Malaya MIM **Journal of** an international journal of mathematical sciences with Matematik computer applications...

On semi generalized star *b* **- Connectedness and semi generalized star** *b* **- Compactness in Topological Spaces**

S. Sekar*a*,[∗] and B. Jothilakshmi*^b*

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Government Arts College (Autonomous), Salem – 636 007, Tamil Nadu, India. ^bDepartment of Mathematics, Government Arts College (Autonomous), Coimbatore – 641 045, Tamil Nadu, India.

Abstract

www.malayajournal.org

In this paper, the authors introduce a new type of connected spaces called semi generalized star *b* - connected spaces (briefly *sg*[∗] *b*-connected spaces) in topological spaces. The notion of semi generalized star *b* - compact spaces is also introduced (briefly *sg*[∗] *b*-compact spaces) in topological spaces. Some characterizations and several properties concerning *sg*[∗] *b*-connected spaces and *sg*[∗] *b*-compact spaces are obtained.

Keywords: sg[∗]*b*-closed sets, *sg*[∗]*b*-closed map, *sg*[∗]*b*-continuous map, contra *sg*[∗]*b*-continuity.

2010 MSC: 54C05, 54C08, 54C10. c 2012 MJM. All rights reserved.

1 Introduction

Topological spaces are mathematical structures that allow the formal definitions of concepts such as connectedness, compactness, interior and closure. In 1974, Das [\[4\]](#page-4-0) defined the concept of semi-connectedness in topology and investigated its properties. Compactness is one of the most important, useful and fundamental concepts in topology. In 1981, Dorsett [\[6\]](#page-4-1) introduced and studied the concept of semi-compact spaces. In 1990, Ganster [\[7\]](#page-4-2) defined and investigated semi-Lindelof spaces. Since then, Hanna and Dorsett [\[10\]](#page-4-3), Ganster and Mohammad S. Sarsak [\[8\]](#page-4-4) investigated the properties of semi-compact spaces.

The notion of connectedness and compactness are useful and fundamental notions of not only general topology but also of other advanced branches of mathematics. Ganster and Steiner [\[9\]](#page-4-5) introduced and studied the properties of gb-closed sets in topological spaces. Benchalli et al [\[2\]](#page-4-6) introduced *gb* - compactness and *gb* - connectedness in topological spaces. Dontchev and Ganster[\[5\]](#page-4-7) analyzed *sg* - compact space. Later, Shibani [\[13\]](#page-4-8) introduced and analyzed *rg* - compactnes and *rg* - connectedness. Crossely et al [\[3\]](#page-4-9) introduced semi closure. Vadivel et al [\[14\]](#page-4-10) studied *rgα* - interior and *rgα* - closure sets in topological spaces. The aim of this paper is to introduce the concept of $sg*b$ -connected and $sg*b$ -compactness in topological spaces.

2 Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. *A subset A of a topological space* (X, τ) *, is called sg closed, if scl*(*A*) \subseteq *U. The complement of sg closed set is said to be sg open set . The family of all sg open sets (respectively semi generalised closed sets) of* (*X*, *τ*) *is denoted by* $SG - O(X, \tau)$ *[respectively* $SG - CL(X, \tau)$ *].*

Definition 2.2. *A subset A of a topological space* (*X*, *τ*)*, is called semi generalized star b -closed set [\[11\]](#page-4-11) (briefly sg*[∗] *b-closed set) if αcl*(*A*) ⊆ *U whenever A* ⊆ *U and U is sg open in X. The complement of sg*[∗] *b-closed set is*

[∗]Corresponding author.

E-mail address: sekar nitt@rediffmail.com (S. Sekar), balujothi87@gmail.com (B. Jothilakshmi).

 c *called sg*[∗] *b*-open. The family of all sg[∗] b-open [respectively sg[∗] b-closed] sets of (X, τ) is denoted by sg[∗] b – $O(X, \tau)$ *[respectively sg*^{*} $b - CL(X, \tau)$ *]*.

Definition 2.3. A subset A of a topological space (X, τ) is called b-open set[\[1\]](#page-4-12) if $A \subseteq cl(int(A)) \cup int(cl(A))$. The *complement of b-open set is b-closed sets. The family of all b-open sets (respectively b-closed sets) of* (*X*, *τ*) *is denoted by* $bO(X, \tau)$ (respectively $bCL(X, \tau)$)

Definition 2.4. *The sg*[∗] *b-closure of a set A, denoted by sg*[∗] *b* − *Cl*(*A*)*[\[12\]](#page-4-13) is the intersection of all sg*[∗] *b-closed sets containing A.*

Definition 2.5. *The sg*[∗] *b-interior of a set A, denoted by sg*[∗] *b* − *int*(*A*)*[\[12\]](#page-4-13) is the union of all sg*[∗] *b-open sets containing A.*

Definition 2.6. *A topological space X is said to be gb-connected [\[2\]](#page-4-6) if X cannot be expressed as a disjoint of two non-empty gb-open sets in X. A sub set of X is gb-connected if it is gb-connected as a subspace.*

Definition 2.7. *A subset A of a topological space* (*X*, *τ*) *is called semi generalized star b-closed set[\[11\]](#page-4-11) (briefly sg*[∗] *bclosed set) if bcl*(A) $\subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is sg open in X.

3 Semi Generalized Star *b* **- Connectedness**

Definition 3.8. *A topological space X is said to be sg*[∗] *b-connected if X cannot be expressed as a disjoint of two non empty sg*[∗] *b-open sets in X. A subset of X is sg*[∗] *b-connected if it is sg*[∗] *b-connected as a subspace.*

Example 3.1. *Let* $X = \{a, b, c\}$ *and let* $\tau = \{X, \varphi, \{b\}, \{a, c\}\}\$. It is sg^{*}b-connected.

Theorem 3.1. *For a topological space X, the following are equivalent.*

(i) X is sg[∗] *b-connected.*

(ii) X and ϕ are the only subsets of X which are both sg[∗] *b-open and sg*[∗] *b-closed.*

(iii) Each sg[∗] *b-continuous map of X into a discrete space Y with at least two points is constant map.*

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii): Suppose *X* is *sg*[∗]*b* - connected. Let *S* be a proper subset which is both *sg*[∗]*b* - open and *sg*[∗]*b* closed in *X*. Its complement *X* − *S* is also sg^*b - open and sg^*b - closed. *X* = *S* ∪ (*X* − *S*), a disjoint union of two non empty sg^*b - open sets which is contradicts (i). Therefore $S = \varphi$ or *X*.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) : Suppose that $X = A \cup B$ where *A* and *B* are disjoint non empty *sg*[∗] *b* - open subsets of *X*. Then *A* is both *sg*[∗] *b* - open and *sg*[∗] *b* - closed. By assumption *A* = *ϕ* or *X*. Therefore *X* is *sg*[∗] *b* - connected.

 (iii) \Rightarrow $(iiii)$: Let *f* : *X* → *Y* be a *sg*[∗]*b* - continuous map. *X* is covered by *sg*[∗]*b* - open and *sg*[∗]*b* - closed covering $\{f^{-1}(y): y \in Y\}$. By assumption $f^{-1}(y) = \varphi$ or X for each $y \in Y$. If $f^{-1}(y) = \varphi$ for all $y \in (Y)$, then f fails to be a map. Then there exists only one point $y \in Y$ such that $f^{-1}(y) \neq \varphi$ and hence $f^{-1}(y) = X$. This shows that *f* is a constant map.

(iii) \Rightarrow (ii) : Let *S* be both *sg*[∗]*b* - open and *sg*[∗]*b* - closed in *X*. Suppose *S* \neq *ϕ*. Let *f* : *X* → *Y* be a *sg*[∗]*b* continuous function defined by $f(S) = \{y\}$ and $f(X - S) = \{w\}$ for some distinct points *y* and *w* in *Y*. By (iii) f is a constant function. Therefore $S = X$. \Box

Theorem 3.2. *Every sg*[∗] *b - connected space is connected.*

Proof. Let *X* be *sg*[∗] *b* - connected. Suppose *X* is not connected. Then there exists a proper non empty subset *B* of *X* which is both open and closed in *X*. Since every closed set is *sg*[∗] *b* - closed, *B* is a proper non empty subset of *X* which is both *sg*[∗]*b* - open and *sg*[∗]*b* - closed in *X*. Using by Theorem 3.1, *X* is not *sg*[∗]*b* - connected. This proves the theorem. \Box

The converse of the above theorem need not be true as shown in the following example.

Example 3.2. Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and let $\tau = \{X, \varphi, \{a\}, \{b, c\}\}\$. X is connected but not sg^*b - connected. Since ${b}$, {*a*, *c*} *are disjoint sg*[∗]*b* - *open sets and X* = {*b*} ∪ {*a*, *c*}*.*

Theorem 3.3. If $f: X \to Y$ is a sg^*b - continuous and X is sg^*b - connected, then Y is connected.

Proof. Suppose that *Y* is not connected. Let $Y = A \cup B$ where *A* and *B* are disjoint non - empty open set in *Y*. Since *f* is *sg*^{*}*b* - continuous and onto, $X = f^{-1}(A) \cup f^{-1}(B)$ where $f^{-1}(A)$ and $f^{-1}(B)$ are disjoint non empty *sg*[∗] *b* - open sets in *X*. This contradicts the fact that *X* is *sg*[∗] *b* - connected. Hence *Y* is connected. \Box

Theorem 3.4. *If* $f: X \to Y$ *is a sg*[∗]*b - irresolut and X is sg*[∗]*b - connected, then Y is sg*[∗]*b - connected.*

Proof. Suppose that *Y* is not *sg*[∗]*b* connected. Let *Y* = *A* ∪ *B* where *A* and *B* are disjoint non - empty *sg*[∗]*b* open set in *Y*. Since *f* is sg^*b - irresolut and onto, $X = f^{-1}(A) \cup f^{-1}(B)$ where $f^{-1}(A)$ and $f^{-1}(B)$ are disjoint non - empty *sg*[∗] *b* - open sets in *X*. This contradicts the fact that *X* is *sg*[∗] *b* - connected. Hence *Y* is *sg*[∗] *b* - \Box connected.

Definition 3.9. *A topological space X is said to be Tsg*∗*^b - space if every sg*[∗] *b - closed set of X is closed subset of X.*

Theorem 3.5. *Suppose that* X *is* T_{sg*b} *- space then* X *is connected if and only if it is* $sg* b$ *- connected.*

Proof. Suppose that *X* is connected. Then *X* cannot be expressed as disjoint union of two non - empty proper subsets of *X*. Suppose *X* is not a *sg*[∗] *b* - connected space. Let *A* and *B* be any two *sg*[∗] *b* - open subsets of *X* such that $X = A \cup B$, where $A \cap B = \varphi$ and $A \subset X$, $B \subset X$. Since X is T_{sg^*b} - space and A, B are sg^*b - open. A, B are open subsets of *X*, which contradicts that *X* is connected. Therefore *X* is *sg*[∗] *b* - connected.

Conversely, every open set is *sg*[∗] *b* - open. Therefore every *sg*[∗] *b* - connected space is connected. \Box

Theorem 3.6. *If the sg*[∗] *b - open sets C and D form a separation of X and if Y is sg*[∗] *b - connected subspace of X, then Y lies entirely within C or D.*

Proof. Since *C* and *D* are both *sg*[∗] *b* - open in *X*, the sets *C* ∩ *Y* and *D* ∩ *Y* are *sg*[∗] *b* - open in *Y*. These two sets are disjoint and their union is *Y*. If they were both non - empty, they would constitute a separation of *Y*. Therefore, one of them is empty. Hence *Y* must lie entirely *C* or *D*. \Box

Theorem 3.7. Let *A* be a sg[∗]b - connected subspace of *X*. If *A* ⊂ *B* ⊂ sg[∗]b – cl(*A*) then *B* is also sg[∗]b - connected.

Proof. Let *A* be sg^*b - connected and let $A \subset B \subset sg^*b - cl(A)$. Suppose that $B = C \cup D$ is a separation of *B* by sg^*b - open sets. By using Theorem 3.6, A must lie entirely in *C* or *D*. Suppose that $A \subset C$, then $sg^*b - cl(A) \subset sg^*b - cl(B)$. Since $sg^*b - cl(C)$ and *D* are disjoint, *B* cannot intersect *D*. This contradicts the fact that *C* is non empty subset of *B*. So $D = \varphi$ which implies *B* is sg^*b - connected. \Box

Theorem 3.8. *A contra sg*[∗] *b - continuous image of an sg*[∗] *b - connected space is connected.*

Proof. Let $f: X \to Y$ is a contra sg^*b - continuous function from sg^*b - connected space *X* on to a space *Y*. Assume that *Y* is disconnected. Then *Y* = *A* ∪ *B*, where *A* and *B* are non empty clopen sets in *Y* with *A* ∩ *B* = ϕ . Since *f* is contra *sg***b* - continous, we have $f^{-1}(A)$ and $f^{-1}(B)$ are non empty *sg***b* - open sets in X with $f^{-1}(A) \cup f^{-1}(B) = f^{-1}(A \cup B) = f^{-1}(Y) = X$ and $f^{-1}(A) \cap f^{-1}(B) = f^{-1}(A \cap B) = f^{-1}(\varphi) = \varphi$. This shows that *X* is not *sg*[∗] *b* - connected, which is a contradiction. This proves the theorem. \Box

4 Semi Generalized Star *b* **- Compactness**

Definition 4.10. A collection $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ of sg*b -open sets in a topological space X is called a sg*b - open cover of *a* subset B of X if $B \subset \bigcup \{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ holds.

Definition 4.11. A topological space *X* is sg[∗]b - compact if every sg[∗]b - open cover of *X* has a finite sub - cover.

Definition 4.12. *A subset B of a topological space X is said to be sg*[∗] *b - compact relative to X, if for every collection* $\{A_\alpha:\alpha\in\Lambda\}$ of sg^*b - open subsets of X such that $B\subset\bigcup\{A_\alpha:\alpha\in\Lambda\}$ there exists a finite subset Λ_0 of Λ such that $B \subset \bigcup \big\{ A_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda_0 \big\}.$

Definition 4.13. *A subset B of a topological space X is said to be sg*[∗] *b - compact if B is sg*[∗] *b - compact as a subspace of X.*

Theorem 4.9. *Every sg*[∗] *b - closed subset of sg*[∗] *b - compact space is sg*[∗] *b - compact relative to X.*

Proof. Let *A* be *sg*[∗]*b* - closed subset of a *sg*[∗]*b* - compact space X. Then *A^c* is sg^*b - open in X. Let $M = \{G_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ be a cover of A by sg^*b - open sets in X. Then $M^* = M \cup A^c$ is a sg^*b - open cover of *X*. Since *X* is *sg*[∗] *b* - compact, *M*[∗] is reducible to a finite sub cover of *X*, say $X = G_{\alpha 1} \cup G_{\alpha 2} \cup G_{\alpha 3} \cup \ldots \cup G_{\alpha m} \cup A^c, G_{\alpha k} \in M$. But *A* and *A* But *A* and A^c are disjoint. Hence $A\subset G_{\alpha 1}\cup G_{\alpha 2}\cup G_{\alpha 3}\cup\ldots\cup G_{\alpha m}$ $G_{\alpha k}\in M$, this implies that any sg^*b open cover M of A contains a finite subcover. Therefore *A* is *gb* - compact relative to *X*. That is, every *sg*[∗] *b* - closed subset of a *sg*[∗] *b* - compact space *X* is *sg*[∗] *b* - compact. П

Definition 4.14. A function $f: X \to Y$ is said to be sg*b - continuous if $f^{-1}(V)$ is sg*b - closed in X for every closed *set V of Y.*

Theorem 4.10. *A sg*[∗] *b - continuous image of a sg*[∗] *b - compact space is compact.*

Proof. Let $f: X \to Y$ be a sg^*b - continuous map from a sg^*b - compact space *X* onto a topological space *Y*. Let $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ be an open cover of *Y*. Then $\{f^{-1}(A_i) : i \in \Lambda\}$ is a sg^*b - open cover of *X*. Since *X* is sg^*b - compact, it has a finite sub - cover say $\{f^{-1}(A_1), f^{-1} : i \in \Lambda(A_2), \ldots, f^{-1}(A_n)\}$. Since f is onto ${A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n}$ is a cover of *Y*, which is finite. Therefore *Y* is compact. П

Definition 4.15. A function $f: X \to Y$ is said to be sg*b - irresolute if $f^{-1}(V)$ is sg*b - closed in X for every sg*b *closed set V of Y.*

Theorem 4.11. If a map $f: X \to Y$ is sg^*b - irresolute and a subset B of X is sg^*b - compact relative to X, then the *image f*(*B*) *is sg*[∗] *b - compact relative to Y.*

Proof. Let $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ be any collection of sg^*b - open subsets of *Y* such that $f(B) \subset \bigcup \{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\} \subset$. Then $B \subset \bigcup \{f^{-1}(A_\alpha) : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$. Since by hypothesis B is sg^*b - compact relative to X , there exists a finite α subset $\Lambda_0\in\Lambda$ such that $B\subset\bigcup\left\{f^{-1}(A_\alpha):\alpha\in\Lambda_0\right\}.$ Therefore we have $f(B)\cup\subset\left\{(A_\alpha):\alpha\in\Lambda_0\right\}$, it shows that $f(B)$ is sg^*b - compact relative to *Y*. □

Theorem 4.12. *A space X is sg*[∗] *b - compact if and only if each family of sg*[∗] *b - closed subsets of X with the finite intersection property has a non - empty intersection.*

Proof. Given a collection *A* of subsets of *X*, let $C = \{X - A : A \in A\}$ be the collection of their complements. Then the following statements hold.

(a)*A* is a collection of sg^*b - open sets if and only if *C* is a collection of sg^*b - closed sets.

(b) The collection *A* covers *X* if and only if the intersection $\bigcap_{c \in C} C$ of all the elements of *C* is empty.

(c) The finite sub collection $\{A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n\}$ of *A* covers *X* if and only if the intersection of the corresponding elements $C_i = X - A_i$ of C is empty. The statement (a) is trivial, while the (b) and (c) follow from De Morgan's law.

 $X-(\bigcup_{\alpha\in J}A_\alpha)=\bigcap_{\alpha\in J}(X-A_\alpha).$ The proof of the theorem now proceeds in two steps, taking contra positive of the theorem and then the complement.

The statement *X* is *sg*[∗] *b* - compact is equivalent to : Given any collection *A* of *sg*[∗] *b* - open subsets of *X*, if *A* covers *X*, then some finite sub collection of *A* covers *X*. This statement is equivalent to its contra positive, which is the following.

Given any collection *A* of *sg*[∗] *b* - open sets, if no finite sub - collection of *A* of covers *X*, then *A* does not cover *X*. Let *C* be as earlier, the collection equivalent to the following:

Given any collection *C* of *sg*[∗]*b* - closed sets, if every finite intersection of elements of *C* is not - empty, then the intersection of all the elements of *C* is non - empty. This is just the condition of our theorem. \Box

Definition 4.16. *A space X is said to be sg*[∗] *b - Lindelof space if every cover of X by sg*[∗] *b - open sets contains a countable sub cover.*

Theorem 4.13. *Let f* : *X* \rightarrow *Y* be a sg*b - continuous surjection and X be *sg*[∗] *b - Lindelof, then Y is Lindelof Space.*

Proof. Let $f: X \to Y$ be a sg^*b - continuous surjection and X be sg^*b - Lindelof. Let $\{V_\alpha\}$ be an open cover for *Y*. Then $\{f^{-1}(V_\alpha)\}$ is a cover of *X* by sg^*b - open sets. Since *X* is sg^*b - Lindelof, $\{f^{-1}(V_\alpha)\}$ contains a countable sub cover, namely $\{f^{-1}(V_{\alpha n})\}$. Then $\{V_{\alpha n}\}$ is a countable subcover for *Y*. Thus *Y* is Lindelof space. \Box **Theorem 4.14.** *Let* f : X \rightarrow *Y* be a sg^{*}b - irresolute surjection and X be *sg*[∗] *b - Lindelof, then Y is sg*[∗] *b - Lindelof Space.*

Proof. Let $f: X \to Y$ be a sg^*b - irresolute surjection and X be sg^*b - Lindelof. Let $\{V_\alpha\}$ be an open cover for Y . Then $\{f^{-1}(V_\alpha)\}$ is a cover of *X* by sg^*b - open sets. Since *X* is sg^*b - Lindelof, $\{f^{-1}(V_\alpha)\}$ contains a countable sub cover, namely $\{f^{-1}(V_{\alpha n})\}$. Then $\{V_{\alpha n}\}$ is a countable subcover for *Y*. Thus *Y* is sg^*b - Lindelof space.

Theorem 4.15. *If* $f: X \to Y$ *is a sg*[∗]*b* - open function and Y is sg[∗]*b* - *Lindelof space*, then X is *Lindelof space*.

Proof. Let $\{V_\alpha\}$ be an open cover for *X*. Then $\{f(V_\alpha)\}$ is a cover of *Y* by sg^*b - open sets. Since *Y* is sg^*b Lindelof, $\{f(V_\alpha)\}$ contains a countable sub cover, namely $\{f(V_{\alpha n})\}$. Then $\{V_{\alpha n}\}$ is a countable sub cover for *X*. Thus *X* is Lindelof space. \Box

5 Acknowledgment

The authors gratefully acknowledge the Dr. G. Balaji, Professor of Mathematics & Head, Department of Science & Humanities, Al-Ameen Engineering College, Erode - 638 104, for encouragement and support. The authors also heartfelt thank to Dr. M. Vijayarakavan, Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics, VMKV Engineering College, Salem 636 308, Tamil Nadu, India, for his kind help and suggestions.

References

- [1] Ahmad Al - Omari and Mohd. Salmi Md. Noorani, On Generalized *b* - closed sets, *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc(2)*, 32(1)(2009), 19-30.
- [2] S.S. Benchalli and P.M. Bansali, *gb* - compactness and *gb* - connectedness in topological spaces, *Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sciences*, 6(10) (2011), 465-475.
- [3] S.G. Crossley and S.K. Hildebrand, Semi-topological properties, *Fund. Math.*, 74 (1972), 233-254.
- [4] P. Das, A note on semi connectedness, *Indian J. of mechanics and mathematics*, 12 (1974), 31-34.
- [5] J. Dontchev and M. Ganster, On *δ* - generalized set *T*3/4 spaces, *Mem. Fac. Sci. Kochi Uni. Ser.A. Math.*, 17 (1996), 15-31.
- [6] C. Dorsett, Semi compactness, semi separation axioms and product spaces, *Bull. Malay. Math.*, 4 (1) (1981), 21-28.
- [7] M. Ganster, D.S. Jankonc and I.L. Reilly, On compactness with respect to semi-open sets, *Comment. Math. Uni. Carolinae*, 31(1) (1990), 37-39.
- [8] M. Ganster and Mohammad S. Sarsak, On semi compact sets and associated properties, *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematica Successes*, 2004, article id 465387, (2009), 8.
- [9] M. Ganster and M. Steiner, On some questions about *b* - open sets, *Questions Answers General Topology*, 25 (1) (2007), 45-52.
- [10] F. Hanna and C. Dorsett, Semi compactness, *Questions Answers General Topology*, 2 (1984), 38-47.
- [11] S. Sekar and B. Jothilakshmi, On semi generalized star b - closed set in Topological Spaces, *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 111 (3) (2016).
- [12] S. Sekar and B. Jothilakshmi, On semi generalized star b - closed map in Topological Spaces, *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, accepted for publication.
- [13] A.M. Shibani, *rg* - compact spaces and *rg* - connected spaces, *Mathematica Pannonica*, 17(2006), 61-68.
- [14] A. Vadivel and Vairamanickam, *rgα* - interior and *rgα* closure in topological spaces, *Int. Journal of Math. Analysis*, 4 (9) (2010), 435-444.

Received: December 07, 2016; *Accepted*: December 23, 2016

UNIVERSITY PRESS

Website: http://www.malayajournal.org/