

Square-mean pseudo almost automorphic solutions of infinite class under the light of measure theory

ISSA ZABSONRE*¹ AND MOHAMADO KIEMA¹

¹ *Université Joseph KI-ZERBO, Unité de Recherche et de Formation en Sciences Exactes et Appliquées, Département de Mathématiques B.P.7021 Ouagadougou 03, Burkina Faso.*

Received 14 July 2023; Accepted 25 September 2023

This paper is dedicated to the occasion of Professor Gaston M. N'Guérékata's 70th birthday

Abstract. The aim of this work is to present new concept of square-mean pseudo almost automorphic of infinite class using the measure theory. We use the (μ, ν) -ergodic process to define the spaces of (μ, ν) -pseudo almost automorphic processes of infinite class in the square-mean sense. We present many interesting results on those spaces like completeness and composition theorems and we study the existence and the uniqueness of the square-mean (μ, ν) -pseudo almost automorphic solutions of infinite class for of the stochastic evolution equation. We provide an example to illustrate ours results.

AMS Subject Classifications: 47D09, 34G10, 60J65.

Keywords: measure theory; ergodicity; (μ, ν) -pseudo almost automorphic function; evolution equations; partial functional differential equations; Stochastic processes; stochastic evolution equations.

Contents

1	Introduction	166
2	Variation of constants formula and spectral decomposition	167
3	Square-Mean (μ, ν) -Pseudo Almost automorphic Process	175
4	Square-mean pseudo almost automorphic solutions of infinite class	180
5	Application	192

1. Introduction

In this work, we study the basic properties of the square-mean (μ, ν) -pseudo almost automorphic process using the measure theory and used those results to study the following stochastic evolution equations in a Hilbert space H ,

$$dx(t) = [Ax(t) + L(x_t) + f(t)]dt + g(t)dW(t), \quad (1.1)$$

where $A : D(A) \subset H$ is the infinitesimal generator of a C_0 -semigroup $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ on H , $f, g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow L^2(P, H)$ are two stochastic processes, $W(t)$ is a two-sided and standard one-dimensional Brownian motion defined on the filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P, \mathcal{F}_t)$ with $\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma\{W(u) - W(v) \mid u, v \leq t\}$ and L is a bounded linear operator from \mathcal{B} into $L^2(P, H)$. The phase space \mathcal{B} is a linear space of functions mapping $] - \infty, 0]$ into X for

*Corresponding author. Email address: zabsonreissa@yahoo.fr (Issa ZABSONRE)

Square-mean pseudo almost automorphic solutions of infinite class under the light of measure theory

every $t \geq 0$, x_t denotes the history function of \mathcal{B} defined by $x_t(\theta) = x(t + \theta)$ for $\theta \in] - \infty, 0]$

We assume $(H, \|\cdot\|)$ is real separable Hilbert space and $L^2(P, H)$ is the space of all H -valued random variables x such that

$$\mathbb{E}\|x\|^2 = \int_{\Omega} \|x\|^2 dP < +\infty.$$

This work is an extension of [10] whose authors have studied equation (4.1) in the deterministic case. Some recent contributions concerning square-mean pseudo almost automorphic solutions for abstract differential equations similar to equation (4.1) have been made. For example in [7] the authors studied equation(4.1) without the operator L . They showed that the equation has a unique square-mean μ -pseudo almost automorphic mild solution on \mathbb{R} when f and g are square mean pseudo almost automorphic functions.

In [4] the authors studied the square-mean almost automorphic solutions to a class of nonautonomous stochastic differential equations without our operator L and without delay on a separable real Hilbert space. They established the existence and uniqueness of a square-mean almost automorphic mild solution to those nonautonomous stochastic differential equations with the 'Acquistapace-Terreni' conditions.

In [8] The authors established the existence, uniqueness and stability of square-mean μ -pseudo almost periodic(resp. automorphic) mild solution to a linear and semilinear case of the stochastic evolution equations in case when the functions forcing are both continuous and $S^2 - \mu$ -pseudo almost periodic (resp. automorphic) and verify some suitable assumptions.

This work is organized as follow, in section 2, we study spectral decomposition of phase space then in section 3 we study square-mean (μ, ν) -Pseudo almost automorphic process, in section 4 we study square-mean pseudo almost automorphic solutions of infinite class and we finish with application of our theory.

2. Variation of constants formula and spectral decomposition

In this work, the state space $(\mathcal{B}, |\cdot|_{\mathcal{B}})$ is a normed linear space of functions mapping $] - \infty, 0]$ into $L^2(P, H)$ and satisfying the following fundamental axioms.

(**A₁**) There exist a positive constant H and functions $K(\cdot), M(\cdot) : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$, with K continuous and M locally bounded, such that for any $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a > 0$, if $u :] - \infty, a] \rightarrow L^2(P, H)$, $u_{\sigma} \in \mathcal{B}$, and $u(\cdot)$ is continuous on $[\sigma, \sigma + a]$, then for every $t \in [\sigma, \sigma + a]$ the following conditions hold

(i) $u_t \in \mathcal{B}$,

(ii) $|u(t)| \leq H|u_t|_{\mathcal{B}}$, which is equivalent to $|\varphi(0)| \leq H|\varphi|_{\mathcal{B}}$ for every $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}$

(iii) $|u_t|_{\mathcal{B}} \leq K(t - \sigma) \sup_{\sigma \leq s \leq t} |u(s)| + M(t - \sigma)|u_{\sigma}|_{\mathcal{B}}$.

(**A₂**) For the function $u(\cdot)$ in (**A₁**), $t \mapsto u_t$ is a \mathcal{B} -valued continuous function for $t \in [\sigma, \sigma + a]$.

(**B**) The space \mathcal{B} is a Banach space.

Assume that:

(**C₁**) If $(\varphi_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is a sequence in \mathcal{B} such that $\varphi_n \rightarrow 0$ in \mathcal{B} as $n \rightarrow +\infty$, then $(\varphi_n(\theta))_{n \geq 0}$ converges to 0 in $L^2(P, H)$.

Let $C(] - \infty, 0], L^2(P, H))$ be the space of continuous functions from $] - \infty, 0]$ to $L^2(P, H)$. Suppose the following assumptions:

(C₂) $\mathcal{B} \subset C(\] - \infty, 0], L^2(P, H))$.

(C₃) there exists $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that, for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\text{Re}\lambda > \lambda_0$ and $x \in L^2(P, H)$, $e^{\lambda \cdot} x \in \mathcal{B}$ and

$$K_0 = \sup_{\substack{\text{Re}\lambda > \lambda_0, x \in L^2(P, H) \\ x \neq 0}} \frac{|e^{\lambda \cdot} x|_{\mathcal{B}}}{|x|} < \infty,$$

where $(e^{\lambda \cdot} x)(\theta) = e^{\lambda \theta} x$ for $\theta \in \] - \infty, 0]$ and $x \in L^2(P, H)$.

To equation (4.1), associate the following initial value problem

$$\begin{cases} du_t = [Au(t) + L(u_t) + f(t)]dt + g(t)dW(t) \text{ for } t \geq 0 \\ u_0 = \varphi \in \mathcal{B}, \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

where $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow L^2(P, H)$ is a continuous function.

Let us introduce the part A_0 of the operator A in $\overline{D(A)}$ which defined by

$$\begin{cases} D(A_0) = \{x \in D(A) : Ax \in \overline{D(A)}\} \\ A_0 x = Ax \text{ for } x \in D(A_0) \end{cases}$$

The following assumption is supposed:

(H₀) A satisfies the Hille-Yosida condition.

Lemma 2.1. [2] A_0 generates a strongly continuous semigroup $(T_0(t))_{t \geq 0}$ on $\overline{D(A)}$.

The phase space \mathcal{B}_A of equation (2.1) is defined by

$$\mathcal{B}_A = \{\varphi \in \mathcal{B} : \varphi(0) \in \overline{D(A)}\}.$$

For each $t \geq 0$, the linear operator $\mathcal{U}(t)$ on \mathcal{B}_A is defined by

$$\mathcal{U}(t) = v_t(\cdot, \varphi)$$

where $v(\cdot, \varphi)$ is the solution of the following homogeneous equation

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt} v_t = Av(t) + L(v_t) \text{ for } t \geq 0 \\ v_0 = \varphi \in \mathcal{B}. \end{cases}$$

Proposition 2.2. [3] $(\mathcal{U}(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is a strongly continuous semigroup of linear operators on \mathcal{B}_A . Moreover, $(\mathcal{U}(t))_{t \geq 0}$ satisfies, for $t \geq 0$ and $\theta \in \] - \infty, 0]$, the following translation property

$$(\mathcal{U}(t)\varphi)(\theta) = \begin{cases} (\mathcal{U}(t+\theta)\varphi)(0) \text{ for } t+\theta \geq 0 \\ \varphi(t+\theta) \text{ for } t+\theta \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 2.3. [3] Assume that \mathcal{B} satisfies (A_1) , (A_2) , (B) , (C_1) and (C_2) . Then $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{U}}$ defined on \mathcal{B}_A by

$$\begin{cases} D(\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{U}}) = \left\{ \varphi \in C^1(]-\infty, 0]; X) \cap \mathcal{B}_A; \varphi' \in \mathcal{B}_A, \varphi(0) \in \overline{D(A)} \text{ and } \varphi'(0) = A\varphi(0) + L(\varphi) \right\} \\ \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{U}}\varphi = \varphi' \text{ for } \varphi \in D(\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{U}}). \end{cases}$$

is the infinitesimal generator of the semigroup $(\mathcal{U}(t))_{t \geq 0}$ on \mathcal{B}_A .

Let $\langle X_0 \rangle$ be the space defined by

$$\langle X_0 \rangle = \{X_0x : x \in X\}$$

where the function X_0x is defined by

$$(X_0x)(\theta) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \theta \in]-\infty, 0[, \\ x & \text{if } \theta = 0. \end{cases}$$

The space $\mathcal{B}_A \oplus \langle X_0 \rangle$ equipped with the norm $|\phi + X_0c|_{\mathcal{B}} = |\phi|_{\mathcal{B}} + |c|$ for $(\phi, c) \in \mathcal{B}_A \times X$ is a Banach space and consider the extension $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{U}}$ defined on $\mathcal{B}_A \oplus \langle X_0 \rangle$ by

$$\begin{cases} D(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{U}}) = \left\{ \varphi \in C^1(]-\infty, 0]; X) : \varphi \in D(A) \text{ and } \varphi' \in \overline{D(A)} \right\} \\ \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{U}}\varphi = \varphi' + X_0(A\varphi + L(\varphi) - \varphi'). \end{cases}$$

Lemma 2.4. [3] Assume that \mathcal{B} satisfies (A_1) , (A_2) , (B) , (C_1) , (C_2) and (C_3) . Then, $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{U}}$ satisfies the Hille-Yosida condition on $\mathcal{B}_A \oplus \langle X_0 \rangle$.

Now, start the variation of constants formula associated to equation (2.1).

Let C_{00} be the space of X -valued continuous function on $]-\infty, 0]$ with compact support. Assume that:

(D) If $(\varphi_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is a Cauchy sequence in \mathcal{B} and converges compactly to φ on $]-\infty, 0]$, then $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}$ and $|\varphi_n - \varphi| \rightarrow 0$.

Definition 2.5. The semigroup $(\mathcal{U}(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is hyperbolic if

$$\sigma(\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{U}}) \cap i\mathbb{R} = \emptyset$$

Let $(S_0(t))_{t \geq 0}$ be the strongly continuous semigroup defined on the subspace

$$\mathcal{B}_0 = \{\varphi \in \mathcal{B} : \varphi(0) = 0\}$$

by

$$(S_0(t)\phi)(\theta) = \begin{cases} \phi(t+\theta) & \text{if } t+\theta \leq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } t+\theta \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

Definition 2.6. Assume that the space \mathcal{B} satisfies Axioms (B) and (D) , \mathcal{B} is said to be a fading memory space, if for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}_0$,

$$|S_0(t)| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow +\infty \text{ in } \mathcal{B}_0.$$

Moreover, \mathcal{B} is said to be a uniform fading memory space, if

$$|S_0(t)| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Lemma 2.7. *If \mathcal{B} is a uniform fading memory space, then the function K can be chosen to be constant and the function M such that $M(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$.*

Proposition 2.8. *If the phase space \mathcal{B} is a fading memory space, then the space $BC(]-\infty, 0], X)$ of bounded continuous X -valued functions on $]-\infty, 0]$ endowed with the uniform norm topology, is continuous embedding in \mathcal{B} . In particular \mathcal{B} satisfies (C_3) , for $\lambda_0 > 0$.*

For the sequel, make the following assumption:

(H₁) $T_0(t)$ is compact on $\overline{D(A)}$ for every $t > 0$.

(H₂) \mathcal{B} is a uniform fading memory space.

Theorem 2.9. [3] *Assume that \mathcal{B} satisfies (A_1) , (A_2) , (B) , (C_1) and (H_0) , (H_1) , (H_2) hold. Then the semigroup $(\mathcal{U}(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is decomposed on \mathcal{B}_A as follows*

$$\mathcal{U}(t) = \mathcal{U}_1(t) + \mathcal{U}_2(t) \text{ for } t \geq 0$$

where $(\mathcal{U}_1(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is an exponentially stable semigroup on \mathcal{B}_A , which means that there are positive constants α_0 and N_0 such that

$$|\mathcal{U}_1(t)| \leq N_0 e^{-\alpha_0 t} |\varphi| \text{ for } t \geq 0 \text{ and } \varphi \in \mathcal{B}_A$$

and $(\mathcal{U}_2(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is compact for every $t > 0$.

The following result on the spectral decomposition of the phase space \mathcal{B}_A is obtained.

Theorem 2.10. [3] *Assume that \mathcal{B} satisfies (A_1) , (A_2) , (B) , (C_1) , and (H_0) , (H_1) , (H_2) hold. Then the space \mathcal{B}_A is decomposed as a direct sum*

$$\mathcal{B}_A = S \oplus U$$

of two $\mathcal{U}(t)$ invariant closed subspaces S and U such that the restricted semigroup on \mathcal{U} is a group and there exist positive constants \overline{M} and ω such that

$$|\mathcal{U}(t)\varphi| \leq \overline{M} e^{-\omega t} |\varphi| \text{ for } t \geq 0 \text{ and } \varphi \in S$$

$$|\mathcal{U}(t)\varphi| \leq \overline{M} e^{\omega t} |\varphi| \text{ for } t \leq 0 \text{ and } \varphi \in U,$$

where S and U are called respectively the stable and unstable space.

Let \mathcal{N} the Lebesgue σ -field of \mathbb{R} and by \mathcal{M} the set of all positive measures μ on \mathcal{N} satisfying $\mu(\mathbb{R}) = +\infty$ and $\mu([a, b]) < \infty$, for all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ ($a \leq b$).

Definition 2.11. *Let $x : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow L^2(P, H)$ be a stochastic process.*

1. x said to be stochastically bounded if there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{E} \|x(t)\|^2 \leq C \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

2. x is said to be stochastically continuous if

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow s} \mathbb{E} \|x(t) - x(s)\|^2 = 0 \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Square-mean pseudo almost automorphic solutions of infinite class under the light of measure theory

Denote by $SBC(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H))$, the space of all stochastically bounded and continuous process. Otherwise, this space endowed the following norm

$$\|x\|_\infty = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} (\mathbb{E}\|x(t)\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

is a Banach space.

Definition 2.12. Let $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}$. A stochastic process f is said to be square-mean (μ, ν) -ergodic if $f \in SBC(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H))$ and satisfied

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{- \tau}^{\tau} \mathbb{E}\|f(\theta)\|^2 d\mu(t) = 0.$$

We denote by $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu)$, the space of all such process.

Definition 2.13. Let $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}$. A stochastic process f is said to be square-mean (μ, ν) -ergodic of infinite class if $f \in SBC(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H))$ and satisfied

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{- \tau}^{\tau} \sup_{\theta \in [- \infty, t]} \mathbb{E}\|f(\theta)\|^2 d\mu(t) = 0.$$

We denote by $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, \infty)$, the space of all such process.

For $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}$, we denote by μ_a and ν_a positives measures on $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{N})$ respectively defined by

$$\mu_a(A) = \mu(a + b : b \in A) \quad \text{and} \quad \nu_a(A) = \nu(a + b : b \in A) \quad \text{for } A \in \mathcal{N}. \quad (2.2)$$

From $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}$, we formulate the following hypothesis.

(H₂): For all $a \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists $\beta > 0$ and a bounded intervall I such that $\mu_a(A) \leq \beta \mu(A)$ when $A \in \mathcal{N}$ satisfies $A \cap I = \emptyset$.

(H₃) For all a, b and $c \in \mathbb{R}$, such that $0 \leq a < b \leq c$, there exist δ_0 and $\alpha_0 > 0$ such that

$$|\delta| \geq \delta_0 \implies \mu(a + \delta, b + \delta) \geq \alpha_0 \mu(\delta, c + \delta).$$

(H₄) Let $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}$ be such that $\limsup_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\mu([- \tau, \tau])}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} = \alpha < \infty$.

Proposition 2.14. Assume that **(H₄)** holds. Then $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, \infty)$ is a Banach space with the norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$.

Proof. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}; L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, \infty)$ is a vector subspace of $SBC(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H))$. To complete the proof, it is enough to prove that $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}; L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, \infty)$ is closed in $SBC(\mathbb{R}; L^2(P, H))$. Let $(f_n)_n$ be a sequence in $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}; L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, \infty)$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} f_n = f$ uniformly in $SBC(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H))$.

From $\nu(\mathbb{R}) = +\infty$, it follows $\nu([- \tau, \tau]) > 0$ for τ sufficiently large. Let $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq n_0$, $\|f_n - f\|_\infty < \varepsilon$. Let $n \geq n_0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{- \tau}^{+ \tau} \left(\sup_{\theta \in [- \infty, t]} \mathbb{E}\|f(\theta)\|^2 \right) d\mu(t) &\leq \frac{2}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{- \tau}^{+ \tau} \left(\sup_{\theta \in [- \infty, t]} \mathbb{E}\|f_n(\theta) - f(\theta)\|^2 \right) d\mu(t) \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{- \tau}^{+ \tau} \left(\sup_{\theta \in [- \infty, t]} \mathbb{E}\|f_n(\theta)\|^2 \right) d\mu(t) \\ &\leq \frac{2}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{- \tau}^{+ \tau} \left(\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E}\|f_n(t) - f(t)\|^2 \right) d\mu(t) \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{- \tau}^{+ \tau} \left(\sup_{\theta \in [- \infty, t]} \mathbb{E}\|f_n(\theta)\|^2 \right) d\mu(t) \\ &\leq 2\|f_n - f\|_\infty^2 \frac{\mu([- \tau, \tau])}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} + \frac{2}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{- \tau}^{+ \tau} \left(\sup_{\theta \in [- \infty, t]} \mathbb{E}\|f_n(\theta)\|^2 \right) d\mu(t). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently

$$\limsup_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{- \tau}^{+ \tau} \left(\sup_{\theta \in [- \infty, t]} \mathbb{E} \|f(\theta)\|^2 \right) d\mu(t) \leq 2\alpha\varepsilon \text{ for any } \varepsilon > 0. \blacksquare$$

The following theorem is a characterization of square-mean (μ, ν) -ergodic processes (eventually $I = \emptyset$).

Theorem 2.15. *Assume that $f \in SBC(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H))$. Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

- i) $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, \infty)$
- ii) $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau] \setminus I)} \int_{[- \tau, \tau] \setminus I} \sup_{\theta \in [- \infty, t]} \mathbb{E} \|f(\theta)\|^2 d\mu(t) = 0$
- iii) For any $\varepsilon > 0$, $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\mu \left\{ t \in [- \tau, \tau] \setminus I : \sup_{\theta \in [- \infty, t]} \mathbb{E} \|f(\theta)\|^2 > \varepsilon \right\}}{\nu([- \tau, \tau] \setminus I)} = 0$

Proof. The proof is made like the proof of Theorem(2.13) in [6].

First, we will show that i) is equivalent to ii).

Denote by $A = \nu(I)$, $B = \int_I \left(\sup_{\theta \in [- \infty, t]} \mathbb{E} \|f(\theta)\|^2 \right) d\mu(t)$. A and B belong to \mathbb{R} , since the interval I is bounded and the process f is stochastically bounded and continuous. For $\tau > 0$ such that $I \subset [- \tau, \tau]$ and $\nu([- \tau, \tau] \setminus I) > 0$, it follows

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau] \setminus I)} \int_{[- \tau, \tau] \setminus I} \left(\sup_{\theta \in [- \infty, t]} \mathbb{E} \|f(\theta)\|^2 \right) d\mu(t) = \frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau]) - A} \left[\int_{[- \tau, \tau]} \left(\sup_{\theta \in [- \infty, t]} \mathbb{E} \|f(\theta)\|^2 \right) d\mu(t) - B \right] \\ & = \frac{\nu([- \tau, \tau])}{\nu([- \tau, \tau]) - A} \left[\frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{[- \tau, \tau]} \left(\sup_{\theta \in [- \infty, t]} \mathbb{E} \|f(\theta)\|^2 \right) d\mu(t) - \frac{B}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

From above equalities and the fact that $\nu(\mathbb{R}) = +\infty$, ii) is equivalent to

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{- \tau}^{+ \tau} \left(\sup_{\theta \in [- \infty, t]} \mathbb{E} \|f(\theta)\|^2 \right) d\mu(t) = 0,$$

that is i).

Then, we will show that iii) implies ii).

Denote by A_τ^ε and B_τ^ε the following sets

$$A_\tau^\varepsilon = \left\{ t \in [- \tau, \tau] \setminus I : \sup_{\theta \in [- \infty, t]} \mathbb{E} \|f(\theta)\|^2 > \varepsilon \right\} \text{ and } B_\tau^\varepsilon = \left\{ t \in [- \tau, \tau] \setminus I : \sup_{\theta \in [- \infty, t]} \mathbb{E} \|f(\theta)\|^2 \leq \varepsilon \right\}.$$

Assume that iii) holds, that is

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\mu(A_\tau^\varepsilon)}{\nu([- \tau, \tau] \setminus I)} = 0. \quad (2.3)$$

From the equality

$$\int_{[- \tau, \tau] \setminus I} \left(\sup_{\theta \in [- \infty, t]} \mathbb{E} \|f(\theta)\|^2 \right) d\mu(t) = \int_{A_\tau^\varepsilon} \left(\sup_{\theta \in [- \infty, t]} \mathbb{E} \|f(\theta)\|^2 \right) d\mu(t) + \int_{B_\tau^\varepsilon} \left(\sup_{\theta \in [- \infty, t]} \mathbb{E} \|f(\theta)\|^2 \right) d\mu(t),$$

Then for τ sufficiently large

$$\frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau] \setminus I)} \int_{[- \tau, \tau] \setminus I} \left(\sup_{\theta \in [- \infty, t]} \mathbb{E} \|f(\theta)\|^2 \right) d\mu(t) \leq \|f\|_\infty^2 \frac{\mu(A_\tau^\varepsilon)}{\nu([- \tau, \tau] \setminus I)} + \varepsilon \frac{\mu(B_\tau^\varepsilon)}{\nu([- \tau, \tau] \setminus I)}.$$

By using **(H₄)**, it follows that

$$\limsup_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{-\tau}^{+\tau} \left(\sup_{\theta \in [-\infty, t]} \mathbb{E} \|f(\theta)\|^2 \right) d\mu(t) \leq \alpha\varepsilon, \text{ for any } \varepsilon > 0,$$

consequently ii) holds.

Thus, we shall show that ii) implies iii).

Assume that ii) holds. From the following inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{[-\tau, \tau] \setminus I} \left(\sup_{\theta \in [-\infty, t]} \mathbb{E} \|f(\theta)\|^2 \right) d\mu(t) &\geq \int_{A_\tau^\varepsilon} \left(\sup_{\theta \in [-\infty, t]} \mathbb{E} \|f(\theta)\|^2 \right) d\mu(t) \\ \frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau] \setminus I)} \int_{[-\tau, \tau] \setminus I} \left(\sup_{\theta \in [-\infty, t]} \mathbb{E} \|f(\theta)\|^2 \right) d\mu(t) &\geq \varepsilon \frac{\mu(A_\tau^\varepsilon)}{\nu([- \tau, \tau] \setminus I)} \\ \frac{1}{\varepsilon \nu([- \tau, \tau] \setminus I)} \int_{[-\tau, \tau] \setminus I} \left(\sup_{\theta \in [-\infty, t]} \mathbb{E} \|f(\theta)\|^2 \right) d\mu(t) &\geq \frac{\mu(A_\tau^\varepsilon)}{\nu([- \tau, \tau] \setminus I)}, \end{aligned}$$

for τ sufficiently large, equation (2.3) is obtained, that is iii). ■

Definition 2.16. Let $f \in SBC(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H))$ and $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$. We denote by f_τ the function defined by $f_\tau(t) = f(t + \tau)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$. A subset \mathfrak{F} of $SBC(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H))$ is said to translation invariant if for all $f \in \mathfrak{F}$ we have $f_\tau \in \mathfrak{F}$ for all $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$.

Definition 2.17. Let μ_1 and $\mu_2 \in \mathcal{M}$. μ_1 is said to be equivalent to μ_2 ($\mu_1 \sim \mu_2$) if there exist constants α and $\beta > 0$ and a bounded interval I (eventually $I = \emptyset$) such that $\alpha\mu_1(A) \leq \mu_2(A) \leq \beta\mu_1(A)$ for $A \in \mathcal{N}$ satisfying $A \cap I = \emptyset$.

Remark 2.18. The relation \sim is an equivalence relation on \mathcal{M} .

Theorem 2.19. Let $\mu_1, \mu_2, \nu_1, \nu_2 \in \mathcal{M}$. If $\mu_1 \sim \mu_2$ and $\nu_1 \sim \nu_2$, then $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H), \mu_1, \nu_1, \infty) = \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H), \mu_2, \nu_2, \infty)$.

Proof. Since $\mu_1 \sim \mu_2$ and $\nu_1 \sim \nu_2$ there exist some constants $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2 > 0$ and a bounded interval I (eventually $I = \emptyset$) such that $\alpha_1\mu_1(A) \leq \mu_2(A) \leq \beta_1\mu_1(A)$ and $\alpha_2\nu_1(A) \leq \nu_2(A) \leq \beta_2\nu_1(A)$ for each $A \in \mathcal{N}$ satisfies $A \cap I = \emptyset$ i.e

$$\frac{1}{\beta_2\nu_1(A)} \leq \frac{1}{\nu_2(A)} \leq \frac{1}{\alpha_2\nu_1(A)}.$$

Since $\mu_1 \sim \mu_2$ and \mathcal{N} is the Lebesgue σ -field, then for τ sufficiently large, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\alpha_1\mu_1\left(\left\{t \in [-\tau, \tau] \setminus I : \sup_{\theta \in [-\infty, t]} \mathbb{E} \|f(\theta)\|^2 > \varepsilon\right\}\right)}{\beta_2\nu_1([- \tau, \tau] \setminus I)} &\leq \frac{\mu_2\left(\left\{t \in [-\tau, \tau] \setminus I : \sup_{\theta \in [-\infty, t]} \mathbb{E} \|f(\theta)\|^2 > \varepsilon\right\}\right)}{\nu_2([- \tau, \tau] \setminus I)} \\ &\leq \frac{\beta_1\mu_1\left(\left\{t \in [-\tau, \tau] \setminus I : \sup_{\theta \in [-\infty, t]} \mathbb{E} \|f(\theta)\|^2 > \varepsilon\right\}\right)}{\alpha_2\nu_1([- \tau, \tau] \setminus I)} \end{aligned}$$

Consequently by Theorem 3.2, $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, X, \mu_1, \nu_1, \infty) = \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, X, \mu_2, \nu_2, \infty)$. ■

Let $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}$ denote by

$$cl(\mu, \nu) = \{\omega_1, \omega_2 : \mu \sim \omega_1 \text{ and } \nu \sim \omega_2\}.$$

Lemma 2.20. [5] Let $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$. Then μ satisfies (\mathbf{H}_2) if and only if the measures μ and μ_τ are equivalent for all $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$.

Lemma 2.21. [6] (\mathbf{H}_3) implies for all σ , $\limsup_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu([- \tau - \sigma, \tau + \sigma])}{\mu([- \tau, \tau])} < +\infty$.

Theorem 2.22. Let $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}$ satisfy (\mathbf{H}_2) . Then $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, \infty)$ is translation invariant.

Proof. The proof of this theorem is inspired of Theorem (3.5) in [5]. Let $f \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, \infty)$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $\nu(\mathbb{R}) = +\infty$. there exists $a_0 > 0$ such that $\nu([- \tau - |a|, \tau + |a|]) > 0$ for $|a| \geq a_0$. Let us denote by

$$M_a(\tau) = \frac{1}{\nu_a([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{[- \tau, \tau]} \left(\sup_{\theta \in [- \infty, t]} \mathbb{E} \|f(\theta)\|^2 \right) d\mu_a(t) \quad \forall \tau > 0 \text{ and } a \in \mathbb{R},$$

where ν_a is the positive measure defined by equation(4.3). By using Lemma (2.20), it follows that ν and ν_a are equivalent, μ and μ_a are equivalent by using Theorem (2.19) we have $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H), \mu_a, \nu_a, \infty) = \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, \infty)$ therefore $f \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H), \mu_a, \nu_a, \infty)$ that is $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} M_a(\tau) = 0$ for all $a \in \mathbb{R}$.

For all $A \in \mathcal{N}$, we denote by \mathcal{X}_A the characteristic function of A , by using definition of the measure μ_a , we obtain that

$$\int_{[- \tau, \tau]} \mathcal{X}_A(t) d\mu_a(t) = \int_{[- \tau, \tau]} \mathcal{X}_A(t) d\mu(t+a) = \int_{[- \tau+a, \tau+a]} d\mu(t) \text{ for all } A \in \mathcal{N}$$

and since $t \mapsto \sup_{\theta \in [- \infty, t]} \mathbb{E} \|f(\theta)\|^2$ is the pointwise limit of an increasing sequence of linear combinations of functions [[12]; Theorem 1.17 p.15], we deduce that

$$\int_{[- \tau, \tau]} \sup_{\theta \in [- \infty, t]} \mathbb{E} \|f(\theta)\|^2 d\mu_a(t) = \int_{[- \tau+a, \tau+a]} \sup_{\theta \in [- \infty, t-a]} \mathbb{E} \|f(\theta)\|^2 d\mu(t).$$

If we denote by $a^+ := \max(a, 0)$ and $a^- := \max(-a, 0)$ we have $|a| + a = 2a^+$ and $|a| - a = 2a^-$, and then $[- \tau + a - |a|, \tau + a|a|] = [- \tau - 2a^-, \tau + 2a^+]$. Therefore we obtain

$$M_a(\tau + |a|) = \frac{1}{\nu([- \tau - 2a^-, \tau + 2a^+])} \int_{[- \tau - 2a^-, \tau + 2a^+]} \sup_{\theta \in [- \infty, t-a]} \mathbb{E} \|f(\theta)\|^2 d\mu(t). \quad (2.4)$$

From equation (2.4) and the following inequality

$$\frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{[- \tau, \tau]} \sup_{\theta \in [- \infty, t-a]} \mathbb{E} \|f(\theta)\|^2 d\mu(t) \leq \frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{[- \tau - 2a^-, \tau + 2a^+]} \sup_{\theta \in [- \infty, t-a]} \mathbb{E} \|f(\theta)\|^2 d\mu(t)$$

we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{[- \tau, \tau]} \sup_{\theta \in [- \infty, t-a]} \mathbb{E} \|f(\theta)\|^2 d\mu(t) \leq \frac{\nu([- \tau - 2a^-, \tau + 2a^+])}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \times M_a(\tau + |a|).$$

That implies ,

$$\frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{[- \tau, \tau]} \sup_{\theta \in [- \infty, t-a]} \mathbb{E} \|f(\theta)\|^2 d\mu(t) \leq \frac{\nu([- \tau - 2a^-, \tau + 2a^+])}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \times M_a(\tau + |a|)$$

That implies

$$\frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{[- \tau, \tau]} \sup_{\theta \in [- \infty, t-a]} \mathbb{E} \|f(\theta)\|^2 d\mu(t) \leq \frac{\nu([- \tau - 2|a|, \tau + 2|a|])}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \times M_a(\tau + |a|). \quad (2.5)$$

From equation(2.4) and equation(2.5) and using Lemma (2.21) we deduce that

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{[- \tau, \tau]} \sup_{\theta \in [- \infty, t - a]} \mathbb{E} \|f(\theta)\|^2 d\mu(t) = 0$$

which equivalent to

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{[- \tau, \tau]} \sup_{\theta \in [- \infty, t]} \mathbb{E} \|f(\theta - a)\|^2 d\mu(t) = 0.$$

That is $f_{-a} \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, \infty)$. We have proved that $f \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, \infty)$ then $f_{-a} \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, \infty)$ for $a \in \mathbb{R}$. That is $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, \infty)$ is translation invariant.

Proposition 2.23. *Let $\nu, \mu \in \mathcal{M}$ satisfy . Then $SPAA(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, \infty)$ is translation invariant, that is for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f \in SPAA(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, \infty)$, $f_\alpha \in SPAA(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, \infty)$.*

Lemma 2.24. *(Ito's isometry). [13] Let $W : [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ denote the canonical real-valued Wiener process defined up to time $T > 0$, and let $X : [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a stochastic process that is adapted to the natural filtration \mathcal{F}_*^W of the Wiener process. Then*

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^T X_t dW_t \right)^2 \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T X_t^2 dt \right],$$

where \mathbb{E} denotes expectation with respect to classical Wiener measure.

3. Square-Mean (μ, ν) -Pseudo Almost automorphic Process

In this section, we define square-mean (μ, ν) -pseudo almost automorphic and we study their basic properties.

Definition 3.1. *Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow L^2(P, H)$ be a continuous stochastic process. f is said be square-mean almost automorphic process if for every sequence of real numbers $(t'_n)_n$, we can extract a subsequence $(t_n)_n$ such that, for some stochastic process $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow L^2(P, H)$, we have*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{E} \|f(t + t_n) - g(t)\|^2 = 0 \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{E} \|g(t - t_n) - f(t)\|^2 = 0 \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}$$

We denote the space of all such stochastic process by $SAA(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H))$.

Theorem 3.2. *[11] $SAA(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H))$ equipped with the norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ is a Banach space.*

Definition 3.3. *Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow L^2(P, H)$ be a bounded continuous stochastic process. f is said be square-mean compact almost automorphic process if for every sequence of real numbers $(t'_n)_n$, we can extract a subsequence $(t_n)_n$ such that, for some stochastic process $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow L^2(P, H)$, we have*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{E} \|f(t + t_n) - h(t)\|^2 = 0 \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{E} \|h(t - t_n) - f(t)\|^2 = 0 \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}$$

uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{R} . We denote the space of all such stochastic process by $SAA_c(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H))$.

Theorem 3.4. *$SAA_c(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H))$ equipped with the norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ is a Banach space.*

Definition 3.5. A function $f : \mathbb{R} \times L^2(P, H) \rightarrow L^2(P, H)$, $(t, x) \mapsto f(t, x)$, which is jointly continuous, is said to be square mean almost automorphic in $t \in \mathbb{R}$ for each $x \in L^2(P, H)$ if for every sequence of real numbers $(t'_n)_n$, there exist a subsequence $(t_n)_n$ such that for some function g

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{E} \|f(t + t_n, x) - g(t, x)\|^2 = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{E} \|g(t - t_n, x) - f(t, x)\|^2 = 0$$

for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and each $x \in L^2(P, H)$.

We denote the space off all such stochastic processes by $SAA(\mathbb{R} \times L^2(P, H), L^2(P, H))$.

Definition 3.6. Let $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}$ and $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow L^2(P, H)$ be a continuous stochastic process. f is said be (μ, ν) -square mean pseudo almost automorphic process if it can be decomposed as follows

$$f = g + \phi,$$

where $g \in SAA(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H))$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu)$.

We denote the space of all such stochastic processes by $SPAA(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu)$.

Definition 3.7. Let $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}$ and $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow L^2(P, H)$ be a continuous stochastic process. f is said be (μ, ν) -square mean compact pseudo almost automorphic process if it can be decomposed as follows

$$f = g + \phi,$$

where $g \in SAA_c(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H))$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu)$.

We denote the space of all such stochastic processes by $SPAA_c(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu)$.

Hence, together with Theorem 2.22 and Definition 3.7, we arrive at the following conclusion.

Theorem 3.8. Let $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}$ and $f \in SPAA(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, \infty)$ be such that

$$f = g + \phi,$$

where $g \in SAA(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H))$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, \infty)$. If $SPAA(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, \infty)$ is translation invariant, then

$$\overline{\{f(t), t \in \mathbb{R}\}} \supset \{g(t), t \in \mathbb{R}\}. \tag{3.1}$$

The proof of Theorem 3.8 is similar to the proof of Theorem 4.1 in [5]

Theorem 3.9. Let $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}$. Assume that $SPAA(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, \infty)$ is a Banach space with the norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$.

The proof of the theorem above is similar to the proof of Theorem 4.9 in [5].

Next, we study the composition of square-mean (μ, ν) pseudo almost automorphic processes.

Definition 3.10. Let $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}$. A continuous function $f(t, x) : \mathbb{R} \times L^2(P, H) \rightarrow L^2(P, H)$ is said to be square mean (μ, ν) -pseudo almost automorphic in t for any $x \in L^2(P, H)$ if it can be decomposed as $f = g + \phi$, where $g \in SAA(\mathbb{R} \times L^2(P, H), L^2(P, H))$, $\phi \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R} \times L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, \infty)$. Denote the set of all such stochastically continuous processes by $SPAA(\mathbb{R} \times L^2(P, H), L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, \infty)$

Theorem 3.11. [11] Let $f : \mathbb{R} \times L^2(P, H) \rightarrow L^2(P, H)$, $(t, x) \mapsto f(t, x)$ be square-mean almost automorphic in $t \in \mathbb{R}$ for each $x \in L^2(P, H)$, and assume that f satisfies the Lipschitz condition in the following sense:

$$\mathbb{E} \|f(t, x) - f(t, y)\|^2 \leq L \mathbb{E} \|x - y\|^2$$

for all $x, y \in L^2(P, H)$ and for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$, where $L > 0$ is independent of t . Then for any square-mean almost automorphic process $x : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow L^2(P, H)$, the stochastic process $F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow L^2(P, H)$ given by $F(t) := f(t, x(t))$ is square-mean almost automorphic.

Square-mean pseudo almost automorphic solutions of infinite class under the light of measure theory

Theorem 3.12. Let $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}$, $\phi = \phi_1 + \phi_2 \in SPAA(\mathbb{R} \times L^2(P, H); L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, \infty)$ with $\phi_1 \in SAA(\mathbb{R} \times L^2(P, H); L^2(P, H))$, $\phi_2 \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R} \times L^2(P, H); L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, \infty)$ and $h \in SPAA(\mathbb{R}; L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, \infty)$. Assume:

- i) $\phi_1(t, x)$ is uniformly continuous on any bounded subset uniformly for $t \in \mathbb{R}$.
- ii) there exist a nonnegative function $L_\phi \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$, ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$) such that

$$\mathbb{E}|\phi(t, x_1) - \phi(t, x_2)|^2 \leq L_\phi(t)\mathbb{E}\|x_1 - x_2\|^2, \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and for all } x_1, x_2 \in L^2(P, H). \quad (3.2)$$

If

$$\beta = \lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \left(\sup_{\theta \in [-\infty, t]} L_\phi(\theta) \right) d\mu(t) < \infty \quad (3.3)$$

then the function $t \rightarrow \phi(t, h(t))$ belongs to $SPAA(\mathbb{R}; L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, \infty)$.

To prove the theorem, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.13. Assume (H_3) holds and let $f \in SBC(\mathbb{R}; L^2(P, H))$. Then $f \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}; L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, \infty)$ if and only if for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\mu(M_{\tau, \varepsilon}(f))}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} = 0$$

where

$$M_{\tau, \varepsilon}(f) = \{t \in [-\tau, \tau] : \sup_{\theta \in [-\infty, t]} \mathbb{E}\|f(\theta)\|^2 \geq \varepsilon\}.$$

Proof. Suppose that $f \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}; L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, \infty)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{-\tau}^{+\tau} \sup_{\theta \in [-\infty, t]} \mathbb{E}\|f(\theta)\|^2 d\mu(t) &= \frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{M_{\tau, \varepsilon}(f)} \sup_{\theta \in [-\infty, t]} \mathbb{E}\|f(\theta)\|^2 d\mu(t) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{[-\tau, \tau] \setminus M_{\tau, \varepsilon}(f)} \sup_{\theta \in [-\infty, t]} \mathbb{E}\|f(\theta)\|^2 d\mu(t) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{M_{\tau, \varepsilon}(f)} \sup_{\theta \in [-\infty, t]} \mathbb{E}\|f(\theta)\|^2 d\mu(t) \\ &\geq \frac{\varepsilon \mu(M_{\tau, \varepsilon}(f))}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\mu(M_{\tau, \varepsilon}(f))}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} = 0.$$

Suppose that $f \in SBC(\mathbb{R}; L^2(P, H))$ such that for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\mu(M_{\tau, \varepsilon}(f))}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} = 0.$$

Assume $\mathbb{E}\|f(t)\|^2 \leq N$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, then using (\mathbf{H}_3) , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{- \tau}^{+ \tau} \sup_{\theta \in] - \infty, t]} \mathbb{E}\|f(\theta)\|^2 d\mu(t) &= \frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{M_{\tau, \varepsilon}(f)} \sup_{\theta \in] - \infty, t]} \mathbb{E}\|f(\theta)\|^2 d\mu(t) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{[- \tau, \tau] \setminus M_{\tau, \varepsilon}(f)} \sup_{\theta \in] - \infty, t]} \mathbb{E}\|f(\theta)\|^2 d\mu(t) \\
 &\leq \frac{N}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{M_{\tau, \varepsilon}(f)} d\mu(t) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{[- \tau, \tau] \setminus M_{\tau, \varepsilon}(f)} \sup_{\theta \in] - \infty, t]} \mathbb{E}\|f(\theta)\|^2 d\mu(t) \\
 &\leq \frac{N}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{M_{\tau, \varepsilon}(f)} d\mu(t) + \frac{\varepsilon}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{[- \tau, \tau]} d\mu(t) \\
 &\leq \frac{N\mu(M_{\tau, \varepsilon}(f))}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} + \frac{\varepsilon\mu([- \tau, \tau])}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Which implies that

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{- \tau}^{+ \tau} \sup_{\theta \in] - \infty, t]} \mathbb{E}\|f(\theta)\|^2 d\mu(t) \leq \alpha\varepsilon \text{ for any } \varepsilon > 0.$$

Therefore $f \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}; L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, \infty)$. ■

The following proof is for the Theorem(3.12).

Proof. Assume that $\phi = \phi_1 + \phi_2$, $h = h_1 + h_2$ where $\phi_1 \in AA(\mathbb{R} \times L^2(P, H); L^2(P, H))$, $\phi_2 \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R} \times L^2(P, H); L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, \infty)$ and $h_1 \in AA(\mathbb{R}; L^2(P, H))$, $h_2 \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}; L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, \infty)$. Consider the following decomposition

$$\phi(t, h(t)) = \phi_1(t, h_1(t)) + [\phi(t, h(t)) - \phi(t, h_1(t))] + \phi_2(t, h_1(t)).$$

From [11], $\phi_1(\cdot, h_1(\cdot)) \in SAA(\mathbb{R}; L^2(P, H))$. It remains to prove that both $\phi(\cdot, h(\cdot)) - \phi(\cdot, h_1(\cdot))$ and $\phi_2(\cdot, h_1(\cdot))$ belong to $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}; L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, \infty)$. Clearly, $\phi(t, h(t)) - \phi(t, h_1(t))$ is bounded and continuous. Assume $\mathbb{E}\|\phi(t, h(t)) - \phi(t, h_1(t))\|^2 \leq N$, $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $h(t)$, $h_1(t)$ are bounded, choose a bounded subset $B \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that $h(\mathbb{R}), h_1(\mathbb{R}) \subset B$. Under assumption (ii), for a given $\varepsilon > 0$, $\mathbb{E}\|x_1 - x_2\|^2 \leq \varepsilon$, implies that $\mathbb{E}\|\phi(t, x_1) - \phi(t, x_2)\|^2 \leq \varepsilon L_\phi(t)$, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Since for $\delta \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}; L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, \infty)$, Lemma 3.13 yields that

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \mu(M_{\tau, \varepsilon}(\delta)) = 0.$$

Consequently

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{- \tau}^{+ \tau} \left(\sup_{\theta \in [- \infty, t]} \mathbb{E} \|\phi(\theta, h(\theta)) - \phi(\theta, h_1(\theta))\|^2 \right) d\mu(t) \\
 &= \frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{M_{\tau, \varepsilon}(\delta)} \left(\sup_{\theta \in [- \infty, t]} \mathbb{E} \|\phi(\theta, h(\theta)) - \phi(\theta, h_1(\theta))\|^2 \right) d\mu(t) \\
 &+ \frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{[- \tau, \tau] \setminus M_{\tau, \varepsilon}(\delta)} \left(\sup_{\theta \in [- \infty, t]} \mathbb{E} \|\phi(\theta, h(\theta)) - \phi(\theta, h_1(\theta))\|^2 \right) d\mu(t) \\
 &\leq \frac{N}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{M_{\tau, \varepsilon}(\delta)} d\mu(t) + \frac{\varepsilon}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{[- \tau, \tau] \setminus M_{\tau, \varepsilon}(\delta)} \left(\sup_{\theta \in [- \infty, t]} |L_\phi(\theta)| \right) d\mu(t) \\
 &\leq \frac{N}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{M_{\tau, \varepsilon}(\delta)} d\mu(t) + \frac{\varepsilon}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{[- \tau, \tau]} \left(\sup_{\theta \in [- \infty, t]} |L_\phi(\theta)| \right) d\mu(t) \\
 &\leq \frac{N\mu(M_{\tau, \varepsilon}(\delta))}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} + \frac{\varepsilon}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{[- \tau, \tau]} \left(\sup_{\theta \in [- \infty, t]} |L_\phi(\theta)| \right) d\mu(t).
 \end{aligned}$$

Which implies that

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{- \tau}^{+ \tau} \left(\sup_{\theta \in [- \infty, t]} \mathbb{E} \|\phi(\theta, h(\theta)) - \phi(\theta, h_1(\theta))\|^2 \right) d\mu(t) \leq \varepsilon \beta \text{ for any } \varepsilon > 0,$$

which shows that $t \mapsto \phi(t, h(t)) - \phi(t, h_1(t))$ is (μ, ν) -ergodic of infinite class.

Now to complete the proof, it is enough to prove that $t \mapsto \phi_2(t, h(t))$ is (μ, ν) -ergodic of infinite class. Since ϕ_2 is uniformly continuous on the compact set $\Omega = \{h_1(t) : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ with respect to the second variable x , then for given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, ξ_1 and $\xi_2 \in \Omega$, one has

$$\mathbb{E} \|\xi_1 - \xi_2\|^2 \leq \delta \Rightarrow \mathbb{E} \|\phi_2(t, \xi_1(t)) - \phi_2(t, \xi_2(t))\|^2 \leq \varepsilon.$$

Therefore, there exist $n(\varepsilon)$ and $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^{n(\varepsilon)} \subset \Omega$, such that

$$\Omega \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{n(\varepsilon)} B_\delta(z_i, \delta)$$

and then

$$\mathbb{E} \|\phi_2(t, h_1(t))\|^2 \leq \varepsilon + \sum_{n=1}^{n(\varepsilon)} \mathbb{E} \|\phi_2(t, z_i)\|^2$$

Since

$$\forall i \in \{1, \dots, n(\varepsilon)\}, \quad \lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{- \tau}^{\tau} \left(\sup_{\theta \in [- \infty, t]} \mathbb{E} \|\phi_2(\theta, z_i)\|^2 \right) d\mu(t) = 0,$$

then

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \quad \limsup_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{- \tau}^{\tau} \left(\sup_{\theta \in [- \infty, t]} \mathbb{E} \|\phi_2(\theta, h_1(t))\|^2 \right) d\mu(t) \leq \varepsilon,$$

that implies

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{- \tau}^{\tau} \left(\sup_{\theta \in [- \infty, t]} \mathbb{E} \|\phi_2(\theta, h_1(t))\|^2 \right) d\mu(t) = 0.$$

Consequently $t \mapsto \phi_2(t, h(t))$ is (μ, ν) -ergodic of infinite class. ■

4. Square-mean pseudo almost automorphic solutions of infinite class

(H₅): g is a stochastically bounded process.

Theorem 4.1. *Assume that (H₀), (H₁), (H₄) and (H₅) hold and the semigroup $(U(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is hyperbolic. If f is bounded and continuous on \mathbb{R} , then there exists a unique bounded solution u of equation (1.1) on \mathbb{R} given by*

$$\begin{aligned} u_t = & \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{-\infty}^t U^s(t-s) \Pi^s(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 f(s)) ds + \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{+\infty}^t U^u(t-s) \Pi^u(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 f(s)) ds \\ & + \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{-\infty}^t U^s(t-s) \Pi^s(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 g(s)) dW(s) + \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{+\infty}^t U^u(t-s) \Pi^u(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 g(s)) dW(s) \end{aligned}$$

$\forall t \geq 0$, where $\tilde{B}_\lambda = \lambda(\lambda I - \tilde{A}_U)^{-1}$, Π^s and Π^u are the projections of \mathcal{B}_A onto the stable and unstable subspaces.

Proof. Let $u_t = v(t) + \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{-\infty}^t U^s(t-s) \Pi^s(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 g(s)) dW(s)$
 $+ \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{+\infty}^t U^u(t-s) \Pi^u(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 g(s)) dW(s) \forall t \geq 0$, where
 $v(t) = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{-\infty}^t U^s(t-s) \Pi^s(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 f(s)) ds + \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{+\infty}^t U^u(t-s) \Pi^u(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 f(s)) ds$

Let us first prove that u_t exists. The existence of $v(t)$ have proved by [1]. Now, we show that the limit

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{-\infty}^t U^s(t-s) \Pi^s(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 g(s)) dW(s) \text{ exist.}$$

For $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and using the Ito's isometry property of the stochastic integral we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left\| \int_{-\infty}^t U^s(t-s) \Pi^s(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 g(s)) dW(s) \right\|^2 & \leq \mathbb{E} \int_{-\infty}^t \overline{M}^2 e^{-2w(t-s)} |\Pi^s|^2 \|(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 g(s))\|^2 ds \\ & \leq \overline{M}^2 \mathbb{E} \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-2w(t-s)} |\Pi^s|^2 \|(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 g(s))\|^2 ds \\ & \leq \overline{M}^2 \tilde{M}^2 |\Pi^s|^2 \mathbb{E} \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-2w(t-s)} \|g(s)\|^2 ds \\ & \leq \overline{M}^2 \tilde{M}^2 |\Pi^s|^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E} \left(\int_{t-n}^{t-n+1} e^{-2w(t-s)} \|g(s)\|^2 ds \right). \end{aligned}$$

then, using the Holders inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left\| \int_{-\infty}^t U^s(t-s) \Pi^s(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 g(s)) dW(s) \right\|^2 \\ & \leq \overline{M}^2 \tilde{M}^2 |\Pi^s|^2 \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \left(\int_{t-n}^{t-n+1} e^{-4w(t-s)} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{E} \left(\int_{t-n}^{t-n+1} \|g(s)\|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq \overline{M}^2 \tilde{M}^2 |\Pi^s|^2 \frac{1}{2\sqrt{w}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(e^{-4w(n-1)} - e^{-4wn} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{E} \left(\int_{t-n}^{t-n+1} \|g(s)\|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq \overline{M}^2 \tilde{M}^2 |\Pi^s|^2 \frac{1}{2\sqrt{w}} (e^{4wn} - 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-2wn} \times \mathbb{E} \left(\int_{t-n}^{t-n+1} \|g(s)\|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

Since the serie $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-2wn}$ is convergent, then it exists a constant $c > 0$ such that

$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-2wn} \leq c$, moreover it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left\| \int_{-\infty}^t \mathcal{U}^s(t-s) \Pi^s (\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 g(s)) dW(s) \right\|^2 &\leq \overline{M} \widetilde{M}^2 |\Pi^s|^2 \frac{1}{2\sqrt{w}} (e^{4w} - 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{E} \|g(s)\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-2wn} \\ &\leq \gamma \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-2wn} \\ &\leq \gamma c, \end{aligned}$$

where, $\gamma = \overline{M}^2 \widetilde{M}^2 |\Pi^s|^2 \frac{1}{2\sqrt{w}} (e^{4w} - 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{E} \|g(s)\|$.

Let $F(n, s, t) = \mathcal{U}^s(t-s) \Pi^s (\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 g(s))$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ for $s \leq t$.

For n is sufficiently large and $\sigma \leq t$ and using the Ito's isometry property of the stochastic integral we get the following result

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E} \left\| \int_{-\infty}^{\sigma} F(n, s, t) dW(s) \right\|^2 \\ &\leq \overline{M}^2 \widetilde{M}^2 |\Pi^s|^2 \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \left(\int_{\sigma-n}^{\sigma-n+1} e^{-4w(t-s)} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \times \mathbb{E} \left(\int_{\sigma-n}^{\sigma-n+1} \|g(s)\|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \overline{M}^2 \widetilde{M}^2 |\Pi^s|^2 \frac{1}{2\sqrt{w}} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (e^{-4w(t-\sigma+n-1)} - e^{-4w(t-\sigma+n)}) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad \times \mathbb{E} \left(\int_{\sigma-n}^{\sigma-n+1} \|g(s)\|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \overline{M}^2 \widetilde{M}^2 |\Pi^s|^2 \frac{1}{2\sqrt{w}} e^{-2w(t-\sigma)} (e^{4w} - 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-2wn} \times \mathbb{E} \left(\int_{\sigma-n}^{\sigma-n+1} \|g(s)\|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \gamma c e^{-2w(t-\sigma)} \end{aligned}$$

It follow that for n and m sufficiently large and $\sigma \leq t$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left\| \int_{-\infty}^t F(n, s, t) dW(s) - \int_{-\infty}^t F(m, s, t) dW(s) \right\|^2 &\leq \mathbb{E} \left\| \int_{-\infty}^{\sigma} F(n, s, t) dW(s) + \int_{\sigma}^t F(n, s, t) dW(s) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \int_{-\infty}^{\sigma} F(m, s, t) dW(s) - \int_{\sigma}^t F(m, s, t) dW(s) \right\|^2 \\ &\leq 3\mathbb{E} \left\| \int_{-\infty}^{\sigma} F(n, s, t) dW(s) \right\|^2 + 3\mathbb{E} \left\| \int_{-\infty}^{\sigma} F(m, s, t) dW(s) \right\|^2 \\ &\quad + 3\mathbb{E} \left\| \int_{\sigma}^t F(n, s, t) dW(s) - \int_{\sigma}^t F(m, s, t) dW(s) \right\|^2 \\ &\leq 6\gamma c e^{-2w(t-\sigma)} + 3\mathbb{E} \left\| \int_{\sigma}^t F(n, s, t) dW(s) - \int_{\sigma}^t F(m, s, t) dW(s) \right\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{E} \left\| \int_{\sigma}^t F(n, s, t) dW(s) \right\|^2$ exists, then

$$\limsup_{n, m \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{E} \left\| \int_{-\infty}^t F(n, s, t) dW(s) - \int_{-\infty}^t F(m, s, t) dW(s) \right\|^2 \leq 6\gamma c e^{-2w(t-\sigma)}$$

If $\sigma \rightarrow -\infty$, then

$$\limsup_{n,m \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{E} \left\| \int_{-\infty}^t F(n, s, t) dW(s) - \int_{-\infty}^t F(m, s, t) dW(s) \right\|^2 = 0.$$

We deduce that the limit

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{E} \left\| \int_{-\infty}^t F(n, s, t) dW(s) \right\|^2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{E} \left\| \int_{-\infty}^t \mathcal{U}^s(t-s) \Pi^s(\tilde{B}_n X_0 g(s)) dW(s) \right\|^2$$

exists. Therefore, $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{-\infty}^t \mathcal{U}^s(t-s) \Pi^s(\tilde{B}_n X_0 g(s)) dW(s)$ exists. In addition, one can show that the function

$$t \rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{E} \left\| \int_{-\infty}^t \mathcal{U}^s(t-s) \Pi^s(\tilde{B}_n X_0 g(s)) ds \right\|^2$$

is bounded on \mathbb{R} . Similary, we can show that the function

$$t \rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_t^{+\infty} \mathcal{U}^u(t-s) \Pi^u(\tilde{B}_n X_0 g(s)) dW(s)$$

is well defined and bounded on \mathbb{R} . ■

Theorem 4.2. Assume that (H_3) holds. Let $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\phi \in SPAA_c(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, \infty)$ then the function $t \rightarrow \phi_t$, belongs to $SPAA_c(C[-\infty, 0], L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, \infty)$.

Proof. Assume that $\phi = v + h$, where $v \in SAA_c(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H))$ and $h \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, \infty)$. We have $\phi_t = v_t + h_t$. Firstly, we show that $v_t \in SAA_c(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H))$.

Let $(s_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ of real numbers, fix a subsequence $(s_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $w \in SBC(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H))$ such that $v(s + s_n) \rightarrow w(s)$ uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{R} . Let $K \subset [-L; L]$. For $\varepsilon > 0$ fix $N_{\varepsilon, L} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mathbb{E} \|v(s + s_n) - w(s)\|^2 \leq \varepsilon$ for $s \in [-L; L]$. Whenever $n \geq N_{\varepsilon, L}$. For $t \in K$ and $n \geq N_{\varepsilon, L}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \|v_{t+s_n} - w_t\|^2 &\leq \sup_{\theta \in [-L; L]} \mathbb{E} \|v(\theta + s_n) - w(\theta)\|^2 \\ &\leq \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

then, v_{t-s_n} converges to w_t uniformly in K . Similary, we can show prove that w_{t-s_n} converges to v_t uniformly in K .

Finally, we show that $h_t \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, \infty)$

$$M_\alpha = \frac{1}{\nu_\alpha([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \left(\sup_{\theta \in [-\infty, t]} \mathbb{E} \|h(\theta)\|^2 \right) d\mu_\alpha(t).$$

Where μ_α and ν_α are the positive measures defined by equation (4.3). By using Lemma (2.20), it follows that μ_α and μ are equivalent and ν_α and ν are also equivalent. Then by using Theorem (3.8) we have $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H), \mu_\alpha, \nu_\alpha, \infty) = \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, \infty)$ therefore $h \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H), \mu_\alpha, \nu_\alpha, \infty)$ that is $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} M_\alpha(\tau) = 0$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. On the other hand, for $r > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \sup_{\theta \in [-\infty, t]} \left(\sup_{\eta \in [-\infty, 0]} (\mathbb{E} \|h(\theta + \eta)\|^2) \right) d\mu(t) \leq \frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \sup_{\theta \in [-\infty, t]} (\mathbb{E} \|h(\theta)\|^2) d\mu(t) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \left[\sup_{\theta \in [-\infty, t-r]} (\mathbb{E} \|h(\theta)\|^2) + \sup_{\theta \in [-\infty, t]} (\mathbb{E} \|h(\theta)\|^2) \right] d\mu(t) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \sup_{\theta \in [-\infty, \tau+r]} (\mathbb{E} \|h(\theta)\|^2) d\mu(t+r) + \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \sup_{\theta \in [-\infty, t]} (\mathbb{E} \|h(\theta)\|^2) d\mu(t) \\ &\leq \frac{\nu([- \tau - r, \tau + r])}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \times \frac{1}{\nu([- \tau - r, \tau + r])} \int_{-\tau-r}^{\tau+r} \sup_{\theta \in [-\infty, t]} \mathbb{E} \|h(\theta)\|^2 d\mu(t+r) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \sup_{\theta \in [-\infty, t]} \mathbb{E} \|h(\theta)\|^2 d\mu(t) \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \sup_{\theta \in]-\infty, t]} \left(\sup_{\eta \in]-\infty, 0]} (\mathbb{E} \|h(\theta + \eta)\|^2) \right) d\mu(t) &\leq \frac{\nu([- \tau - r, \tau + r])}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \times M_r(\tau + r) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \sup_{\theta \in]-\infty, t]} \mathbb{E} \|h(\theta)\|^2 d\mu(t) \end{aligned}$$

which shows using Lemma(2.21) and Lemma (2.20) that ϕ_t belongs to $SPAA_c(C[-\infty, 0], L^2(P, H)), \mu, \nu, \infty$. Thus, we obtain the desired result ■

Theorem 4.3. Let $f, g \in SAA_c(\mathbb{R}, X)$ and Γ be the mapping defined for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(f, g)(t) = &\left[\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{-\infty}^t \mathcal{U}^s(t-s) \Pi^s(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 f(s)) ds + \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{+\infty}^t \mathcal{U}^u(t-s) \Pi^s(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 f(s)) ds \right. \\ &\left. + \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{-\infty}^t \mathcal{U}^s(t-s) \Pi^s(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 g(s)) dW(s) + \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{+\infty}^t \mathcal{U}^u(t-s) \Pi^s(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 g(s)) dW(s) \right] (0) \end{aligned}$$

Then $\Gamma(f, g) \in SAA_c(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H))$.

Proof. Let $(s_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ of real numbers, fix a subsequence $(s_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $v, h \in SBC(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H))$ such that $f(t + s_n)$ converges to $v(t)$ and $g(t + s_n)$ converges to $h(t)$ uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{R} . using Lemma 2.4 and Theorem 2.10, we get the following estimates

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \|\mathcal{U}^s(t-s) \Pi^s(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 f(s))\|^2 \leq \overline{M}^2 \widetilde{M}^2 |\Pi^s|^2 e^{-2\omega(t-s)} \|f(s)\|^2 \quad (4.1)$$

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \|\mathcal{U}^u(t-s) \Pi^s(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 f(s))\|^2 \leq \overline{M}^2 \widetilde{M}^2 |\Pi^u|^2 e^{2\omega(t-s)} \|f(s)\|^2 \quad (4.2)$$

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \|\mathcal{U}^s(t-s) \Pi^s(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 g(s))\|^2 \leq \overline{M}^2 \widetilde{M}^2 |\Pi^s|^2 e^{-2\omega(t-s)} \|g(s)\|^2 \quad (4.3)$$

and

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \|\mathcal{U}^u(t-s) \Pi^s(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 g(s))\|^2 \leq \overline{M}^2 \widetilde{M}^2 |\Pi^u|^2 e^{2\omega(t-s)} \|g(s)\|^2 \quad (4.4)$$

Therefore, if

$$\begin{aligned} w(t + s_n) = &\left[\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{-\infty}^t \mathcal{U}^s(t-s) \Pi^s(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 f(s + s_n)) ds + \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{+\infty}^t \mathcal{U}^u(t-s) \Pi^s(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 f(s + s_n)) ds \right. \\ &\left. + \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{-\infty}^t \mathcal{U}^s(t-s) \Pi^s(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 g(s + s_n)) dW(s) + \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{+\infty}^t \mathcal{U}^u(t-s) \Pi^s(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 g(s + s_n)) dW(s) \right] \end{aligned}$$

then by Equations.(4.1), (4.2), (4.3) and (4.4) and the Lebesgue Dominated convergence Theorem, we have $w(t + s_n)$ that converges to $v(t)$.

$$\begin{aligned} v(t) = &\left[\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{-\infty}^t \mathcal{U}^s(t-s) \Pi^s(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 f(s)) ds + \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{+\infty}^t \mathcal{U}^u(t-s) \Pi^s(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 f(s)) ds \right. \\ &\left. + \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{-\infty}^t \mathcal{U}^s(t-s) \Pi^s(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 g(s)) dW(s) + \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{+\infty}^t \mathcal{U}^u(t-s) \Pi^s(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 g(s)) dW(s) \right] \end{aligned}$$

Now, It remains to prove that the convergence is uniform on all compact subset of \mathbb{R} . Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an arbitrary compact and let $\varepsilon > 0$. We fix $L > 0$ and $N_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $K \subset \left[\frac{-L}{2}; \frac{L}{2} \right]$ with,

$$\int_{\frac{L}{2}}^{+\infty} e^{-2\omega s} ds < \varepsilon.$$

$$\mathbb{E}\|f(s + s_n) - v(s)\|^2 \leq \varepsilon \text{ for } n \geq N_\varepsilon \text{ and } s \in [-L, L]. \quad (4.5)$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}\|g(s + s_n) - h(s)\|^2 \leq \varepsilon \text{ for } n \geq N_\varepsilon \text{ and } s \in [-L, L]. \quad (4.6)$$

Then, for each $t \in K$, ones has

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}\|w(t + s_n) - z(t)\|^2 \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left\| \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{-\infty}^t \mathcal{U}^s(t-s) \Pi^s(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 f(s + s_n)) ds + \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{+\infty}^t \mathcal{U}^u(t-s) \Pi^s(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 f(s + s_n)) ds \right. \\ &+ \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{-\infty}^t \mathcal{U}^s(t-s) \Pi^s(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 g(s + s_n)) dW(s) + \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{+\infty}^t \mathcal{U}^u(t-s) \Pi^s(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 g(s + s_n)) dW(s) \\ &- \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{-\infty}^t \mathcal{U}^s(t-s) \Pi^s(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 v(s)) ds - \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{+\infty}^t \mathcal{U}^u(t-s) \Pi^s(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 v(s)) ds \\ &- \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{-\infty}^t \mathcal{U}^s(t-s) \Pi^s(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 h(s)) dW(s) - \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{+\infty}^t \mathcal{U}^u(t-s) \Pi^s(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 h(s)) dW(s) \left. \right\|^2 \\ &\leq 4 \left(\mathbb{E}\left\| \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{-\infty}^t \mathcal{U}^s(t-s) \Pi^s(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 (f(s + s_n) - v(s))) ds \right\|^2 \right. \\ &+ \mathbb{E}\left\| \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_t^{+\infty} \mathcal{U}^u(t-s) \Pi^s(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 (f(s + s_n) - v(s))) ds \right\|^2 \\ &+ \mathbb{E}\left\| \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{-\infty}^t \mathcal{U}^s(t-s) \Pi^s(\tilde{B}_\lambda (X_0 g(s + s_n) - h(s))) dW(s) \right\|^2 \\ &+ \mathbb{E}\left\| \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_t^{+\infty} \mathcal{U}^u(t-s) \Pi^s(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 (g(s + s_n) - h(s))) dW(s) \right\|^2 \left. \right) \end{aligned}$$

progressively, we increase each terms of previous inegalitie.

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}\left\| \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{-\infty}^t \mathcal{U}^s(t-s) \Pi^s(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 (f(s + s_n) - v(s))) ds \right\|^2 \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}\left(\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \left\| \int_{-\infty}^t \mathcal{U}^s(t-s) \Pi^s(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 (f(s + s_n) - v(s))) ds \right\|^2 \right) \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}\left(\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{-\infty}^t \left\| \mathcal{U}^s(t-s) \Pi^s(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 (f(s + s_n) - v(s))) ds \right\|^2 \right) \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}\left(\int_{-\infty}^t \bar{M}^2 \tilde{M}^2 e^{-2\omega(t-s)} |\Pi^s|^2 \left\| f(s + s_n) - v(s) \right\|^2 ds \right) \\ &\leq \int_{-\infty}^t \bar{M}^2 \tilde{M}^2 e^{-2\omega(t-s)} |\Pi^s|^2 \mathbb{E}\left\| f(s + s_n) - v(s) \right\|^2 ds \\ &\leq \bar{M}^2 |\Pi^s|^2 \int_{-\infty}^{-L} e^{-2\omega(t-s)} \mathbb{E}\left\| f(s + s_n) - v(s) \right\|^2 ds \\ &+ \bar{M}^2 \tilde{M}^2 |\Pi^s|^2 \int_{-L}^t e^{-2\omega(t-s)} \mathbb{E}\left\| f(s + s_n) - v(s) \right\|^2 ds \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}\left\| \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_t^{+\infty} \mathcal{U}^u(t-s) \Pi^s(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 (f(s + s_n) - v(s))) ds \right\|^2 \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}\left(\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_t^{+\infty} \left\| \mathcal{U}^u(t-s) \Pi^s(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 (f(s + s_n) - v(s))) ds \right\|^2 \right) \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}\left(\int_t^{+\infty} \bar{M}^2 \tilde{M}^2 e^{-2\omega(t-s)} |\Pi^u|^2 \left\| f(s + s_n) - v(s) \right\|^2 ds \right) \\ &\leq \bar{M}^2 \tilde{M}^2 |\Pi^u|^2 \int_t^{+\infty} e^{-2\omega(t-s)} \mathbb{E}\left\| f(s + s_n) - v(s) \right\|^2 ds \end{aligned}$$

Square-mean pseudo almost automorphic solutions of infinite class under the light of measure theory

Using Ito's isometry property of stochastic integral, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathbb{E} \left\| \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{-\infty}^t \mathcal{U}^s(t-s) \Pi^s (\tilde{B}_\lambda (X_0 g(s+s_n) - h(s))) dW(s) \right\|^2 \\
 & \leq \mathbb{E} \left(\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \left\| \int_{-\infty}^t \mathcal{U}^s(t-s) \Pi^s (\tilde{B}_\lambda (X_0 g(s+s_n) - h(s))) dW(s) \right\|^2 \right) \\
 & \leq \mathbb{E} \left(\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{-\infty}^t \left\| \mathcal{U}^s(t-s) \Pi^s (\tilde{B}_\lambda (X_0 g(s+s_n) - h(s))) ds \right\|^2 \right) \\
 & \leq \overline{M}^2 \widetilde{M}^2 |\Pi^s|^2 \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-2\omega(t-s)} \mathbb{E} \left\| g(s+s_n) - h(s) \right\|^2 ds \\
 & \leq \overline{M}^2 \widetilde{M}^2 |\Pi^s|^2 \int_{-\infty}^{-L} e^{-2\omega(t-s)} \mathbb{E} \left\| g(s+s_n) - h(s) \right\|^2 ds \\
 & + \overline{M}^2 \widetilde{M}^2 |\Pi^s|^2 \int_{-L}^t e^{-2\omega(t-s)} \mathbb{E} \left\| g(s+s_n) - h(s) \right\|^2 ds
 \end{aligned}$$

and,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathbb{E} \left\| \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_t^{+\infty} \mathcal{U}^u(t-s) \Pi^u (\tilde{B}_\lambda (X_0 g(s+s_n) - h(s))) dW(s) \right\|^2 \\
 & \leq \mathbb{E} \left(\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \left\| \int_t^{+\infty} \mathcal{U}^u(t-s) \Pi^u (\tilde{B}_\lambda (X_0 g(s+s_n) - h(s))) dW(s) \right\|^2 \right) \\
 & \leq \mathbb{E} \left(\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_t^{+\infty} \left\| \mathcal{U}^u(t-s) \Pi^u (\tilde{B}_\lambda (X_0 g(s+s_n) - h(s))) ds \right\|^2 \right) \\
 & \leq \overline{M}^2 \widetilde{M}^2 |\Pi^u|^2 \int_t^{+\infty} e^{-2\omega(t-s)} \mathbb{E} \left\| g(s+s_n) - h(s) \right\|^2 ds
 \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{E} \|w(t+s_n) - z(t)\|^2 & \leq 4 \left(\overline{M}^2 \widetilde{M}^2 |\Pi^s|^2 \int_{-\infty}^{-L} e^{-2\omega(t-s)} \mathbb{E} \left\| f(s+s_n) - v(s) \right\|^2 ds \right. \\
 & + \overline{M}^2 \widetilde{M}^2 |\Pi^s|^2 \int_{-L}^t e^{-2\omega(t-s)} \mathbb{E} \left\| f(s+s_n) - v(s) \right\|^2 ds \\
 & + \overline{M}^2 \widetilde{M}^2 |\Pi^u|^2 \int_t^{+\infty} e^{-2\omega(t-s)} \mathbb{E} \left\| f(s+s_n) - v(s) \right\|^2 ds \\
 & + \overline{M}^2 \widetilde{M}^2 |\Pi^s|^2 \int_{-\infty}^{-L} e^{-2\omega(t-s)} \mathbb{E} \left\| g(s+s_n) - h(s) \right\|^2 ds \\
 & + \overline{M}^2 \widetilde{M}^2 |\Pi^s|^2 \int_{-L}^t e^{-2\omega(t-s)} \mathbb{E} \left\| g(s+s_n) - h(s) \right\|^2 ds \\
 & \left. + \overline{M}^2 \widetilde{M}^2 |\Pi^u|^2 \int_t^{+\infty} e^{-2\omega(t-s)} \mathbb{E} \left\| g(s+s_n) - h(s) \right\|^2 ds \right) \\
 \mathbb{E} \|w(t+s_n) - z(t)\|^2 & \leq 4 \left(2\varepsilon \overline{M}^2 \widetilde{M}^2 |\Pi^s|^2 \int_{-\infty}^{-L} e^{-2\omega(t-s)} ds \right. \\
 & + \overline{M}^2 \widetilde{M}^2 |\Pi^s|^2 \int_{-L}^t e^{-2\omega(t-s)} \mathbb{E} \left\| f(s+s_n) - v(s) \right\|^2 ds \\
 & + \overline{M}^2 \widetilde{M}^2 |\Pi^u|^2 \int_t^{+\infty} e^{-2\omega(t-s)} \mathbb{E} \left\| f(s+s_n) - v(s) \right\|^2 ds \\
 & + \overline{M}^2 \widetilde{M}^2 |\Pi^s|^2 \int_{-L}^t e^{-2\omega(t-s)} \mathbb{E} \left\| g(s+s_n) - h(s) \right\|^2 ds \\
 & \left. + \overline{M}^2 \widetilde{M}^2 |\Pi^u|^2 \int_t^{+\infty} e^{-2\omega(t-s)} \mathbb{E} \left\| g(s+s_n) - h(s) \right\|^2 ds \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{E}\|w(t + s_n) - z(t)\|^2 &\leq 4\left(2\varepsilon\bar{M}^2\tilde{M}^2|\Pi^s|^2 \int_{t+L}^{+\infty} e^{-2\omega s} ds \right. \\
 &\quad + \bar{M}^2\tilde{M}^2(|\Pi^s|^2 + |\Pi^u|^2) \int_{-L}^{+\infty} e^{-2\omega(t-s)} \mathbb{E}\|f(s + s_n) - v(s)\|^2 ds \\
 &\quad + \bar{M}^2\tilde{M}^2(|\Pi^s|^2 + |\Pi^u|^2) \int_{-L}^{+\infty} e^{-2\omega(t-s)} \mathbb{E}\|g(s + s_n) - h(s)\|^2 ds \Big) \\
 &\leq 4\left(2\varepsilon\bar{M}^2\tilde{M}^2|\Pi^s|^2 \int_{\frac{L}{2}}^{+\infty} e^{-2\omega s} ds + 2\varepsilon\bar{M}^2\tilde{M}^2(|\Pi^s|^2 + |\Pi^u|^2) \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-2\omega s} ds \right) \\
 &\leq \left(8\varepsilon\bar{M}^2\tilde{M}^2|\Pi^s|^2 + 8\bar{M}^2\tilde{M}^2(|\Pi^s|^2 + |\Pi^u|^2) \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-2\omega s} ds\right) \varepsilon \\
 &\leq \left(8\varepsilon\bar{M}^2\tilde{M}^2|\Pi^s|^2 + \frac{4\bar{M}^2\tilde{M}^2(|\Pi^s|^2 + |\Pi^u|^2)}{\omega}\right) \varepsilon
 \end{aligned}$$

which proves that the convergence is uniform on K , by the fact that the last estimate is independent of $t \in K$. Proceeding as previously, one can similarly prove that $z(t - s_n)$ converges to w uniformly on compact subsets in \mathbb{R} . This completes the proof. ■

Theorem 4.4. Assume that (H_3) and (H_5) holds. Let $f, g \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, \infty)$ then $\Gamma(f, g) \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, \infty)$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Gamma(f, g)(t) &= \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{-\infty}^t \mathcal{U}^s(t-s) \Pi^s(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 f(s)) ds + \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{+\infty}^t \mathcal{U}^u(t-s) \Pi^u(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 f(s)) ds \\
 &\quad + \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{-\infty}^t \mathcal{U}^s(t-s) \Pi^s(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 g(s)) dW(s) + \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{+\infty}^t \mathcal{U}^u(t-s) \Pi^u(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 g(s)) dW(s)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{E}\|\Gamma(f, g)(\theta)\|^2 &= \mathbb{E}\left\| \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{-\infty}^t \mathcal{U}^s(t-s) \Pi^s(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 f(s)) ds + \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{+\infty}^t \mathcal{U}^u(t-s) \Pi^u(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 f(s)) ds \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{-\infty}^t \mathcal{U}^s(t-s) \Pi^s(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 g(s)) dW(s) + \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{+\infty}^t \mathcal{U}^u(t-s) \Pi^u(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 g(s)) dW(s) \right\|^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \sup_{\theta \in]-\infty, t]} \mathbb{E}\|\Gamma(f, g)(\theta)\|^2 d\mu(t) &\leq \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \sup_{\theta \in]-\infty, t]} \left[4\mathbb{E}\left(\bar{M}^2\tilde{M}^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\theta} e^{-2\omega(t-s)} |\Pi^s|^2 \|f(s)\|^2 ds \right. \right. \\
 &\quad + \bar{M}^2\tilde{M}^2 \int_{\theta}^{+\infty} e^{2\omega(t-s)} |\Pi^u|^2 \|f(s)\|^2 ds \\
 &\quad + \bar{M}^2\tilde{M}^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\theta} e^{-2\omega(t-s)} |\Pi^s|^2 \|g(s)\|^2 ds \\
 &\quad \left. \left. + \bar{M}^2\tilde{M}^2 \int_{\theta}^{+\infty} e^{2\omega(t-s)} |\Pi^u|^2 \|g(s)\|^2 ds \right) \right] d\mu(t) \\
 &\leq 4\bar{M}^2\tilde{M}^2 \left[\int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \sup_{\theta \in]-\infty, t]} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\theta} e^{-2\omega(t-s)} |\Pi^s|^2 \mathbb{E}\|f(s)\|^2 ds \right) d\mu(t) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \sup_{\theta \in]-\infty, t]} \left(\int_{\theta}^{+\infty} e^{2\omega(t-s)} |\Pi^u|^2 \mathbb{E}\|f(s)\|^2 ds \right) d\mu(t) \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

Square-mean pseudo almost automorphic solutions of infinite class under the light of measure theory

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \sup_{\theta \in]-\infty, t]} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\theta} e^{-2\omega(t-s)} |\Pi^s|^2 \mathbb{E} \|g(s)\|^2 ds + \int_{\theta}^{+\infty} e^{2\omega(t-s)} |\Pi^u|^2 \mathbb{E} \|g(s)\|^2 ds \right) d\mu(t) \\
& \leq 4\widetilde{M}^2 \overline{M}^2 \left[|\Pi^s|^2 \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \sup_{\theta \in]-\infty, t]} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\theta} e^{-2\omega(t-s)} (\mathbb{E} \|f(s)\|^2 + \mathbb{E} \|g(s)\|^2) ds \right) d\mu(t) \right. \\
& \left. + |\Pi^u|^2 \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \sup_{\theta \in]-\infty, t]} \left(\int_{\theta}^{+\infty} e^{2\omega(t-s)} (\mathbb{E} \|f(s)\|^2 + \mathbb{E} \|g(s)\|^2) ds \right) d\mu(t) \right]
\end{aligned}$$

one the one hand using Fubini's theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\Pi^s|^2 \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \sup_{\theta \in]-\infty, t]} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\theta} e^{-2\omega(t-s)} (\mathbb{E} \|f(s)\|^2 + \mathbb{E} \|g(s)\|^2) ds \right) d\mu(t) \\
& |\Pi^s|^2 \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \sup_{\theta \in]-\infty, t]} \int_{-\infty}^{\theta} e^{-2\omega(t-s)} (\mathbb{E} \|f(s)\|^2 + \mathbb{E} \|g(s)\|^2) ds \\
& \leq e^{2\omega\tau} |\Pi^s|^2 \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \sup_{\theta \in]-\infty, t]} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\theta} e^{-2\omega(t-s)} (\mathbb{E} \|f(s)\|^2 + \mathbb{E} \|g(s)\|^2) ds \right) d\mu(t) \\
& \leq e^{2\omega\tau} |\Pi^s|^2 \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \sup_{\theta \in]-\infty, t]} \left(\int_{-\infty}^t e^{-2\omega(t-s)} (\mathbb{E} \|f(s)\|^2 + \mathbb{E} \|g(s)\|^2) ds \right) d\mu(t) \\
& \leq e^{2\omega\tau} |\Pi^s|^2 \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \left(\int_{-\infty}^t e^{-2\omega(t-s)} (\mathbb{E} \|f(s)\|^2 + \mathbb{E} \|g(s)\|^2) ds \right) d\mu(t) \\
& \leq e^{2\omega\tau} |\Pi^s|^2 \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \left(\int_0^{+\infty} e^{-2\omega s} (\mathbb{E} \|f(t-s)\|^2 + \mathbb{E} \|g(t-s)\|^2) ds \right) d\mu(t) \\
& \leq e^{2\omega\tau} |\Pi^s|^2 \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-2\omega s} \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} (\mathbb{E} \|f(t-s)\|^2 + \mathbb{E} \|g(t-s)\|^2) d\mu(t) ds
\end{aligned}$$

By using Theorem(2.22) we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{e^{-2\omega s}}{\nu([-\tau, \tau])} \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} (\mathbb{E} \|f(t-s)\|^2 + \mathbb{E} \|g(t-s)\|^2) d\mu(t) \rightarrow 0 \text{ for all } s \in \mathbb{R}^+ \text{ and} \\
& \frac{e^{-2\omega s}}{\nu([-\tau, \tau])} \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} (\mathbb{E} \|f(t-s)\|^2 + \mathbb{E} \|g(t-s)\|^2) d\mu(t) \leq \frac{e^{-\omega s}}{\nu([-\tau, \tau])} (\|f\|_{\infty}^2 + \|g\|_{\infty}^2)
\end{aligned}$$

Since f and g are bounded functions, then the function $s \mapsto \frac{e^{-\omega s}}{\nu([-\tau, \tau])} (\|f\|_{\infty}^2 + \|g\|_{\infty}^2)$ belongs to $L^1([0, +\infty[)$ in view of the Lebesgue dominated convergence Theorem, it follows that

$$e^{\omega\tau} \lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{e^{-2\omega s}}{\nu([-\tau, \tau])} \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} (\mathbb{E} \|f(t-s)\|^2 + \mathbb{E} \|g(t-s)\|^2) d\mu(t) ds \rightarrow 0.$$

On the other hand by Fubini's theorem, we also have

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\Pi^u|^2 \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \sup_{\theta \in]-\infty, t]} \left(\int_{\theta}^{+\infty} e^{2\omega(t-s)} (\mathbb{E} \|f(s)\|^2 + \mathbb{E} \|g(s)\|^2) ds \right) d\mu(t) \\
& \leq |\Pi^u|^2 \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \sup_{\theta \in]-\infty, t]} \left(\int_{t-r}^{+\infty} e^{2\omega(t-s)} (\mathbb{E} \|f(s)\|^2 + \mathbb{E} \|g(s)\|^2) ds \right) d\mu(t) \\
& \leq |\Pi^u|^2 \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \left(\int_{t-r}^{+\infty} e^{2\omega(t-s)} (\mathbb{E} \|f(s)\|^2 + \mathbb{E} \|g(s)\|^2) ds \right) d\mu(t)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq |\Pi^u|^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\tau} \left(\int_{-\tau}^r e^{2\omega s} (\mathbb{E}\|f(s)\|^2 + \mathbb{E}\|g(s)\|^2) ds \right) d\mu(t) \\ &\leq |\Pi^u|^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\tau} \left(\int_{-\tau}^{\tau} e^{2\omega s} (\mathbb{E}\|f(s)\|^2 + \mathbb{E}\|g(s)\|^2) d\mu(t) \right) ds \end{aligned}$$

Since the function $s \mapsto \frac{e^{2\omega s}}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} (\|f\|_{\infty}^2 + \|g\|_{\infty}^2)$ belongs to $L^1([- \infty, r])$ reasoning like above, it follows that

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\tau} e^{\omega s} \times \frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \left(\int_{-\tau}^{\tau} e^{2\omega s} (\mathbb{E}\|f(s)\|^2 + \mathbb{E}\|g(s)\|^2) d\mu(t) \right) ds = 0$$

Consequently

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \sup_{\theta \in]-\infty, t]} \mathbb{E}\|\Gamma(f, g)(\theta)\|^2 d\mu(t) = 0$$

Thus, we obtain the desired result. ■

For proof of existence of square-mean compact pseudo almost automorphic solution of infinite class , we need the following assertion.

(H₆) $f, g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow L^2(P, H)$ are square-mean compact pseudo almost automorphic of infinite class

Theorem 4.5. Assume **(H₀)**, **(H₁)** and **(H₆)** hold. Then Eq (4.1) has a unique pseudo almost automorphic solution of infinite class

Proof. Since f and g are pseudo almost periodic functions, f has a decomposition $f = f_1 + f_2$ and $g = g_1 + g_2$ where $f_1, g_1 \in SAA_c(\mathbb{R}; L^2(P, H))$ and $f_2, g_2 \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}; L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, \infty)$. Using Theorem 4.1, Theorem 4.3 and Theorem 4.4, we get the desired result. ■

Our next objective is to show the existence of square mean (μ, ν) -pseudo almost automorphic solutions of infinite class for the following problem

$$du(t) = [Au(t) + L(u_t) + f(t, u_t)]dt + g(t, u_t)dW(t) \text{ for } t \in \mathbb{R} \tag{4.7}$$

where $f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{B} \rightarrow L^2(P, H)$ and $g : \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{B} \rightarrow L^2(P, H)$ are two stochastic continuous processes. To prove our result, we formulate the following assumptions

(H₇) Let $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}$ and $f : \mathbb{R} \times C([- \infty, 0], L^2(P, H)) \rightarrow L^2(P, H)$ square mean $cl(\mu, \nu)$ -pseudo automorphic periodic of infinite class such that there exists a function L_f such that $\mathbb{E}\|f(t, \phi_1) - f(t, \phi_2)\|^2 \leq L_f(t)\mathbb{E}\|\phi_1 - \phi_2\|^2$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in C([- \infty, 0], L^2(P, H))$.

(H₈) Let $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}$ and $g : \mathbb{R} \times C([- \infty, 0], L^2(P, H)) \rightarrow L^2(P, H)$ square mean $cl(\mu, \nu)$ -pseudo almost periodic of infinite class such that there exists a function L_g such that $\mathbb{E}\|g(t, \phi_1) - g(t, \phi_2)\|^2 \leq L_g(t)\mathbb{E}\|\phi_1 - \phi_2\|^2$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in C([- \infty, 0], L^2(P, H))$. Where L_f and $L_g \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$, $(1 \leq p < \infty)$

(H₉) Let $k = \max(L_f, L_g)$.

(H₁₀) The instable space $U \equiv \{0\}$

Theorem 4.6. Assume that \mathcal{B} satisfies **(A₁)**, **(A₂)**, **(B)**, **(C₁)**, **(C₂)** and **(H₀)**, **(H₁)**, **(H₃)**, **(H₄)**, **(H₄)**, **(H₆)**, **(H₇)**, **(H₈)**, **(H₉)** and **(H₁₀)** hold. Then Eq.(4.7) has a unique $cl(\mu, \nu)$ - square mean pseudo compact almost automorphic mild solution of infinite class.

Square-mean pseudo almost automorphic solutions of infinite class under the light of measure theory

Proof. Let x be a function in $SPAA_c(\mathbb{R}; L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, \infty)$ from Theorem 4.2 the function $t \rightarrow x_t$ belongs to $SPAA_c(C[-\infty, 0]; L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, \infty)$. Hence Theorem implies that the function $g(\cdot) := f(\cdot, x)$ is in $SPAA_c(\mathbb{R}; L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, \infty)$. Since the instable space $U \equiv \{0\}$, then $\Pi^u \equiv 0$. Consider now the following mapping

$$\mathcal{H} : SPAA_c(\mathbb{R}; L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, \infty) \rightarrow SPAA_c(\mathbb{R}; L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, \infty)$$

defined for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ by

$$(\mathcal{H}x)(t) = \left[\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{-\infty}^t U^s(t-s) \Pi^s(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 f(s, x_s)) ds + \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{-\infty}^t U^s(t-s) \Pi^s(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 g(s, x_s)) dW(s) \right] (0)$$

From Theorem 4.3, Theorem 4.4, Theorem 4.4 and Theorem 4.1 we obtain that \mathcal{H} maps $SPAA_c(\mathbb{R}; L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, \infty)$ into $SPAA_c(\mathbb{R}; L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, \infty)$.

It remains now to show that the operator \mathcal{H} has a unique fixed point in $SPAA_c(\mathbb{R}; L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, \infty)$.

Since \mathcal{B} is a uniform fading memory space, by the Lemma (2.7), choose the function K constant and the function M such that $M(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$. Let $\eta = \max_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left\{ \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |K(t)|^2, \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |M(t)|^2 \right\}$ **Case 1:**

$L_f, L_g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^+)$

Let $x_1, x_2 \in SPAA_c(\mathbb{R}; L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, \infty)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left\| \mathcal{H}x_1(t) - \mathcal{H}x_2(t) \right\|^2 &\leq 2\mathbb{E} \left\| \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{-\infty}^t U^s(t-s) \Pi^s(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 [f(s, x_{1s}) - f(s, x_{2s})]) ds \right\|^2 \\ &\quad + 2\mathbb{E} \left\| \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{-\infty}^t U^s(t-s) \Pi^s(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 [g(s, x_{1s}) - g(s, x_{2s})]) dW(s) \right\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Using Ito's isometry we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left\| \mathcal{H}x_1(t) - \mathcal{H}x_2(t) \right\|^2 &\leq 2\bar{M}^2 \tilde{M}^2 |\Pi^s|^2 \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-2\omega(t-s)} L_f(s) \mathbb{E} \|x_{1s} - x_{2s}\|_{\mathcal{B}}^2 ds \\ &\quad + 2\bar{M}^2 \tilde{M}^2 |\Pi^s|^2 \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-2\omega(t-s)} L_g(s) \mathbb{E} \|x_{1s} - x_{2s}\|_{\mathcal{B}}^2 ds \\ &\leq 2\bar{M}^2 \tilde{M}^2 |\Pi^s|^2 \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-2\omega(t-s)} L_f(s) \mathbb{E} \left(K(s) \sup_{0 \leq \xi \leq s} \|x_1(\xi) - x_2(\xi)\| + M(s) \|x_{1_0} - x_{2_0}\| \right)^2 ds \\ &\quad + 2\bar{M}^2 \tilde{M}^2 |\Pi^s|^2 \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-2\omega(t-s)} L_g(s) \mathbb{E} \left(K(s) \sup_{0 \leq \xi \leq s} \|x_1(\xi) - x_2(\xi)\| + M(s) \|x_{1_0} - x_{2_0}\| \right)^2 ds \\ &\leq 4\bar{M}^2 \tilde{M}^2 |\Pi^s|^2 \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-2\omega(t-s)} k(s) \mathbb{E} \left(K(s) \sup_{0 \leq \xi \leq s} \|x_1(\xi) - x_2(\xi)\| + M(s) \|x_{1_0} - x_{2_0}\| \right)^2 ds \\ &\leq 8\bar{M}^2 \tilde{M}^2 |\Pi^s|^2 \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-2\omega(t-s)} k(s) \mathbb{E} \left(K^2(s) \sup_{0 \leq \xi \leq s} \|x_1(\xi) - x_2(\xi)\|^2 + M^2(s) \|x_{1_0} - x_{2_0}\|^2 \right) ds \\ &\leq 8\bar{M}^2 \tilde{M}^2 |\Pi^s|^2 \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-2\omega(t-s)} k(s) \left(K^2(s) \sup_{0 \leq \xi \leq s} \mathbb{E} \|x_1(\xi) - x_2(\xi)\|^2 + M^2(s) \mathbb{E} \|x_{1_0} - x_{2_0}\|^2 \right) ds \\ &\leq 16\bar{M}^2 \tilde{M}^2 |\Pi^s|^2 \eta \left(\int_{-\infty}^t k(s) ds \right) \|x_1 - x_2\|_{\infty}^2 \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left\| \mathcal{H}^2 x_1(t) - \mathcal{H}^2 x_2(t) \right\|^2 &\leq 2\mathbb{E} \left\| \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{-\infty}^t \mathcal{U}^s(t-s) \Pi^s \left(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 \left[f(s, \mathcal{H}x_{1s}) - f(s, \mathcal{H}x_{2s}) \right] \right) ds \right\|^2 \\ &\quad + 2\mathbb{E} \left\| \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{-\infty}^t \mathcal{U}^s(t-s) \Pi^s \left(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 \left[g(s, \mathcal{H}x_{1s}) - g(s, \mathcal{H}x_{2s}) \right] \right) dW(s) \right\|^2 \\ &\leq \left(16\bar{M}^2 \tilde{M}^2 |\Pi^s|^2 \eta \right)^2 \left(\int_{-\infty}^t k(s) ds \right)^2 \|x_1 - x_2\|_\infty^2 \end{aligned}$$

By induction on n we obtain the following inequality

$$\mathbb{E} \left\| \mathcal{H}^n x_1(t) - \mathcal{H}^n x_2(t) \right\|^2 \leq (16\bar{M}^2 \tilde{M}^2 |\Pi^s|^2 \eta)^n \left(\int_{-\infty}^t k(s) ds \right)^n \|x_1 - x_2\|_\infty^2$$

Therefore

$$\left\| \mathcal{H}^n x_1(t) - \mathcal{H}^n x_2(t) \right\|_\infty \leq (4\bar{M} \tilde{M} |\Pi^s| \sqrt{\eta})^n |k|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}^n \|x_1 - x_2\|_\infty$$

Let n_0 be such that $(4\bar{M} \tilde{M} |\Pi^s| \sqrt{\eta})^{n_0} |k|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}^{n_0} < 1$. By Banach fix point Theorem, \mathcal{H} has a unique point fixed and this fixed point satisfies the integral equation

$$u_t = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{-\infty}^t \mathcal{U}^s(t-s) \Pi^s \tilde{B}_\lambda (X_0 f(s)) ds + \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{-\infty}^t \mathcal{U}^s(t-s) \Pi^s \tilde{B}_\lambda (X_0 g(s)) dW(s)$$

Case 2: $L_g, L_f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$; $(1 < p < \infty)$

First, put

$$\mu(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t (k(s))^p ds.$$

Then we define an equivalent norm over $SPAA(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, r)$ as follows

$$\|f\|_c = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left(e^{-c\mu(t)} \mathbb{E} \|f(t)\|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

where c is a fixed positive number to be precised later. Using the Holder inequality and Ito's isometry we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left\| \mathcal{H}x_1(t) - \mathcal{H}x_2(t) \right\|^2 &\leq 2\bar{M}^2 \tilde{M}^2 |\Pi^s|^2 \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-2\omega(t-s)} L_f(s) \mathbb{E} \|x_{1s} - x_{2s}\|_{\mathcal{B}}^2 ds \\ &\quad + 2\bar{M}^2 \tilde{M}^2 |\Pi^s|^2 \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-2\omega(t-s)} L_g(s) \mathbb{E} \|x_{1s} - x_{2s}\|_{\mathcal{B}}^2 ds \end{aligned}$$

Square-mean pseudo almost automorphic solutions of infinite class under the light of measure theory

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq 8\bar{M}^2\widetilde{M}^2|\Pi^s|^2 \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-2\omega(t-s)} e^{c\mu(s)} e^{-c\mu(s)} k(s) \left(K^2(s) \sup_{0 \leq \xi \leq s} \mathbb{E} \|x_1(\xi) - x_2(\xi)\|^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + M^2(s) \mathbb{E} \|x_{1_0} - x_{2_0}\|^2 \right) ds \\
&\leq 16\bar{M}^2\widetilde{M}^2|\Pi^s|^2 \eta \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-2\omega(t-s)} e^{c\mu(s)} k(s) \left(\sup_{s \in \mathbb{R}} e^{-c\mu(s)} \mathbb{E} \|x_1(\xi) - x_2(\xi)\|^2 \right) ds \\
&\leq 16\bar{M}^2\widetilde{M}^2|\Pi^s|^2 \eta \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-2\omega(t-s)} e^{c\mu(s)} k(s) \left(\sup_{s \in \mathbb{R}} \left(e^{-c\mu(s)} \mathbb{E} \|x_1(\xi) - x_2(\xi)\|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^2 ds \\
&\leq 16\bar{M}^2\widetilde{M}^2|\Pi^s|^2 \eta \left(\int_{-\infty}^t e^{-2\omega(t-s)} e^{c\mu(s)} k(s) ds \right) \|x_1 - x_2\|_c^2 \\
&\leq 16\bar{M}^2\widetilde{M}^2|\Pi^s|^2 \eta \left(\int_{-\infty}^t e^{-2q\omega(t-s)} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\int_{-\infty}^t e^{pc\mu(s)} k^p(s) ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \|x_1 - x_2\|_c^2 \\
&\leq 16\bar{M}^2\widetilde{M}^2|\Pi^s|^2 \eta \left(\int_{-\infty}^t e^{-2q\omega(t-s)} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\int_{-\infty}^t e^{pc\mu(s)} \mu'(s) ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \|x_1 - x_2\|_c^2 \\
&\leq 16\bar{M}^2\widetilde{M}^2|\Pi^s|^2 \eta \left(\frac{1}{(2\omega q)^{\frac{1}{q}}} \times \frac{1}{(pc)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \right) e^{c\mu(t)} \|x_1 - x_2\|_c^2 \\
&\quad e^{-c\mu(t)} \mathbb{E} \left\| \mathcal{H}x_1(t) - \mathcal{H}x_2(t) \right\|_c^2 \leq 16\bar{M}^2\widetilde{M}^2|\Pi^s|^2 \eta \left(\frac{1}{(2\omega q)^{\frac{1}{q}}} \times \frac{1}{(pc)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \right) \|x_1 - x_2\|_c^2 \\
&\quad \left(e^{-c\mu(t)} \mathbb{E} \left\| \mathcal{H}x_1(t) - \mathcal{H}x_2(t) \right\|_c^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq 4\bar{M}\widetilde{M}|\Pi^s| \sqrt{\eta} \left(\frac{1}{(2\omega q)^{\frac{1}{2q}}} \times \frac{1}{(pc)^{\frac{1}{2p}}} \right) \|x_1 - x_2\|_c^2
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\| \mathcal{H}x_1(t) - \mathcal{H}x_2(t) \|_c \leq \frac{4\bar{M}\widetilde{M}|\Pi^s| \sqrt{\eta}}{(2\omega q)^{\frac{1}{2q}} \times (pc)^{\frac{1}{2p}}} \|x_1 - x_2\|_c$$

Fix $c > 0$ so large, then the function $c \mapsto \frac{1}{(pc)^{\frac{1}{2p}}}$ converges to 0 when c converges to $+\infty$. It follows that for

$c > 0$ so large we have $\frac{4\bar{M}\widetilde{M}|\Pi^s| \sqrt{\eta}}{(2\omega q)^{\frac{1}{2q}} \times (pc)^{\frac{1}{2p}}} < 1$. Thus \mathcal{H} is a contractive mapping. we conclude that there is a unique pseudo almost automorphic integral solution to Eq.(4.7).

Proposition 4.7. Assume that \mathcal{B} is a uniform fading space and (A_1) , (A_2) , (C_1) , (C_2) , (H_0) , (H_1) , (H_2) , (H_4) and (H_5) hold f and g are lipschitz continuous with respect the second argument if $\max(Lip(f), Lip(g)) < \frac{\omega}{2\sqrt{2}\bar{M}\widetilde{M}|\Pi^s|\eta}$. Then Eq(4.7) has a unique square-mean $cl(\mu, \nu)$ -pseudo almost automorphic solution of infinite class, where $Lip(f)$ and $Lip(g)$ are respectively Lipschitz constant of f and g .

Proof. Let us pose $k = \max(Lip(f), Lip(g))$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} \left\| \mathcal{H}x_1(t) - \mathcal{H}x_2(t) \right\|_c^2 &\leq 8\bar{M}^2\widetilde{M}^2|\Pi^s|^2 \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-2\omega(t-s)} k(s) \left(K^2(s) \sup_{0 \leq \xi \leq s} \mathbb{E} \|x_1(\xi) - x_2(\xi)\|^2 + M^2(s) \mathbb{E} \|x_{1_0} - x_{2_0}\|^2 \right) ds \\
&\leq 16k\bar{M}^2\widetilde{M}^2|\Pi^s|^2 \eta \left(\int_{-\infty}^t e^{-2\omega(t-s)} ds \right) \|x_1 - x_2\|_\infty^2 \\
&\leq \frac{8\eta\bar{M}^2\widetilde{M}^2|\Pi^s|^2 k}{\omega} \|x_1 - x_2\|_\infty^2 \\
\left\| \mathcal{H}x_1(t) - \mathcal{H}x_2(t) \right\| &\leq \frac{2\sqrt{2}\bar{M}\widetilde{M}|\Pi^s| k \eta}{\omega} \|x_1 - x_2\|_\infty
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently \mathcal{H} is a strict contraction if $k < \frac{\omega}{2\sqrt{2}\overline{M}\overline{M}|\Pi^s|\eta}$. ■

5. Application

For illustration, we propose to study the existence of solutions for the following model

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} dz(t, x) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} z(t, x) dt + \left[\int_{-\infty}^0 G(\theta) z(t + \theta, x) d\theta + \sin \left(\frac{1}{2 + \cos(t) + \cos(\sqrt{2}t)} \right) + \arctan(t) \right. \\ \left. + \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{\omega\theta} h(\theta, z(t + \theta, x)) d\theta \right] dt + \left[\cos \left(\frac{1}{\sin(t) + \sin(\sqrt{2}t)} \right) + \sin(t) + \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{\omega\theta} h(\theta, z(t + \theta, x)) d\theta \right] dW(t) \\ z(t, 0) = z(t, \pi) = 0 \text{ for } t \in \mathbb{R} \end{array} \right. \quad (5.1)$$

Where $G :]-\infty, 0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ define by $G(\theta) = e^{(\gamma+1)\theta}$ is a continuous function and $h :]-\infty, 0] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, Lipschitzian with respect to the second argument and ω is a positive positive real number.

For example, take $h(\theta, x) = \theta^3 + \cos\left(\frac{x}{3}\right)$ for $(\theta, x) \in]-\infty, 0] \times \mathbb{R}$, it follows that

$$|h(\theta, x_1) - h(\theta, x_2)| \leq \frac{1}{2}|x_1 - x_2|$$

which implies $h :]-\infty, 0] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and lipschitzian with respect to the second argument. $W(t)$ is a two-sided and standard one-dimensional Brownian motion defined on the filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P, \mathcal{F}_t)$ with $\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma\{W(u) - W(v) \mid u, v \leq t\}$.

The phase $\mathcal{B} = C_\gamma, \gamma > 0$ where

$$C_\gamma = \left\{ \phi \in C(]-\infty, 0]); L^2(P, H) : \lim_{\theta \rightarrow -\infty} e^{\gamma\theta} \phi(\theta) \text{ exist in } L^2(P, H) \right\}$$

With the following norm

$$\|\phi\|_\gamma = \sup_{\theta \leq 0} \left(\mathbb{E} \|e^{\gamma\theta} \phi(\theta)\|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

To rewrite equation (5.1) in the abstract form, we introduce the space $H = L^2((0, \pi))$. Let $A : D(A) \rightarrow L^2((0, \pi))$ defined by

$$\begin{cases} D(A) = \mathbf{H}^1((0, \pi)) \cap \mathbf{H}_0^1((0, 1)) \\ Ay(t) = y''(t) \text{ for } t \in (0, \pi) \text{ and } y \in D(A) \end{cases}$$

Then A generates a C_0 -semigroup $(\mathcal{U}(t))_{t \geq 0}$ on $L^2((0, \pi))$ given by

$$(\mathcal{U}(t)x)(r) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-n^2\pi^2 t} \langle x, e_n \rangle_{L^2} e_n(r)$$

Where $e_n(r) = \sqrt{2} \sin(n\pi r)$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, and $\|\mathcal{U}(t)\| \leq e^{-\pi^2 t}$ for all $t \geq 0$. Thus $\overline{M} = 1$ and $\omega = \pi^2$. Then A satisfied the Hille-Yosida condition in $L^2((0, \pi))$. Moreover the part A_0 of A in $D(A)$. It follows that (\mathbf{H}_0) and (\mathbf{H}_1) are satisfied.

We define $f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{B} \rightarrow L^2((0, \pi))$, $g : \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{B} \rightarrow L^2((0, \pi))$ and $L : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow L^2((0, \pi))$ as follows

$$f(t, \phi)(x) = \sin \left(\frac{1}{2 + \cos(t) + \cos(\sqrt{2}t)} \right) + \arctan(t) + \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{\omega\theta} h(\theta, \phi(\theta)(x)) d\theta$$

$$g(t, \phi)(x) = \cos\left(\frac{1}{\sin(t) + \sin(\sqrt{2}t)}\right) + \sin(t) + \int_{-\infty}^{\theta} e^{\omega\theta} h(\theta, \phi(\theta)(x))d\theta$$

$$L(\phi)(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\theta} G(\theta, \phi(\theta)(x))d\theta \text{ for } -\infty < \theta \leq 0 \text{ and } x \in (0, \pi)$$

let us pose $v(t) = z(t, x)$. Then equation(5.1) takes the following abstract form

$$dv(t) = [Av(t) + L(v_t) + f(t, v_t)]dt + g(t, v_t)dW(t) \text{ for } t \in \mathbb{R}$$

Consider the measures μ and ν where its Radon-Nikodyn derivative are respectively $\rho_1, \rho_2 : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\rho_1(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } t > 0 \\ e^t & \text{for } t \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

and

$$\rho_2(t) = |t| \text{ for } t \in \mathbb{R}$$

i.e $d\mu(t) = \rho_1(t)dt$ and $d\nu(t) = \rho_2(t)dt$ where dt denotes the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R} and

$$\mu(A) = \int_A \rho_1(t)dt \text{ for } \nu(A) = \int_A \rho_2(t)dt \text{ for } A \in \mathcal{B}.$$

From [6] $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}$, μ, ν satisfy **(H₄)**, $\sin\left(\frac{1}{2 + \cos(t) + \cos(\sqrt{2}t)}\right)$ and $\cos\left(\frac{1}{\sin(t) + \sin(\sqrt{2}t)}\right)$ are almost automorphic.

We have

$$\limsup_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\mu([- \tau, \tau])}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} = \limsup_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\int_{-\tau}^0 e^t dt + \int_0^{\tau} dt}{2 \int_{-\tau}^0 t dt} = \limsup_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1 - e^{-\tau} + \tau}{\tau^2} = 0 < \infty,$$

which implies that **(H₂)** is satisfied.

For all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, $-1 \leq \sin(\theta) \leq 1$ then,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \sup_{\theta \in [- \infty, t]} \mathbb{E} |\sin(\theta)|^2 dt &\leq \frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} d\mu(t) \\ &\leq \frac{\mu([- \tau, \tau])}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \tau \rightarrow +\infty \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{-\tau}^{+\tau} \sup_{\theta \in [- \infty, t]} \mathbb{E} |\sin(\theta)|^2 d\mu(t) = 0$$

It follows that $t \mapsto \sin(t)$ is square mean (μ, ν) -ergodic of infinite class, consequently, g is uniformly square mean (μ, ν) -pseudo almost automorphic of infinite class.

For all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, $\frac{-\pi}{2} < \arctan \theta < \frac{\pi}{2}$ then,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \sup_{\theta \in [- \infty, t]} \mathbb{E} |\arctan(\theta)|^2 dt &\leq \frac{\pi}{2} \times \frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} d\mu(t) \\ &\leq \frac{\pi}{2} \times \frac{\mu([- \tau, \tau])}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \tau \rightarrow +\infty \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{- \tau}^{+ \tau} \sup_{\theta \in [- \infty, t]} \mathbb{E} \left| \arctan \theta \right|^2 d\mu(t) = 0$$

It follows that $t \mapsto \arctan t$ is square mean (μ, ν) -ergodic of infinite class , consequently, f is uniformly square mean (μ, ν) -pseudo almost automorphic of infinite class.

For $\phi \in C_\gamma, \gamma \in C(-\infty, 0]; L^2(P, H)$ and $\lim_{\theta \rightarrow -\infty} e^{\gamma\theta} \phi(\theta) = x_0$ exist in $L^2(P, H)$, then there exists $M \geq 0$ such that $\mathbb{E} \|e^{\gamma\theta} \phi(\theta)\|^2 \leq M$ for $\theta \in [-\infty, 0]$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \|L(\phi)(x)\|^2 &= \mathbb{E} \left\| \int_{-\infty}^0 G(\theta) \phi(\theta)(x) d\theta \right\|^2 \\ &\leq \int_{-\infty}^0 \mathbb{E} \|G(\theta) \phi(\theta)(x)\|^2 d\theta \\ &\leq \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{2(\gamma+1)\theta} \mathbb{E} \|e^{-\gamma\theta} e^{\gamma\theta} G(\theta) \phi(\theta)(x)\|^2 d\theta \\ &\leq \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{2(\gamma+1)\theta} \times e^{-\gamma\theta} \mathbb{E} \|e^{\gamma\theta} G(\theta) \phi(\theta)(x)\|^2 d\theta \\ &\leq \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{2\theta} \mathbb{E} \|e^{\gamma\theta} G(\theta) \phi(\theta)(x)\|^2 d\theta \\ &\leq M \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{2\theta} d\theta < \infty \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \|L(\phi)(x)\|^2 &\leq \left(\int_{-\infty}^0 e^{2\theta} d\theta \right) \sup_{\theta \leq 0} \mathbb{E} \|e^{\gamma\theta} \phi(\theta)(x)\|^2 \\ &\leq \left(\int_{-\infty}^0 e^{2\theta} d\theta \right) \|\phi\|_{\mathcal{B}}^2 \end{aligned}$$

Then L is well defined and L is bounded linear operator from \mathcal{B} to $L^2(P, L^2((0, \pi)))$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \|f(t, \phi_1)(x) - f(t, \phi_2)(x)\|^2 &= \mathbb{E} \left\| \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{\omega\theta} \left[h(\theta, \phi_1(\theta)(x)) - h(\theta, \phi_2(\theta)(x)) \right] \right\|^2 d\theta \\ &\leq \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{2\omega\theta} \mathbb{E} \left\| h(\theta, \phi_1(\theta)(x)) - h(\theta, \phi_2(\theta)(x)) \right\|^2 d\theta \\ &\leq \frac{1}{9} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{2\omega\theta} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\gamma\theta} e^{\frac{1}{2}\gamma\theta} \mathbb{E} \left\| \phi_1(\theta)(x) - \phi_2(\theta)(x) \right\|^2 d\theta \\ &\leq \frac{1}{9} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{(2\omega - \frac{1}{2}\gamma)\theta} \mathbb{E} \left\| e^{2\gamma\theta} (\phi_1(\theta)(x) - \phi_2(\theta)(x)) \right\|^2 d\theta \\ &\leq \frac{1}{9} \left(\int_{-\infty}^0 e^{(2\omega - \frac{1}{2}\gamma)\theta} d\theta \right) \left\| \phi_1 - \phi_2 \right\|_{\mathcal{B}}^2 \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we conclude that f and g are Lipschitz continuous and $cl(\mu, \nu)$ -pseudo almost automorphic of infinite class .

Moreover, since h is Lipschitzian by consequently bounded i.e there exists a constant M_1 positive real number

such that $|h(\theta, x)| \leq M_1$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \|g(t, \phi)(x)\|^2 &\leq 2 + \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{\omega\theta} \mathbb{E} |h(\theta, \phi(\theta)(x))|^2 d\theta \\ &\leq 2 + M_1^2 \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{\omega\theta} d\theta < \infty \end{aligned}$$

Which implies that g verifies (\mathbf{H}_5)

Lemma 5.1. [9] If $\int_{-\infty}^0 |G(\theta)|d\theta < 1$, then the semigroup $(\mathcal{U}(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is hyperbolic and the instable space $U \equiv \{0\}$.

Observe that $\int_{-\infty}^0 |G(\theta)|d\theta = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{-r}^0 e^{(\gamma+1)\theta} d\theta = \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \left[\frac{1}{\gamma+1} e^{(\gamma+1)\theta} \right]_{-r}^0 = \frac{1}{\gamma+1} < 1$, then (\mathbf{H}_8) holds. Then by Proposition(4.7) we deduce the following result.

Theorem 5.2. Under the above assumptions, then equation (5.1) has a unique square mean $cl(\mu, \nu)$ -pseudo almost automorphic solution of infinite class .

References

- [1] MOSTAFA ADIMY, ABDELHAI ELAZZOUZI AND KHALIL EZZINBI, Reduction principle and dynamic behaviors for a class of partial functional differential equations, *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, **71**(2009), 1709–1727.
- [2] MOSTAFA ADIMY, ABDELHAI ELAZZOUZI AND KHALIL EZZIMBI, Bohr-Neugebauer type theorem for some partial neutral functional differential equations, *Nonlinear Analysis, Theory, Methods and Applications*, **66**(5)(2007), 1145-1160.
- [3] MOSTAFA ADIMY, K. EZZINBI, M. LAKLACH, Spectral decomposition for partial neutral functional differential equations, *Canadian Applied Mathematics Quarterly*, (1)(2001), 1–34.
- [4] P. H. BEZANDRY AND T. DIAGANA, Square-mean almost automorphic solutions nonautonomous stochastic differential equations, *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*, 2007, 1-10
- [5] J. BLOT, P. CIEUTAT, K. EZZINBI, Measure theory and pseudo almost automorphic functions: New developments and applications, *Nonlinear Analysis*, (2012), 2426-2447.
- [6] J. BLOT, P. CIEUTAT, K. EZZINBI, New approach for weighted pseudo almost periodic functions under the light of measure theory, basic results and applications, *Applicable Analysis*, 2013, 493-526.
- [7] M. DIOP, K. EZZINBI, M. MBAYE, Measure Theory and Square-Mean Pseudo Almost automorphic and Automorphic Process: Application to Stochastic Evolution Equations, *Bulletin of the Malaysian Mathematical Sciences Society*, 2018, 287–310.
- [8] M. DIOP, K. EZZINBI, M. MBAYE, Measure theory and S^2 - pseudo almost automorphic and automorphic process: application to stochastic evolution equation, *Afrika Matematika*, 2015, 779–812.
- [9] K. EZZINBI, S. FATAJOU, G.M. N'GUÉRÉKATA, C^n -almost automorphic solutions for partial neutral functional differential equations, *Applicable Analysis*, **86**:9(2007), 1127-1146.

- [10] MOHAMADO KIEMA AND ISSA ZABSONRÉ, Square-mean pseudo almost periodic solutions of class r under the light of measure theory, *European Journal of Mathematics and Applications*, 2022, 1–23.
- [11] MIAOMIAO FU AND ZHENXIN LIU, Square-mean almost automorphic solutions for some stochastic differential equations, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, **138(10)**(2010), 3689–3701.
- [12] W RUDIN, *Real and Complex Analysis*, 3rd edn. Higher Mathematics Series, McGraw-Hill, New York, year, 1976.
- [13] BERNT OKSENDAL, *Stochastic differential equations: An introduction with applications*The Mathematical Gazette, 89, 514, 2005, 178–179.



This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.