



A study on r -regular and l -regular near-rings

Mariseti Sowjanya^{1*}, A. Gangadhara Rao², P.M. Padmalatha³ and T. Radharani⁴

Abstract

In this paper, by studying r -regular near-rings and m -regular near-rings, we proved some characterizations of m -regular near-rings, r -regular near-rings with IFP. We introduced the term l -regular near-ring and proved some results.

Keywords

m -regular near-ring, r -regular near-ring, l -regular near-ring, IFP.

AMS Subject Classification

16Y30.

¹Department of Mathematics, Eluru College of Engineering & Technology, Eluru-534004, Andhra Pradesh, India.

²Department of Mathematics, V S R and N V R College, Tenali-522201, Andhra Pradesh, India.

³Department of Mathematics, JMJ College for Women, Tenali-522202, Andhra Pradesh, India.

⁴Department of Mathematics, Lakireddy Balireddy College of Engineering, Mylavaram-521230, Andhra Pradesh, India.

*Corresponding author: ¹ sowjanyaachallari@gmail.com; ² raoag1967@gmail.com; ³ padmalatha323@gmail.com;

⁴ radharanitammileti@gmail.com

Article History: Received 30 October 2020; Accepted 22 December 2020

©2020 MJM.

Contents

1	Introduction	2197
2	Preliminaries	2197
3	Characterization of " r -regular near-rings".....	2199
4	Characterization of " l -regular near-rings".....	2200
5	Conclusion	2202
	References	2202

1. Introduction

Development of the concept of near-rings is highly shaped by the inventive research on Ring theory. In ring theory, Roos [14] defined the concept of regularity and this notion was enforced and developed to Near-rings and several mathematicians gave a various characterization of near-rings such as Bell [2], Steve Ligh [7], YV Reddy and CVLN Murthy [13], Ramakotaiah [10, 11], Dheena [5], S Suryanarayanan and N Ganesan [18], Atagün, Akin and Kamacı, Hüseyin and Taştekin, İsmail and SEZGİN, Aslıhan [1]. Yong UK Cho [3] and Christian Lompjerzy Matczuk [8] developed the concept of semicentral idempotents for near-rings and rings. Especially, in ideal theory, Pairote Yiarayong [20] developed a strong relationship on various kinds of prime ideals in near-rings. Wendt Gerhard [19] investigated minimal ideals and primitivity in Right near-rings. Recently, S Ramkumar and T

Manikantan [12] established the notion of the extension of a fuzzy soft set over a near-ring.

2. Preliminaries

For necessary definitions and basic results, the author follows [9]. In this Preliminaries section, We recall the required definitions and results as follows.

Definition 2.1. A triplet $(\mathfrak{R}, +, \cdot)$ is referred to as The, Right near-ring where

1. \mathfrak{R} holds the properties of a "Group" under addition.
2. \mathfrak{R} holds the properties of a "Semi-group" under multiplication.
3. $(t^1 + q^1) \cdot s^1 = t^1 \cdot s^1 + q^1 \cdot s^1, \forall t^1, q^1, s^1 \in \mathfrak{R}$ (right distributive law).

Moreover in this paper, we consider Right near-ring $(\mathfrak{R}, +, \cdot)$ and we designate a right near-ring as \mathfrak{R} unless and otherwise mentioned. We write $t^1 s^1$ to denote $t^1 \cdot s^1$ for any two elements t^1 and s^1 in a near-ring \mathfrak{R} .

Example 2.2. Let $(\mathfrak{R}, +)$ where $\mathfrak{R} = \{i^1, p^1, q^1, r^1\}$ be a Klein's four group with addition and product tables mentioned below is an example for a near-ring. [see Pilz, p408 (13)(0,7,13,9)]

Table 1. Addition table

+	i^1	p^1	q^1	r^1
i^1	i^1	p^1	q^1	r^1
p^1	p^1	i^1	r^1	q^1
q^1	q^1	r^1	i^1	p^1
r^1	r^1	q^1	p^1	i^1

Table 2. Product table

.	i^1	p^1	q^1	r^1
i^1	i^1	i^1	i^1	i^1
p^1	i^1	p^1	q^1	r^1
q^1	i^1	i^1	i^1	i^1
r^1	i^1	p^1	q^1	r^1

Definition 2.3. Let \mathfrak{K} is referred to as "Zero-symmetric near-ring(ZSN)" if $k0 = 0 \forall k \in \mathfrak{K}$ i.e. $\mathfrak{K} = \mathfrak{K}_0$.

In the above example 2.2, $(\mathfrak{K}, +, \cdot)$ is a ZSN and we denote it as $\mathfrak{K} \in \eta_0$.

Definition 2.4. Let \mathcal{D} be a subgroup of \mathfrak{K} is said to be \mathfrak{K} -subgroup (\mathfrak{K} -SG) if $\mathfrak{K}\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{D}$.

If $S, T \subseteq \mathfrak{K}$ then we define $ST = \{st/s \in S, t \in T\}$. We, now designate a normal subgroup as NSG.

Definition 2.5. Let \mathcal{I} be a NSG of $(\mathfrak{K}, +)$ is referred to as the left ideal of \mathfrak{K} , if $\forall t, p \in \mathfrak{K}, \forall s \in \mathcal{I}, t(p+s) - tp \in \mathcal{I}$.

Definition 2.6. Let \mathcal{I} be a NSG of $(\mathfrak{K}, +)$ is referred to as the right ideal of \mathfrak{K} if $\mathcal{I}\mathfrak{K} \subseteq \mathcal{I}$.

Definition 2.7. Let \mathcal{I} be a NSG of $(\mathfrak{K}, +)$ is referred to as ideal(two-sided ideal)if it satisfies both the definitions of left ideal and a right ideal of \mathfrak{K} .

Proposition 2.8. [9, proposition 1.34(c)] For a $\mathfrak{K} \in \eta_0$, every ideal is a \mathfrak{K} -SG of \mathfrak{K} .

Definition 2.9. Assume that F is a non-void subset in \mathfrak{K} . Then $\{L_s/s \in I\}$ be the family of all left ideals which contain F . $L = \bigcap_{s \in I} L_s$ is the smallest one among all left ideal containing F can be referred as "left ideal generated by F ".

Definition 2.10. Assume that an ideal \mathfrak{A} of \mathfrak{K} is termed to "principal ideal" if \mathfrak{A} is generated by one component.

If an ideal \mathfrak{A} which is generated by an element ' a ', then \mathfrak{A} is symbolized by $\langle a \rangle$.

If a left ideal \mathfrak{A} is generated by a single component ' a ', then \mathfrak{A} is symbolized by $\langle a \rangle$.

If the right ideal \mathfrak{A} is generated by a single component ' a ', then \mathfrak{A} is symbolized by $|a\rangle$.

Definition 2.11. The center of a near-ring \mathfrak{K} is defined as $\mathfrak{C} = \{x \in \mathfrak{K}/nx = xn, \forall n \in \mathfrak{K}\}$.

Elements in \mathfrak{C} are said to be central.

Definition 2.12. A component ' p ' is termed as an idempotent element of \mathfrak{K} if $p^2 = p$, for $p \in \mathfrak{K}$.

Definition 2.13. A non-zero element ' t ' in \mathfrak{K} is termed as nilpotent, if $\exists k \in \mathfrak{K}$ which is greater than or equal to 2 such that $t^k = 0$.

Definition 2.14. A subset \mathfrak{S} of \mathfrak{K} is referred to as "nil" if for all $t \in \mathfrak{S}$ are nilpotent.

Definition 2.15. The set $(0 : \Delta) = \{t \in \mathfrak{K}/tx = 0, \forall x \in \Delta\}$, where Δ be a subset of \mathfrak{K} , is known as the annihilator of Δ .

If $\Delta = \{\delta\}$, then $(0 : \Delta)$ is denoted by $(0 : \delta)$.

Corollary 2.16. [9, corollary 1.43 (a)] For any $\delta \in \mathfrak{K}$, $(0 : \delta)$ is a "left ideal" of \mathfrak{K} .

Corollary 2.17. [9, corollary 1.43 (b)] If Δ is a \mathfrak{K} -SG of Γ , then the annihilator $(0 : \Delta)$ is an ideal in \mathfrak{K} .

According to [2, 5, 9], let \mathfrak{K} is identified as Insertion of Factors Property(IFP), supposing that $ts = 0 \implies tps = 0, \forall t, s, p \in \mathfrak{K}$. The above-mentioned near-ring Example2.2 is an example for IFP near-ring.

Proposition 2.18. [9, proposition 9.3] The following affirmations are equivalent:

- \mathfrak{K} has the insertion of factors property(IFP).
- $(0 : s)$ is an ideal of $\mathfrak{K}, \forall s \in \mathfrak{K}$.
- Let $\mathcal{I} = (0 : \mathfrak{S})$, for all subsets \mathfrak{S} of $\mathfrak{K}, \mathcal{I}$ is an ideal.

Definition 2.19. For each component $k \in \mathfrak{K}$, if $k^2 = 0 \implies k = 0$, then \mathfrak{K} is known as reduced near-ring.

Lemma 2.20. [5, lemma 2.8] For each d, l in $\mathfrak{K} \in \eta_0$, which is a reduced near-ring then $dlt = dtl$ where $t^2 = t, t$ is in \mathfrak{K} .

Proposition 2.21. [9, proposition 9.37] If $\mathfrak{K} \in \eta_0$ is having no non-zero nilpotent components, then \mathfrak{K} satisfies the IFP.

Definition 2.22. For each component $c \in \mathfrak{K}$, if $\mathfrak{K}c = \mathfrak{K}c^2$ then \mathfrak{K} is known as "left bi potent".

Definition 2.23. For each component $k \in \mathfrak{K}$, there is a component l in \mathfrak{K} such that $k = klk$, then \mathfrak{K} is known as "regular near-ring(RN)".

Definition 2.24. For each component $p \in \mathfrak{K}$, there is a component l in \mathfrak{K} such that $p = lp^2$, then \mathfrak{K} is known as "left strongly regular near-ring(left SRN)".

According to [15], for each component $q \in \mathfrak{K}$, there is a component l which is an idempotent in \mathfrak{K} such that $q = ql, l \in \langle q \rangle$, then \mathfrak{K} is known as "r-regular near-ring(r-RN)".

Theorem 2.25. [15, Theorem 2.8] If \mathfrak{K} is r-RN with 1 and has IFP then $a = al$ implies $a = la$, where l is an idempotent in $\mathfrak{K}, l \in \langle a \rangle$.

Theorem 2.26. [15, Theorem 2.9] Let \mathfrak{K} be a r-RN which satisfies IFP with 1 then \mathfrak{K} is reduced.



Lemma 2.27. [9] [17] Let $\mathfrak{K} \in \eta_0$ has IFP if and only if \mathfrak{H} is an ideal where $\mathfrak{H} = (0 : \mathfrak{S})$, for all subsets \mathfrak{S} of \mathfrak{K} .

Lemma 2.28. [5, lemma 1]

If a near-ring $\mathfrak{K} \in \eta_0$ is reduced then for any $0 \neq a \in \mathfrak{K}$

1. $\mathfrak{K} \setminus A(a)$ is reduced and the residue class \bar{a} of $a \text{ mod } A(a)$ is a nonzero divisor where $A(a) = \{x \in \mathfrak{K} / xa = 0\}$.
2. $k_1 k_2 \dots k_n = 0$ implies $\langle k_1 \rangle \langle k_2 \rangle \dots \langle k_n \rangle = 0$ for any k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n in \mathfrak{K} .

Theorem 2.29. [5, Theorem 1]

Let a near-ring \mathfrak{K} be reduced. If \mathfrak{S} is a nonvoid multiplicative subsemigroup of \mathfrak{K} such that $0 \notin \mathfrak{S}$, then a completely prime ideal \mathfrak{V} exists in \mathfrak{K} such that $\mathfrak{V} \cap \mathfrak{S} = \emptyset$.

3. Characterization of "r-regular near-rings".

The principal object "m-regular near-ring" was cited by G.Gopala Krishna Moorthy, R. Veega, and S. Geetha [6] and proved some results. In this section, with a new idea, we introduced "m-regular near-ring with r-regular near-ring" and gave some characterization.

According to [6] For each component $k \in \mathfrak{K}$, there is a component l in \mathfrak{K} such that $k = kl^m k$ where $m \geq 1$ is a fixed integer, then \mathfrak{K} is known as "m-regular near-ring(m-RN)".

Lemma 3.1. [6, lemma 3.10] Let \mathfrak{K} be a m-RN, $a \in \mathfrak{K}$ and $a = ab^m a$. Then

- The idempotents are ab^m and $b^m a$.
- $ab^m \mathfrak{K} = a\mathfrak{K}$ & $\mathfrak{K}b^m a = \mathfrak{K}a$.

Let \mathfrak{D} subset of \mathfrak{K} then $\sqrt{\mathfrak{D}} = \{x \in \mathfrak{K} / x^k \in \mathfrak{D}, \text{ for some } k \geq 1\}$

Definition 3.2. Let \mathfrak{D} be an ideal of \mathfrak{K} is known as Semi-Prime Ideal(S-PI) supposing that for all ideals \mathfrak{I} of \mathfrak{K} , $\mathfrak{I}^2 \subseteq \mathfrak{D}$ implies $\mathfrak{I} \subseteq \mathfrak{D}$.

Theorem 3.3. Let $\mathfrak{K} \in \eta_0$ be a m-RN, r-RN with unity, and has IFP. Then $\mathfrak{C} = \sqrt{\mathfrak{C}}$ where \mathfrak{C} is \mathfrak{K} -SG of \mathfrak{K} .

Proof. Assume that \mathfrak{C} is a \mathfrak{K} -SG of \mathfrak{K} .

Let $p \in \mathfrak{C}$ implies $p^1 \in \mathfrak{C}$ which implies $p \in \sqrt{\mathfrak{C}}$ hence, we get $\mathfrak{C} \subseteq \sqrt{\mathfrak{C}}$.

Now let $p \in \sqrt{\mathfrak{C}} \Rightarrow p^k \in \mathfrak{C}$.

By using the definition of m-RN, lemma 3.1 and theorem 2.25, we have $p = pl^m p = p(l^m p) = (l^m p)p = l^m p^2$

Now, $p = l^m p p = l^m (l^m p^2) p = l^{2m} p^3 = \dots = l^{(k-1)m} p^k \subseteq \mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{C} \subseteq \mathfrak{C}$.

Hence, we get $\sqrt{\mathfrak{C}} \subseteq \mathfrak{C}$.

Thus, $\mathfrak{C} = \sqrt{\mathfrak{C}}$ where \mathfrak{C} is \mathfrak{K} -SG of a \mathfrak{K} . □

Definition 3.4. For each component p, t in a m-RN \mathfrak{K} is referred to have IFP if $pt = 0$ then $pl^m t = 0$, for some l in \mathfrak{K} and $m \geq 1$ is a fixed integer.

Theorem 3.5. If $\mathfrak{K} \in \eta_0$ be a m-RN, r-RN in which all the idempotents are central then \mathfrak{K} is reduced.

Proof. Suppose $p \in \mathfrak{K}$ such that $p^2 = 0$.

By using the definition of m-RN, and lemma 3.1, $p = pl^m p = l^m p^2 = l^m 0 = 0$.

Therefore, \mathfrak{K} is reduced. □

Theorem 3.6. If $\mathfrak{K} \in \eta_0$ be a m-RN, r-RN in which all the idempotents are central then \mathfrak{K} satisfies IFP.

Proof. Let $t, p \in \mathfrak{K}$ such that $tp = 0$.

Now, $(pt)^2 = (pt)(pt) = p(tp)t = p0 = 0$.

By the theorem 3.5, $pt = 0$.

For $m \geq 1$, a fixed integer, consider $(tl^m p)^2 = (tl^m p)(tl^m p) = tl^m (pt) l^m p = tl^m 0 = 0$.

By the theorem 3.5, $tl^m p = 0$.

Hence \mathfrak{K} has IFP. □

Theorem 3.7. If $\mathfrak{K} \in \eta_0$ be a m-RN, r-RN in which all the idempotents are central then every \mathfrak{K} -SG is an ideal.

Proof. Let \mathfrak{K} be r-RN in which all idempotents are central.

By the definition of r-RN and By the theorem 2.25, we have $a = ea, e^2 = e, e \in \langle a \rangle$.

Let $a \in \mathfrak{K}$, Since, by the definition of m-RN, we have $a = ab^m a$ where $m \geq 1$, a fixed integer and By the lemma 3.1, $b^m a$ is idempotent.

Let $b^m a = e$ then by using the lemma 3.1, $\mathfrak{K}e = \mathfrak{K}b^m a = \mathfrak{K}a$.

Let $\mathfrak{F} = \{c - ce / c \in \mathfrak{K}\}$.

Claim: $(0 : \mathfrak{F}) = \{y \in \mathfrak{K} / sy = 0 \forall s \in \mathfrak{F}\} = \mathfrak{K}e$.

Now, $(c - ce)e = ce - ce^2 = ce - ce = 0 \forall c \in \mathfrak{K}$.

By the theorem 3.6, \mathfrak{K} has IFP, $(c - ce)\mathfrak{K}e = 0 \forall c \Rightarrow \mathfrak{K}e \in (0 : \mathfrak{F})$.

Let $y \in (0 : \mathfrak{F}) \Rightarrow sy = 0, \text{ for all } s \in \mathfrak{F}$.

$\Rightarrow syx^m y = 0$.

Now, $yx^m - (yx^m)e \in \mathfrak{F} \Rightarrow [yx^m - (yx^m)e]y = 0$.

$\Rightarrow yx^m y - yx^m e y = 0, \text{ for all } e \in \langle y \rangle$.

$\Rightarrow y - ye = 0 \Rightarrow y = ye \in \mathfrak{K}e$.

$\Rightarrow (0 : \mathfrak{F}) \subseteq \mathfrak{K}e$.

Therefore, $(0 : \mathfrak{F}) = \mathfrak{K}e = \mathfrak{K}b^m a = \mathfrak{K}a$.

By the lemma 2.27, $(0 : \mathfrak{F})$ become an ideal, for any subset of \mathfrak{F} of \mathfrak{K} .

$\Rightarrow \mathfrak{K}a$ become an ideal.

Thus, every \mathfrak{K} -SG is an ideal of \mathfrak{K} . □

Theorem 3.8. If $\mathfrak{K} \in \eta_0$ be a m-RN, r-RN in which all the idempotents are central then \mathfrak{K} is semi-prime near-ring.

Proof. Let us define an ideal \mathfrak{D} in \mathfrak{K} such that $pt \in \mathfrak{D}$ for $p, t \in \mathfrak{K}$.

Let \mathfrak{F} be \mathfrak{K} -SG of \mathfrak{K} .

Then by the theorem 3.7, \mathfrak{F} is an ideal of \mathfrak{K} and suppose that $\mathfrak{D}^2 \subseteq \mathfrak{F}$.

Since \mathfrak{K} is zero-symmetric, $\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{D} \subseteq \mathfrak{D}$.

If $p \in \mathfrak{D}$, then $p = pl^m p \in \mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{D} \subseteq \mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{D} \subseteq \mathfrak{D}^2 \subseteq \mathfrak{F}$.

$\Rightarrow \mathfrak{D} \subseteq \mathfrak{F}$.

So, any \mathfrak{K} -SG of \mathfrak{K} is a S-PI.



Specifically, $\{0\}$ is a S-PI and hence \mathfrak{K} is a semi-prime near-ring. \square

Example 3.9. Let us define \mathfrak{K} on $Z_6 = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ with addition and product tables.[see Pilz, p409 (24)(3, 5, 5, 3, 1, 1)]
Addition is modulo 6.

Table 3. Product table

.	0	1	2	3	4	5
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	3	5	5	3	1	1
2	0	4	4	0	2	2
3	3	3	3	3	3	3
4	0	2	2	0	4	4
5	3	1	1	3	5	5

Then $(\mathfrak{K}, +, \cdot)$ is a r -RN and also m -RN.

4. Characterization of "l-regular near-rings".

On studying the concepts of r -regular near-ring in [15, 16], the term l -regular near-ring was introduced. Yong Uk Cho [4] introduced semicentral idempotents and developed some results in the concept of reducibility in near-ring and we extended this notion of semicentral idempotent to the generalized regular near-rings namely r -regular near-ring(r -RN) and l -regular near-rings(l -RN).

We introduce the term "l-regular near-ring(l -RN)" as follows:

Definition 4.1. For each element $q \in \mathfrak{K}$, there is a component l which is an idempotent in \mathfrak{K} such that $q = lq, l \in \langle q \rangle$, then \mathfrak{K} is known as "l-regular near-ring(l -RN)".

Definition 4.2. For each element $p^2 = p \in \mathfrak{K}$ is referred to be left semicentral idempotent(left-SCI) if $\mathfrak{K}p = p\mathfrak{K}p$.

Definition 4.3. For each element $q^2 = q \in \mathfrak{K}$ is referred to be right semicentral idempotent(right-SCI) if $q\mathfrak{K} = q\mathfrak{K}q$.

Definition 4.4. For each element $e^2 = e \in \mathfrak{K}$ is referred to be central idempotent(CI) if $ek = ke$ for all $k \in \mathfrak{K}$.

Theorem 4.5. Let $\mathfrak{K} \in \eta_0$, r -RN with 1 and has IFP. Then every left-SCI is right-SCI.

Proof. Since by the theorem 2.25, $q = qe$ implies $q = eq$ for all $q \in \mathfrak{K}$.

Let $\mathfrak{K} \in \eta_0$, r -RN with 1 and has IFP.

Now for each $q \in \mathfrak{K} \exists e^2 = e \in \mathfrak{K}$ such that $q = qe, e \in \langle q \rangle \subseteq \langle q \rangle$.

Since $(1 - e)e = 0 \implies (1 - e)qe = 0 \forall q \in \mathfrak{K}$.

$\implies qe - eqe = 0 \implies qe = eqe \implies e$ is left-SCI.

By the theorem 2.25, $qe = eqe = eq \implies eqe = eq \implies e$ is right-SCI.

Thus, every left-SCI is right-SCI. \square

Corollary 4.6. Let $\mathfrak{K} \in \eta_0$, r -RN with 1 and has IFP. Then \mathfrak{K} is central.

Theorem 4.7. Let $\mathfrak{K} \in \eta_0$ be l -RN with 1 and has IFP. Then for any idempotent is left-SCI.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{K} \in \eta_0$, l -RN with 1 and has IFP.

Now for each $q \in \mathfrak{K} \exists e^2 = e \in \mathfrak{K}$ such that $q = eq, e \in \langle q \rangle \subseteq \langle q \rangle$.

Since $(1 - e)e = 0 \implies (1 - e)qe = 0 \forall q \in \mathfrak{K}$.

$\implies qe - eqe = 0 \implies qe = eqe \implies e$ is left-SCI.

Thus, for any idempotent is left semicentral idempotent(left-SCI). \square

In the above theorems 4.5, 4.7 and corollary 4.6, the concepts of unity and reducibility is essential.

Example 4.8. Consider a near-ring on the group $Z_6 = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ with addition and product table given below.[see Pilz, p410 (53)(0, 1, 4, 3, 4, 1)]
Addition is modulo 5.

Table 4. Product table

.	0	1	2	3	4	5
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	1	4	3	4	1
2	0	2	2	0	2	2
3	0	3	0	3	0	3
4	0	4	4	0	4	4
5	0	5	2	3	2	5

This near-ring is r -RN and also l -RN.

This near-ring is ZSN, reduced without unity.

It is clear that the idempotent elements 2 and 5 are not central. This near-ring \mathfrak{K} is right-SCI but not left-SCI. (for an element $1 \in \mathfrak{K}$ such that $2.1 \neq 1.2.1$).

Example 4.9. Any regular near-ring(RN) is r -RN and l -RN. Let us consider \mathfrak{K} on the group $Z_5 = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ with addition and product tables. [see Pilz, p408, (7)(0, 1, 4, 1, 4)]
Addition is modulo 5.

Table 5. Product table

.	0	1	2	3	4
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	1	2	3	4
2	0	4	3	2	1
3	0	1	2	3	4
4	0	4	3	2	1

Then $(\mathfrak{K}, +, \cdot)$ is a RN.

Remark 4.10. In the above mentioned example 4.9, the near-ring \mathfrak{K} is left-SCI but not right-SCI(for an element $1 \in \mathfrak{K}$ such that $2.1 \neq 2.1.2$).



Theorem 4.11. For a near-ring \mathfrak{K} is l -RN then $\mathfrak{K} = \mathfrak{K}l$.

Proof. By the definition of l -RN, then $l = el$, since $e^2 = e, e \in |l\rangle$.

$\implies l \in \mathfrak{K}l \forall l \in \mathfrak{K}$.

Therefore $\mathfrak{K} = \mathfrak{K}l$. □

Theorem 4.12. For a near-ring \mathfrak{K} is l -RN then $(0 : u) = (0 : \mathfrak{K}u) = (0 : \mathfrak{K}), \forall u \in \mathfrak{K}$

Proof. Since \mathfrak{K} is l -RN, $u \in \mathfrak{K}u$.

Let $x \in (0 : \mathfrak{K}u)$.

Now $x\mathfrak{K}u = 0 \implies xu = 0 \implies (0 : \mathfrak{K}u) \subseteq (0 : u)$.

Let $x \in (0 : u)$ then $xu = 0$

$\implies x\mathfrak{K}u = 0 \implies x \in (0 : \mathfrak{K}u) \implies (0 : u) \subseteq (0 : \mathfrak{K}u)$.

Therefore $(0 : u) = (0 : \mathfrak{K}u)$.

By the theorem 4.11, $(0 : u) = (0 : \mathfrak{K}u) = (0 : \mathfrak{K})$. □

Theorem 4.13. Let a near-ring \mathfrak{K} be l -RN. Then every principal ideal is generated by an idempotent.

Proof. Let $c \in \mathfrak{K}$. Consider a principal ideal generated by $c, \langle c \rangle$.

If \mathfrak{K} is l -RN, $c = uc, u^2 = u, u \in \langle c \rangle \subseteq \langle c \rangle \implies \langle u \rangle \subseteq \langle c \rangle$.

$c = uc \in \langle u \rangle \implies \langle c \rangle \subseteq \langle u \rangle$.

Therefore $\langle c \rangle = \langle u \rangle$. □

Example 4.14. Let us consider \mathfrak{K} on $Z_6 = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ with addition and product table given below.[see Pilz, p409 (24)(3, 5, 5, 3, 1, 1)]
Addition is modulo 6.

Table 6. Product table

.	0	1	2	3	4	5
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	3	5	5	3	1	1
2	0	4	4	0	2	2
3	3	3	3	3	3	3
4	0	2	2	0	4	4
5	3	1	1	3	5	5

The only ideals of \mathfrak{K} are $\{0\}, \{0, 2, 4\}$ and $\{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$. This near-ring $(\mathfrak{K}, +, \cdot)$ is both r -RN and l -RN.

Theorem 4.15. Let a near-ring \mathfrak{K} be l -RN. Then \mathfrak{K} has no nonzero nil ideals.

Proof. Suppose A be a nonzero nil ideal in \mathfrak{K} .

Let $0 \neq a \in A$ and $a = ea, e \in |a\rangle, e^2 = e$.

By the theorem 4.13, $e \in \langle e \rangle = \langle a \rangle \subseteq A$.

$\implies 'e'$ is nilpotent, which is a conflict to ' e' is idempotent.

Thus, \mathfrak{K} has no nonzero nil ideals. □

Theorem 4.16. For a near-ring $\mathfrak{K} \in \eta_0$ is l -RN and every \mathfrak{K} -subgroup is an ideal of \mathfrak{K} then \mathfrak{K} is left SRN.

Proof. Suppose that \mathfrak{K} is l -RN and every \mathfrak{K} -subgroup is an ideal of \mathfrak{K} .

By proposition 2.8, $a = ea, e^2 = e, e \in |a\rangle \subseteq \langle a \rangle = \mathfrak{K}a$.

$\implies e = na, \text{ for some } n \in \mathfrak{K}$.

Therefore $a = ea = naa = na^2$ for some $n \in \mathfrak{K}$.

Hence \mathfrak{K} is left SRN. □

Theorem 4.17. For a near-ring $\mathfrak{K} \in \eta_0$ is l -RN with 1 then \mathfrak{K} is reduced.

Proof. Let $t \in \mathfrak{K}$ and $t^2 = 0 \implies t \in (0 : t) \implies \langle t \rangle \subseteq (0 : t)$.

Suppose \mathfrak{K} is l -RN, then $t = et, e^2 = e, e \in |t\rangle \subseteq \langle t \rangle \subseteq (0 : t) \implies et = 0$.

Therefore $t = 0$.

Hence \mathfrak{K} is reduced. □

Theorem 4.18. For a near-ring $\mathfrak{K} \in \eta_0$ is l -RN with 1 and has IFP then $d = ed$ implies $d = de$ where ' e ' is an idempotent.

Proof. Suppose \mathfrak{K} is l -RN with 1 and has IFP.

Now $d \in \mathfrak{K} \exists e^2 = e \in \mathfrak{K} \ni d = ed, e \in |d\rangle \subseteq \langle d \rangle$.

Since $(1 - e)e = 0 \implies (1 - e)de = 0 \forall d \in \mathfrak{K} \implies de - ede = 0 \implies de = ede = ed = d$ [by the lemma 2.20].

Therefore $d = ed$ implies $d = de$. □

Definition 4.19. Let \mathfrak{K} is referred to as weakly regular near-ring(WRN) if $A^2 = A$ for every ideal A of \mathfrak{K} .

Definition 4.20. Let an ideal \mathfrak{D} of \mathfrak{K} is referred to as "Completely Prime Ideal(CPI) if $kl \in \mathfrak{D}$ implies $k \in \mathfrak{D}$ or $l \in \mathfrak{D}$.

Definition 4.21. Let an ideal \mathfrak{D} of \mathfrak{K} is referred to as "3-Prime Ideal(3-PI) if $kn^1l \in \mathfrak{D}$ implies $k \in \mathfrak{D}$ or $l \in \mathfrak{D}$ for every $n^1 \in \mathfrak{K}$.

Theorem 4.22. Let a near-ring \mathfrak{K} be l -RN. Then \mathfrak{K} is WRN.

Proof. Let \mathfrak{D} be an ideal of \mathfrak{K} and $a \in \mathfrak{D}$.

$a = ea, e^2 = e, e \in |a\rangle \subseteq \langle a \rangle \subseteq \mathfrak{D} \subseteq \mathfrak{D} \cdot \mathfrak{D} = \mathfrak{D}^2$.

But $\mathfrak{D}^2 \subseteq \mathfrak{D}$, therefore $\mathfrak{D} = \mathfrak{D}^2$.

Thus, \mathfrak{K} is WRN. □

Theorem 4.23. Let a near-ring \mathfrak{K} be l -RN. Then \mathfrak{K} has no nonzero nilpotent ideal.

Proof. Suppose J be a nonzero nilpotent ideal in \mathfrak{K} .

Then $J^k = (0)$ for some k which is greater than or equal to 2.

By the theorem 4.22, every ideal in a \mathfrak{K} is idempotent i.e., $J = J^2$.

$J^k = J^{k-2}J = J^{k-4}J^2J = J^{k-4}JJ = J^{k-4}J^2 = J^{k-4}J = \dots$

Continuing in this way we get $J = (0)$.

It is a contradiction.

Thus \mathfrak{K} has no nonzero nilpotent ideal. □

Theorem 4.24. Let a near-ring \mathfrak{K} be l -RN with left unity then every CPI is a maximal.



Proof. Let \mathcal{C} be a CPI of \mathfrak{K}
 Suppose $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathfrak{M} \subseteq \mathfrak{K}$ then $\exists a \in \mathfrak{M} \setminus \mathcal{C}$
 Now $a = ea, e^2 = e, e \in |a\rangle \subseteq \langle a \rangle \subseteq \mathfrak{M} \implies e \in \mathfrak{M}$.
 $(1 - e)a = 0 \in \mathcal{C} \implies 1 - e \in \mathcal{C} \subset \mathfrak{M} \implies 1 - e \in \mathfrak{M}$.
 Let $c \in \mathfrak{K}$ then $c = 1.c = (1 - e + e)c = (1 - e)c + ec \in \mathfrak{M}$.
 Therefore $\mathfrak{K} = \mathfrak{M}$.
 Hence \mathcal{C} is a maximal ideal. □

Theorem 4.25. *Let \mathfrak{K} be a l -RN with left unity and has IFP then every 3-PI is maximal.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{C} be a 3-PI of \mathfrak{K} .
 Assume $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathfrak{M} \subseteq \mathfrak{K}$.
 Let $c \in \mathfrak{M} \setminus \mathcal{C}$.
 Now $c = ec, e^2 = e, e \in |c\rangle \subseteq \langle c \rangle$.
 $(1 - e)c = 0$.
 Since \mathfrak{K} has IFP, $(1 - e)nc = 0 \forall n \in \mathfrak{K}$.
 $(1 - e)\mathfrak{K}c = 0 \subseteq \mathcal{C} \implies 1 - e \in \mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathfrak{M} \implies 1 - e \in \mathfrak{M}$.
 For any x in \mathfrak{K} , $x = ex + (1 - e)x \in \mathfrak{M}$.
 Therefore $\mathfrak{K} = \mathfrak{M}$.
 Thus \mathcal{C} is maximal ideal. □

Theorem 4.26. *If a near-ring \mathfrak{K} is l -RN then every ideal I of \mathfrak{K} is l -RN.*

Proof. Suppose \mathfrak{K} is l -RN, then $a = ea, e^2 = e, e \in |a\rangle$.
 Assume that I is an ideal \mathfrak{K} .
 Let $a \in I$ then $a = ea, e \in |a\rangle \subseteq I$.
 Therefore I is l -RN. □

Theorem 4.27. *For a near-ring $\mathfrak{K} \in \eta_0$ with identity,*

1. \mathfrak{K} is l -RN and has IFP.
2. \mathfrak{K} is reduced and every CPI is maximal.

are equivalent.

Proof. (1) \implies (2)
 Suppose \mathfrak{K} is l -RN.
 By theorem 4.17, \mathfrak{K} is reduced and by theorem 4.24, it is proved.
 (2) \implies (1)
 Suppose $\mathfrak{K} \in \eta_0$ is reduced and every CPI is maximal.
 Since $\mathfrak{K} \in \eta_0$ is reduced, $ab = 0 \implies ba = 0$.
 Consider $nba = n(ba) = n0 = 0 \implies (nb)a = 0 \implies anb = 0 \forall n \in \mathfrak{K}$.
 Therefore \mathfrak{K} has IFP.
 Let $0 \neq a \in \mathfrak{K}$, by the lemma 2.28, $\bar{K} = \mathfrak{K} \setminus A(a)$ is reduced and \bar{a} is not a zero divisor.
 Also, every CPI of \bar{K} is a maximal ideal in \bar{K} .
 Let Q be the multiplicative subsemigroup generated by an element $\bar{a} - \bar{t}\bar{a}$ where $\bar{t} \in |\bar{a}\rangle$.
 If not, by the theorem 2.29, there exists a CPI \bar{P} with $\bar{P} \cap Q = \emptyset$.
 Suppose $|a\rangle \subseteq \bar{P}$ then $\bar{a} \in \bar{P}$.
 $\implies \bar{a} - \bar{t}\bar{a} \in \bar{P}$.
 $\implies \bar{a} - \bar{t}\bar{a} \in \bar{P} \cap Q$, it is a contradiction to the fact that $\bar{P} \cap Q = \emptyset$.

Suppose $|a\rangle \not\subseteq \bar{P}$ and \bar{P} is maximal, we have $\bar{K} = \bar{P} + |a\rangle$.
 $\bar{1} = \bar{\alpha} + \bar{t}$ where $\bar{\alpha} \in \bar{P}, \bar{t} \in |a\rangle$.
 $\bar{a} = \bar{\alpha}\bar{a} + \bar{t}\bar{a}$.
 $\implies \bar{a} - \bar{t}\bar{a} = \bar{\alpha}\bar{a} \in \bar{P}$.
 $\implies \bar{a} - \bar{t}\bar{a} \in \bar{P} \cap Q$, it is a contradiction to the fact, $\bar{P} \cap Q = \emptyset$.
 Thus $\bar{0} \in Q$.
 Now $\bar{0} = (\bar{a} - \bar{t}_1\bar{a})(\bar{a} - \bar{t}_2\bar{a}) \cdots (\bar{a} - \bar{t}_n\bar{a}) = (\bar{1} - \bar{t}_i)\bar{a}, \bar{t}_i \in |a\rangle$
 Since \bar{a} is not zero divisor, $(\bar{1} - \bar{t}_i) = 0 \implies \bar{1} = \bar{t}_i, t \in |a\rangle$.
 Hence $(1 - t) \in A(a) \implies (1 - t)a = 0, t \in |a\rangle, t^2 = t \implies a = ta, t^2 = t, t \in |a\rangle$.
 Therefore \mathfrak{K} is l -RN. □

Definition 4.28. *Let a near-ring \mathfrak{K} is referred to as "Left Quasi Duo(LQD)" if every maximal left ideal of \mathfrak{K} is two-sided ideal.*

Theorem 4.29. *For a near-ring $\mathfrak{K} \in \eta_0$ is the LQD with left unity 1, \mathfrak{K} is l -RN then $\mathfrak{K} = \langle q \rangle + (0 : q)$.*

Proof. Since \mathfrak{K} is l -RN, then $q = tq, t^2 = t, t \in |q\rangle \subseteq \langle q \rangle$.
 $\implies q \in \langle q \rangle q$.
 Then $\mathfrak{K}q \subseteq \mathfrak{K}\langle q \rangle q \subseteq \langle q \rangle q$.
 And we have $\langle q \rangle q \subseteq \mathfrak{K}q$.
 Therefore $\mathfrak{K}q = \langle q \rangle q$.
 Suppose that $\mathfrak{K} \neq \langle q \rangle + (0 : q)$.
 Then there exists a maximal left ideal \mathcal{C} such that $\langle q \rangle + (0 : q) \subseteq \mathcal{C}$.
 Since \mathfrak{K} is LQD, \mathcal{C} is a two-sided ideal.
 Since $q \in \mathcal{C}$, $\langle q \rangle q \subseteq \mathcal{C}q \subseteq \mathfrak{K}q = \langle q \rangle q$.
 Therefore $\mathcal{C}q = \langle q \rangle q$.
 Therefore $\mathfrak{K}q = \langle q \rangle q = \mathcal{C}q$.
 Therefore $s \in \langle q \rangle$ such that $q = sq, s \in \langle q \rangle$
 $\implies (1 - s)q = 0 \implies 1 - s \in (0 : q)$.
 Therefore $1 = s + (1 - s) \in \langle q \rangle + (0 : q) \subseteq \mathcal{C}$.
 It is a contradiction.
 Therefore $\mathfrak{K} = \langle q \rangle + (0 : q)$. □

5. Conclusion

In mathematics, several researchers are working on algebra. Recently as an application of near-rings, mathematicians used planar near-rings, near-rings of polynomials, and other near-rings to expand designs and codes. In this publication, we made an effort to develop the concept of regular near-rings and generalized regular near-rings.

Acknowledgment

The authors are very thankful to the referees for their valuable suggestions to sharpen this article.

References

- [1] A Atagun, H Kamacı, İ Taştekin and A SEZGİN, P-Properties in Near-Rings, *J. Math. Fund. Sci.*, 51(2019), 152–167.



- [2] H E Bell, Near-rings in which each element is a power of itself, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.*, 2(1970), 363–368.
- [3] Y Uk Cho, A study on near-rings with semi-central idempotents, *Far East J. Math. Sci. (FJMS)* 98(2015), 759–762.
- [4] Y Uk Cho, On Semicentral Idempotents in Near-Rings, *Appl. Math. Sci.*, 9(2015), 3843 – 3846.
- [5] P Dheena, A generalization of strongly regular near-rings, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* 20(1989), 58–63.
- [6] G Gopala Krishna Moorthy, R veega and S Geetha, On Pseudo m -power commutative Near-rings, *IOSR Journal of Mathematics(IOSR-JM)*, 12(2016), 80–86.
- [7] S Ligh, On regular near-rings, *Math. Japon.*, 15(1970), 7–13.
- [8] C Lomp and J Matczuk, A note on semicentral idempotents, *Comm. Algebra.*, 45(2017), 2735–2737.
- [9] G Pilz, *Near-rings: the theory and its applications*, 23 edition, 2011.
- [10] D. Ramakotaiah, *Theory of Near Rings*, PhD Thesis, Andhra University, 1968.
- [11] D. Ramakotaiah and G K Rao, IFP near-rings, *J. Aust. Math. Soc.*, 27(1979), 365–370.
- [12] S. Ramkumar and T. Manikantan, Extensions of fuzzy soft ideals over near-rings, *Malaya J. Mat.*, S(1)(2020), 626–631.
- [13] Y V Reddy and C V L N Murty, On strongly regular near-rings, *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc.*, 27(2)(1984), 61–64.
- [14] Roos, *Rings and Regularities*, PhD Thesis, Technische Hogeschool, Delft, 1975.
- [15] M. Sowjanya, A. Gangadhara Rao, A. Anjaneyulu and T. Radha Rani, r -Regular Near-Rings, *International Journal of Engineering Research and Application*, 8(2018), 11–19.
- [16] M. Sowjanya, A. Gangadhara Rao, T. Radharani and V. Padmaja, Results on r -regular near-rings, *Int. J. Math. Comput. Sci.*, 4(15)(2020), 1327–1336.
- [17] G. Sugantha and R. Balakrishnan, γ near-rings, *International Research Journal of Pure Algebra*, 4(2014), 546–551.
- [18] S. Suryanarayanan and N. Ganesan, Stable and pseudo stable near rings, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 19(1988), 1206–1216.
- [19] G. Wendt, Minimal Ideals and Primitivity in Near-rings, *Taiwanese J. Math.*, 23(2019), 799–820.
- [20] P. Yiarayong, Some Basic Properties of Completely Prime Ideals in Near Rings, *J. Math. Fund. Sci.* 47(2015), 227–235.

ISSN(P):2319 – 3786

Malaya Journal of Matematik

ISSN(O):2321 – 5666

