

## Some curvature properties of $(\kappa, \mu)$ contact space forms

Ali Akbar<sup>a,\*</sup> and Avijit Sarkar<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a,b</sup>Department of Mathematics, University of Kalyani, Kalyani- 741235, West Bengal, India.

### Abstract

The object of the present paper is to find Ricci tensor of  $(k, \mu)$  space forms. In particular we prove that a three dimensional  $(k, \mu)$  space forms is  $\eta$ -Einstein for  $\mu = \frac{1}{2}$ . We also study three dimensional  $(k, \mu)$  space forms with  $\eta$ -parallel and cyclic parallel Ricci tensor for  $\mu = \frac{1}{2}$ . We also prove that every  $(k, \mu)$  space forms is locally  $\phi$ -symmetric.

*Keywords:*  $(k, \mu)$  contact space forms,  $\eta$ -Einstein,  $\eta$ -parallel and cyclic parallel Ricci tensor, locally  $\phi$ -symmetric.

2010 MSC: 53C25, 53D15.

©2012 MJM. All rights reserved.

## 1 Introduction

Now a days, a good number of contact geometers have worked on  $(k, \mu)$  contact metric manifold. The notion of  $(k, \mu)$  contact metric manifold was introduced by D. E. Blair, T. Koufogiorgos and B. J. Papantoniou [2]. The notion of  $(k, \mu)$  space forms was introduced by T. Koufogiorgos [8]. The Ricci curvature and the Riemannian curvature are two key objects regarding symmetry of a manifolds. The notion of local symmetry has been weakened by several authors in several ways. As a weaker version of local symmetry T. Takahashi [10] introduced the notion of local  $\phi$ -symmetry in Sasakian manifolds. The notion of  $\eta$ -parallel and cyclic parallel Ricci tensor was introduced in the paper [7] and [9]. In this regard we mention that  $\eta$ -parallel and cyclic parallel Ricci tensor have been studied by the present authors in the paper[1]. Again  $\eta$ -parallel and cyclic parallel Ricci tensor was studied by the authors in the paper [5]. The present paper is organized by the following way:

After introduction in Section 1 we give some preliminaries in Section 2. In Section 3 we study Ricci tensor of  $(k, \mu)$  space forms.  $\eta$ -parallel, cyclic parallel Ricci tensors and Ricci operator of  $(k, \mu)$  space forms of dimension three have been studied in Section 4. In Section 5 we have proved that every  $(2n+1)$  dimensional  $(k, \mu)$  space forms is locally  $\phi$ -symmetric.

## 2 Preliminaries

A differentiable manifold  $M^{2n+1}$  is said to be a contact manifold if it admits a global differentiable 1-form  $\eta$  such that  $\eta \wedge (d\eta)^n \neq 0$ , everywhere on  $M^{2n+1}$ .

Given a contact form  $\eta$ , one has a unique vector field, satisfying

$$\eta(\xi) = 1, \quad d\eta(\xi, X) = 0, \quad (2.1)$$

for any vector field  $X$ .

It is well-known that, there exists a Riemannian metric  $g$  and a  $(1,1)$  tensor field  $\phi$  such that

$$\eta(X) = g(X, \xi), \quad d\eta(X, Y) = g(X, \phi Y), \quad \phi^2 X = -X + \eta(X)\xi, \quad (2.2)$$

\*Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [aliakbar.akbar@rediffmail.com](mailto:aliakbar.akbar@rediffmail.com) (Ali Akbar), [avjaj@yahoo.co.in](mailto:avjaj@yahoo.co.in) (Avijit Sarkar).

where  $X$  and  $Y$  are vector fields on  $M$ .

From (2.2) it follows that

$$\phi\xi = 0, \quad \eta \circ \phi = 0, \quad g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y). \quad (2.3)$$

A differentiable manifold  $M^{2n+1}$  equipped with the structure tensors  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  satisfying (2.3) is said to be a contact metric manifold.

On a contact metric manifold  $M(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ , we define a  $(1, 1)$  tensor field  $h$  by  $h = \frac{1}{2}L_\xi\phi$ , where  $L$  denotes Lie differentiation. Then we may observe that  $h$  is symmetric and satisfies

$$h\xi = 0, \quad h\phi = -\phi h, \quad \nabla_X\xi = -\phi X - \phi hX \quad (2.4)$$

where  $\nabla$  is levi-Civita connection[2].

For a contact metric manifold  $M$  one may define naturally an almost complex structure on the product  $M \times \mathbb{R}$ . If this almost complex structure is integrable, the contact metric manifold is said to be Sasakian. A Sasakian manifold is characterized by the condition

$$(\nabla_X\phi)Y = g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X, \quad (2.5)$$

for all vector fields  $X$  and  $Y$  on the manifold [4]. Equivalently, a contact metric manifold is said to be Sasakian if and only if

$$R(X, Y)\xi = \eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y$$

holds for all  $X, Y$  on  $M$  [4].

For a contact manifold we have [3]

$$(\nabla_X h)(Y) = \{(1 - k)g(X, \phi Y) + g(X, h\phi Y)\}\xi + \eta(Y)\{h(\phi X + \phi hX)\}. \quad (2.6)$$

The  $(k, \mu)$ -nullity distribution of a contact metric manifold  $M(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  is a distribution [8]

$$\begin{aligned} N(k, \mu) &: p \rightarrow N_p(k, \mu) \\ &= \{Z \in T_p(M) : R(X, Y)Z \\ &= k(g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y) + \mu(g(Y, Z)hX - g(X, Z)hY)\}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

for any  $X, Y \in T_pM$  and  $\kappa, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$ . If  $k = 1$ , then  $h = 0$  and  $M$  is a Sasakian manifold [8]. Also one has  $trh = 0$ ,  $trh\phi = 0$  and  $h^2 = (k - 1)\phi^2$ . So if the characteristic vector field  $\xi$  belongs to the  $(k, \mu)$ -nullity distribution, then we have

$$R(X, Y)\xi = k[\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y] + \mu[\eta(Y)hX - \eta(X)hY]. \quad (2.8)$$

Moreover, if  $M$  has constant  $\phi$ -sectional curvature  $c$  then it is called a  $(k, \mu)$  space forms and is denoted by  $M(c)$ .

The curvature tensor of  $M(c)$  is given by[8]:

$$\begin{aligned} 4R(X, Y)Z &= (c + 3)\{g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y\} \\ &+ (c + 3 - 4k)\{\eta(X)\eta(Z)Y - \eta(Y)\eta(Z)X \\ &+ g(X, Z)\eta(Y)\xi - g(Y, Z)\eta(X)\xi\} \\ &+ (c - 1)\{g(X, \phi Z)\phi Y - g(Y, \phi Z)\phi X + 2g(X, \phi Y)\phi Z\} \\ &- 2\{g(hX, Z)hY - g(hY, Z)hX + g(X, Z)hY \\ &- 2g(Y, Z)hX - 2\eta(X)\eta(Z)hY + 2\eta(Y)\eta(Z)hX \\ &+ 2g(hX, Z)Y - 2g(hY, Z)X + 2g(hY, Z)\eta(X)\xi \\ &- 2g(hX, Z)\eta(Y)\xi - g(\phi hX, Z)\phi hY + g(\phi hY, Z)\phi hX\} \\ &+ 4\mu\{\eta(Y)\eta(Z)hX - \eta(X)\eta(Z)hY \\ &+ g(hY, Z)\eta(X)\xi - g(hX, Z)\eta(Y)\xi\}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

for any vector fields  $X, Y, Z$  on  $M$ .

If  $k \neq 1$ , then  $\mu = \kappa + 1$  and  $c = -2k - 1$ .

**Definition 2.1.** If an almost contact Riemannian manifold  $M$  satisfies the condition  $S = ag + b\eta \otimes \eta$ , for some functions  $a, b$  in  $C^\infty(M)$  and  $S$  is the Ricci tensor, then  $M$  is said to be an  $\eta$ -Einstein manifold. If, in particular,  $a=0$  then this manifold will be called a special type of  $\eta$ -Einstein manifold.

### 3 Ricci tensor of $(\kappa, \mu)$ space forms

In this section we study Ricci tensor of  $(k, \mu)$  space forms. Taking inner product on both side of (2.9) with  $W$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& 4g(R(X, Y)Z, W) \\
&= (c + 3)\{g(Y, Z)g(X, W) - g(X, Z)g(Y, W)\} \\
&+ (c + 3 - 4k)\{\eta(X)\eta(Z)g(Y, W) - \eta(Y)\eta(Z)g(X, W)\} \\
&+ g(X, Z)\eta(Y)\eta(\xi, W) - g(Y, Z)\eta(X)g(\xi, W)\} \\
&+ (c - 1)\{g(X, \phi Z)g(\phi Y, W) - g(Y, \phi Z)g(\phi X, W) + 2g(X, \phi Y)g(\phi Z, W)\} \\
&- 2\{g(hX, Z)g(hY, W) - g(hY, Z)g(hX, W) + g(X, Z)g(hY, W)\} \\
&- 2g(Y, Z)g(hX, W) - 2\eta(X)\eta(Z)g(hY, W) + 2\eta(Y)\eta(Z)g(hX, W) \\
&+ 2g(hX, Z)g(Y, W) - 2g(hY, Z)g(X, W) + 2g(hY, Z)\eta(X)g(\xi, W) \\
&- 2g(hX, Z)\eta(Y)g(\xi, W) - g(\phi hX, Z)g(\phi hY, W) + g(\phi hY, Z)g(\phi hX, W)\} \\
&+ 4\mu\{\eta(Y)\eta(Z)g(hX, W) - \eta(X)\eta(Z)g(hY, W)\} \\
&+ g(hY, Z)\eta(X)g(\xi, W) - g(hX, Z)\eta(Y)g(\xi, W).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Putting  $X = W = e_i$ , where  $\{e_i\}$  is an orthonormal basis of the tangent space at each point of the manifold, and taking summation over  $i, i = 1, 2, \dots, 2n + 1$ , we get from (3.1)

$$\begin{aligned}
& 4S(X, W) \\
&= (c + 3)\{(2n + 1)g(X, W) - g(X, W)\} \\
&+ (c + 3 - 4k)\{\sum_i \eta(X)\eta(e_i)g(e_i, W) - \sum_i \eta(e_i)\eta(e_i)g(X, W)\} \\
&+ \sum_i g(X, e_i)\eta(e_i)\eta(\xi, W) - \sum_i g(e_i, e_i)\eta(X)g(\xi, W)\} \\
&+ (c - 1)\{\sum_i g(X, \phi e_i)g(\phi e_i, W) - \sum_i g(e_i, \phi e_i)g(\phi X, W) + 2\sum_i g(X, \phi e_i)g(\phi_i, W)\} \\
&- 2\sum_i \{g(hX, e_i)g(he_i, W) - \sum_i g(he_i, e_i)g(hX, W) + \sum_i g(X, e_i)g(he_i, W)\} \\
&- 2\sum_i g(e_i, e_i)g(hX, W) - 2\sum_i \eta(X)\eta(e_i)g(he_i, W) + 2\sum_i \eta(e_i)\eta(e_i)g(hX, W) \\
&+ 2\sum_i g(hX, e_i)g(e_i, W) - 2\sum_i g(he_i, e_i)g(X, W) + 2\sum_i g(he_i, e_i)\eta(X)g(\xi, W) \\
&- 2\sum_i g(hX, e_i)\eta(e_i)g(\xi, W) - \sum_i g(\phi hX, e_i)g(\phi he_i, W) + \sum_i g(\phi he_i, e_i)g(\phi hX, W)\} \\
&+ 4\mu\{\sum_i \eta(e_i)\eta(e_i)g(hX, W) - \sum_i \eta(X)\eta(e_i)g(he_i, W)\} \\
&+ \sum_i g(he_i, e_i)\eta(X)g(\xi, W) - \sum_i g(hX, e_i)\eta(e_i)g(\xi, W).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

or,

$$\begin{aligned}
& 4S(X, W) \\
&= 2n(c + 3)g(X, W) \\
&+ (c + 3 - 4k)\{\eta(X)\eta(W) - (2n + 1)g(X, W)\} \\
&+ \eta(X)\eta(W) - (2n + 1)\eta(X)\eta(W)\} \\
&+ (c - 1)\{g(\phi X, \phi W) + 2g(\phi X, \phi W)\} \\
&- 2\{g(hX, hW) + g(X, hW) - 2(2n + 1)g(hX, W)\} \\
&- \eta(X)\eta(hW) + 2(2n + 1)g(hX, W) + 2g(hX, W) \\
&- \eta(hX)g(\xi, W) + g(\phi hX, h\phi W)\} \\
&+ 4\mu\{(2n + 1)g(hX, W) - \eta(X)\eta(hW) - \eta(hX)g(\xi, W)\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Since  $h\xi = 0$ , therefore  $\eta(hX) = g(hX, \xi) = g(X, h\xi) = g(X, 0) = 0$ .

Using above result we obtain from (3.3)

$$\begin{aligned}
& 4S(X, W) \\
&= 2n(c + 3)g(X, W) \\
&+ (c + 3 - 4k)\{(1 - 2n)\eta(X)\eta(W) - (2n + 1)g(X, W)\} \\
&+ 3(c - 1)\{g(\phi X, \phi W)\} \\
&- 2\{g(h^2 X, W) + 3g(X, hW) + g(\phi hX, h\phi W)\} \\
&+ 4\mu\{(2n + 1)g(hX, W)\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Using  $h\phi = -\phi h$ ,  $\phi^2(X) = -X + \eta(X)\xi$ , in relation (3.4) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & 4S(X, W) \\ &= 2n(c+3)g(X, W) \\ &+ (c+3-4k)\{(1-2n)\eta(X)\eta(W) - (2n+1)g(X, W)\} \\ &+ 3(c-1)\{g(X, W) - \eta(X)\eta(W)\} \\ &- 2\{g(h^2X, W) + 3g(X, hW) - g(h^2X, hW)\} \\ &+ 4\mu(2n+1)g(hX, W). \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

or,

$$\begin{aligned} & 4S(X, W) \\ &= (8nk + 4k + 2c - 3)g(X, W) + (8nk + 4k - 2nc - 6n - 2c + 6)\eta(X)\eta(W) \\ &+ \{4\mu(2n+1) - 6\}g(hX, W). \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

If we take  $\mu = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $n = 1$ , then (3.6) becomes

$$4S(X, W) = (12k + 2c - 3)g(X, W) + (12k - 4c)\eta(X)\eta(W) \quad (3.7)$$

i.e.

$$S(X, W) = (3k + \frac{c}{2} - \frac{3}{4})g(X, W) + (3k - c)\eta(X)\eta(W) \quad (3.8)$$

Thus we are in a position to state the following result:

**Theorem 3.1.** A  $(k, \mu)$  space forms of dimension three is  $\eta$ -Einstein for  $\mu = \frac{1}{2}$ .

Again we know that  $S(X, W) = g(QX, W)$ , where  $Q$  is the Ricci operator. Thus using this in (3.8) we get

$$QX = (3k + \frac{c}{2} - \frac{3}{4})X + (3k - c)\eta(X)\xi, \quad (3.9)$$

where  $Q$  is the Ricci operator of  $(k, \mu)$  space forms of dimension three for  $\mu = \frac{1}{2}$ . Again we have from (3.8) that

$$r = \sum_{i=1}^3 S(e_i, e_i) = 3(6k - \frac{c}{2} - \frac{3}{4}), \quad (3.10)$$

where  $r$  is the scalar curvature of  $(k, \mu)$  space forms of dimension three for  $\mu = \frac{1}{2}$ .

#### 4 $\eta$ -parallel, cyclic parallel Ricci tensors and Ricci operator of $(k, \mu)$ space forms of dimension three

**Definition 4.1.** The Ricci tensor  $S$  of  $(k, \mu)$  space forms of dimension three will be called  $\eta$ -parallel if it satisfies,

$$(\nabla_X S)(\phi Y, \phi Z) = 0, \quad (4.1)$$

for any vector fields  $X, Y, Z$ .

From (3.8) we get

$$(\nabla_W S)(X, Y) = (3k - c)\{\nabla_W \eta(X)\eta(Y) + (\nabla_W \eta)(Y)\eta(X)\}. \quad (4.2)$$

From above it is clear that

$$(\nabla_X S)(\phi Y, \phi Z) = 0. \quad (4.3)$$

Now we are in a position to state the following:

**Theorem 4.1.** The Ricci tensor of a  $(k, \mu)$  space forms of dimension three is  $\eta$ -parallel for  $\mu = \frac{1}{2}$ .

**Definition 4.2.** The Ricci tensor of  $(k, \mu)$  space forms of dimension three will be called cyclic parallel if

$$(\nabla_X S)(Y, Z) + (\nabla_Y S)(Z, X) + (\nabla_Z S)(X, Y) = 0. \quad (4.4)$$

From (3.8) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & (\nabla_X S)(Y, Z) + (\nabla_Y S)(Z, X) + (\nabla_Z S)(X, Y) \\ = & (3k - c)\{(\nabla_X \eta)(Y)\eta(Z) + (\nabla_X \eta)(Z)\eta(Y) \\ + & (\nabla_Y \eta)(Z)\eta(X) + (\nabla_Y \eta)(X)\eta(Z) \\ + & (\nabla_Z \eta)(X)\eta(Y) + (\nabla_Z \eta)(Y)\eta(X)\}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

If we take  $X, Y, Z$  orthogonal to  $\xi$ , then we obtain from above,

$$(\nabla_X S)(Y, Z) + (\nabla_Y S)(Z, X) + (\nabla_Z S)(X, Y) = 0. \quad (4.6)$$

Now we are in a position to state the following:

**Theorem 4.2.** The Ricci tensor of  $(k, \mu)$  space forms of dimension three is cyclic parallel for  $\mu = \frac{1}{2}$ .

**Definition 4.3.** A  $(k, \mu)$  space forms of dimension three is called locally  $\phi$ -Ricci symmetric if,

$$\phi^2(\nabla_W Q)X = 0 \quad (4.7)$$

, where the vector fields  $X$  and  $W$  are orthogonal to  $\xi$ . The notion of locally  $\phi$ -Ricci symmetry was introduced by U. C. De and A. Sarkar [6].

Again from (3.8) we obtain

$$(\nabla_W Q)X = (3k - c)\{(\nabla_W \eta)(X)\xi + \eta(X)\nabla_W \xi\} \quad (4.8)$$

Taking  $X$  orthogonal to  $\xi$  and applying  $\phi^2$  on both side of above we get

$$\phi^2(\nabla_W Q)X = 0. \quad (4.9)$$

Now we are in a position to state the following:

**Theorem 4.3.** A  $(k, \mu)$  space forms of dimension three is locally  $\phi$ -Ricci symmetric for  $\mu = \frac{1}{2}$ .

## 5 Locally $\phi$ - symmetric $(k, \mu)$ space forms

**Definition 5.1.** A  $(2n+1)$ -dimensional  $(k, \mu)$  space forms will be called locally  $\phi$ -symmetric if  $\phi^2(\nabla_W R)(X, Y)Z = 0$ , for any vector fields  $X, Y, Z$  and  $W$  orthogonal to  $\xi$ .

In this connection it should be mentioned that the notion of locally  $\phi$ - symmetric manifolds was introduced by T. Takahashi [10] in the context of Sasakian geometry.

First, we suppose that  $X, Y, Z$  and  $W$  orthogonal to  $\xi$ . Then relation (2.9) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} 4R(X, Y)Z &= (c + 3)\{g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y\} \\ &+ (c - 1)\{g(X, \phi Z)\phi Y - g(Y, \phi Z)\phi X + 2g(X, \phi Y)\phi Z\} \\ &- 2\{g(hX, Z)hY - g(hY, Z)hX + g(X, Z)hY \\ &- 2g(Y, Z)hX + 2g(hX, Z)Y \\ &- 2g(hY, Z)X - g(\phi hX, Z)\phi hY + g(\phi hY, Z)\phi hX\}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

Differentiating (5.1) covariantly with respect to a horizontal vector field  $W$  we get,

$$\begin{aligned} 4(\nabla_W R)(X, Y)Z &= (c - 1)\{g(X, (\nabla_W \phi)Z)\phi Y + g(X, \phi Z)(\nabla_W \phi)Y \\ &- g(Y, (\nabla_W \phi)Z)\phi X - g(Y, \phi Z)(\nabla_W \phi)X \\ &+ 2g(X, (\nabla_W \phi)Y)\phi Z + g(X, \phi Y)(\nabla_W \phi)Z\} \\ &- 2\{g((\nabla_W h)X, Z)hY + g(hX, Z)(\nabla_W h)Y \\ &- g((\nabla_W h)Y, Z)hX - g(hY, Z)(\nabla_W h)X \\ &+ g(X, Z)(\nabla_W h)Y - 2g(Y, Z)(\nabla_W h)X \\ &+ 2g((\nabla_W h)X, Z)Y - 2g((\nabla_W h)Y, Z)X \\ &- g((\nabla_W \phi)hX, Z)\phi hY - g(\phi hX, Z)(\nabla_W \phi)hY \\ &+ g((\nabla_W \phi)hY, Z)\phi hX + g(\phi hY, Z)(\nabla_W \phi)hX\}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

Again, as  $X, Y$  are orthogonal to  $\xi$ , so (2.5) and (2.6) reduces to

$$(\nabla_X \phi)Y = g(X, Y)\xi, \quad (5.3)$$

$$(\nabla_X h)(Y) = \{(1 - k)g(X, \phi Y) + g(X, h\phi Y)\}\xi. \quad (5.4)$$

After using (5.3) and (5.4) in (5.2) and then applying  $\phi^2$  on both side we obtain

$$\phi^2(\nabla_W R)(X, Y)Z = 0. \quad (5.5)$$

Thus we are in a position to state the following result:

**Theorem 5.1.** *Every  $(2n+1)$  dimensional  $(k, \mu)$  space forms is locally  $\phi$ - symmetric.*

## References

- [1] Ali Akbar and Avijit Sarkar, Some curvature properties of Trans-Sasakian Manifolds, *Lobachevskii Journal of Mathematics*, 35(2)(2014), 56-66.
- [2] Blair, D. E., Koufogiorgos, T. and Papantoniou, B. J., Contact metric manifolds satisfying a nullity condition, *Israel J. of Math.*, 19(1995), 189-214.
- [3] D. E. Blair, J. S. Kim and M. M. Tripathi, On the concircular curvature tensor of a contact metric manifold, *Journal of the Korean Mathematical Society*, 42(5)(2005), 883-892.
- [4] D. E. Blair, *Contact Manifolds in Riemannian Geometry*, Lecture Notes in Math., No. 509, Springer 1976.
- [5] De, U. C. and Sarkar, A., On three-dimensional trans-Sasakian manifold, *Extracta Mathematicae*, 23(3)(2008), 265-270.
- [6] De, U.C. and Sarkar, A., On  $\phi$ -Ricci symmetric Sasakian manifolds, *Proceedings of the Jangjeon Mathematical Soc.*, 11(1)(2008), 47-52.
- [7] Kon, M, Invariant submanifolds in Sasakian manifolds, *Math. Ann.*, 219(1976), 277-290.
- [8] T. Koufogiorgos, Contact Riemannian manifolds with constant  $\phi$ - sectional curvature, *Geometry and Topology of submanifolds*, 8(1995), 195-197.
- [9] Ki, U-H and Nakagawa, H.A.: A characterization of the Cartan hypersurfaces in a sphere, *Tohoku Math J.*, 39(1987), 27-40.
- [10] Takahashi. T., Sasakian  $\phi$ -symmetric spaces, *Tohoku Math. J.*, 29(1977), 91-113.

Received: May 14, 2014; Accepted: October 15, 2014

UNIVERSITY PRESS

Website: <http://www.malayajournal.org/>