



On extended M – series

Dharmendra Kumar Singh,*

Department of Mathematics

University Institute of Engineering and Technology

Chhatrapati Shahu Ji Maharaj University, Kanpur (U.P.) India.

Abstract

This paper deals with extended M -series, which is extension of the generalized M -series [12]. Mittag-Leffler function, ω – hypergeometric function, generalized ω – Gauss hypergeometric function, ω – confluent hypergeometric function, Bessel-Maitland function can be deduced as special cases of our finding. Moreover, we obtain some theorem for extended M -series by using fractional calculus operators and many results associated with Riemann-Liouville, Weyl and Erdelyi-Kober operators. We begin our study from the following definitions.

Keywords: Extended M -series, Saigo- Meada operators, Pathway fractional integral operator.

2010 MSC: 26A33, 44A15, 33C60, 33E12.

©2012 MJM. All rights reserved.

1 Introduction

Fractional calculus operators $(I_{0+}^{\alpha,\beta,\eta} f)(x)$, $(I_{-}^{\alpha,\beta,\eta} f)(x)$, $(D_{0+}^{\alpha,\beta,\eta} f)(x)$ and $(D_{-}^{\alpha,\beta,\eta} f)(x)$ be defined for and complex $\alpha, \beta, \eta \in C$ and $x \in \Re_{+}$; by Saigo [10].

$$(I_{0+}^{\alpha,\beta,\eta} f)(x) = \frac{x^{-\alpha-\beta}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^x (x-t)^{\alpha-1} {}_2F_1\left(\alpha+\beta, -\eta; \alpha; 1-\frac{t}{x}\right) f(t) dt \quad (1.1)$$

$(\Re(\alpha) > 0)$;

$$= \frac{d^n}{dx^n} (I_{0+}^{\alpha+n,\beta-n,\eta-n} f)(x) \quad (1.2)$$

$(\Re(\alpha) \leq 0; n = [\Re(-\alpha)] + 1)$;

$$(I_{-}^{\alpha,\beta,\eta} f)(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_x^{\infty} (t-x)^{\alpha-1} t^{-\alpha-\beta} {}_2F_1\left(\alpha+\beta, -\eta; \alpha; 1-\frac{x}{t}\right) f(t) dt \quad (1.3)$$

$(\Re(\alpha) > 0)$;

$$= (-1)^n \frac{d^n}{dx^n} (I_{-}^{\alpha+n,\beta-n,\eta-n} f)(x) \quad (1.4)$$

$(\Re(\alpha) \leq 0; n = [\Re(-\alpha)] + 1)$ and

$$(D_{0+}^{\alpha,\beta,\eta} f)(x) = (I_{0+}^{-\alpha,-\beta,\alpha+\eta} f)(x) = \frac{d^n}{dx^n} (I_{0+}^{-\alpha+n,-\beta-n,\alpha+\eta-n} f)(x) \quad (1.5)$$

$(\Re(\alpha) > 0; n = [\Re(\alpha)] + 1)$;

$$(D_{-}^{\alpha,\beta,\eta} f)(x) = (I_{-}^{-\alpha,-\beta,\alpha+\eta} f)(x) = (-1)^n \frac{d^n}{dx^n} (I_{-}^{-\alpha+n,-\beta-n,\alpha+\eta-n} f)(x) \quad (1.6)$$

*Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: drdksinghabp@gmail.com (D.K. Singh)

$(\Re(\alpha) > 0; n = [\Re(\alpha)] + 1)$.

When $\beta = -\alpha$, (1.1) and (1.3) coincide with the classical Riemann-Liouville and Weyl fractional integral of order $\alpha \in C$ shown below

$$(R_{0,x}^\alpha f)(x) = (I_{0+}^{\alpha,-\alpha,\eta} f)(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^x (x-t)^{\alpha-1} f(t) dt, (\Re(\alpha) > 0); \quad (1.7)$$

$$= \frac{d^n}{dx^n} (R_{0,x}^{\alpha+n} f)(x) \quad (1.8)$$

$(0 < \Re(\alpha) + n \leq 1; n = 1, 2, \dots)$;

$$(W_{x,\infty}^\alpha f)(x) = (I_-^{\alpha,-\alpha,\eta} f)(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_x^\infty (t-x)^{\alpha-1} f(t) dt \quad (1.9)$$

$(\Re(\alpha) > 0)$;

$$= (-1)^n \frac{d^n}{dx^n} (W_{x,\infty}^{\alpha+n} f)(x) \quad (1.10)$$

$(0 < \Re(\alpha) + n \leq 1; n = 1, 2, \dots)$;

and equation (1.5) and (1.6) coincide with Riemann- Liouville fractional derivative of order $\alpha > 0$ is defined by

$$(D_{0+}^\alpha f)(x) = (D_{0+}^{\alpha,-\alpha,\eta} f)(x) = \left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^n \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \int_0^x \frac{f(t)dt}{(x-t)^{\alpha-n+1}} \quad (1.11)$$

$(n = [\Re(\alpha)] + 1)$;

$$(D_-^\alpha f)(x) = (D_-^{\alpha,-\alpha,\eta} f)(x) = \left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^n \frac{(-1)^n}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \int_x^\infty \frac{f(t)dt}{(t-x)^{\alpha-n+1}} \quad (1.12)$$

$(n = [\Re(\alpha)] + 1)$.

While for $\beta = 0$, (1.1) and (1.3) coincide with the Erdelyi- Kober fractional calculus operators of order $\alpha \in C$

$$(E_{0,x}^{\alpha,\eta} f)(x) = (I_{0+}^{\alpha,0,\eta} f)(x) = \frac{x^{-\alpha-\eta}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^x (x-t)^{\alpha-1} t^\eta f(t) dt \quad (1.13)$$

$(\Re(\alpha) > 0)$;

$$(K_{x,\infty}^{\alpha,\eta} f)(x) = (I_-^{\alpha,0,\eta} f)(x) = \frac{x^\eta}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_x^\infty (t-x)^{\alpha-1} t^{-\alpha-\eta} f(t) dt \quad (1.14)$$

$(\Re(\alpha) > 0)$.

Now here the definition of the following generalized fractional integration and differentiation operators of any complex order involving Appell function $F_3(\cdot)$ due to Saigo and Meada [11, p. 393, Eqs. (4.12) and (4.13)] in the kernel in the following form.

Let $\alpha, \alpha', \beta, \beta', \gamma \in C, x > 0$, then the generalized fractional calculus operators involving the Appell function F_3 are defined by the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(I_{0+}^{\alpha,\alpha',\beta,\beta',\gamma} f\right)(x) = \frac{x^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_0^x t^{-\alpha'} (x-t)^{\gamma-1} \\ & \times F_3\left(\alpha, \alpha', \beta, \beta'; \gamma; 1 - \frac{t}{x}, 1 - \frac{x}{t}\right) f(t) dt, (\Re(\gamma) > 0); \end{aligned} \quad (1.15)$$

$$= \frac{d^n}{dx^n} \left(I_{0+}^{\alpha,\alpha',\beta+n,\beta',\gamma+n} f\right)(x) \quad (1.16)$$

$(\Re(\gamma) \leq 0; n = [-\Re(\gamma)] + 1)$;

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(I_-^{\alpha,\alpha',\beta,\beta',\gamma} f\right)(x) = \frac{x^{-\alpha'}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_x^\infty t^{-\alpha} (t-x)^{\gamma-1} \\ & \times F_3\left(\alpha, \alpha', \beta, \beta'; \gamma; 1 - \frac{x}{t}, 1 - \frac{t}{x}\right) f(t) dt, (\Re(\gamma) > 0); \end{aligned} \quad (1.17)$$

$$= (-1)^n \frac{d^n}{dx^n} \left(I_-^{\alpha, \alpha', \beta, \beta' + n, \gamma + n} f \right) (x) \tag{1.18}$$

$(\Re(\gamma) \leq 0; n = [-\Re(\gamma)] + 1)$ and

$$\left(D_{0+}^{\alpha, \alpha', \beta, \beta', \gamma} f \right) (x) = \left(I_{0+}^{-\alpha', -\alpha, -\beta', -\beta, -\gamma} f \right) (x) \tag{1.19}$$

$$= \frac{d^n}{dx^n} \left(I_{0+}^{-\alpha', -\alpha, -\beta' + n, -\beta, -\gamma + n} f \right) (x); \tag{1.20}$$

$(\Re(\gamma) > 0; n = [\Re(\gamma)] + 1);$

$$\left(D_-^{\alpha, \alpha', \beta, \beta', \gamma} f \right) (x) = \left(I_-^{-\alpha', -\alpha, -\beta', -\beta, -\gamma} f \right) (x) \tag{1.21}$$

$$= (-1)^n \frac{d^n}{dx^n} \left(I_-^{-\alpha', -\alpha, -\beta', -\beta + n, -\gamma + n} f \right) (x) \tag{1.22}$$

$(\Re(\gamma) > 0; n = [\Re(\gamma)] + 1).$

These operators reduce to that in (1.15)-(1.22) as the following.

$$\left(I_{0+}^{\alpha, 0, \beta, \beta', \gamma} f \right) (x) = \left(I_{0+}^{\gamma, \alpha - \gamma, -\beta} f \right) (x) (\gamma \in C); \tag{1.23}$$

$$\left(I_-^{\alpha, 0, \beta, \beta', \gamma} f \right) (x) = \left(I_-^{\gamma, \alpha - \gamma, -\beta} f \right) (x) (\gamma \in C); \tag{1.24}$$

$$\left(D_{0+}^{0, \alpha', \beta, \beta', \gamma} f \right) (x) = \left(D_{0+}^{\gamma, \alpha' - \gamma, \beta' - \gamma} f \right) (x) (\Re(\gamma) > 0); \tag{1.25}$$

$$\left(D_-^{0, \alpha', \beta, \beta', \gamma} f \right) (x) = \left(D_-^{\gamma, \alpha' - \gamma, \beta' - \gamma} f \right) (x) (\Re(\gamma) > 0). \tag{1.26}$$

Our results are based on a preliminary assertion giving composition formulas of generalized fractional integrals (1.15) and (1.17) with a power function established by Saigo and Meada [11, p. 394, eqs. (4.18) and (4.19)], we also have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(I_{0+}^{\alpha, \alpha', \beta, \beta', \gamma} x^{\rho-1} \right) (x) &= \frac{\Gamma(\rho) \Gamma(\rho + \gamma - \alpha - \alpha' - \beta) \Gamma(\rho + \beta' - \alpha')}{\Gamma(\rho + \gamma - \alpha - \alpha') \Gamma(\rho + \gamma - \alpha' - \beta) \Gamma(\rho + \beta')} \\ &\quad \times x^{\rho - \alpha - \alpha' + \gamma - 1}, \end{aligned} \tag{1.27}$$

where $\Re(\gamma) > 0, \Re(\rho) > \max[0, \Re(\alpha + \alpha' + \beta - \gamma), \Re(\alpha' - \beta')]$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \left(I_-^{\alpha, \alpha', \beta, \beta', \gamma} x^{\rho-1} \right) (x) &= \frac{\Gamma(1 + \alpha + \alpha' - \gamma - \rho) \Gamma(1 + \alpha + \beta' - \gamma - \rho) \Gamma(1 - \beta - \rho)}{\Gamma(1 - \rho) \Gamma(1 + \alpha + \alpha' + \beta' - \gamma - \rho) \Gamma(1 + \alpha - \beta - \rho)} \\ &\quad \times x^{\rho - \alpha - \alpha' + \gamma - 1}, \end{aligned} \tag{1.28}$$

where $\Re(\gamma) > 0, \Re(\rho) < 1 + \min[\Re(-\beta), \Re(\alpha + \alpha' - \gamma), \Re(\alpha + \beta' - \gamma)]$.

For fractional integrals (1.1) and (1.3) with a power function established by Saigo [10], given below

(a) If $\alpha, \beta, \eta, \rho \in C$ are such that

$$\Re(\alpha) > 0, \Re(\rho) > \max[0, \Re(\beta - \eta)], \tag{1.29}$$

then

$$\left(I_{0+}^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} x^{\rho-1} \right) (x) = \frac{\Gamma(\rho) \Gamma(\rho + \eta - \beta)}{\Gamma(\rho - \beta) \Gamma(\rho + \alpha + \eta)} x^{\rho - \beta - 1} (x > 0). \tag{1.30}$$

(b) If $\alpha, \beta, \eta, \rho \in C$ are such that

$$\Re(\alpha) > 0, \Re(\rho) > -\min[\Re(\beta), \Re(\eta)], \tag{1.31}$$

then

$$\left(I_-^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} x^{-\rho} \right) (x) = \frac{\Gamma(\rho + \beta) \Gamma(\rho + \eta)}{\Gamma(\rho) \Gamma(\rho + \alpha + \beta + \eta)} x^{-\rho - \beta} (x > 0). \tag{1.32}$$

2 Extended M-Series

Extended M -series is the Special case of the generalized Wright function [9] as remarked by Saxena [16]. Since

$$\begin{aligned} {}_{p+2}\overset{\omega}{M}_{q+2} \left[\begin{array}{c} a_1, \dots, a_p, (1, 1), (\tau, \omega) \\ b_1, \dots, b_q, (\delta, \omega), (\xi, \mu) \end{array} \mid z \right] &= \kappa_{p+2} \Psi_{q+2} \left[\begin{array}{c} (a_1, 1), \dots, (a_p, 1), (1, 1), (\tau, \omega) \\ (b_1, 1), \dots, (b_q, 1), (\delta, \omega), (\xi, \mu) \end{array} \mid z \right] \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_k \dots (a_p)_k (1)_k \Gamma(\tau + \omega k)}{(b_1)_k \dots (b_q)_k \Gamma(\delta + \omega k) \Gamma(\xi + \mu k)} \frac{z^k}{k!} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_k \dots (a_p)_k \Gamma(\tau + \omega k)}{(b_1)_k \dots (b_q)_k \Gamma(\delta + \omega k) \Gamma(\xi + \mu k)} z^k, \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

where ${}_{p+2}\overset{\omega}{M}_{q+2}(\cdot)$ is called omega M -series ($\omega - M$ series) and $\kappa = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^q \Gamma(b_j)_k}{\prod_{j=1}^p \Gamma(a_j)_k}$; $\tau, \xi, \mu, \delta \in C, \Re(\mu) > 0, \Re(\omega) > 0, p \leq q + 1$.

3 Special Cases

(i) If $\delta = \tau$ then equation (2.1) can be written in the following form

$${}_p\overset{\xi, \mu}{M}_q(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_k \dots (a_p)_k}{(b_1)_k \dots (b_q)_k \Gamma(\xi + \mu k)} z^k, \quad (3.1)$$

where $z, \xi, \mu \in C, \Re(\mu) > 0, p \leq q + 1$ is known as generalized M -Series [12].

(ii) If we put $\xi = 1$ then from the above equation (3.1) called the M -series [12].

$${}_p\overset{\mu}{M}_q(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_k \dots (a_p)_k}{(b_1)_k \dots (b_q)_k \Gamma(1 + \mu k)} z^k, \quad (3.2)$$

where $\mu \in C, p \leq q + 1$.

(iii) The ω -confluent hypergeometric function [13, 14]: when $p = q = 0$ and $\xi = \mu = 1$, we have

$$\frac{\Gamma(\tau)}{\Gamma(\delta)} {}_1\overset{\omega}{\Phi}_1(\tau; \delta; z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\tau + \omega k)}{\Gamma(\delta + \omega k) \Gamma(1 + k)} z^k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\tau + \omega k)}{\Gamma(\delta + \omega k) k!} z^k, \quad (3.3)$$

where $|z| < \infty, \omega > 0, (\delta + \omega k) \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots$.

(iv) The ω -hypergeometric function [14]: For $p = 1, q = 0, \xi = \mu = 1$, we have

$$\frac{\Gamma(\tau)}{\Gamma(\delta)} {}_2\overset{\omega}{R}_1(a, \tau; \delta; z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_k (1)_k \Gamma(\tau + \omega k)}{\Gamma(\delta + \omega k) \Gamma(1 + k) k!} z^k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_k \Gamma(\tau + \omega k)}{\Gamma(\delta + \omega k) k!} z^k, \quad (3.4)$$

where $|z| < 1, \omega > 0$.

(v) The generalized ω -Gauss hypergeometric function [21]: If we take $p = 2, q = 1, \xi = \mu = 1$, then we have

$$\frac{\Gamma(\tau)}{\Gamma(\delta)} {}_3\overset{\omega}{R}_2(a_1, \underline{a}_2, \tau; \underline{b}_1, \delta; z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_k (a_2)_k \Gamma(\tau + \omega k)}{(b_1)_k \Gamma(\delta + \omega k) k!} z^k, \quad (3.5)$$

where \underline{a} is defined to be $\frac{\Gamma(a + \omega k)}{\Gamma(a)}$ and $|z| < 1$.

(vi) When $p = 0, q = 1, \tau = \delta, b = 1, \xi = \mu = 1$ and z is replaced by $-z$, the function $\phi(\mu, \xi + 1; -z)$ is denoted by $J_{\xi}^{\mu}(z)$:

$$J_{\xi}^{\mu}(z) \equiv \phi(\mu, \xi + 1; -z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\xi + 1 + \mu k)} \frac{(-z)^k}{k!} \quad (3.6)$$

and such a function is known as the Bessel-Maitland function, or the Wright generalized Bessel function, See [20, p. 352] and [15, 8.3].

(vii) If we put $p = 1, q = 1$ and $\tau = \delta, b = 1$ in (2.1), then we have

$$E_{\xi, \mu}^a(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_k}{\Gamma(\xi + \mu k)} \frac{z^k}{k!}, \tag{3.7}$$

where $\xi, \mu \in C, \Re(\xi) > 0, \Re(\mu) > 0$ and $|z| < 1$ is called generalized Mittag-leffer function introduced by Prabhakar [19] and studied by Killbas. et. al. [1] and [3].

(viii) For $\xi = \mu = 1$ and $\tau = \delta$, we obtain

$${}_pF_q \left[\begin{matrix} a_1, \dots, a_p \\ b_1, \dots, b_q \end{matrix} \mid z \right] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_k \dots (a_p)_k}{(b_1)_k \dots (b_q)_k \Gamma(1+k)} z^k, \tag{3.8}$$

where $p \leq q + 1$ and $|z| < 1$ and ${}_pF_q(\cdot)$ is known as generalized hypergeometric function [3].

(ix) H-Function [2, 4, 8]: $\omega - M$ series can be represented as a special case of the Fox H-function

$$\begin{aligned} {}_{p+2}\overset{\omega}{M}_{q+2} \left[\begin{matrix} a_1 \dots a_p, (1, 1), (\tau, \omega) \\ b_1 \dots b_q, (\delta, \omega), (\xi, \mu) \end{matrix} \mid z \right] \\ = k H_{p+2, q+2}^{1, n+2} \left[\begin{matrix} (1 - a_1, 1), \dots, (1 - a_p, 1), (0, 1), (1 - \tau, \omega) \\ (1 - b_1, 1), \dots, (1 - b_q, 1), (0, 1), (1 - \delta, \omega), (1 - \xi, \mu) \end{matrix} \mid (-z) \right], \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

where $k = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^q \Gamma(b_j)_r}{\Gamma^p \Pi(a_j)_r}$.

4 Left-Side Generalized Fractional Integration and Differentiation of Extended M-Series

Theorem 4.1. Let $\alpha, \alpha', \beta, \beta', \gamma \in C$ be a complex number such that $\Re(\gamma) > 0$ and let $\rho, \delta, \xi, \tau, \mu \in C, \Re(\rho) > 0, p \leq q + 1$ and $|x| < 1$. If the condition

$$\Re(\rho) > \max [0, \Re(\alpha + \alpha' + \beta - \gamma), \Re(\alpha' - \beta')]$$

is satisfied then

$$\begin{aligned} \left[I_{0+}^{\alpha, \alpha', \beta, \beta', \gamma} \left(t^{\rho-1} {}_{p+2}\overset{\omega}{M}_{q+2}(t) \right) \right] (x) &= x^{\rho+\gamma-\alpha-\alpha'-1} \\ \times {}_{p+5}\overset{\omega}{M}_{q+5} \left(\begin{matrix} a_1, \dots, a_p, (1, 1), (\tau, \omega), (\rho, 1), \\ b_1, \dots, b_q, (\delta, \omega), (\xi, \mu), (\rho + \gamma - \alpha - \alpha', 1), \\ (\rho + \gamma - \alpha - \alpha' - \beta, 1), (\rho + \beta' - \alpha', 1) \\ (\rho + \gamma - \alpha' - \beta, 1), (\rho + \beta', 1) \end{matrix} ; x \right). \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Proof. From the equations (1.15) and (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left[I_{0+}^{\alpha, \alpha', \beta, \beta', \gamma} \left(t^{\rho-1} {}_{p+2}\overset{\omega}{M}_{q+2}(t) \right) \right] (x) \\ = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_k \dots (a_p)_k (1)_k \Gamma(\tau + \omega k)}{(b_1)_k \dots (b_q)_k \Gamma(\delta + \omega k) \Gamma(\xi + \mu k) k!} \left[I_{0+}^{\alpha, \alpha', \beta, \beta', \gamma} (t^{\rho+k-1}) \right] (x). \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Now using equation (1.27), we obtained

$$\begin{aligned} &= x^{\rho+\gamma-\alpha-\alpha'-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_k \dots (a_p)_k (1)_k \Gamma(\rho + k)}{(b_1)_k \dots (b_q)_k \Gamma(\rho + \gamma - \alpha - \alpha' + k)} \\ &\times \frac{\Gamma(\rho + \gamma - \alpha - \alpha' - \beta + k) \Gamma(\rho + \beta' - \alpha' + k) \Gamma(\tau + \omega k)}{\Gamma(\rho + \gamma - \alpha' - \beta + k) \Gamma(\rho + \beta' + k) \Gamma(\delta + \omega k) \Gamma(\xi + \mu k) k!}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

which is the required result. □

Corollary 4.1. Let $\alpha, \beta, \eta, \rho \in C$ be such that condition (1.29) is satisfied, and further let $\delta, \xi, \tau, \mu \in C, \Re(\rho) > 0$ and $|x| < 1$. Then by relation (1.23) and (1.30) there hold the formula

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[I_{0+}^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} \left(t^{\rho-1} {}_{p+2} \overset{\omega}{M}_{q+2}(t) \right) \right] (x) \\ &= x^{\rho-\beta-1} {}_{p+4} \overset{\omega}{M}_{q+4} \left(\begin{array}{c} a_1, \dots, a_p, (1, 1), (\tau, \omega), (\rho, 1), (\rho - \beta + \eta, 1) \\ b_1, \dots, b_q, (\delta, \omega), (\xi, \mu), (\rho - \beta, 1), (\rho + \alpha + \eta, 1) \end{array} ; x \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

Corollary 4.2. Let $\alpha, \rho \in C$ be such that $\Re(\alpha) > 0$ and $\Re(\rho) > 0$. Further let $\delta, \xi, \tau, \mu \in C$, and $|x| < 1$ then the relation (1.7) indicates that equation (4.4) reduces to the following result

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[R_{0,x}^{\alpha} \left(t^{\rho-1} {}_{p+2} \overset{\omega}{M}_{q+2}(t) \right) \right] (x) \\ &= x^{\rho+\alpha-1} {}_{p+3} \overset{\omega}{M}_{q+3} \left(\begin{array}{c} a_1, \dots, a_p, (1, 1), (\tau, \omega), (\rho, 1) \\ b_1, \dots, b_q, (\delta, \omega), (\xi, \mu), (\rho + \alpha, 1) \end{array} ; x \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

Corollary 4.3. Let $\alpha, \eta, \rho \in C$ be such that $\Re(\alpha) > 0$ and $\Re(\rho) > 0$. Further let $\delta, \xi, \tau, \mu \in C$, and $|x| < 1$ then the relation (1.13) indicates that equation (4.4) reduces to the following result

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[E_{0,x}^{\alpha, \eta} \left(t^{\rho-1} {}_{p+2} \overset{\omega}{M}_{q+2}(t) \right) \right] (x) \\ &= x^{\rho-1} {}_{p+3} \overset{\omega}{M}_{q+3} \left(\begin{array}{c} a_1, \dots, a_p, (1, 1), (\tau, \omega), (\rho + \eta, 1) \\ b_1, \dots, b_q, (\delta, \omega), (\xi, \mu), (\rho + \alpha + \eta, 1) \end{array} ; x \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

Theorem 4.2. Let $\alpha, \alpha', \beta, \beta', \gamma \in C$ be a complex number such that $\Re(\gamma) > 0$ and let $\rho, \delta, \xi, \tau, \mu \in C, \Re(\rho) > 0, p \leq q + 1$ and $|x| < 1$. If the condition

$$\Re(\rho) > \max [0, \Re(\gamma - \alpha - \alpha' - \beta'), \Re(\beta - \alpha)]$$

is satisfied then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[D_{0+}^{\alpha, \alpha', \beta, \beta', \gamma} \left(t^{\rho-1} {}_{p+2} \overset{\omega}{M}_{q+2}(t) \right) \right] (x) = x^{\rho-\gamma+\alpha+\alpha'-1} \\ & \times {}_{p+5} \overset{\omega}{M}_{p+5} \left(\begin{array}{c} a_1, \dots, a_p, (1, 1), (\tau, \omega), (\rho, 1), \\ b_1, \dots, b_q, (\delta, \omega), (\xi, \mu), (\rho - \gamma + \alpha + \alpha', 1), \\ (\rho - \gamma + \alpha + \alpha' + \beta', 1), (\rho - \beta + \alpha, 1) \\ (\rho - \gamma + \alpha + \beta', 1), (\rho - \beta, 1) \end{array} ; x \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

Proof. By using equations (1.20) and (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[D_{0+}^{\alpha, \alpha', \beta, \beta', \gamma} \left(t^{\rho-1} {}_{p+2} \overset{\omega}{M}_{q+2}(t) \right) \right] (x) \\ &= \left(\frac{d}{dx} \right)^m \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_k \dots (a_p)_k (1)_k \Gamma(\tau + \omega k)}{(b_1)_k \dots (b_q)_k \Gamma(\delta + \omega k) \Gamma(\xi + \mu k) k!} \\ & \times \left[I_{0+}^{-\alpha', -\alpha, -\beta' + m, -\beta, -\gamma + m} (t^{\rho+k-1}) \right] (x). \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

Now using equation (1.27), we obtained

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_k \dots (a_p)_k (1)_k \Gamma(\tau + \omega k)}{(b_1)_k \dots (b_q)_k \Gamma(\delta + \omega k) \Gamma(\xi + \mu k) k!} \\ & \times \frac{\Gamma(\rho + k) \Gamma(\rho + k - \gamma + \alpha + \alpha' + \beta') \Gamma(\rho + k - \beta + \alpha)}{\Gamma(\rho + k - \gamma + m + \alpha + \alpha') \Gamma(\rho + k - \gamma + \alpha + \beta') \Gamma(\rho + k - \beta)} \\ & \times \left(\frac{d}{dx} \right)^m x^{\rho+k-\gamma+m+\alpha+\alpha'-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the formula $\frac{d^m x^n}{dx^m} = \frac{\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(n-m+1)} x^{n-m}, n \geq m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &= x^{\rho-\gamma+\alpha+\alpha'-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_k \dots (a_p)_k (1)_k \Gamma(\rho+k)}{(b_1)_k \dots (b_q)_k \Gamma(\rho-\gamma+\alpha+\alpha'+k)} \\ &\times \frac{\Gamma(\rho-\gamma+\alpha+\alpha'+\beta'+k) \Gamma(\rho-\beta+\alpha+k) \Gamma(\tau+\omega k) x^k}{\Gamma(\rho-\gamma+\alpha+\beta'+k) \Gamma(\rho-\beta+k) \Gamma(\delta+\omega k) \Gamma(\xi+\mu k) k!}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

Which is the required result. □

If we set $\alpha = 0$ in (4.7) we arrive at

Corollary 4.4. *Let $\alpha, \beta, \eta, \rho \in C$ be such that $\Re(\alpha) \geq 0$,*

$$\Re(\rho) > -\min [0, \Re(\alpha + \beta + \eta)],$$

and further let $\delta, \xi, \tau, \mu \in C, \Re(\rho) > 0$. Then by the relation (1.25) there hold the formula

$$\begin{aligned} &\left[D_{0+}^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} \left(t^{\rho-1} {}_{p+2} \check{M}_{q+2}(t) \right) \right] (x) \\ &= x^{\rho+\beta-1} {}_{p+4} \check{M}_{q+4} \left(\begin{matrix} a_1, \dots, a_p, (1, 1), (\tau, \omega), (\rho, 1), (\rho + \alpha + \beta + \eta, 1) \\ b_1, \dots, b_q, (\delta, \omega), (\xi, \mu), (\rho + \beta, 1), (\rho + \eta, 1) \end{matrix} ; x \right). \end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

Corollary 4.5. *Let $\alpha, \rho \in C$ be such $\Re(\alpha) \geq 0$, and further let $\delta, \xi, \tau, \mu \in C, \Re(\rho) > 0$. Then by the relation (1.11) there hold the formula*

$$\begin{aligned} &\left[D_{0+}^{\alpha} \left(t^{\rho-1} {}_{p+2} \check{M}_{q+2}(t) \right) \right] (x) \\ &= x^{\rho-\alpha-1} {}_{p+3} \check{M}_{q+3} \left(\begin{matrix} a_1, \dots, a_p, (1, 1), (\tau, \omega), (\rho, 1) \\ b_1, \dots, b_q, (\delta, \omega), (\xi, \mu), (\rho - \alpha, 1) \end{matrix} ; x \right). \end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

5 Right -Side Generalized Fractional Integration and Differentiation of Extended M-Series

Theorem 5.1. *Let $\alpha, \alpha', \beta, \beta', \gamma \in C$ be a complex number such that $\Re(\gamma) > 0$ and further let $\tau, \delta, \xi, \mu \in C, \Re(\rho) > 0, p \leq q + 1$ and $|x| < 1$. If the condition*

$$\Re(\rho) < 1 + \min \left[\Re(-\beta), \Re(\alpha + \alpha' - \gamma), \Re(\alpha + \beta' - \gamma) \right]$$

is satisfied then

$$\begin{aligned} &\left[I_{-}^{\alpha, \alpha', \beta, \beta', \gamma} \left(t^{-\rho-1} {}_{p+2} \check{M}_{q+2} \left(\frac{1}{t} \right) \right) \right] (x) = x^{-\rho+\gamma-\alpha-\alpha'-1} \\ &\times {}_{p+5} \check{M}_{q+5} \left(\begin{matrix} a_1, \dots, a_p, (1, 1), (\tau, \omega), (1 + \rho - \gamma + \alpha + \alpha', 1), \\ b_1, \dots, b_q, (\delta, \omega), (\xi, \mu), (1 + \rho, 1), \\ (1 + \rho - \beta, 1), (1 + \rho - \gamma + \beta' + \alpha, 1) \\ (1 + \rho + \alpha - \beta, 1), (1 + \rho + \alpha + \alpha' + \beta' - \gamma, 1) \end{matrix} ; \frac{1}{x} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

Proof. Proof of the theorem is similar to that of Theorem 1. □

Corollary 5.1. *Let $\alpha, \beta, \eta, \rho \in C$ be such that condition (1.31) is satisfied, and further let $\delta, \xi, \tau, \mu \in C, \Re(\rho) > 0$ and $|x| < 1$. Then by relation (1.24) and (1.32) there hold the formula*

$$\begin{aligned} &\left[I_{-}^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} \left(t^{-\rho-1} {}_{p+2} \check{M}_{q+2} \left(\frac{1}{t} \right) \right) \right] (x) \\ &= x^{-\rho-\beta-1} {}_{p+4} \check{M}_{q+4} \left(\begin{matrix} a_1, \dots, a_p, (1, 1), (\tau, \omega), \\ b_1, \dots, b_q, (\delta, \omega), (\xi, \mu), \\ (1 + \rho + \beta, 1), (1 + \rho + \eta, 1) \\ (1 + \rho, 1), (1 + \rho + \alpha + \beta + \eta, 1) \end{matrix} ; \frac{1}{x} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

Corollary 5.2. Let $\alpha, \rho \in C$ be such that $\Re(\alpha) > 0$ and $\Re(\rho) > 0$. Further let $\delta, \xi, \tau, \mu \in C$ and $|x| < 1$ then the relation (1.9) indicates that equation (5.2) reduces to the following result

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[W_{x,\infty}^{\alpha} \left(t^{-\rho-1} {}_{p+2}\check{M}_{q+2} \left(\frac{1}{t} \right) \right) \right] (x) \\ &= x^{-\rho+\alpha-1} {}_{p+3}\check{M}_{q+3} \left(\begin{array}{c} a_1, \dots, a_p, (1, 1), (\tau, \omega), (1 + \rho - \alpha, 1) \\ b_1, \dots, b_q, (\delta, \omega), (\xi, \mu), (1 + \rho, 1) \end{array} ; \frac{1}{x} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

Corollary 5.3. Let $\alpha, \eta, \rho \in C$ be such that $\Re(\alpha) > 0$ and $\Re(\rho) > 0$. Further let $\delta, \xi, \tau, \mu \in C$, and $|x| < 1$ then the relation (1.14) indicates that equation (5.2) reduces to the following result

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[K_{x,\infty}^{\alpha,\eta} \left(t^{-\rho-1} {}_{p+2}\check{M}_{q+2} \left(\frac{1}{t} \right) \right) \right] (x) \\ &= x^{-\rho-1} {}_{p+3}\check{M}_{q+3} \left(\begin{array}{c} a_1, \dots, a_p, (1, 1), (\tau, \omega), (1 + \rho + \eta, 1) \\ b_1, \dots, b_q, (\delta, \omega), (\xi, \mu), (1 + \rho + \alpha + \eta, 1) \end{array} ; \frac{1}{x} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

Theorem 5.2. Let $\alpha, \alpha', \beta, \beta', \gamma \in C$ be a complex number such that $\Re(\gamma) > 0$ and further let $\rho, \delta, \tau, \xi, \mu \in C, \Re(\rho) > 0, p \leq q + 1$ and $|x| < 1$. If the condition

$$\Re(\rho) < 1 + \min \left[\Re(\beta'), \Re(\gamma - \alpha - \alpha' - k), \Re(\gamma - \alpha' - \beta) \right]$$

is satisfied then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[D_{-}^{\alpha,\alpha',\beta,\beta',\gamma} \left(t^{-\rho-1} {}_{p+2}\check{M}_{q+2} \left(\frac{1}{t} \right) \right) \right] (x) = x^{-\rho+\gamma-\alpha-\alpha'-1} \\ & \times {}_{p+5}\check{M}_{q+5} \left(\begin{array}{c} a_1, \dots, a_p, (1, 1), (\zeta, \omega), (1 + \rho + \gamma - \alpha - \alpha', 1), \\ b_1, \dots, b_q, (\delta, \omega), (\eta, \mu), (1 + \rho, 1), \\ (1 + \rho + \beta', 1), (1 + \rho + \gamma - \alpha' - \beta, 1) \\ (1 + \rho + \beta' - \alpha', 1), (1 + \rho - \alpha - \alpha' - \beta + \gamma, 1) \end{array} ; \frac{1}{x} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

Proof. It is similar to the previous Theorem. □

Corollary 5.4. Let $\alpha, \beta, \eta, \rho \in C$ be such $\Re(\alpha) \geq 0$,

$$\Re(\rho) > -\min [\Re(-\beta - n), \Re(\alpha + \eta)],$$

$n = [\Re(\alpha)] + 1$, and further let $\delta, \xi, \tau, \mu \in C, \Re(\rho) > 0$. Then by the relation (1.26) there hold the formula

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[D_{-}^{\alpha,\beta,\eta} \left(t^{-\rho-1} {}_{p+2}\check{M}_{q+2} \left(\frac{1}{t} \right) \right) \right] (x) \\ &= x^{-\rho+\beta-1} {}_{p+4}\check{M}_{q+4} \left(\begin{array}{c} a_1, \dots, a_p, (1, 1), (\tau, \omega), \\ b_1, \dots, b_q, (\delta, \omega), (\xi, \mu), \\ (1 + \rho - \beta, 1), (1 + \rho + \alpha + \eta, 1) \\ (1 + \rho, 1), (1 + \rho + \eta - \beta, 1) \end{array} ; \frac{1}{x} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

Corollary 5.5. Let $\alpha, \rho \in C$ be such $\Re(\alpha) \geq 0$ and further let $\delta, \xi, \tau, \mu \in C, \Re(\rho) > 0$. Then by the relation (1.12) there hold the formula

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[D_{-}^{\alpha} \left(t^{-\rho-1} {}_{p+2}\check{M}_{q+2} \left(\frac{1}{t} \right) \right) \right] (x) \\ &= x^{-\rho-\alpha-1} {}_{p+3}\check{M}_{q+3} \left(\begin{array}{c} a_1, \dots, a_p, (1, 1), (\tau, \omega), (1 + \rho + \alpha, 1) \\ b_1, \dots, b_q, (\delta, \omega), (\xi, \mu), (1 + \rho, 1) \end{array} ; \frac{1}{x} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

6 Fractional Integro-Differentiation of Extended M Series

Theorem 6.1. Let $\alpha, \alpha', \beta, \beta', \gamma \in C$ be a complex number such that $\Re(\gamma) > 0$ and let $\rho, \delta, \xi, \tau, \mu \in C, \Re(\rho) > 0, p \leq q + 1$ and $|x| < 1$. If the condition

$$\Re(\rho) > \max [0, \Re(\alpha + \alpha' + \beta - \gamma), \Re(\alpha' - \beta')]$$

is satisfied then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[I_{0+}^{\alpha, \alpha', \beta, \beta', \gamma} \left(t^{\rho-1} {}_{p+2}\check{M}_{q+2}(t) \right) \right] (x) = x^{\rho+\gamma-\alpha-\alpha'-1} \\ & \times {}_{p+5}\check{M}_{q+5} \left(\begin{matrix} a_1, \dots, a_p, (1, 1), (\tau, \omega), (\rho, 1), \\ b_1, \dots, b_q, (\delta, \omega), (\xi, \mu), (\rho + \gamma - \alpha - \alpha', 1), \\ (\rho + \gamma - \alpha - \alpha' - \beta, 1), (\rho + \beta' - \alpha', 1) \\ (\rho + \gamma - \alpha' - \beta, 1), (\rho + \beta', 1) \end{matrix} ; x \right). \end{aligned} \quad (6.1)$$

Proof. To prove (6.1) using equation (1.16) which represent integro-differentiation operator and applying the same reasoning similar to the Theorem 1. Therefore we omit detail. \square

If we take $\alpha' = 0$ (6.1), we arrive at

Corollary 6.1. Let $\alpha, \beta, \eta, \rho \in C$ be such that condition (1.29) is satisfied, and further let $\delta, \xi, \tau, \mu \in C, \Re(\rho) > 0$ and $|x| < 1$. Then by relation (1.2) and (1.30) there hold the formula

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[I_{0+}^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} \left(t^{\rho-1} {}_{p+2}\check{M}_{q+2}(t) \right) \right] (x) \\ & = x^{\rho-\beta-1} {}_{p+4}\check{M}_{q+4} \left(\begin{matrix} a_1, \dots, a_p, (1, 1), (\tau, \omega), (\rho, 1), (\rho - \beta + \eta, 1) \\ b_1, \dots, b_q, (\delta, \omega), (\xi, \mu), (\rho - \beta, 1), (\rho + \alpha + \eta, 1) \end{matrix} ; x \right). \end{aligned} \quad (6.2)$$

Theorem 6.2. Let $\alpha, \alpha', \beta, \beta', \gamma \in C$ be a complex number such that $\Re(\gamma) > 0$ and further let $\tau, \delta, \xi, \mu \in C, \Re(\rho) > 0, p \leq q + 1$ and $|x| < 1$. If the condition

$$\Re(\rho) < 1 + \min \left[\Re(-\beta), \Re(\alpha + \alpha' - \gamma), \Re(\alpha + \beta' - \gamma) \right]$$

is satisfied then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[I_{-}^{\alpha, \alpha', \beta, \beta', \gamma} \left(t^{-\rho-1} {}_{p+2}\check{M}_{q+2} \left(\frac{1}{t} \right) \right) \right] (x) = x^{-\rho+\gamma-\alpha-\alpha'-1} \\ & \times {}_{p+5}\check{M}_{q+5} \left(\begin{matrix} a_1, \dots, a_p, (1, 1), (\tau, \omega), (1 + \rho - \gamma + \alpha + \alpha', 1), \\ b_1, \dots, b_q, (\delta, \omega), (\xi, \mu), (1 + \rho, 1), \\ (1 + \rho - \beta, 1), (1 + \rho - \gamma + \beta' + \alpha, 1) \\ (1 + \rho + \alpha - \beta, 1), (1 + \rho + \alpha + \alpha' + \beta' - \gamma, 1) \end{matrix} ; \frac{1}{x} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (6.3)$$

Proof. In view of (1.18) and (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[I_{-}^{\alpha, \alpha', \beta, \beta', \gamma} \left(t^{-\rho-1} {}_{p+2}\check{M}_{q+2} \left(\frac{1}{t} \right) \right) \right] (x) \\ & = (-1)^n \frac{d^n}{dx^n} x^{-\alpha-\alpha'+\gamma+n-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_k \dots (a_p)_k (1)_k \Gamma(\zeta + \omega k)}{(b_1)_k \dots (b_q)_k \Gamma(\delta + \omega k) \Gamma(\eta + \mu k) k!} \\ & \times \left[I_{0+}^{\alpha, \alpha', \beta, \beta'+n, \gamma+n} \left(t^{1+\alpha+\alpha'-\gamma-n+\rho+k-1} \right) \right] \left(\frac{1}{x} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (6.4)$$

With the help of equation (1.27) we arrive at

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_k \dots (a_p)_k (1)_k}{(b_1)_k \dots (b_q)_k} \frac{1}{k!}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \times \frac{\Gamma(1+\rho+k+\alpha+\alpha'-\gamma-n)\Gamma(1+\rho+k-\beta)\Gamma(1+\rho+k+\alpha+\beta'-\gamma)\Gamma(\zeta+\omega k)}{\Gamma(1+\rho+k)\Gamma(1+\rho+k+\alpha-\beta)\Gamma(1+\rho+\alpha+\alpha'-\gamma+\beta')\Gamma(\delta+\omega k)\Gamma(\eta+\mu k)} \\ & \times \left(1+\rho+k+\alpha+\alpha'-\gamma-n\right)_n x^{-\rho-k-\alpha-\alpha'+\gamma+n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally using formula $(a)_n = \frac{\Gamma(a+n)}{\Gamma(a)}$, $a \neq 0$, the above expression becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & = x^{-\rho+\gamma-\alpha-\alpha'-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_k \dots (a_p)_k (1)_k}{(b_1)_k \dots (b_q)_k} \frac{\Gamma(1+\rho+k+\alpha+\alpha'-\gamma)}{\Gamma(1+\rho+k)\Gamma(1+\rho+k+\alpha-\beta)} \\ & \times \frac{\Gamma(1+\rho+k-\beta)\Gamma(1+\rho+k+\alpha+\beta'-\gamma)\Gamma(\zeta+\omega k)}{\Gamma(1+\rho+\alpha+\alpha'-\gamma+\beta')\Gamma(\delta+\omega k)\Gamma(\eta+\mu k)} \frac{x^{-k}}{k!}, \end{aligned} \quad (6.5)$$

which is the required result. \square

If we take $\alpha' = 0$ in (6.3), then the following result holds:

Corollary 6.2. *Let $\alpha, \beta, \eta, \rho \in C$ be such that condition (1.31) is satisfied, and further let $\delta, \xi, \tau, \mu \in C, \Re(\rho) > 0$ and $|x| < 1$. Then by relation (1.4) there hold the formula*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[I_-^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} \left(t^{-\rho-1} {}_{p+2} \overset{\omega}{M}_{q+2} \left(\frac{1}{t} \right) \right) \right] (x) \\ & = x^{-\rho-\beta-1} {}_{p+4} \overset{\omega}{M}_{q+4} \left(\begin{array}{c} a_1, \dots, a_p, (1, 1), (\tau, \omega), (1+\rho+\beta, 1), (1+\rho+\eta, 1) \\ b_1, \dots, b_q, (\delta, \omega), (\xi, \mu), (1+\rho, 1), (1+\rho+\alpha+\beta+\eta, 1) \end{array} ; \frac{1}{x} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (6.6)$$

7 Usual Differentiation of the Extended M-Series

It is known that for the natural $\alpha = m \in N$, the Riemann- Liouville fractional derivative (1.11) is the usual derivative of order m , while (1.12) coincides with the usual derivative of order m with exactness to the multiplier $(-1)^m$ for example see [18, section 2 and 5]:

$$\begin{aligned} (D_{0+}^m f)(x) & = \left(\frac{d}{dx} \right)^m f(x), \\ (D_-^m f)(x) & = (-1)^m \left(\frac{d}{dx} \right)^m f(x) \quad (x > 0); \end{aligned}$$

There hold the following result.

Theorem 7.1. *Let $m \in N$ and let $\delta, \xi, \tau, \mu \in C, \rho > 0$. Then for $z \in C (z \neq 0)$ there hold the formula*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{d}{dx} \right)^m \left(z^{\rho-1} {}_{p+2} \overset{\omega}{M}_{q+2} (z) \right) \\ & = z^{\rho-m-1} {}_{p+3} \overset{\omega}{M}_{q+3} \left(\begin{array}{c} a_1, \dots, a_p, (1, 1), (\tau, \omega), (\rho, 1) \\ b_1, \dots, b_q, (\delta, \omega), (\xi, \mu), (\rho-m, 1) \end{array} ; z \right), \end{aligned} \quad (7.1)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{d}{dx} \right)^m \left(z^{-\rho-1} {}_{p+2} \overset{\omega}{M}_{q+2} \left(\frac{1}{z} \right) \right) \\ & = (-1)^m z^{-\rho-m-1} {}_{p+3} \overset{\omega}{M}_{q+3} \left(\begin{array}{c} a_1, \dots, a_p, (1, 1), (\tau, \omega), (1+\rho+m, 1) \\ b_1, \dots, b_q, (\delta, \omega), (\xi, \mu), (1+\rho, 1) \end{array} ; \frac{1}{z} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (7.2)$$

Proof. With the help of corollaries 4.5 and 5.5 we deduce the differentiation formulas for the extended M-series (2.1). Therefore these relations can be extended from $x > 0$ to any complex $z \in C$, except $z = 0$, and the condition for their validity can be omitted. \square

8 Pathway Fractional Integration of Extended M-Series

The Pathway model is introduced by Mathai [5] and studied further by Mathai and Haubold [6], [7] and Seema S. Nair [17]. Let $f(x) \in L(a, b), \eta \in C, \Re(\eta) > 0, a > 0$ and let us take a pathway parameter $\alpha < 1$. Then the pathway fractional integration operator, as an extension of (1.7) is defined as follows:

$$\left(P_{0+}^{(\eta, \alpha)} f\right)(x) = x^\eta \int_0^{\left[\frac{x}{a(1-\alpha)}\right]} \left[1 - \frac{a(1-\alpha)t}{x}\right]^{\frac{\eta}{(1-\alpha)}} f(t) dt. \tag{8.1}$$

Theorem 8.1. Let $f(x) \in L(a, b), \eta, \rho \in C, \Re(\eta) > 0, \Re(\rho) > 0, a > 0$ and pathway parameter $\alpha < 1$. Further let $\tau, \delta, \xi, \mu \in C, p \leq q + 1$. Then for the pathway fractional integral $P_{0,+}^{(\eta, \alpha)}$ the following formula holds for the image of extended M series

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[P_{0+}^{(\eta, \alpha)} \left(t^{\rho-1} {}_{p+2} \overset{\omega}{M}_{q+2}(t) \right) \right] (x) \\ &= \frac{x^{\rho+\eta}}{(a(1-\alpha))^{\rho+p+3}} {}_{p+3} \overset{\omega}{M}_{q+3} \left(\begin{matrix} a_1, \dots, a_p, (1, 1), (\tau, \omega), (\rho, 1) \\ b_1, \dots, b_q, (\delta, \omega), (\xi, \mu), \left(\rho + \frac{\eta}{(1-\alpha)} + 1, 1\right) \end{matrix} ; \frac{x}{a(1-\alpha)} \right) \end{aligned} \tag{8.2}$$

Proof. From Equation (8.1) and (2.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[P_{0+}^{(\eta, \alpha)} \left(t^{\rho-1} {}_{p+2} \overset{\omega}{M}_{q+2}(t) \right) \right] (x) \\ &= x^\eta \int_0^{\left[\frac{x}{a(1-\alpha)}\right]} \left[1 - \frac{a(1-\alpha)t}{x}\right]^{\frac{\eta}{(1-\alpha)}} \\ & \times \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_k \dots (a_p)_k (1)_k \Gamma(\tau + \omega k)}{(b_1)_k \dots (b_q)_k \Gamma(\delta + \omega k) \Gamma(\xi + \mu k)} \frac{t^{\rho+k-1}}{k!} \right) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Interchanging the order of integration and summation which is permissible under the condition and which is stated with the above theorem

$$= x^\eta \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_k \dots (a_p)_k (1)_k \Gamma(\tau + \omega k)}{(b_1)_k \dots (b_q)_k \Gamma(\delta + \omega k) \Gamma(\xi + \mu k)} \frac{1}{k!} \int_0^{\left[\frac{x}{a(1-\alpha)}\right]} \left[1 - \frac{a(1-\alpha)t}{x}\right]^{\frac{\eta}{(1-\alpha)}} t^{\rho+k-1} dt.$$

If we substitute $\frac{a((1-\alpha)t)}{x} = u$ in the above integral, and using Type-1 beta family i.e. $B(m, n)$, it reduced to

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[P_{0+}^{(\eta, \alpha)} \left(t^{\rho-1} {}_{p+2} \overset{\omega}{M}_{q+2}(t) \right) \right] (x) \\ &= \frac{x^{\rho+\eta}}{(a(1-\alpha))^\rho} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_k \dots (a_p)_k (1)_k \Gamma(\tau + \omega k) \Gamma(\rho + k)}{(b_1)_k \dots (b_q)_k \Gamma(\delta + \omega k) \Gamma(\xi + \mu k) \Gamma\left(\rho + \frac{\eta}{(1-\alpha)} + 1 + k\right)} \\ & \times \frac{x^k}{(a(1-\alpha))^k k!}, \end{aligned} \tag{8.3}$$

which is the required result. □

Remark 8.1. When $\alpha = 0, a = 1$, then replacing η by $\eta - 1$ in (8.3) the integral operator get the form of equation (4.5).

Acknowledgment

The author is very thankful to the referee for his/her valuable comments and suggestion.

References

- [1] A. A. Kilbas, H. M. Srivastava, J. J. Trujillo, *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations*, Elsevier, North Holland Math. Studies, 204, Amsterdam etc, 2006.
- [2] A. M. Mathai and R. K. Saxena, *The H-function with Applications in Statistics and other Disciplines*, Halsted Press, New York-London-Sydney-Toronto, 1978.
- [3] A. M. Mathai and H. J. Habould, *Special Functions for Applied Scientists*, Springer, New York, 2008.
- [4] A. M. Mathai, R. K. Saxena and H. J. Haubold, *The H-function: Theory and Applications*, Springer, New York, 2010.
- [5] A. M. Mathai, A pathway to matrix-variate gamma and normal densities, *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, 396(2005), 317-328.
- [6] A. M. Mathai and H. J. Haubold, On generalized distributions and path-ways, *Physics Letter*, 372(2008), 2109-2113.
- [7] A. M. Mathai and H. J. Haubold, Pathway model, superstatistics, Tsallis statistics and a generalize measure of entropy, *Physica A*, 375(2007), 110-122.
- [8] C. Fox, The G and H-functions as symmetrical Fourier Kernels, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 98(1961), 395-429.
- [9] E. M. Wright, The asymptotic expansion of the generalized hypergeometric function, *J. London Math. Soc.*, 10(1935), 287-293.
- [10] M. Saigo, A Remark on integral operators involving the Gauss Hypergeometric functions, *Math. Rep. College General Ed. Kyushu Univ.*, 11(1978), 135-143.
- [11] M. Saigo and N. Meada, More generalization of fractional calculus, In: Transform Methods and Special Functions (*Eds: P. Ruse v, I. Dimovski, V. Kiryakova, Proc. 2nd Int. workshop, Varna, 1996*), Sofia, 1998, 386-400.
- [12] M. Sharma and Renu Jain, A note on generalized M-Series as a special function of fractional calculus, *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.*, 12(4)(2009), 449-452.
- [13] N. A. Virchenko, S. L. Kalla and A. Al-Zamel, Some results on a generalized hypergeometric function, *Integral Transform Spec. Funct.*, 12(1)(2001), 89-100.
- [14] N. A. Virchenko, On some generalizations of the functions of hypergeometric type, *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.*, 2(3)(1999), 233-244.
- [15] O. I. Marichev, *Handbook of Integral Transforms and Higher Transcendental Functions. Theory and Algorithmic Tables*, Ellis Horwood, Chichester [John Wiley and Sons], New York, 1983.
- [16] R. K. Saxena, A remark on a paper on M-series, *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.*, 12(1)(2009), 109-110.
- [17] Seema S. Nair, Pathway fractional integration operator, *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.*, 12(3)(2009), 237-252.
- [18] S. G. Samko, A. A. Kilbas and O. I. Marichev, *Fractional Integrals and Derivatives: Theory and Applications*, Gordon and Breach, New York, 1993.
- [19] T. R. Prabhakar, A singular integral equation with a generalized Mittag-Leffler function in the kernel, *Yokohama Math. J.*, 19(1971), 7-15.
- [20] V. S. Kiryakova, *Generalized Fractional Calculus and Applications*, Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series No. 301, Longman and J. Wiley, Harlow and New York, 1994.

- [21] Y. Ben Nakhi and S. L. Kalla, A generalized beta function and associated probability density, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, 30(8)(2002), 467-478.

Received: October 16, 2012; *Accepted:* January 17, 2012

UNIVERSITY PRESS