

## On Quasi-weak Commutative Boolean-like Near-Rings

G. Gopalakrishnamoorthy<sup>a,\*</sup> S.Geetha<sup>b</sup> and S. Anitha<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Mathematics, PSNL College of Education, Sattur-626 203, Tamil Nadu, India.

<sup>b</sup>Department of Mathematics, Pannai College of Engineering and Technology, Sivaganga-630 561, Tamil Nadu, India.

<sup>c</sup>Department of Mathematics, Raja Doraisingam Government Arts College, Sivaganga-630 561, Tamil Nadu, India.

### Abstract

In this paper we establish a result that every quasi-weak commutative Boolean-like near-ring can be imbedded into a quasi-weak commutative Boolean-like commutative semi-ring with identity. Key words: Quasi-weak commutative near-ring, Boolean-like near-ring.

*Keywords:* Quasi-weak commutative near-ring, Boolean-like near-ring.

2010 MSC: 16Y30, 16Y60.

©2012 MJM. All rights reserved.

## 1 Introduction

The concept of Boolean-like ring was coined by A.L.Foster[1]. Foster proved that if  $R$  is a Boolean ring with identity then  $ab(1-a)(1-b) = 0$  for all  $a, b \in R$ . He generalized the concept of Boolean ring as Boolean-like ring as a ring  $R$  with identity satisfying (i)  $ab(1-a)(1-b) = 0$  and (ii)  $2a = 0$  for all  $a, b \in R$ . He also observed that the equation  $ab(1-a)(1-b) = 0$  can be re-written as  $(ab)^2 - ab^2 - a^2b + ab = 0$ . He re-defined a Boolean-like ring as a commutative ring with identity satisfying (i)  $(ab)^2 - ab^2 - a^2b + ab = 0$  and (ii)  $2a = 0$  for all  $a, b \in R$ . In 1962 Adil Yaqub [8] proved that the condition 'commutativity' is not necessary in the definition of Boolean-like rings. He proved that any ring  $R$  with the conditions (i)  $(ab)^2 - ab^2 - a^2b + ab = 0$  and (ii)  $2a = 0$  for all  $a, b \in R$  is necessarily commutative.

Ketsela Hailu and others [4] have constructed the Boolean-like semi-ring of fractions of a weak commutative Boolean-like semi-ring. We have coined and studied the concept of quasi-weak commutative near-ring in [2]. In this paper we define Boolean-like near ring (right) and prove that every quasi-weak commutative Boolean-like near ring can be imbedded into a quasi weak commutative semi ring with identity.

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section we recall some definitions and results which we use in the sequel.

\*Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [ggrmoorthy@gmail.com](mailto:ggrmoorthy@gmail.com) (G. Gopalakrishnamoorthy), [amrishhanda83@gmail.com](mailto:amrishhanda83@gmail.com) (S.Geetha).

## 2.1. Definition

A non empty set  $R$  together with two binary operations  $+$  and  $\cdot$  satisfying the following axioms is called a right near-ring

- (i)  $(R,+)$  is a group
- (ii)  $\cdot$  is associative
- (iii)  $\cdot$  is right distributive w.r.to  $+$
- (ie)  $(a+b) \cdot c = a \cdot c + b \cdot c \forall a,b,c \in R$

## 2.2. Note

In a right near-ring  $R$ ,  $0 \cdot a = 0 \forall a \in R$ .

If  $(R,+)$  is an abelian group, then  $(R,+,\cdot)$  is called a semi-ring.

## 2.3. Definition

A right near-ring  $(R,+,\cdot)$  is called a Boolean-like near ring if

- (i)  $2a = 0 \forall a \in R$  and
- (ii)  $(a+b-ab)ab = ab \forall a,b \in R$

## 2.4. Remark

If  $(R,+,\cdot)$  is a Boolean-like near ring, then  $(R,+)$  is always an abelian group for  $2x = 0 \forall x \in R$  implies  $x = -x \forall x \in R$ . We know, a group in which every element is its own inverse is always commutative.

## 2.5. Definition [5]

A right near ring  $R$  is said to be weak commutative if  $xyz = xzy \forall x,y,z \in R$

## 2.6. Definition [8]

A right near ring  $R$  is said to be pseudo commutative if  $xyz = zyx \forall x,y,z \in R$

## 2.7. Definition [2]

A right near ring  $R$  is said to be quasi-weak commutative if  $xyz = yxz \forall x,y,z \in R$

## 2.8. Definition

Let  $R$  be a right near ring. A subset  $B \subseteq R$  is said to be multiplicatively closed if  $a,b \in B$  implies  $ab \in B$ .

## 3. Main results

### 3.1. Lemma

In a Boolean-like near ring (right)  $R$   $a \cdot 0 = 0 \forall a \in R$

**Proof:**

Since R is Boolean-like near ring,  $(a+b-ab)ab = ab \forall a,b \in R$

Taking  $a=0$ , we get

$$(0 + b - 0b) 0b = 0b$$

$$(ie) b \cdot 0 = 0$$

Thus  $a \cdot 0 = 0 \forall a \in R$ .

**3.2. Lemma**

Let R be a quasi-weak commutative right near ring R. Then  $(ab)^n = a^n b^n \forall a,b \in R$  and for all  $n \geq 1$ .

**Proof:**

Let  $a,b \in R$ .

$$\text{Then } (ab)^2 = (ab)(ab) = a(bab)$$

$$= a(abb) \text{ (quasi weak)}$$

$$(ab)^2 = a^2 b^2$$

$$\text{Assume } (ab)^m = a^m b^m$$

$$\text{Now } (ab)^{(m+1)} = (ab)^m ab$$

$$= a^m b^m ab$$

$$= a^m (ab^m b)$$

$$= a^{m+1} b^{m+1}$$

Thus  $(ab)^m = a^m b^m \forall a,b \in R$  and for all integer  $m \geq 1$ .

**3.3 lemma**

Let R be a quasi-weak commutative Boolean like near-ring. Then

$$a^2 b + ab^2 = ab + (ab)^2 \forall a,b \in R.$$

**Proof:**

$$a^2 b + ab^2 = aab + abb$$

$$= aab + bab$$

$$= (a + b)ab$$

$$= (a + b \ ab + ab)ab$$

$$= (a + b \ ab)ab + (ab)^2$$

$$a^2 b + ab^2 = ab + (ab)^2 \text{ ( R is Boolean-like near-ring )}$$

**3.4 Lemma**

In a quasi-weak commutative Boolean like near ring  $(R, +, \cdot)$ ,

$$(a + a^2)(b + b^2)c = 0 \forall a,b,c \in R.$$

**Proof:**

$$(a + a^2)(b + b^2)c = \{a(b + b^2) + a^2(b + b^2)\} c$$

$$= a(b + b^2)c + a^2(b + b^2)c$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (b + b^2)ac + (b + b^2)a^2c \text{ ( R is quasi-weak commutative )} \\
&= \{(b + b^2)a + (b + b^2)a^2\}c \\
&= \{ba + b^2a + ba^2 + b^2a^2\}c \\
&= \{ba + ba + (ba)^2 + b^2a^2\} \text{ (using Lemma 3.3)} \\
&= \{ba + ba + b^2a^2 + b^2a^2\} \text{ (using Lemma 3.2)} \\
&= \{2ba + 2b^2a^2\} \\
&= 0 \text{ ( R is Boolean-like near-ring).}
\end{aligned}$$

### 3.5 Lemma

In a quasi-weak commutative Boolean like near ring R,  $(a - a^2)(b - b^2)c = 0 \forall a, b, c \in R$ .

**Proof:**

$$\begin{aligned}
(a - a^2)(b - b^2)c &= \{a(b - b^2) - a^2(b - b^2)\}c \\
&= a(b - b^2)c - a^2(b - b^2)c \\
&= (b - b^2)ac - (b - b^2)a^2c \text{ ( quasi-weak commutative )} \\
&= \{(b - b^2)a - (b - b^2)a^2\}c \\
&= \{ba - b^2a - ba^2 - b^2a^2\}c \\
&= \{ba - ba - (ba)^2 - b^2a^2\} \\
&= \{ba - ba - b^2a^2 - b^2a^2\} \text{ (using Lemma 3.3)} \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

### 3.6 Lemma

Let R be a quasi commutative Boolean like near-ring. Let S be a commutative subset of R which is multiplicatively closed. Define a relation N on  $R \times S$  by  $(r_1, s_1) \sim (r_2, s_2)$  if and only if there exists an element  $s \in S$  such that  $(r_1s_2 - r_2s_1)s = 0$ . Then N is an equivalence relation.

**Proof:**

- (i) Let  $(r, s) \in R \times S$ . Since  $rs - rs = 0$ , we get  $(rs - rs)t = 0$  for all  $t \in S$ . Hence  $\sim$  is reflexive.
- (ii) Let  $(r_1, s_1) \sim (r_2, s_2)$ . Then there exists an element  $s \in S$  such that  $(r_1s_1 - r_2s_1)s = 0$ . So  $(r_2s_1 - r_1s_1)s = 0$ . This proves  $\sim$  is symmetric.
- (iii) Let  $(r_1, s_1) \sim (r_2, s_2)$  and  $(r_2, s_2) \sim (r_3, s_3)$ . Then there exists  $p, q \in S$  such that  $(r_1s_2 - r_2s_1)p = 0$  and  $(r_2s_3 - r_3s_2)q = 0$ . So  $s_3(r_1s_2 - r_2s_1)p = 0 = s_1(r_2s_3 - r_3s_2)q$  (By Lemma 3.1)  
 $\implies (r_1s_2 - r_2s_1)s_3p = 0 = (r_2s_3 - r_3s_2)s_1q$  (R is quasi-weak commutative)  
 $\implies (r_1s_2 - r_2s_1)s_3pq = 0 = p(r_2s_3 - r_3s_2)s_1q$   
 $\implies (r_1s_2 - r_2s_1)s_3pq = 0 = (r_2s_3 - r_3s_2)ps_1q$  (R is quasi-weak commutative)  
 $\implies (r_1s_2 - r_2s_1)s_3pq = 0 = (r_2s_3 - r_3s_2)s_1pq$  (R is quasi-weak commutative)  
 $\implies (r_1s_2s_3 - r_2s_1s_3)pq = 0 = (r_2s_3s_1 - r_3s_2s_1)pq$   
 $\implies (r_1s_2s_3 - r_2s_1s_3 + r_2s_3s_1 - r_3s_2s_1)pq = 0$ .

$$\implies (r_1s_3s_2 - r_2s_1s_3 + r_2s_1s_3 - r_3s_1s_2)pq = 0. (S \text{ is commutative})$$

$$\implies (r_1s_3 - r_3s_1)s_2pq = 0$$

$$\implies (r_1s_3 - r_3s_1)r = 0 \text{ where } r = s_2pq \in S.$$

This implies  $(r_1, s_1) \sim (r_3, s_3)$ .

Hence  $\sim$  is transitive.

Hence the Lemma.

### 3.6 Remark

We denote the equivalence class containing  $(r, s) \in R \times S$  by  $\frac{r}{s}$  and the set of all equivalence classes by  $S^{-1}R$ .

### 3.8 Lemma

Let  $R$  be a quasi weak commutative Boolean like near-ring. Let  $S$  be a commutative subset of  $R$  which is also multiplicatively closed. If  $0 \notin S$  and  $R$  has no zero divisors, then

$(r_1, s_1) \sim (r_2, s_2)$  if and only if  $r_1s_2 = r_2s_1$ .

#### Proof:

Assume  $(r_1, s_1) \sim (r_2, s_2)$ . Then there exists an element  $se \in S$  such that  $(r_1s_2 - r_2s_1)s = 0$ .

Since  $0 \notin S$  and  $R$  has zero divisors, we get  $(r_1s_2 - r_2s_1) = 0$ .

(i.e)  $r_1s_2 = r_2s_1$

Conversely assume  $r_1s_2 = r_2s_1$ .

Then  $r_1s_2 - r_2s_1 = 0$  and so  $(r_1s_2 - r_2s_1)s = 0$  for all  $se \in S$ .

Hence  $(r_1, s_1) \notin (r_2, s_2)$ .

### 3.9 Lemma:

Let  $R$  be a quasi weak commutative Boolean like near-ring. Let  $S$  be a commutative subset of  $R$ , which is also multiplicatively closed.

Then (i)  $\frac{r}{s} = \frac{rt}{st} = \frac{tr}{st} = \frac{tr}{ts}$  for all  $r \in R$  and for all  $s, t \in S$ .

(ii)  $\frac{rs}{s} = \frac{rs'}{s'}$  for all  $r \in R$  and for all  $s, s' \in S$ .

(iii)  $\frac{s}{s} = \frac{s'}{s'}$  for all  $s, s' \in S$ .

(iv) If  $0 \in S$ , then  $S^{-1}R$  contains exactly one element.

#### Proof:

The proof of (i), (ii) and (iii) are routine.

(iv) Since  $0 \in S$ ,  $(r_1s_2 - r_2s_1)0 = 0 \forall \frac{r_1}{s_1}, \frac{r_2}{s_2} \in S^{-1}R$ .

and so  $\frac{r_1}{s_1} = \frac{r_2}{s_2}$ .

Then  $S^{-1}R$  contains exactly one element.

### 3.10 Theorem:

Let  $R$  be a quasi weak commutative Boolean like near ring. Let  $S$  be a commutative subset of  $R$  which is also multiplicatively closed. Define binary operation  $+$  and  $\cdot$  on  $S^{-1}R$  as follows :

$$\frac{r_1}{s_1} + \frac{r_2}{s_2} = \frac{r_1s_2 + r_2s_1}{s_1s_2} \text{ and}$$

$$\frac{r_1}{s_1} \cdot \frac{r_2}{s_2} = \frac{r_1 r_2}{s_1 s_2}$$

Then  $S^{-1}R$  is a commutative Boolean like semi-ring with identity.

### Proof:

Let us first prove that  $+$  and  $\cdot$  are well defined. Let  $\frac{r_1}{s_1} = \frac{r'_1}{s'_1}$  and  $\frac{r_2}{s_2} = \frac{r'_2}{s'_2}$  Then there exists  $t_1, t_2 \in S$  such that

$$(r_1 s'_1 - r'_1 s_1) t = 0 \dots \dots \dots (1)$$

$$\text{and } (r_2 s'_2 - r'_2 s_2) t = 0 \dots \dots \dots (2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Now } [(r_1 s_2 + r_2 s_1) s'_1 s'_2 - (r'_1 s'_2 + r'_2 s'_1) s_1 s_2] t_1 t_2 \\ &= [r_1 s_2 s'_1 s'_2 + r_2 s_1 s'_1 s'_2 - r'_1 s'_2 s_1 s_2 - r'_2 s'_1 s_1 s_2] t_1 t_2 \\ &= [r_1 s'_1 s_2 s'_2 - r'_1 s_1 s_2 s'_2 + r_2 s'_2 s_1 s'_1 - r'_2 s_2 s_1 s'_1] t_1 t_2 \\ &= [(r_1 s'_1 - r'_1 s_1) s_2 s'_2 + (r_2 s'_2 - r'_2 s_2) s_1 s'_1] t_1 t_2 \\ &= (r_1 s'_1 - r'_1 s_1) t_1 s_2 s'_2 t_2 + (r_2 s'_2 - r'_2 s_2) t_2 s_1 s'_1 t_1 \\ &= 0 \cdot s_2 s'_2 t_2 + 0 \cdot s_1 s'_1 t_1 \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Hence } \frac{r_1 s_2 + r_2 s_1}{s_1 s_2} = \frac{r'_1 s'_2 + r'_2 s'_1}{s'_1 s'_2}$$

$$\text{(i.e) } \frac{r_1}{s_1} + \frac{r_2}{s_2} = \frac{r'_1}{s'_1} + \frac{r'_2}{s'_2}$$

Hence  $+$  is well defined.

From (1) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & (r_1 s'_1 - r'_1 s_1) t_1 t_2 r_2 s'_2 = 0 \\ & t_1 t_2 (r_1 s'_1 - r'_1 s_1) r_2 s'_2 = 0 \text{ (quasi weak commutative)} \\ & t_1 t_2 (r_1 s'_1 r_2 - r'_1 s_1 r_2) s'_2 = 0 \\ & (r_1 s'_1 r_2 - r'_1 s_1 r_2) s'_2 t_1 t_2 = 0 \text{ (S is commutative subset)} \\ & (r_1 s'_1 r_2 s'_2 - r'_1 s_1 r_2 s'_2) t_1 t_2 = 0 \\ & (r_1 r_2 s'_1 s'_2 - r'_1 r_2 s_1 s'_2) t_1 t_2 = 0 \\ & r_1 r_2 s'_1 s'_2 t_1 t_2 - r'_1 r_2 s_1 s'_2 t_1 t_2 = 0 \dots \dots \dots (3) \end{aligned}$$

From (2) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & (r_2 s'_2 - r'_2 s_2) t_2 t_1 r'_1 s_1 = 0 \\ & (r_2 s'_2 - r'_2 s_2) t_1 t_2 r'_1 s_1 = 0 \text{ (S is commutative subset)} \\ & t_1 t_2 (r_2 s'_2 - r'_2 s_2) r'_1 s_1 = 0 \text{ (quasi weak commutative)} \\ & t_1 t_2 (r_2 s'_2 r'_1 - r'_2 s_2 r'_1) s_1 = 0 \\ & (r_2 s'_2 r'_1 - r'_2 s_2 r'_1) t_1 t_2 s_1 = 0 \text{ (quasi weak commutative)} \\ & (r_2 s'_2 r'_1 - r'_2 s_2 r'_1) s_1 t_1 t_2 = 0 \text{ (S is commutative subset)} \\ & (r_2 s'_2 r'_1 s_1 - r'_2 s_2 r'_1 s_1) t_1 t_2 = 0 \\ & (r_2 r'_1 s'_2 s_1 - r'_2 r'_1 s_2 s_1) t_1 t_2 = 0 \text{ (quasi weak commutative)} \\ & (r'_1 r_2 s'_2 s_1 - r'_1 r'_2 s_2 s_1) t_1 t_2 = 0 \text{ (quasi weak commutative)} \\ & r'_1 r_2 s'_2 t_1 t_2 - r'_1 r'_2 s_1 s_2 t_1 t_2 = 0 \text{ (S is commutative subset)} \dots \dots \dots (4) \end{aligned}$$

(3) + (4) gives

$$\begin{aligned} & r_1 r_2 s'_1 s'_2 t_1 t_2 - r'_1 r'_2 s_1 s_2 t_1 t_2 = 0 \\ & (r_1 r_2 s'_1 s'_2 - r'_1 r'_2 s_1 s_2) t_1 t_2 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{This means } \frac{r_1 r_2}{s_1 s_2} = \frac{r'_1 r'_2}{s'_1 s'_2}$$

Hence  $\cdot$  is well-defined.

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{We note that } \frac{r_1}{s_1} + \frac{r_2}{s_2} = \frac{r_1 s_2 + r_2 s_1}{s_1 s_2} = \frac{(r_1 + r_2) s}{s^2} \\ & = \frac{r_1 + r_2}{s} \text{ (by lemma 3.9)} \dots \dots \dots (5) \end{aligned}$$

**Claim:1**  $(S^{-1}R, +)$  is an abelian group.

Let  $\frac{r_1}{s_1}, \frac{r_2}{s_2}, \frac{r_3}{s_3} \in S^{-1}R$ .

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{r_1}{s_1} + \left(\frac{r_2}{s_2} + \frac{r_3}{s_3}\right) &= \frac{r_1}{s_1} + \left(\frac{r_2s_3 + r_3s_2}{s_2s_3}\right) \\ &= \frac{r_1s_2s_3 + (r_2s_3 + r_3s_2)s_1}{s_1s_2s_3} \\ &= \frac{r_1s_2s_3 + r_2s_3s_1 + r_3s_2s_1}{s_1s_2s_3} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Also } \left(\frac{r_1}{s_1} + \frac{r_2}{s_2}\right) + \frac{r_3}{s_3} &= \left(\frac{r_1s_2 + r_2s_1}{s_1s_2}\right) + \frac{r_3}{s_3} \\ &= \frac{(r_1s_2 + r_2s_1)s_3 + r_3s_1s_2}{s_1s_2s_3} \\ &= \frac{r_1s_2s_3 + r_2s_3s_1 + r_3s_1s_2}{s_1s_2s_3} \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{r_1}{s_1} + \left(\frac{r_2}{s_2} + \frac{r_3}{s_3}\right) = \left(\frac{r_1}{s_1} + \frac{r_2}{s_2}\right) + \frac{r_3}{s_3}$$

So + is associative.

For any  $\frac{r}{s} \in R$ , we have

$$\frac{r}{s} + \frac{0}{s} = \frac{r+0}{s} = \frac{r}{s}$$

$$\text{Also } \frac{0}{s} + \frac{r}{s} = \frac{0+r}{s} = \frac{r}{s}$$

Hence  $\frac{0}{s}$  is the additive identity of  $\frac{r}{s} \in S^{-1}R$  for all  $r \in R$

Clearly + is commutative.

Thus  $(R, +)$  is an abelian group.

**Claim:2**  $\cdot$  is associative.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now } \frac{r_1}{s_1} \cdot \left(\frac{r_2}{s_2} \cdot \frac{r_3}{s_3}\right) &= \frac{r_1}{s_1} \cdot \left(\frac{r_2r_3}{s_2s_3}\right) = \frac{r_1(r_2r_3)}{s_1(s_2s_3)} \\ &= \frac{(r_1r_2)r_3}{(s_1s_2)s_3} \\ &= \left(\frac{r_1}{s_1} \cdot \frac{r_2}{s_2}\right) \cdot \frac{r_3}{s_3} \end{aligned}$$

So  $\cdot$  is associative.

**Claim:3**  $\cdot$  is right distributive with respect to +.

Let  $\frac{r_1}{s_1}, \frac{r_2}{s_2}, \frac{r_3}{s_3} \in S^{-1}R$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now } \left(\frac{r_1}{s_1} + \frac{r_2}{s_2}\right) \cdot \frac{r_3}{s_3} &= \left(\frac{r_1s_2 + r_2s_1}{s_1s_2}\right) \cdot \frac{r_3}{s_3} \\ &= \frac{r_1s_2r_3 + r_2s_1r_3}{s_1s_2s_3} \\ &= \frac{s_2r_1r_3 + s_1r_2r_3}{s_1s_2s_3} \text{ (quasi weak commutative)} \\ &= \frac{s_2r_1r_3}{s_1s_2s_3} + \frac{s_1r_2r_3}{s_1s_2s_3} \text{ (using (5))} \\ &= \frac{s_2r_1r_3}{s_2s_1s_3} + \frac{s_1r_2r_3}{s_1s_2s_3} \\ &= \frac{r_1r_3}{s_1s_3} + \frac{r_2r_3}{s_2s_3} \\ &= \frac{r_1}{s_1} \cdot \frac{r_3}{s_3} + \frac{r_2}{s_2} \cdot \frac{r_3}{s_3} \end{aligned}$$

This proves right - distributive law.

**Claim:4**  $S^{-1}R$  is a Boolean-like ring.

It is already proved in **claim 1** that

$$2\left(\frac{r}{s}\right) = 0 \text{ for all } \frac{r}{s} \in S^{-1}R$$

Let  $a = \frac{r_1}{s_1}$  and  $b = \frac{r_2}{s_2}$  be any two elements of  $S^{-1}R$  Let  $t \in S$  be any element.

Now by Lemma 3.5

$$(a - a^2)(b - b^2)t = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \left(\frac{r_1}{s_1} - \frac{r_1^2}{s_1^2}\right)\left(\frac{r_2}{s_2} - \frac{r_2^2}{s_2^2}\right)t = 0$$

$$\left[\frac{r_1}{s_1}\left(\frac{r_2}{s_2} - \frac{r_2^2}{s_2^2}\right) - \frac{r_1^2}{s_1^2}\left(\frac{r_2}{s_2} - \frac{r_2^2}{s_2^2}\right)\right]t = 0$$

$$\frac{r_1}{s_1}\left(\frac{r_2}{s_2} - \frac{r_2^2}{s_2^2}\right)t - \frac{r_1^2}{s_1^2}\left(\frac{r_2}{s_2} - \frac{r_2^2}{s_2^2}\right)t = 0$$

$$\left(\frac{r_2}{s_2} - \frac{r_2^2}{s_2^2}\right)\frac{r_1}{s_1}t - \left(\frac{r_2}{s_2} - \frac{r_2^2}{s_2^2}\right)\frac{r_1^2}{s_1^2}t = 0 \text{ (quasi weak commutative)}$$

$$\left[\left(\frac{r_2}{s_2} - \frac{r_2^2}{s_2^2}\right)\frac{r_1}{s_1} - \left(\frac{r_2}{s_2} - \frac{r_2^2}{s_2^2}\right)\frac{r_1^2}{s_1^2}\right]t = 0$$

$$\left[\left(\frac{r_2s_2 - r_2^2}{s_2^2}\right)\frac{r_1}{s_1} - \left(\frac{r_2s_2 - r_2^2}{s_2^2}\right)\frac{r_1^2}{s_1^2}\right]t = 0$$

$$\left[\left(\frac{r_2s_2 - r_2^2}{s_2^2}\right)\frac{r_1s_1}{s_1} - \left(\frac{r_2s_2 - r_2^2}{s_2^2}\right)\frac{r_1^2}{s_1^2}\right]t = 0 \text{ (using Lemma 3.9)}$$

$$\left[\left(\frac{r_2s_2r_1s_1 - r_2^2r_1s_1}{s_2^2s_1^2}\right) - \frac{r_2s_2r_1^2 - r_2^2r_1^2}{s_2^2s_1^2}\right]t = 0$$

$$\left[ \left( \frac{r_2 r_1 s_2 s_1 - r_2^2 r_1 s_1}{s_2^2 s_1^2} \right) - \frac{s_2 r_2 r_1^2 - r_2^2 r_1^2}{s_2^2 s_1^2} \right] t = 0 \text{ (quasi weak commutative)}$$

$$\left[ \left( \frac{r_2 r_1 s_2 s_1}{s_2^2 s_1^2} - \frac{r_2^2 r_1 s_1}{s_2^2 s_1^2} - \frac{s_2 r_2 r_1^2}{s_2^2 s_1^2} + \frac{r_2^2 r_1^2}{s_2^2 s_1^2} \right) \right] t = 0$$

$$\left[ \frac{r_2 r_1}{s_2 s_1} - \frac{r_2^2}{s_2^2} \frac{r_1}{s_1} - \frac{r_2}{s_2} \frac{r_1^2}{s_1^2} + \frac{r_2^2 r_1^2}{s_2^2 s_1^2} \right] t = 0$$

$$[ba - b^2 a - ba^2 + b^2 a^2] t = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow ba = b^2 a - ba^2 + b^2 a^2$$

$$= b^2 a + ba^2 - (ba)^2 \text{ (using Lemma 3.2)}$$

$$ba = ba(b+a-ba)$$

This proves  $S^{-1}R$  is Boolean-like near ring.

**Claim :5** multiplication in  $S^{-1}R$  is commutative

Let  $\frac{r_1}{s_1}, \frac{r_2}{s_2}$  be any two elements of  $S^{-1}R$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } \frac{r_1}{s_1} \cdot \frac{r_2}{s_2} &= \frac{r_1 r_2}{s_1 s_2} = \frac{r_1 r_2 s}{s_1 s_2 s} \quad \forall s \in S \quad \frac{r_2 r_1 s}{s_1 s_2 s} \text{ (quasi weak commutative)} \\ &= \frac{r_2 r_1 s}{s_2 s_1 s} \text{ (S is commutative subset)} \\ &= \frac{r_2}{s_2} \frac{r_1}{s_1} \text{ (using Lemma 3.9)} \end{aligned}$$

Hence multiplication in  $S^{-1}R$  is commutative.

**Claim:6** Existence of multiplicative identity in  $S^{-1}R$

Let  $\frac{r}{s} \in S^{-1}R$  be any element.

$$\text{Then } \frac{r}{s} \cdot \frac{s}{s} = \frac{rs}{ss} = \frac{r}{s}$$

$$\text{Also } \frac{s}{s} \cdot \frac{r}{s} = \frac{sr}{ss} = \frac{r}{s}$$

Hence  $\frac{s}{s} \in S^{-1}R$  is the multiplicative identity of  $S^{-1}R$

Thus  $S^{-1}R$  is a commutative Boolean-like near-ring with identity.

### 3.11 Theorem

$S^{-1}R$  is quasi-weak commutative near-ring.

**Proof:**

Let  $a = \frac{r_1}{s_1}, b = \frac{r_2}{s_2}, c = \frac{r_3}{s_3}$  be any three elements of  $S^{-1}R$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now } abc &= \frac{r_1}{s_1} \cdot \frac{r_2}{s_2} \cdot \frac{r_3}{s_3} = \frac{r_1 r_2 r_3}{s_1 s_2 s_3} \\ &= \frac{r_2 r_1 r_3}{s_1 s_2 s_3} \text{ (R is quasi-weak commutative)} \\ &= \frac{r_2 r_1 r_3}{s_2 s_1 s_3} \text{ (S is commutative)} \\ &= \frac{r_2}{s_2} \frac{r_1}{s_1} \frac{r_3}{s_3} \end{aligned}$$

Then  $abc = bac \quad \forall a, b, c \in S^{-1}R$ .

This proves  $S^{-1}R$  is quasi-weak commutative near-ring.

### 3.12 Theorem

Let  $R$  be a quasi-weak commutative Boolean-like near ring. Let  $S$  be a commutative subset of  $R$  which is multiplicatively closed. Let  $0 \neq s \in S$ . Define a map  $f_s: R \rightarrow S^{-1}R$  as  $f_s(r) = \frac{rs}{s} \quad \forall r \in R$ . Then  $f_s$  is a near-ring monomorphism.

**Proof:**

Let  $r_1, r_2 \in R$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } f_s(r_1 + r_2) &= \frac{(r_1 + r_2)s}{s} = \frac{r_1 s + r_2 s}{s} \\ &= \frac{r_1 s}{s} + \frac{r_2 s}{s} \text{ (By (5) of Theorem 3.11)} \\ &= f(r_1) + f(r_2) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Also } f_s(r_1 \cdot r_2) &= \frac{(r_1 r_2)s}{s} \\ &= \frac{r_1 r_2 s^2}{s^2} \\ &= \frac{r_1 r_2 s s}{s^2} \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{r_1(sr_2s)}{s^2} \\
&= \frac{r_1s}{s} \cdot \frac{r_2s}{s} \text{ (quasi weak commutative)} \\
&= f_s(r_1) \cdot f_s(r_2)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Also } f_s(r_1) = f_s(r_2) \Rightarrow \frac{r_1s}{s} = \frac{r_2s}{s}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Rightarrow \frac{r_1s}{s} - \frac{r_2s}{s} = 0 \\
&\Rightarrow \frac{(r_1s - r_2s)}{s} = 0 \\
&\Rightarrow \frac{(r_1 - r_2)s}{s} = 0 \\
&\Rightarrow \left(\frac{r_1}{s} - \frac{r_2}{s}\right) = 0 \\
&\Rightarrow \frac{r_1}{s} = \frac{r_2}{s}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence  $f_s$  is a monomorphism

### 3.13 Theorem

Let  $R$  be a quasi-weak commutative Boolean-like near-ring. Then  $R$  be embedded into a quasi-weak commutative. Boolean like commutative semi ring with identity.

**Proof:**

Follows from Theorem 3.11 and 3.12.

## References

- [1] Foster.A.L, The theory of Boolean like rings, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc, Vol. 59, 1946.
- [2] Gopalakrishnamoorthy.G, Kamaraj.M and Geetha.S, On Quasi weak commutative near-ring, Int.Jour. of Math. Research, Vol.5, No 5, 2013, 431-440.
- [3] Gopalakrishnamoorthy.G and Anitha.S, On Commutativity Property of  $Q_{k,n}, Q_{k,\infty}, P_{k,n}, P_\infty$  and  $Q_\infty$  Rings, Jour. Of Inst. Of Mathematics and Computer Sciences, Vol. 23, No. 2 (2010) 63-70.
- [4] Ketsela Hailu, Berhanu Bekele Belayneh, Zelabesm Teshome and K.Venkateswarlu, Boolean like semi ring of fractions, Inter. Jour of Math. Archive, Vol 3 No 4, 2012, 1554-1560.
- [5] Pliz. Gunter, Near-Rings, The theory and its applications, North Holland, 1983.
- [6] Swaminathan.V, Boolean-like rings, Ph.D. dissertation, Andhra University, India, 1982.
- [7] Swaminathan.V, On Fosters Boolean-like rings, Math. Seminar Notes, Kobe University, Japan, Vol 8, 1980, 347-367.
- [8] Uma.S, Balakrishnan.R and Tamizh Chelvam.T, Pseudo Commutative near-rins, Scientia Magna, Vol 6, No 2, 2010, 75-85.
- [9] Yaqub.A, A generalization of certain Rings of A.L. Foster, University of California, 1962.

*Received:* October 10, 2014; *Accepted:* March 23, 2015

UNIVERSITY PRESS

Website: <http://www.malayajournal.org/>