pqr + 4(pr + qr) + 2r
- 2(pq + p + q + 1)).

Proof. Using Table 1 and the mathematical expression of the Reduced Reciprocal Randic index ´

$$
RRR(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \sqrt{(d_u - 1) \cdot (d_v - 1)},
$$

we get

$$
RRR(c-WO3[p,q,r])
$$

=|E_(1,6)| $\sqrt{(1-1)(6-1)} + |E_{(2,6)}| \sqrt{(2-1)(6-1)}$
=2(pq+qr+rp+p+q+2r+1) $\sqrt{(0)\cdot (5)}$
+ $\sqrt{(1)\cdot (5)}(6pqr+4(pr+qr)$
+2r-2(pq+p+q+1))
= $\sqrt{5}(6pqr+4(pr+qr)+2r-2(pq+p+q+1)).$

Example 4.30. *Consider a* 3*D structure of* c −*WO*₃ [10,18,5] *nanomultilayer consisting of 4539 atoms and 6270 chemical bonds, we obtain,* RRR $(c-WO_3[10,18,5]) = 12414.6494$.

 \Box

Theorem 4.31. *For all* $p, q, r \geq 1$ *, the zeroth - order Randić index of* c−*WO*³ [p,q,r] *nanomultilayer is given by*

$$
R_{\frac{-1}{2}}^{0}(c - WO3[p, q, r]) = 2(pq + qr + rp + p + q + 2r + 1) + \frac{3pqr + 2(pr + qr) - (pq + p + q + r + 1)}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{(pq + p + q + 1)r}{\sqrt{6}}.
$$

Proof. Using Table 2 and the mathematical expression of the zeroth-order Randić index, R^0 $\sum_{u=1}^{0}$ (*G*) = $\sum_{u \in V(G)} (d_u)^{\frac{-1}{2}}$, we get

$$
R_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{0}(c-WO_{3}[p,q,r]) = |V_{1}|(1)^{\frac{-1}{2}} + |V_{2}|(2)^{\frac{-1}{2}} + |V_{6}|(6)^{\frac{-1}{2}} = 2(pq+qr+rp+p+q+2r+1) + \frac{3pqr+2(pr+qr)-(pq+p+q+r+1)}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{(pq+p+q+1)r}{\sqrt{6}}.
$$

Example 4.32. *Consider a 3D structure of* $c - WO_3[3,8,4]$ *nanomultilayer consisting of 664 atoms and 864 chemical bonds, we obtain,* R_{-1}^{0} (c – WO₃[3, 8, 4]) = 478.032487. 2

Theorem 4.33. *For all* $p, q, r \geq 1$ *, the zeroth - order general Randić index of c* − $WO_3[p,q,r]$ *nanomultilayer is given by*

$$
R_{\alpha}^{0}(c-WO3[p,q,r])
$$

=2(pq+qr+rp+p+q+2r+1)(1)^{\alpha}
+ (3pqr+2(pr+qr)-(pq+p+q+r+1))(2)^{\alpha}
+ ((pq+p+q+1)r)(6)^{\alpha},

where α *is a real number other than* 0 *and* 1.

Proof. Using Table 2 and the mathematical expression of the zeroth-order general Randić index $R_{\alpha}^{0}(G) = \sum_{u \in V(G)} (d_u)^{\alpha}$ and $\alpha \neq 0,1$, we get

$$
R_{\alpha}^{0}(c-WO3[p,q,r])
$$

=|V₁|(1)^{\alpha} + |V₂|(2)^{\alpha} + |V₆|(6)^{\alpha}
=2(pq+qr+rp+p+q+2r+1)(1)^{\alpha}
+ (3pqr+2(pr+qr) - (pq+p+q+r+1))(2)^{\alpha}
+ ((pq+p+q+1)r)(6)^{\alpha}.

.

Theorem 4.34. *For all* $p, q, r \geq 1$ *, the modified Randić index of c*−*WO*³ [*p*,*q*,*r*] *nanomultilayer is given by*

$$
R'(c-WO3[p,q,r])
$$

=
$$
\frac{2(pq+qr+rp+p+q+2r+1)}{6}
$$

+
$$
\frac{6pqr+4(pr+qr)+2r-2(pq+p+q+1)}{6}
$$

Proof. Using Table 1 and the mathematical expression of the modified Randić index $R'(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \frac{1}{\max\{d_u, d_v\}}$, we get

$$
R'(c-WO_3[p,q,r])
$$

= $|E_{(1,6)}| \frac{1}{\max\{1,6\}} + |E_{(2,6)}| \frac{1}{\max\{2,6\}}$
= $\frac{2(pq+qr+rp+p+q+2r+1)}{6}$
+ $\frac{6pqr+4(pr+qr)+2r-2(pq+p+q+1)}{6}$.

Example 4.35. *Consider a 3D structure ofc* $-WO_3[7,8,6]$ *nanomultilayer consisting of 1902 atoms and 2592 chemical bonds, we obtain, R'* (c – WO₃[7,8,6]) = 432*.*

5. Comparative Analysis

In this section, we present graphs (Figures 6–8) with key features that exhibit the trends of dependency among the values of indices and the number of vertices expressed in terms of the parameters $[p, q, r]$.

All indices disclose an upward trend with the increase in the dimension of c – WO₃[p,q,r] nanomultilayer. Plotting the values of indices in graphs, the following observations have been made:

(i)
$$
ZG_3(G) < M_1(G) < M_2(G) < HM_l(G) < HM_2(G)
$$

(ii)
$$
ReZG_1(G) < ReZG_2(G) < RM_2(G) < ReZG_3(G)
$$

(iii)
$$
R'(G) < R_{-1/2}(G) < R_{-1/2}^0(G) < RRR(G) < RR(G)
$$

(iv)
$$
\chi_{1/2} \mathcal{N}z(G) < \mathcal{N}z(G)
$$

(v)
$$
RR(G) < \mathcal{N}_Z(G) < ReZG_3(G) < HM_2(G)
$$
.

It is evident that, Second hyper Zagreb index $(HM_2(G))$ increases sharply as compared to other indices. It is now an established fact that total π - electron energy of chemical compounds is closely related with Zagreb indices [14,38], hence it can be concluded that this energy will rise with the rise in dimension.

(a) $M_l(G), M_2(G), ZG_3(G), HM_l(G)$ and $HM_2(G)$ (b) $RM_2(G)$, $\text{Re}\,ZG_l(G)$, $\text{Re}\,ZG_2(G)$ and $\text{Re}\,ZG_3(G)$ (c) $R_{-1/2}(G)$, $RR(G)$, $RRR(G)$, $R_{-1/2}^0(G)$ and $R'(G)$ and (d) $\mathcal{N}z(G)$ and $\mathcal{X}_{1/2}\mathcal{N}z(G)$ of $c-WO_3[p,q,r]$ 1571nanomultilayer

Figure 7. A $3-D$ plot showing the comparison of $HM_2(G)$, $ReZG_3(G)$, $\mathcal{N}z(G)$ and $RR(G)$ of c − WO₃[p,q,r] nanomultilayer

Figure 8. A 3 − *D* surface plot of c − WO_3 [p, q, r] monolayer with $p \in [1, 5]$ and $q \in [1, 10]$ using Octave software.

6. Conclusion

In this article, many important degree based Zagreb and Randić indices for $c - WO_3[p,q,r]$ nanomultilayer were established and computed analytically for all possible values of the parameters p,q and r. As we discussed the key outcomes of the reported indices, it is clear that the expectation of this study has been amply manifested. We also demonstrated the comparison among the indices discussed in this article. This interdisciplinary approach will shed light on the significance of mathematical formulation in chemical physics. This could be a better opportunity to reveal new insights in 3 − *DWO*₃ nanostructures through graph theoretic approach. The other useful topological indices like ABC,GA,SK,SCI and AZI will be discussed in our subsequent work.

Declarations

Conflict of interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests.

Acknowledgements

We thank Mr. M.S. Srinivasan and Mr. S. Venkatraman for many valuable suggestions.

References

- [1] N. Trinajstic,´ *Chemical Graph Theory*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, 1983.
- [2] V. Todeschini, V. Consonni, *Handbook of Molecular Descriptors*, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2000.
- [3] V. Todeschini, V. Consonni, *Molecular Descriptors for Chemoinformatics*. Vols. I and II. Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2009.
- [4] L. H. Hall, L. B. Kier, W. J. Murray, Molecular connectivity II: Relationship to water solubility and boiling point, *J. Pharm.Sei,* 64(1975), 1974–1977.
- [5] Balaban, XXXIV, Five new topological indices for the branching of tree-like graphs, *Theor. Chim. Acta*, 53(1979), 355–375.
- [6] M. Randić, Characterization of molecular branching, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc,* 97(1975), 6609–6615.
- [7] C. C. J. Roothaan, R. S. Mulliken, Molecular Orbital Treatment of the Ultraviolet Spectra of Benzene and Borazole, *J. Chem. Phys,* 16(1948), 118.
- [8] I. Gutman, Degree-based topological indices, *Croatica Chemica Acta,* 4(2), (2013), 351–361.
- $[9]$ S. Nikolić, G. Kovaćević, A. Milićević, N. Trinajstić, The Zagreb indices 30 years After, *Croatica Chemica Acta*, 76(2003), 113–124.
- [10] X. Li, Y. Shi, A survey on the Randic index, MATCH, *Commun. Math. Comput. Chem,* 59(2008), 127–156.
- [11] C. Godsil, G. F. Royle, Algebraic Graph Theory vol. 207. Switzerland, AG: Springer Science&Business Media, 2013.
- [12] H. Wiener, Structural determination of paraffin boiling points, Journal of the American Chemical Society, 69(1), (1947), 17–20.
- [13] C. John, Dearden, The Use of Topological Indices in QSAR and QSPR Modeling. Advance in QSAR Modeling, *Challenges and Advances in Computational Chemistry and Physics* 24, 2017. https://doi.org./10.1007/978- 3-319-56850-8.
- [14] I. Gutman, N. Trinajstić, Graph theory and molecular orbitals, Total φ-electron energy of alternant hydrocarbons, *Chemical Physics Letters*, 17(4), (1972), 535–538.
- [15] Ali Astanesh-Asl, G. H. Fath-Tabar, Computing the first and third Zagreb polynomials of certained product of graphs, *Irsnisn Journal of Mathematical Chemistry*, 2(2), (2011), 73–78.
- [16] G.H. Shirdel, H. Rezapour, A. M. Sayadi, The hyper-

zagreb index of graph operations, *Iranian Journal of Mathematical Chemistry*, 4(2011), 213–20.

- [17] B. Furtula, I. Gutman, S. Ediz, On difference of zagreb indices, *Discrete Appl. Math,* 178(2014), 83 - 88.
- [18] P. S. Ranjini, V. Lokesha, A. Usha, Relation between phenylene and hexagonal squeeze using harmonic index, *Int. J. Graph Theory*, 1(4), (2013), 116–121.
- [19] Akbar Jahanbani, Hajar Shooshtary, Nano-Zagreb Index and Multiplicative Nano-Zagreb Index of Some Graph Operations, *International Journal Of Computing Science And Applied Mathematics,* 5(1), (2019), 15–22.
- [20] M. C. Fansury, Rinurwati, Sum Nano-Zagreb Index of Some Graph Operations, *Journal of Physics: Conference Series,* 1490 (2020), 1 - 11.
- [21] B. Bollobas, P. Erdos, Graphs of extremal weights, *Ars Combin,* 50(1998), 225–233.
- $[22]$ O. Favaron, M. Mahéo, J. F Saclé, Some eigenvalue properties in graphs (conjectures of graffiti-ii). *Discrete Math*, 111(1993), 197–220.
- [23] F. C. G. Manso, H. S. Júnior, R. E. Bruns, A. F. Rubira, E. C. Muniz, Development of a new topological index for the prediction of normal boiling point temperatures of hydrocarbons, the fi index, *J. Mol. Liq*, 165(2012), 125–132.
- [24] Z. Dvorak, B. Lidicky, R. Skrekovski, Randic index and the diameter of a graph, *Eur. J.Comb,* 32(3), (2011), 434– 442.
- [25] Y. Hu, X. Li, Y. Shi, T. Xu, Connected (*n*,*m*)-graphs with minimum and maximum zeroth-order general Randic index, *Discrete Appl. Math,* 155(2007), 1044–1054.
- [26] H. Hua, H. Deng, On unicycle graphs with maximum and minimum zeroth-order general Randic index, *J. Math. Chem*, 41(2007), 173–181.
- $[27]$ X. Li, J. Zheng, A unified approach to the extremal trees for different indices, MATCH *Commun. Math. Comput. Chem*, 54(2005), 195–208.
- [28] G. Su, L. Xiong, X. Su, G. Li, Maximally edge-connected graphs and zeroth-order general Randic index for α –1. *J*. *Comb. Optim*, 31(1), (2016), 182–195.
- [29] Nazeran Idrees, Muhammad Jawwad Saif, Afshan Sadiq, Asia Rauf, Fida Hussain, Topological Indices of H-Naphtalenic Nanosheet, *Open Chem*, 16(2018), 1184– 1188.
- ^[30] Martin Bača, Jarmila Horváthová, Martina Mokrišová, Andrea Semaničová-Feňovčíková, Alžbeta Suhányiová, On topological indices of a carbon nanotube network, *Canadian Journal of Chemistry*, 93(10), (2015), 1157– 1160.
- [31] Hafiz Usman Afzal, Tahzeeb Fatima, On Topological Indices of OT [*m*,*n*] Octagonal Tillings and TiO2 Nanotubes, *Acta Chim. Slov,* 66(2019), 435–442.
- [32] M. Javaid, M. Jia-Bao Liu, A. Rehman, Shaohui Wang, On the Certain Topological Indices of Titania Nanotube TiO2 [*m*,*n*], *Z. Naturforsch,* 72(7)a, (2017), 647–654.
- [33] M. Munir, W. Nazeer, S. Rafique, A. R. Nizami, S. M.

Kang, Some Computational Aspects of Triangular Boron Nanotubes, *Symmetry*, 9(6), (2016), 1 -13.

- [34] Fei Deng, Xiujun Zhang , Mehdi Alaeiyan, Abid Mehboob, Mohammad Reza Farahani, Topological Indices of the Pent-Heptagonal Nanosheets VC5C7 and HC5C7, *Advances in Materials Science and Engineering*, 12(2019), 1 -12.
- [35] Haidong Zheng, Jian Zhen Ou, Michael S. Strano, Richard B. Kaner, Arnan Mitchell, Kourosh Kalantarzadeh, Nanostructured Tungsten Oxide – Properties, Synthesis, and Applications, *Adv. Funct. Mater*, 21(2011), 2175–2196.
- [36] Chang-Mou Wu, Saba Naseem, Min-Hui Chou, Jyun-Hong Wang, Ying-Qi Jian, Recent Advances in Tungsten-Oxide-Based Materials and Their Applications, *Front. Mater*, 6(49), (2019).
- [37] Jijie Zhang, PengZhang, TuoWang, JinlongGong, Monoclinic WO_3 nanomultilayers with preferentially exposed (002) facets for photoelectrochemical water splitting, *Nano Energy*, 11(2015), 189–195.
- [38] I. Gutman, B. Ruscic, N. Trinajstic, C. F. Wilcox, Graph ´ theory and molecular orbitals, XII, Acyclic polyenes, *J. Chem. Phys*, 62(1975), 3399–3405.

********* ISSN(P):2319−3786 [Malaya Journal of Matematik](http://www.malayajournal.org) ISSN(O):2321−5666 *********