



A Variant of Jensen's Inequalities

Abdallah El Farissi^{a,*}, Benharrat Belaïdi^b, and Zinelaâbidine Latreuch^c

^{a,b,c}Laboratory of Pure and Applied Mathematics, University of Mostaganem (UMAB), B. P. 227 Mostaganem-(Algeria).

Abstract

In this paper, we give an estimate from below and from above of a variant of Jensen's Inequalities for convex functions in the discrete and continuous cases.

Keywords: Convex functions, Jensen inequalities, Integral inequalities.

2000 MSC: 52A40, 52A41.

©2012 MJM. All rights reserved.

1 Introduction and main results

Throughout this note, we write I and $\overset{\circ}{I}$ for the intervals $[a, b]$ and (a, b) respectively. A function f is said to be convex on I if $\lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y) \geq f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y)$ for all $x, y \in I$ and $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$. Conversely, if the inequality always holds in the opposite direction, the function is said to be concave on the interval. A function f that is continuous function on I and twice differentiable on $\overset{\circ}{I}$ is convex on I if $f''(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in \overset{\circ}{I}$ (concave if the inequality is flipped).

Let $x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_n$ be real numbers and λ_k ($1 \leq k \leq n$) be positive weights associated with x_k and whose sum is unity. Then the famous Jensen's discrete and continuous inequalities [2] read:

Theorem A. [2] *If φ is a convex function on an interval containing the x_k , then*

$$\varphi\left(\sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k x_k\right) \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k \varphi(x_k). \quad (1.1)$$

Theorem B. [2] *Let φ be a convex function on $I \subset \mathbb{R}$, let $f : [c, d] \rightarrow I$ and $p : [c, d] \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ be continuous functions on $[c, d]$. Then*

$$\varphi\left(\frac{\int_c^d p(x) f(x) dx}{\int_c^d p(x) dx}\right) \leq \frac{\int_c^d p(x) \varphi(f(x)) dx}{\int_c^d p(x) dx}. \quad (1.2)$$

If φ is strictly convex, then inequality in (1.2) is strict.

In [3], S. M. Malamud gave some complements to the Jensen and Chebyshev inequalities and in [1], I. Budimir, S. S. Dragomir, J. E. Pečarić obtained some results which counterpart Jensen's discret inequality. Recently, A. McD. Mercer [4] studied a variant of the inequality (1.1) and have obtained:

Theorem C. [4] *If φ is a convex function on an interval of positive real numbers containing the x_k , then*

$$\varphi\left(x_1 + x_n - \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k x_k\right) \leq \varphi(x_1) + \varphi(x_n) - \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k \varphi(x_k). \quad (1.3)$$

*Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: ^aelfarissi.abdallah@yahoo.fr (Abdallah El Farissi), ^bbelaidi@univ-mosta.dz (Benharrat Belaïdi) and ^cz.latreuch@gmail.com (Zinelaâbidine Latreuch)

Our purpose in this paper is to give an estimate, from below and from above, of a variant of Jensen's discrete and continuous cases inequalities for convex functions. We obtain the following results:

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that φ is a convex function on I containing the x_k and λ_k ($1 \leq k \leq n$) are positive weights associated with x_k and whose sum is unity. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
 2\varphi\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) - \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k \varphi(x_k) &\leq \varphi\left(a+b - \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k x_k\right) \\
 &\leq \varphi(a) + \varphi(b) - \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k \varphi(x_k).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{1.4}$$

If φ is strictly convex, then inequalities in (1.4) are strict.

Remark 1.1. *If $[a, b] = [x_1, x_n]$, then the result of Theorem C is given by the right-hand of inequalities (1.4).*

Theorem 1.2. *Let φ be a convex function on $I \subset \mathbb{R}$, let $f : [c, d] \rightarrow I$ and $p : [c, d] \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ be continuous functions on $[c, d]$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
 2\varphi\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) - \frac{\int_c^d p(x) \varphi(f(x)) dx}{\int_c^d p(x) dx} &\leq \varphi\left(a+b - \frac{\int_c^d p(x) f(x) dx}{\int_c^d p(x) dx}\right) \\
 &\leq \varphi(a) + \varphi(b) - \frac{\int_c^d p(x) \varphi(f(x)) dx}{\int_c^d p(x) dx}.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{1.5}$$

If φ is strictly convex, then inequalities in (1.5) are strict.

Corollary 1.1. *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left| \varphi\left(a+b - \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k x_k\right) + \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k \varphi(x_k) \right| \\
 &\leq \max\left\{2\left|\varphi\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)\right|, |\varphi(a) + \varphi(b)|\right\}.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{1.6}$$

Corollary 1.2. *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 1.2, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left| \varphi\left(a+b - \frac{\int_c^d p(x) f(x) dx}{\int_c^d p(x) dx}\right) + \frac{\int_c^d p(x) \varphi(f(x)) dx}{\int_c^d p(x) dx} \right| \\
 &\leq \max\left\{2\left|\varphi\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)\right|, |\varphi(a) + \varphi(b)|\right\}.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{1.7}$$

In [5], S. Simić have obtained an upper global bound without a differentiability restriction on f . Namely, he proved the following:

Theorem D. [5] *If φ is a convex function on I containing the x_k and λ_k ($1 \leq k \leq n$) are positive weights associated with x_k and whose sum is unity, then*

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k \varphi(x_k) - \varphi\left(\sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k x_k\right) \leq \varphi(a) + \varphi(b) - 2\varphi\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right).
 \tag{1.8}$$

In the following, we improve this result by proving:

Theorem 1.3. *If φ is a convex function on I containing the x_k and λ_k ($1 \leq k \leq n$) are positive weights associated with x_k and whose sum is unity, then*

$$0 \leq \left| \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_{\sigma(k)} \varphi(a+b-x_k) - \varphi\left(a+b - \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k x_k\right) \right. \\ \left. + \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_{\sigma(k)} \varphi(x_k) - \varphi\left(\sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k x_k\right) \right| \leq \varphi(a) + \varphi(b) - 2\varphi\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \quad (1.9)$$

holds for all permutation $\sigma(k)$ of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

Theorem 1.4. *Let φ be a convex function on $I \subset \mathbb{R}$, let $f : [c, d] \rightarrow I$ and $p : [c, d] \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ be continuous functions on $[c, d]$. Then*

$$0 \leq \frac{\int_c^d p(x) \varphi(f(x)) dx}{\int_c^d p(x) dx} - \varphi\left(\frac{\int_c^d p(x) f(x) dx}{\int_c^d p(x) dx}\right) \\ \leq \frac{\int_c^d p(x) \varphi(a+b-f(x)) dx}{\int_c^d p(x) dx} - \varphi\left(a+b - \frac{\int_c^d p(x) f(x) dx}{\int_c^d p(x) dx}\right) \\ + \frac{\int_c^d p(x) \varphi(f(x)) dx}{\int_c^d p(x) dx} - \varphi\left(\frac{\int_c^d p(x) f(x) dx}{\int_c^d p(x) dx}\right) \leq \varphi(a) + \varphi(b) - 2\varphi\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right). \quad (1.10)$$

Corollary 1.3. *If φ is a convex function on $I \subset \mathbb{R}$, $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow I$ is a continuous function on $[0, 1]$, then*

$$0 \leq \int_0^1 \varphi(f(x)) dx - \varphi\left(\int_0^1 f(x) dx\right) \\ \leq \varphi\left(a+b - \int_0^1 f(x) dx\right) - \int_0^1 \varphi(a+b-f(x)) dx + \int_0^1 \varphi(f(x)) dx \\ - \varphi\left(\int_0^1 f(x) dx\right) \leq \varphi(a) + \varphi(b) - 2\varphi\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right). \quad (1.11)$$

Corollary 1.4. *If φ is a convex function on I containing the x_k and λ_k ($1 \leq k \leq n$) are positive weights associated with x_k and whose sum is unity, then*

$$0 \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k \varphi(x_k) - \varphi\left(\sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k x_k\right) \\ \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k \varphi(a+b-x_k) - \varphi\left(a+b - \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k x_k\right) + \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k \varphi(x_k) - \varphi\left(\sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k x_k\right) \\ \leq \varphi(a) + \varphi(b) - 2\varphi\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right). \quad (1.12)$$

Remark 1.2. *If φ is a concave function, then the above inequalities are opposite.*

2 Lemma

Towards proving these theorems we shall need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *Let φ be convex function on $I = [a, b]$. Then, we have*

$$2\varphi\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \leq \varphi(a+b-x) + \varphi(x) \leq \varphi(a) + \varphi(b). \quad (2.1)$$

Proof. Let φ be a convex function on I . Then, we have

$$\varphi\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) = \varphi\left(\frac{a+b-x+x}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2}(\varphi(a+b-x) + \varphi(x)). \quad (2.2)$$

If we choose $x = \lambda a + (1-\lambda)b$ ($0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$) in (2.2), then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2}(\varphi(a+b-x) + \varphi(x)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(\varphi(a+b - (\lambda a + (1-\lambda)b)) + \varphi(\lambda a + (1-\lambda)b)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(\varphi(\lambda b + (1-\lambda)a) + \varphi(\lambda a + (1-\lambda)b)). \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

By using the convexity of φ , we get

$$\frac{1}{2}(\varphi(\lambda b + (1-\lambda)a) + \varphi(\lambda a + (1-\lambda)b)) \leq \frac{1}{2}(\varphi(a) + \varphi(b)). \quad (2.4)$$

Thus, by (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4), we obtain

$$\varphi\left(\frac{b+a}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2}(\varphi(a+b-x) + \varphi(x)) \leq \frac{1}{2}(\varphi(a) + \varphi(b)). \quad (2.4)$$

3 Proof of Theorems

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let φ be a convex function and let λ_k ($0 \leq k \leq n$) be positive weights associated with x_k and whose sum is unity. Then, by using inequality (1.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi\left(a+b - \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k x_k\right) &= \varphi\left(\sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k (a+b) - \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k x_k\right) \\ &= \varphi\left(\sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k (a+b-x_k)\right) \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k \varphi(a+b-x_k). \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

By Lemma 2.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi\left(\sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k (a+b-x_k)\right) &\leq \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k (\varphi(a) + \varphi(b) - \varphi(x_k)) \\ &= \varphi(a) + \varphi(b) - \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k \varphi(x_k). \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

From (3.1) and (3.2), we obtain

$$\varphi\left(a+b - \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k x_k\right) \leq \varphi(a) + \varphi(b) - \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k \varphi(x_k),$$

which is the right-hand of inequalities in (1.4). Now, using Lemma 2.1, we obtain

$$2\varphi\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) - \varphi\left(\sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k x_k\right) \leq \varphi\left(a+b - \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k x_k\right). \quad (3.3)$$

Since φ is a convex function, then from (3.3) and inequality (1.1), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} 2\varphi\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) - \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k \varphi(x_k) &\leq 2\varphi\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) - \varphi\left(\sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k x_k\right) \\ &\leq \varphi\left(a+b - \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k x_k\right), \end{aligned}$$

which is the left-hand of inequalities in (1.4). This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let φ be a convex function. Then, by using inequality (1.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi \left(a + b - \frac{\int_c^d p(x) f(x) dx}{\int_c^d p(x)} \right) &= \varphi \left(\frac{\int_c^d p(x) (a + b - f(x)) dx}{\int_c^d p(x)} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{\int_c^d p(x) \varphi(a + b - f(x)) dx}{\int_c^d p(x) dx}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

By Lemma 2.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\int_c^d p(x) \varphi(a + b - f(x)) dx}{\int_c^d p(x) dx} &\leq \frac{\int_c^d p(x) (\varphi(a) + \varphi(b) - \varphi(f(x))) dx}{\int_c^d p(x) dx} \\ &= \varphi(a) + \varphi(b) - \frac{\int_c^d p(x) \varphi(f(x)) dx}{\int_c^d p(x) dx}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

From (3.4) and (3.5), we obtain

$$\varphi \left(a + b - \frac{\int_c^d p(x) f(x) dx}{\int_c^d p(x)} \right) \leq \varphi(a) + \varphi(b) - \frac{\int_c^d p(x) \varphi(f(x)) dx}{\int_c^d p(x) dx},$$

which is the right-hand inequalities in (1.5). Using now Lemma 2.1, we obtain

$$2\varphi \left(\frac{a+b}{2} \right) \leq \varphi \left(\frac{\int_c^d p(x) f(x) dx}{\int_c^d p(x) dx} \right) + \varphi \left(a + b - \frac{\int_c^d p(x) f(x) dx}{\int_c^d p(x) dx} \right). \quad (3.6)$$

This implies

$$2\varphi \left(\frac{a+b}{2} \right) - \varphi \left(\frac{\int_c^d p(x) f(x) dx}{\int_c^d p(x) dx} \right) \leq \varphi \left(a + b - \frac{\int_c^d p(x) f(x) dx}{\int_c^d p(x) dx} \right). \quad (3.7)$$

Since φ is a convex function, then from (3.7) and inequality (1.2), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} 2\varphi \left(\frac{a+b}{2} \right) - \frac{\int_c^d p(x) \varphi(f(x)) dx}{\int_c^d p(x) dx} &\leq 2\varphi \left(\frac{a+b}{2} \right) - \varphi \left(\frac{\int_c^d p(x) f(x) dx}{\int_c^d p(x) dx} \right) \\ &\leq \varphi \left(a + b - \frac{\int_c^d p(x) f(x) dx}{\int_c^d p(x) dx} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The left-hand of inequalities in (1.5) is proved. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. By using Lemma 2.1, we obtain for all $x_k \in I$

$$2\varphi \left(\frac{a+b}{2} \right) \leq \varphi(a + b - x_k) + \varphi(x_k) \leq \varphi(a) + \varphi(b). \quad (3.8)$$

Multiplying (3.8) by $\lambda_{\sigma(k)}$ and adding, we get

$$2\varphi \left(\frac{a+b}{2} \right) \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_{\sigma(k)} \varphi(a + b - x_k) + \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_{\sigma(k)} \varphi(x_k) \leq \varphi(a) + \varphi(b). \quad (3.9)$$

On other hand by Lemma 2.1, we have

$$2\varphi \left(\frac{a+b}{2} \right) \leq \varphi \left(a + b - \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k x_k \right) + \varphi \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k x_k \right) \leq \varphi(a) + \varphi(b).$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned} -(\varphi(a) + \varphi(b)) &\leq -\varphi\left(a + b - \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k x_k\right) - \varphi\left(\sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k x_k\right) \\ &\leq -2\varphi\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

By addition from (3.9) and (3.10), we get our result.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. By using Lemma 2.1, we obtain for all $f(x) \in I$

$$2\varphi\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \leq \varphi(a+b-f(x)) + \varphi(f(x)) \leq \varphi(a) + \varphi(b). \quad (3.11)$$

Multiplying (3.11) by $p(x)$ and integrating over $[c, d]$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 2\varphi\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) &\leq \frac{\int_c^d p(x) \varphi(a+b-f(x)) dx}{\int_c^d p(x) dx} + \frac{\int_c^d p(x) \varphi(f(x)) dx}{\int_c^d p(x) dx} \\ &\leq \varphi(a) + \varphi(b). \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

On other hand by Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2\varphi\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) &\leq \varphi\left(a + b - \frac{\int_c^d p(x) f(x) dx}{\int_c^d p(x) dx}\right) + \varphi\left(\frac{\int_c^d p(x) f(x) dx}{\int_c^d p(x) dx}\right) \\ &\leq \varphi(a) + \varphi(b). \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned} -(\varphi(a) + \varphi(b)) &\leq -\varphi\left(a + b - \frac{\int_c^d p(x) f(x) dx}{\int_c^d p(x) dx}\right) - \varphi\left(\frac{\int_c^d p(x) f(x) dx}{\int_c^d p(x) dx}\right) \\ &\leq -2\varphi\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

By addition from (3.13) and (3.14), we get our result.

4 Applications

Let $x_k \in [a, b]$ ($b > a > 0$), $\lambda_k \in [0, 1]$ such that $\sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k = 1$. Then, by Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.3 for $\varphi(x) = -\ln x$, we obtain respectively

$$\sqrt{ab} \leq \sqrt{\frac{A'G + AG'}{2}} \leq \frac{a+b}{2}$$

and

$$1 \leq \sqrt{\frac{A A'}{G G'}} \leq \frac{\frac{a+b}{2}}{\sqrt{ab}},$$

where $A = \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k x_k$, $G = \prod_{k=1}^n x_k^{\lambda_k}$, $A' = a + b - \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k x_k$ and $G' = \prod_{k=1}^n (a + b - x_k)^{\lambda_k}$.

References

- [1] I. Budimir, S. S. Dragomir, J. E. Pečarić, *Further reverse results for Jensen's discrete inequality and applications in information theory*, JIPAM. J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. 2 (2001), no. 1, Article 5, 14 pp.
- [2] D. S. Mitrinović, J. E. Pečarić, A. M. Fink, *Classical and new inequalities in analysis. Mathematics and its Applications* (East European Series), 61. Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1993.
- [3] S. M. Malamud, *Some complements to the Jensen and Chebyshev inequalities and a problem of W. Walter*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 129 (2001), no. 9, 2671–2678.
- [4] A. McD. Mercer, *A Variant of Jensen's inequality*, JIPAM. J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. 4 (2003), no. 4, Article 73, 2 pp.
- [5] S. Simić, *Jensen's inequality and new entropy bounds*, Appl. Math. Lett. 22 (2009), no. 8, 1262–1265.

Received: April 17, 2013; Accepted: June 28, 2013

UNIVERSITY PRESS