

General Solution and Generalized Ulam-Hyers Stability of a Generalized 3-Dimensional AQCQ Functional Equation

John M. Rassias,^a M. Arunkumar,^{b,*} and N. Mahesh Kumar^c

^aPedagogical Department E.E., Section of Mathematics and Informatics, National and Capodistrian University of Athens, Athens 15342, Greece.

^bDepartment of Mathematics, Government Arts College, Tiruvannamalai, TamilNadu, India - 606 603.

^cDepartment of Mathematics, Arunai Engineering College, Tiruvannamalai, TamilNadu, India - 606 603.

Abstract

In this paper, we achieve the general solution and generalized Ulam-Hyers stability of a generalized 3-dimensional AQCQ functional equation

$$\begin{aligned} f(x + r(y + z)) + f(x - r(y + z)) &= r^2 [f(x + y + z) + f(x - y - z)] + 2(1 - r^2)f(x) \\ &\quad + \frac{(r^4 - r^2)}{12} [f(2(y + z)) + f(-2(y + z)) - 4f(y + z) - 4f(-(y + z))] \end{aligned}$$

for all positive integers r with $r \geq 2$ in Banach Space using two different methods.

Keywords: Additive functional equations, quadratic functional equations, cubic functional equations, Quartic functional equations, mixed type functional equations, generalized Ulam - Hyers stability, fixed point.

2010 MSC: 39B52, 32B72, 32B82.

©2016 MJM. All rights reserved.

1 Introduction and Preliminaries

A basic question in the theory of functional equations is as follows: *When is it true that a function, which approximately satisfies a functional equation, must be close to an exact solution of the equation?*. If the problem accepts a unique solution, we say the equation is stable.

The research of stability problems for functional equations was linked to the renowned Ulam problem [74] (in 1940), concerning the stability of group homomorphisms, which was first elucidated by D.H. Hyers [29], in 1941. This stability problem was more widespread by quite a lot of creators [2, 13, 55, 60, 63]. Other pertinent research works are also cited (see [1, 7, 13, 14, 17, 21, 24, 25, 30]).

The principal equation in the study of stability of functional equation is the equation

$$f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y) \tag{1.1}$$

which is additive functional equation having solution $f(x) = cx$. Many researchers have their results about the stability of (1.1) in various spaces.

The functional equation

$$f(x + y) + f(x - y) = 2f(x) + 2f(y) \tag{1.2}$$

is said to be a quadratic functional equation because the quadratic function $f(x) = x^2$ is a solution of the functional equation (1.2). Every solution of the quadratic functional equation is said to be a quadratic mapping. A quadratic functional equation was used to characterize inner product spaces.

*Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: jrassias@primedu.uoa.gr (John M. Rassias) annarun2002@yahoo.co.in (M. Arunkumar), mrnmahesh@yahoo.com (N. Mahesh Kumar).

In 2001, J. M. Rassias introduced the cubic functional equation

$$C(x+2y) + 3C(x) = 3C(x+y) + C(x-y) + 6C(y) \quad (1.3)$$

and established the solution of the Ulam stability problem for cubic mappings. It is easy to show that the function $f(x) = x^3$ satisfies the functional equation (1.3) which is called a cubic functional equation, and every solution of the cubic functional equation is said to be a cubic mapping.

The quartic functional equation

$$F(x+2y) + F(x-2y) + 6F(x) = 4[F(x+y) + F(x-y) + 6F(y)] \quad (1.4)$$

was introduced by J. M. Rassias . It is easy to show that the function $f(x) = x^4$ is the solution of (1.4). Every solution of the quartic functional equation is said to be a quartic mapping.

C.Park [51] proved the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of the following additive-quadratic-cubic-quartic functional equation briefly, AQCQ-functional equation

$$\begin{aligned} f(x+2y) + f(x-2y) &= 4[f(x+y) + f(x-y)] - 6f(x) \\ &\quad + f(2y) + f(-2y) - 4f(y) - 4f(-y) \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

in non-Archimedean normed spaces.

In [64], Ravi et.al., introduced a general mixed-type AQCQ- functional equation

$$\begin{aligned} f(x+ay) + f(x-ay) &= a^2[f(x+y) + f(x-y)] + 2(1-a^2)f(x) \\ &\quad + \frac{(a^4-a^2)}{12}[f(2y) + f(-2y) - 4f(y) - 4f(-y)] \end{aligned} \quad (1.6)$$

which is a generalized form of the AQCQ-functional equation (1.6) and obtained its general solution and generalized Hyers-Ulam stability for a fixed integer a with $a \neq 0, \pm 1$ in Banach spaces.

Now, we recall the following theorem which are useful to prove our fixed point stability results.

Theorem 1.1. [12](The alternative of fixed point) Suppose that for a complete generalized metric space (X, d) and a strictly contractive mapping $\Gamma : X \rightarrow X$ with Lipschitz constant L . Then, for each given element $x \in X$, either

$$(B1) \quad d(\Gamma^n x, \Gamma^{n+1} x) = \infty \quad \forall n \geq 0,$$

or

(B2) there exists a natural number n_0 such that:

(i) $d(\Gamma^n x, \Gamma^{n+1} x) < \infty$ for all $n \geq n_0$;

(ii) The sequence $(\Gamma^n x)$ is convergent to a fixed point y^* of Γ

(iii) y^* is the unique fixed point of Γ in the set $Y = \{y \in X : d(\Gamma^{n_0} x, y) < \infty\}$;

(iv) $d(y^*, y) \leq \frac{1}{1-L} d(y, \Gamma y)$ for all $y \in Y$.

In this paper, we obtain the general solution and generalized Ulam-Rassias stability of the generalized 3 dimensional AQCQ functional equation

$$\begin{aligned} f(x+r(y+z)) + f(x-r(y+z)) &= r^2[f(x+y+z) + f(x-y-z)] + 2(1-r^2)f(x) \\ &\quad + \frac{(r^4-r^2)}{12}[f(2(y+z)) + f(-2(y+z)) - 4f(y+z) - 4f(-(y+z))] \end{aligned} \quad (1.7)$$

for all positive integers r with $r \geq 2$ in Banach Space using two different methods.

2 General Solution

In this section, we present the general solution of the functional equation (1.6). Throughout this section, let U and V be real vector spaces.

Lemma 2.1. Let $f : U \rightarrow V$ be a function satisfying (1.7) for all $x, y, z \in U$ then f satisfies (1.7) for all $x, y \in U$.

Proof. Assume $f : U \rightarrow V$ satisfies (1.7). Replacing (r, z) by $(a, 0)$ in (1.7), we arrive our result. \square

Theorem 2.1. Let $f : U \rightarrow V$ be a function satisfying (1.7) for all $x, y, z \in U$ and if f is even then f is quadratic - quartic.

Proof. The proof follows from Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 2.2 of [64]. \square

Theorem 2.2. Let $f : U \rightarrow V$ be a function satisfying (1.7) for all $x, y, z \in U$ and if f is odd then f is additive - cubic.

Proof. The proof follows from Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 2.3 of [64]. \square

Theorem 2.3. Let $f : U \rightarrow V$ be a function satisfying (1.7) for all $x, y, z \in U$ if and only if there exists functions $A : U \rightarrow V, B : U^2 \rightarrow V, C : U^3 \rightarrow V$ and $D : U^4 \rightarrow V$ such that

$$f(x) = A(x) + B(x, x) + C(x, x, x) + D(x, x, x, x)$$

for all $x \in U$, where A is additive, B is symmetric bi-additive, C is symmetric for each fixed one variable and is additive for fixed two variables and D is symmetric multi-additive.

Proof. The proof follows from Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 2.4 of [64]. \square

Hereafter throughout this paper, let us consider U be a real normed space and V be a Banach space. Define a function $Df : U \rightarrow V$ by

$$Df(x, y, z) = f(x + r(y + z)) + f(x - r(y + z)) - r^2 [f(x + y + z) + f(x - y - z)] - 2(1 - r^2)f(x) - \frac{(r^4 - r^2)}{12} [f(2(y + z)) + f(-2(y + z)) - 4f(y + z) - 4f(-(y + z))]$$

for all $x, y, z \in U$ and $r \geq 2$.

3 STABILITY RESULTS: EVEN CASE-DIRECT METHOD

In this section, we investigate the generalized Ulam - Hyers stability for the functional equation (1.7) for even case.

Theorem 3.1. Let $j = \pm 1$. Let $\psi : U^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function such that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\psi(2^n x, 2^n y, 2^n z)}{4^n} \text{ converges and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\psi(2^n x, 2^n y, 2^n z)}{4^n} = 0 \quad (3.1)$$

for all $x, y, z \in U$ and let $f : U \rightarrow V$ be an even function satisfying the inequality

$$\|Df(x, y, z)\| \leq \psi(x, y, z) \quad (3.2)$$

for all $x, y, z \in U$. Then there exists a unique quadratic function $Q_2 : U \rightarrow V$ which satisfies (1.7) and

$$\|f(2x) - 16f(x) - Q_2(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=\frac{1-j}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{\Psi(2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x)}{4^{kj}} \quad (3.3)$$

for all $x \in U$, where $Q_2(x)$ and $\Psi(2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x)$ are defined by

$$Q_2(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{4^{nj}} \left\{ f(2^{(n+1)j}x) - 16f(2^{nj}x) \right\} \quad (3.4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x) = & \frac{1}{r^4 - r^2} \left[12(1 - r^2) \psi(0, 2^{kj}x, 0) + 12r^2 \psi(2^{kj}x, 2^{(k+1)j}x, -2^{kj}x) \right. \\ & \left. + 6\psi(0, 2^{(k+1)j}x, 0) + 12\psi(2^{kj}rx, 2^{(k+1)j}x, -2^{kj}x) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

for all $x \in U$.

Proof. **Case (i) :** $j = 1$. Next using the evenness of f in (3.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| f(x + r(y+z)) + f(x - r(y+z)) - r^2 [f(x+y+z) + f(x-y-z)] \right. \\ & \quad \left. - 2(1-r^2)f(x) - \frac{(r^4-r^2)}{12} [2f(2(y+z)) - 8f(y+z)] \right\| \leq \psi(x, y, z) \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

for all $x, y, z \in U$. Interchanging x and y in (3.6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| f(y + r(x+z)) + f(y - r(x+z)) - r^2 [f(x+y+z) + f(y-x-z)] - 2(1-r^2)f(y) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \frac{(r^4-r^2)}{12} [2f(2(x+z)) - 8f(x+z)] \right\| \leq \psi(y, x, z) \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

for all $x, y, z \in U$. Letting (y, z) by $(0, 0)$ in (3.7) and using evenness of f , we have

$$\left\| 2f(rx) - 2r^2f(x) - \frac{(r^4-r^2)}{12} [2f(2x) - 8f(x)] \right\| \leq \psi(0, x, 0) \quad (3.8)$$

for all $x \in U$. Putting (x, y, z) by $(2x, x, -x)$ in (3.7), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| f((r+1)x) + f((r-1)x) - r^2f(2x) - 2(1-r^2)f(x) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \frac{(r^4-r^2)}{12} [2f(2x) - 8f(x)] \right\| \leq \psi(x, 2x, -x) \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

for all $x \in U$. If we replace x by $2x$ in (3.8), we reach

$$\left\| 2f(2rx) - 2r^2f(2x) - \frac{(r^4-r^2)}{12} [2f(4x) - 8f(2x)] \right\| \leq \psi(0, 2x, 0) \quad (3.10)$$

for all $x \in U$. Setting (x, y, z) by $(2x, rx, -x)$ in (3.7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| f(2rx) - r^2 [f(r+1)x + f(r-1)x] - 2(1-r^2)f(rx) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \frac{(r^4-r^2)}{12} [2f(2x) - 8f(x)] \right\| \leq \psi(rx, 2x, -x) \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

for all $x \in U$. Multiplying (3.8), (3.9), (3.10) and (3.11) by $12(1-r^2)$, $12r^2$, 6 and 12 respectively, we arrive

$$\begin{aligned} & (r^4-r^2) \|f(4x) - 20f(2x) + 64f(x)\| \\ &= \left\| \left\{ 24(1-r^2)f(rx) - 24r^2(1-r^2)f(x) - \frac{12(1-r^2)(r^4-r^2)}{12} [2f(2x) - 8f(x)] \right\} \right. \\ & \quad + \left\{ 12r^2f((r+1)x) + 12r^2f((r-1)x) - 12r^4f(2x) - 24r^2(1-r^2)f(x) \right. \\ & \quad \quad \left. - \frac{12r^2(r^4-r^2)}{12} [2f(2x) - 8f(x)] \right\} \\ & \quad + \left\{ -12f(2rx) + 12r^2f(2x) + \frac{6(r^4-r^2)}{12} [2f(4x) - 8f(2x)] \right\} \\ & \quad + \left\{ 12f(2rx) - 12r^2[f((1+r)x) + f((1-r)x)] \right. \\ & \quad \quad \left. - 24(1-r^2)f(rx) - \frac{12(r^4-r^2)}{12} [2f(2x) - 8f(x)] \right\} \\ &\leq 12(1-r^2) \psi(0, x, 0) + 12r^2\psi(x, 2x, -x) + 6\psi(0, 2x, 0) + 12\psi(rx, 2x, -x) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in U$. It follows from above inequality that

$$\|f(4x) - 20f(2x) + 64f(x)\| \leq \Psi(x, x, x) \quad (3.12)$$

where

$$\Psi(x, x, x) = \frac{1}{r^4-r^2} [12(1-r^2)\psi(0, x, 0) + 12r^2\psi(x, 2x, -x) + 6\psi(0, 2x, 0) + 12\psi(rx, 2x, -x)]$$

for all $x \in U$. It is easy to see from (3.12) that

$$\|f(4x) - 16f(2x) - 4\{f(2x) - 16f(x)\}\| \leq \Psi(x, x, x), \quad (3.13)$$

for all $x \in U$. Define a mapping $f_2 : U \rightarrow V$ by (See Theorem 2.2)

$$f_2(x) = f(2x) - 16f(x) \quad (3.14)$$

for all $x \in U$. Using (3.14) in (3.13), we get

$$\|f_2(2x) - 4f_2(x)\| \leq \Psi(x, x, x) \quad (3.15)$$

for all $x \in U$. From (3.15), we have

$$\left\| \frac{f_2(2x)}{4} - f_2(x) \right\| \leq \frac{\Psi(x, x, x)}{4} \quad (3.16)$$

for all $x \in U$. Now replacing x by $2x$ and dividing by 4 in (3.16), we obtain

$$\left\| \frac{f_2(2^2x)}{4^2} - \frac{f_2(2x)}{4} \right\| \leq \frac{\Psi(2x, 2x, 2x)}{4^2} \quad (3.17)$$

for all $x \in U$. From (3.16) and (3.17), we arrive

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{f_2(2^2x)}{4^2} - f_2(x) \right\| &\leq \left\| \frac{f_2(2^2x)}{4^2} - \frac{f_2(2x)}{4} \right\| + \left\| \frac{f_2(2x)}{4} - f_2(x) \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \left[\Psi(x, x, x) + \frac{\Psi(2x, 2x, 2x)}{4} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

for all $x \in U$. Proceeding further and using induction on a positive integer ' n' , we get

$$\left\| \frac{f_2(2^n x)}{4^n} - f_2(x) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{\Psi(2^k x, 2^k x, 2^k x)}{4^k} \leq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Psi(2^k x, 2^k x, 2^k x)}{4^k} \quad (3.19)$$

for all $x \in U$. In order to prove the convergence of the sequence $\left\{ \frac{f_2(2^n x)}{4^n} \right\}$, replace x by $2^m x$ and dividing by 4^m in (3.19), for any $m, n > 0$, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{f_2(2^{m+n} x)}{4^{m+n}} - \frac{f_2(2^m x)}{4^m} \right\| &= \frac{1}{4^m} \left\| \frac{f_2(2^n 2^m x)}{4^n} - f_2(2^m x) \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{\Psi(2^{k+m} x, 2^{k+m} x, 2^{k+m} x)}{4^{k+m}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Psi(2^{k+m} x, 2^{k+m} x, 2^{k+m} x)}{4^{k+m}} \\ &\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in U$. Hence the sequence $\left\{ \frac{f_2(2^n x)}{4^n} \right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Since V is complete, there exists a quadratic mapping $Q_2 : U \rightarrow V$ such that

$$Q_2(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_2(2^n x)}{4^n}, \quad \forall x \in U.$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.19) and using (3.14), we see that (3.3) holds for all $x \in U$. To prove that Q_2 satisfies (1.7), replace (x, y, z) by $(2^n x, 2^n y, 2^n z)$ and dividing by 4^n in (3.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{4^n} \|f(2^n(x+r(y+z))) + f(2^n(x-r(y+z))) - r^2(f(2^n(x+y+z)) + f(2^n(x-y-z))) \\ &- 2(1-r^2)f(2^n x) - \frac{(r^4-r^2)}{12} [f(2^n(2(y+z))) + f(2^n(-2(y+z)))] \\ &- \frac{(r^4-r^2)}{12} [-4f(2^n(y+x)) - 4f(2^n(-(y+z)))]\| \leq \frac{\psi(2^n x, 2^n y, 2^n z)}{4^n} \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in U$. Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in above inequality and using the definition of $Q_2(x)$, we see that

$$\|Q_2(x+r(y+z)) + Q_2(x-r(y+z)) - r^2(Q_2(x+y+z) + Q_2(x-y-z)) - 2(1-r^2)Q_2(x) - \frac{(r^4-r^2)}{12} [Q_2(2(y+z)) + Q_2(-2(y+z)) - 4Q_2(y+z) - 4Q_2(-(y+z))]\| = 0$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned} Q_2(x+r(y+z)) + Q_2(x-r(y+z)) &= r^2(Q_2(x+y+z) + Q_2(x-y-z)) + 2(1-r^2)Q_2(x) \\ &+ \frac{(r^4-r^2)}{12} [Q_2(2(y+z)) + Q_2(-2(y+z)) - 4Q_2(y+z) - 4Q_2(-(y+z))] \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in U$. Hence Q_2 satisfies (1.7) for all $x, y, z \in U$. To show that Q_2 is unique, let Q'_2 be another quadratic function satisfying (1.7) and (3.3). Now

$$\begin{aligned} \|Q_2(x) - Q'_2(x)\| &= \frac{1}{4^n} \|Q_2(2^n x) - Q'_2(2^n x)\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4^n} \left\{ \|Q_2(2^n x) - f_2(2^n x)\| + \|f_2(2^n x) - Q'_2(2^n x)\| \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4^n} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Psi(2^k x)}{4^k} \\ &\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in U$. Hence Q_2 is unique. This completes the proof of the theorem.

Case (ii): Assume $j = -1$. Put $x = \frac{x}{2}$ in (3.15), we obtain

$$\|f_2(x) - 4f_2\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)\| \leq \Psi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}\right) \quad (3.20)$$

for all $x \in U$. Now replacing x by $\frac{x}{2}$ and multiplying by 4 in (3.20), we obtain

$$\|4f_2\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - 4^2 f_2\left(\frac{x}{2^2}\right)\| \leq 4\Psi\left(\frac{x}{2^2}, \frac{x}{2^2}, \frac{x}{2^2}\right) \quad (3.21)$$

for all $x \in U$. From (3.20) and (3.21), we arrive

$$\begin{aligned} \|4^2 f_2\left(\frac{x}{2^2}\right) - f_2(x)\| &\leq \|4^2 f_2\left(\frac{x}{2^2}\right) - 4f_2\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)\| + \|4f_2\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - f_2(x)\| \\ &\leq 4\Psi\left(\frac{x}{2^2}, \frac{x}{2^2}, \frac{x}{2^2}\right) + \Psi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

for all $x \in U$. Proceeding further and using induction on a positive integer ' n' , we get

$$\|4^n f_2\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) - f_2(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} 4^k \Psi\left(\frac{x}{2^k}, \frac{x}{2^k}, \frac{x}{2^k}\right) \leq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 4^k \Psi\left(\frac{x}{2^k}, \frac{x}{2^k}, \frac{x}{2^k}\right) \quad (3.23)$$

for all $x \in U$. In order to prove the convergence of the sequence $\{4^n f_2(\frac{x}{2^n})\}$, replace x by $\frac{x}{2^m}$ and multiplying by 4^m in (3.23), for any $m, n > 0$, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \|4^{m+n} f_2\left(\frac{x}{2^{m+n}}\right) - 4^m f_2\left(\frac{x}{2^m}\right)\| &= 4^m \|f_2\left(\frac{x}{2^{m+n}}\right) - f_2\left(\frac{x}{2^m}\right)\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} 4^{k+m} \Psi\left(\frac{x}{2^{k+m}}, \frac{x}{2^{k+m}}, \frac{x}{2^{k+m}}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 4^{k+m} \Psi\left(\frac{x}{2^{k+m}}, \frac{x}{2^{k+m}}, \frac{x}{2^{k+m}}\right) \\ &\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in U$. Hence the sequence $\{4^n f_2(\frac{x}{2^n})\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Since V is complete, there exists a quadratic mapping $Q_2 : U \rightarrow V$ such that

$$Q_2(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^n f_2\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right), \quad \forall x \in U.$$

The rest of the proof is similar to the case $j = 1$. \square

The following corollary is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.1 concerning the stability of (1.7).

Corollary 3.1. *Let ρ, t be nonnegative real numbers. Suppose that an even function $f : U \rightarrow V$ satisfies the inequality*

$$\|Df(x, y, z)\| \leq \begin{cases} \rho, & \\ \rho \left(\|x\|^t + \|y\|^t + \|z\|^t \right), & t \neq 2; \\ \rho \left(\|x\|^t \|y\|^t \|z\|^t \right), & t \neq \frac{2}{3}; \\ \rho \left(\|x\|^t \|y\|^t \|z\|^t + (\|x\|^{3t} + \|y\|^{3t} + \|z\|^{3t}) \right) & t \neq \frac{2}{3}; \end{cases} \quad (3.24)$$

for all $x, y, z \in U$. Then there exists a unique quadratic function $Q_2 : U \rightarrow V$ such that

$$\|f(2x) - 16f(x) - Q_2(x)\| \leq \begin{cases} 10\kappa_1, & \\ \frac{\kappa_2 \|x\|^t}{|4-2^t|}, & \\ \frac{\kappa_3 \|x\|^{3t}}{|4-2^{3t}|}, & \\ \frac{\kappa_4 \|x\|^{3t}}{|4-2^{3t}|} & \end{cases} \quad (3.25)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa_1 &= \frac{\rho}{r^4 - r^2}, \\ \kappa_2 &= \frac{\rho[24 + 12r^2 + 12r^2 \cdot 2^t + 12r^t + 18 \cdot 2^t]}{r^4 - r^2}, \\ \kappa_3 &= \frac{12\rho 2^t [r^2 + r^t]}{r^4 - r^2}, \\ \kappa_4 &= \frac{\rho[24 + 12r^2(1 + 2^t + 2^{3t}) + 18 \cdot 2^{3t} + 12 \cdot r^t \cdot 2^t + 12 \cdot r^{3t}]}{r^4 - r^2}.\end{aligned}\quad (3.26)$$

for all $x \in U$.

Theorem 3.2. Let $j = \pm 1$. Let $\psi : U^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function such that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\psi(2^n x, 2^n y, 2^n z)}{16^n} \text{ converges and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\psi(2^n x, 2^n y, 2^n z)}{16^n} = 0 \quad (3.27)$$

for all $x, y, z \in U$ and let $f : U \rightarrow V$ be an even function which satisfies the inequality

$$\|Df(x, y, z)\| \leq \psi(x, y, z) \quad (3.28)$$

for all $x, y, z \in U$. Then there exists a unique quartic function $Q_4 : U \rightarrow V$ which satisfies (1.7) and

$$\|f(2x) - 4f(x) - Q_4(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{16} \sum_{k=\frac{1-j}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{\Psi(2^{kj} x, 2^{kj} x, 2^{kj} x)}{16^{kj}} \quad (3.29)$$

for all $x \in U$, where $\Psi(2^{kj} x, 2^{kj} x, 2^{kj} x)$ is defined in (3.5) and $Q_4(x)$ is defined by

$$Q_4(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{16^{nj}} \left\{ f(2^{(n+1)j} x) - 4f(2^{nj} x) \right\}, \quad (3.30)$$

for all $x \in U$.

Proof. It follows from (3.12), that

$$\|f(4x) - 20f(2x) + 64f(x)\| \leq \Psi(x, x, x), \quad (3.31)$$

where

$$\Psi(x, x, x) = \frac{1}{r^4 - r^2} [12(1 - r^2) \psi(0, x, 0) + 12r^2 \psi(x, 2x, -x) + 6\psi(0, 2x, 0) + 12\psi(rx, 2x, -x)]$$

for all $x \in U$. It is easy to see from (3.31) that

$$\|f(4x) - 4f(2x) - 16\{f(2x) - 4f(x)\}\| \leq \Psi(x, x, x) \quad (3.32)$$

for all $x \in U$. Define a mapping $f_4 : U \rightarrow V$ by (See Theorem 2.2)

$$f_4(x) = f(2x) - 4f(x) \quad (3.33)$$

for all $x \in U$. Using (3.33) in (3.32), we obtain

$$\|f_4(2x) - 16f_4(x)\| \leq \Psi(x, x, x) \quad (3.34)$$

for all $x \in U$. From (3.34), we have

$$\left\| \frac{f_4(2x)}{16} - f_4(x) \right\| \leq \frac{\Psi(x, x, x)}{16} \quad (3.35)$$

for all $x \in U$. The rest of the proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.1. \square

The following corollary is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.2 concerning the stability of (1.7).

Corollary 3.2. Let ρ, t be nonnegative real numbers. Suppose that an even function $f : U \rightarrow V$ satisfies the inequality

$$\|Df(x, y, z)\| \leq \begin{cases} \rho, & t \neq 4; \\ \rho \left(\|x\|^t + \|y\|^t + \|z\|^t \right), & t \neq \frac{4}{3}; \\ \rho \left(\|x\|^t \|y\|^t \|z\|^t \right), & t = \frac{4}{3}; \\ \rho \left(\|x\|^t \|y\|^t \|z\|^t + (\|x\|^{3t} + \|y\|^{3t} + \|z\|^{3t}) \right) & t \neq \frac{4}{3}; \end{cases} \quad (3.36)$$

for all $x, y, z \in U$. Then there exists a unique quartic function $Q_4 : U \rightarrow V$ such that

$$\|f(2x) - 4f(x) - Q_4(x)\| \leq \begin{cases} 2\kappa_1, & \\ \frac{\kappa_2 \|x\|^t}{|16 - 2^t|}, & \\ \frac{\kappa_3 \|x\|^{3t}}{|16 - 2^{3t}|}, & \\ \frac{\kappa_4 \|x\|^{3t}}{|16 - 2^{3t}|} & \end{cases} \quad (3.37)$$

for all $x \in U$, where κ_i ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$) are defined in (3.26).

Theorem 3.3. Assume $j = \pm 1$. Let $\psi : U^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function satisfying the conditions (3.1)and (3.27)for all $x, y, z \in U$. Suppose that an even function $f : U \rightarrow V$ satisfies the inequality

$$\|Df(x, y, z)\| \leq \psi(x, y, z) \quad (3.38)$$

for all $x, y, z \in U$. Then there exists a unique quadratic function $Q_2 : U \rightarrow V$ and a unique quartic function $Q_4 : U \rightarrow V$ which satisfies (1.7) and

$$\|f(x) - Q_2(x) - Q_4(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{12} \left\{ \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=\frac{1-j}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{\Psi(2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x)}{4^{kj}} + \frac{1}{16} \sum_{k=\frac{1-j}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{\Psi(2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x)}{16^{kj}} \right\} \quad (3.39)$$

for all $x \in U$, where $\Psi(2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x)$, $Q_2(x)$ and $Q_4(x)$ are defined in (3.5), (3.4)and (3.30) respectively for all $x \in U$.

Proof. By Theorems 3.1 and 3.2, there exists a unique quadratic function $Q'_2 : U \rightarrow V$ and a unique quartic function $Q'_4 : U \rightarrow V$ such that

$$\|f(2x) - 16f(x) - Q'_2(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=\frac{1-j}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{\Psi(2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x)}{4^{kj}} \quad (3.40)$$

and

$$\|f(2x) - 4f(x) - Q'_4(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{16} \sum_{k=\frac{1-j}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{\Psi(2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x)}{16^{kj}} \quad (3.41)$$

for all $x \in U$. Now from (3.40)and (3.41), that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(x) + \frac{1}{12}Q'_2(x) - \frac{1}{12}Q'_4(x)\| &= \left\| \left\{ -\frac{f(2x)}{12} + \frac{16f(x)}{12} + \frac{Q'_2(x)}{12} \right\} + \left\{ \frac{f(2x)}{12} - \frac{4f(x)}{12} - \frac{Q'_4(x)}{12} \right\} \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{12} \left\{ \|f(2x) - 16f(x) - Q'_2(x)\| + \|f(2x) - 4f(x) - Q'_4(x)\| \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{12} \left\{ \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=\frac{1-j}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{\Psi(2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x)}{4^{kj}} + \frac{1}{16} \sum_{k=\frac{1-j}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{\Psi(2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x)}{16^{kj}} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in U$. Thus, we obtain (3.39)by defining $Q_2(x) = \frac{-1}{12}Q'_2(x)$ and $Q_4(x) = \frac{1}{12}Q'_4(x)$, where $\Psi(2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x)$, $Q_2(x)$ and $Q_4(x)$ are defined in (3.5), (3.4)and (3.30) respectively for all $x \in U$. \square

The following corollary is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.3 concerning the stability of (1.7).

Corollary 3.3. Let ρ, t be nonnegative real numbers. Suppose that an even function $f : U \rightarrow V$ satisfies the inequality

$$\|Df(x, y, z)\| \leq \begin{cases} \rho, & \\ \rho \left(\|x\|^t + \|y\|^t + \|z\|^t \right), & t \neq 2, 4; \\ \rho \left(\|x\|^t \|y\|^t \|z\|^t \right), & t \neq \frac{2}{3}, \frac{4}{3}; \\ \rho \left(\|x\|^t \|y\|^t \|z\|^t + (\|x\|^{3t} + \|y\|^{3t} + \|z\|^{3t}) \right), & t \neq \frac{2}{3}, \frac{4}{3}; \end{cases} \quad (3.42)$$

for all $x, y, z \in U$. Then there exists a unique quadratic function $Q_2 : U \rightarrow V$ and a unique quartic function $Q_4 : U \rightarrow V$ such that

$$\|f(x) - Q_2(x) - Q_4(x)\| \leq \begin{cases} \kappa_1, & \\ \frac{\kappa_2 \|x\|^t}{12} \left\{ \frac{1}{|4-2^t|} + \frac{1}{|16-2^t|} \right\}, & t \neq 2, 4; \\ \frac{\kappa_3 \|x\|^{3t}}{12} \left\{ \frac{1}{|4-2^{3t}|} + \frac{1}{|16-2^{3t}|} \right\}, & t \neq \frac{2}{3}, \frac{4}{3}; \\ \frac{\kappa_4 \|x\|^{3t}}{12} \left\{ \frac{1}{|4-2^{3t}|} + \frac{1}{|16-2^{3t}|} \right\} & \end{cases} \quad (3.43)$$

for all $x \in U$, where κ_i ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$) are given in (3.26).

4 STABILITY RESULTS: ODD CASE-DIRECT METHOD

In this section, we discussed the generalized Ulam - Hyers stability of the functional equation (1.7) for odd case.

Theorem 4.4. Assume $j = \pm 1$. Let $\phi : U^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function such that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\phi(2^n x, 2^n y, 2^n z)}{2^n} \text{ converges and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\phi(2^n x, 2^n y, 2^n z)}{2^n} = 0 \quad (4.1)$$

for all $x, y, z \in U$ and let $f : U \rightarrow V$ be an odd function which satisfies the inequality

$$\|Df(x, y, z)\| \leq \phi(x, y, z) \quad (4.2)$$

for all $x, y, z \in U$. Then there exists a unique additive function $A : U \rightarrow V$ such that

$$\|f(2x) - 8f(x) - A(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=\frac{1-j}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{\Phi(2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x)}{2^{kj}} \quad (4.3)$$

for all $x \in U$, where $A(x)$ and $\Phi(2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x)$ are defined by

$$A(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^{nj}} \left\{ f(2^{(n+1)j}x) - 8f(2^{nj}x) \right\}, \quad (4.4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x) = & \frac{1}{r^4 - r^2} \left[(5 - 4r^2) \phi(2^{kj}x, 2^{(k+1)j}x, -2^{kj}x) + 2r^2 \phi(2^{(k+1)j}x, 2^{(k+1)j}x, -2^{kj}x) \right. \\ & + (4 - 2r^2) \phi(2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x) + r^2 \phi(2^{(k+1)j}x, 2^{(k+2)j}x, -2^{(k+1)j}x) \\ & + \phi(2^{kj}x, 2^{(k+1)j}x, 2^{kj}x) + 2\phi((1+r)2^{kj}x, 2^{(k+1)j}x, -2^{kj}x) \\ & + 2\phi((1-r)2^{kj}x, 2^{(k+1)j}x, -2^{kj}x) + \phi((1+2r)2^{kj}x, 2^{(k+1)j}x, -2^{kj}x) \\ & \left. + \phi((1-2r)2^{kj}x, 2^{(k+1)j}x, -2^{kj}x) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

for all $x \in U$.

Proof. **Case (i):** $j=1$. Using the oddness of f in (4.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f(x + r(y+z)) + f(x - r(y+z)) \\ & - r^2 [f(x+y+z) + f(x-y-z)] - 2(1-r^2)f(x)\| \leq \phi(x, y, z) \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

for all $x \in U$. Replacing (x, y, z) by $(x, 2x, -x)$ in (4.6), we obtain

$$\left\| f((1+r)x) + f((1-r)x) - r^2 f(2x) - 2(1-r^2) f(x) \right\| \leq \phi(x, 2x, -x) \quad (4.7)$$

for all $x \in U$. Again replacing x by $2x$ in (4.7), we get

$$\left\| f(2(1+r)x) + f(2(1-r)x) - r^2 f(4x) - 2(1-r^2) f(2x) \right\| \leq \phi(2x, 4x, -2x) \quad (4.8)$$

for all $x \in U$. Setting (x, y, z) by $(2x, 2x, -x)$ in (4.6), we have

$$\left\| f((2+r)x) + f((2-r)x) - r^2 f(3x) - r^2 f(x) - 2(1-r^2) f(2x) \right\| \leq \phi(2x, 2x, -x) \quad (4.9)$$

for all $x \in U$. Again setting (x, y, z) by (x, x, x) in (4.6), we obtain

$$\left\| f((1+2r)x) + f((1-2r)x) - r^2 f(3x) - r^2 f(x) - 2(1-r^2) f(x) \right\| \leq \phi(x, x, x) \quad (4.10)$$

for all $x \in U$. Putting (x, y, z) by $(x, 2x, x)$ in (4.6), we get

$$\left\| f((1+3r)x) + f((1-3r)x) - r^2 f(4x) - r^2 f(2x) - 2(1-r^2) f(x) \right\| \leq \phi(x, 2x, x) \quad (4.11)$$

for all $x \in U$. Again putting (x, y, z) by $((1+r)x, 2x, -x)$ in (4.6), we have

$$\left\| f((1+2r)x) + f(x) - r^2 f((2+r)x) - r^2 f(rx) - 2(1-r^2) f((1+r)x) \right\| \leq \phi((1+r)x, 2x, -x) \quad (4.12)$$

for all $x \in U$. Letting (x, y, z) by $((1-r)x, 2x, -x)$ in (4.6), we obtain

$$\left\| f(x) + f((1-2r)x) - r^2 f((2-r)x) + r^2 f(rx) - 2(1-r^2) f((1-r)x) \right\| \leq \phi((1-r)x, 2x, -x) \quad (4.13)$$

for all $x \in U$. Adding (4.12)and (4.13), we arrive

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| f((1+2r)x) + f((1-2r)x) + 2f(x) - r^2 f((2+r)x) - r^2 f((2-r)x) - 2(1-r^2) f((1+r)x) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - 2(1-r^2) f((1-r)x) \right\| \leq \phi((1+r)x, 2x, -x) + \phi_a((1-r)x, 2x, -x) \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

for all $x \in U$. Replacing (x, y, z) by $((1+2r)x, 2x, -x)$ in (4.6), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| f((1+3r)x) + f((1+r)x) - r^2 f(2(1+r)x) - r^2 f(2rx) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - 2(1-r^2) f((1+2r)x) \right\| \leq \phi((1+2r)x, 2x, -x) \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

for all $x \in U$. Again replacing (x, y, z) by $((1-2r)x, 2x, -x)$ in (4.6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| f((1-r)x) + f((1-3r)x) - r^2 f(2(1-r)x) + r^2 f(2rx) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - 2(1-r^2) f((1-2r)x) \right\| \leq \phi((1-2r)x, 2x, -x) \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

for all $x \in U$. Adding (4.15)and (4.16), we arrive

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| f((1+3r)x) + f((1-3r)x) + f((1+r)x) + f((1-r)x) - r^2 f(2(1+r)x) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - r^2 f(2(1-r)x) - 2(1-r^2) f((1+2r)x) - 2(1-r^2) f((1-2r)x) \right\| \\ & \leq \phi((1+2r)x, 2x, -x) + \phi((1-2r)x, 2x, -x) \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

for all $x \in U$. Now multiplying (4.7)by $2(1-r^2)$, (4.9)by r^2 and adding (4.10)and (4.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (r^4 - r^2) \|f(3x) - 4f(2x) + 5f(x)\| \\ & = \left\| \left\{ 2(1-r^2) f((1+r)x) + 2(1-r^2) f((1-r)x) - 2r^2(1-r^2) f(2x) - 4(1-r^2)^2 f(x) \right\} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left\{ r^2 f((2+r)x) + r^2 f((2-r)x) - r^4 f(3x) - r^4 f(x) - 2r^2(1-r^2) f(2x) \right\} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left\{ -f((1+2r)x) - f((1-2r)x) + r^2 f(3x) - r^2 f(x) + 2(1-r^2) f(x) \right\} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left\{ f((1+2r)x) + f((1-2r)x) + 2f(x) - r^2 f((2+r)x) - r^2 f((2-r)x) \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. - 2(1-r^2) f((1+r)x) - 2(1-r^2) f((1-r)x) \right\} \right\| \\ & \leq 2(1-r^2) \phi(x, 2x, -x) + r^2 \phi(2x, 2x, -x) + \phi(x, x, x) + \phi((1+r)x, 2x, -x) + \phi((1-r)x, 2x, -x) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in U$. Hence from the above inequality, we reach

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(3x) - 4f(2x) + 5f(x)\| &\leq \frac{1}{(r^4 - r^2)} [2(1 - r^2)\phi(x, 2x, -x) + r^2\phi(2x, 2x, -x) \\ &\quad + \phi(x, x, x) + \phi((1+r)x, 2x, -x) \\ &\quad + \phi((1-r)x, 2x, -x)] \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

for all $x \in U$. Also multiplying (4.8) by r^2 , (4.10) by $2(1 - r^2)$ and adding (4.7), (4.11) and (4.17), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(r^4 - r^2) \|f(4x) - 2f(3x) - 2f(2x) + 6f(x)\| \\ &= \|\{-f((1+r)x) - f((1-r)x) + r^2f(2x) + 2(1-r^2)f(x)\} \\ &\quad + \{r^2f(2(1+r)x) + r^2f(2(1-r)x) - r^4f(4x) - 2r^2(1-r^2)f(2x)\} \\ &\quad + \{2(1-r^2)f((1+2r)x) + 2(1-r^2)f((1-2r)x) - 2r^2(1-r^2)f(3x) \\ &\quad + 2r^2(1-r^2)f(x) - 4(1-r^2)^2f(x)\} + \{-f((1+3r)x) - f((1-3r)x) \\ &\quad + r^2f(4x) - r^2f(2x) + 2(1-r^2)f(x)\} + \{f((1+3r)x) + f((1-3r)x) + f((1+r)x) \\ &\quad + f((1-r)x) - r^2f(2(1+r)x) - r^2f(2(1-r)x) - 2(1-r^2)f((1+2r)x) - 2(1-r^2)f((1-2r)x)\}\| \\ &\leq r^2\phi(2x, 4x, -2x) + 2(1-r^2)\phi(x, x, x) + \phi(x, 2x, -x) + \phi(x, 2x, x) \\ &\quad + \phi((1+2r)x, 2x, -x) + \phi((1-2r)x, 2x, -x) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in U$. Hence from the above inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\|f(4x) - 2f(3x) - 2f(2x) + 6f(x)\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{r^4 - r^2} [r^2\phi(2x, 4x, -2x) + 2(1-r^2)\phi(x, x, x) + \phi(x, 2x, -x) \\ &\quad + \phi(x, 2x, x) + \phi((1+2r)x, 2x, -x) + \phi((1-2r)x, 2x, -x)] \end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

for all $x \in U$. Adding (4.18) and (4.19), we arrive

$$\begin{aligned} &\|f(4x) - 10f(2x) + 16f(x)\| \\ &= \|2f(3x) - 8f(2x) + 10f(x) + f(4x) - 2f(3x) - 2f(2x) + 6f(x)\| \\ &\leq 2\|f(3x) - 4f(2x) + 5f(x)\| + \|f(4x) - 2f(3x) - 2f(2x) + 6f(x)\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{r^4 - r^2} [(5-4r^2)\phi(x, 2x, -x) + 2r^2\phi(2x, 2x, -x) + (4-2r^2)\phi(x, x, x) \\ &\quad + r^2\phi(2x, 4x, -2x) + \phi(x, 2x, x) + 2\phi((1+r)x, 2x, -x) \\ &\quad + 2\phi((1-r)x, 2x, -x) + \phi((1+2r)x, 2x, -x) + \phi((1-2r)x, 2x, -x)] \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

for all $x \in U$. From (4.20), we have

$$\|f(4x) - 10f(2x) + 16f(x)\| \leq \Phi(x, x, x) \quad (4.21)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(x, x, x) &= \frac{1}{r^4 - r^2} [(5-4r^2)\phi(x, 2x, -x) + 2r^2\phi(2x, 2x, -x) + (4-2r^2)\phi(x, x, x) \\ &\quad + r^2\phi(2x, 4x, -2x) + \phi(x, 2x, x) + 2\phi((1+r)x, 2x, -x) \\ &\quad + 2\phi((1-r)x, 2x, -x) + \phi((1+2r)x, 2x, -x) + \phi((1-2r)x, 2x, -x)] \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in U$. It follows from (4.21), that

$$\|f(4x) - 8f(2x) - 2\{f(2x) - 8f(x)\}\| \leq \Phi(x, x, x) \quad (4.22)$$

for all $x \in U$. Define a mapping $f_1 : U \rightarrow V$ by (See Theorem 2.3)

$$f_1(x) = f(2x) - 8f(x) \quad (4.23)$$

for all $x \in U$. Using (4.23) in (4.22), we obtain

$$\|f_1(2x) - 2f_1(x)\| \leq \Phi(x, x, x) \quad (4.24)$$

for all $x \in U$. From (4.24), we obtain

$$\left\| \frac{f_1(2x)}{2} - f_1(x) \right\| \leq \frac{\Phi(x, x, x)}{2} \quad (4.25)$$

for all $x \in U$. The rest of the proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.1. \square

The following corollary is the immediate consequence of Theorem 4.4 concerning the stability of (1.7).

Corollary 4.4. *Let ρ, t be nonnegative real numbers. Suppose that an odd function $f : U \rightarrow V$ satisfies the inequality*

$$\|Df(x, y, z)\| \leq \begin{cases} \rho, & t = 0; \\ \rho \left(\|x\|^t + \|y\|^t + \|z\|^t \right), & t \neq 1; \\ \rho \left(\|x\|^t \|y\|^t \|z\|^t \right), & t \neq \frac{1}{3}; \\ \rho \left(\|x\|^t \|y\|^t \|z\|^t + (\|x\|^{3t} + \|y\|^{3t} + \|z\|^{3t}) \right), & t \neq \frac{1}{3}; \end{cases}$$

for all $x, y, z \in U$. Then there exists a unique additive function $A : U \rightarrow V$ such that

$$\|f(2x) - 8f(x) - A(x)\| \leq \begin{cases} \kappa_5, & t = 0; \\ \frac{\kappa_6 \|x\|^t}{|2-2^t|}, & t \neq 1; \\ \frac{\kappa_7 \|x\|^{3t}}{|2-2^{3t}|}, & t \neq \frac{1}{3}; \\ \frac{\kappa_8 \|x\|^{3t}}{|2-2^{3t}|}, & t \neq \frac{1}{3}; \end{cases} \quad (4.26)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_5 &= \frac{\rho(16-3r^2)}{r^4-r^2}, \\ \kappa_6 &= \frac{\rho}{r^4-r^2} [30 - 12r^2 + 2(6+r^2)2^t + r^22^{2t} + 2(1+r)^t + 2(1-r)^t + (1+2r)^t + (1-2r)^t], \\ \kappa_7 &= \frac{\rho}{r^4-r^2} [4 - 2r^2 + 2(3-2r^2)2^t + 2r^22^{2t} + r^22^{4t} + 2(1+r)^t 2^t \\ &\quad + 2(1-r)^t 2^t + (1+2r)^t 2^t + (1-2r)^t 2^t], \\ \kappa_8 &= \frac{\rho}{r^4-r^2} [34 - 14r^2 + 2(3-2r^2)2^t + 2(6+r^2)2^{3t} + 2r^22^{2t} \\ &\quad + r^2(2^{4t} + 2^{6t}) + 2(1+r)^t 2^t + 2(1-r)^t 2^t + 2(1+r)^{3t} + 2(1-r)^{3t} \\ &\quad + (1+2r)^t 2^t + (1-2r)^t 2^t + (1+2r)^{3t} + (1-2r)^{3t}] \end{aligned} \quad (4.27)$$

for all $x \in U$.

Theorem 4.5. Assume $j = \pm 1$. Let $\phi : U^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function such that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\phi(2^n x, 2^n y, 2^n z)}{8^n} \text{ converges and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\phi(2^n x, 2^n y, 2^n z)}{8^n} = 0 \quad (4.28)$$

for all $x, y, z \in U$ and let $f : U \rightarrow V$ be an odd function which satisfies the inequality

$$\|Df(x, y, z)\| \leq \phi(x, y, z) \quad (4.29)$$

for all $x, y, z \in U$. Then there exists a unique cubic function $C : U \rightarrow V$ which satisfies (1.7) and

$$\|f(2x) - 2f(x) - C(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{8} \sum_{k=\frac{1-j}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{\Phi(2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x)}{8^{kj}} \quad (4.30)$$

for all $x \in U$, where $\Phi(2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x)$ is defined in (4.5) and $C(x)$ is defined by

$$C(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{8^{nj}} \left\{ f(2^{(n+1)j}x) - 2f(2^{nj}x) \right\} \quad (4.31)$$

for all $x \in U$.

Proof. It follows from (4.21), that

$$\|f(4x) - 10f(2x) + 16f(x)\| \leq \Phi(x, x, x), \quad (4.32)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(x, x, x) &= \frac{1}{r^4-r^2} [(5-4r^2)\phi(x, 2x, -x) + 2r^2\phi(2x, 2x, -x) + (4-2r^2)\phi(x, x, x) \\ &\quad + r^2\phi(2x, 4x, -2x) + \phi(x, 2x, x) + 2\phi((1+r)x, 2x, -x) \\ &\quad + 2\phi((1-r)x, 2x, -x) + \phi((1+2r)x, 2x, -x) + \phi((1-2r)x, 2x, -x)] \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in U$. It is easy to see from (4.32) that

$$\|f(4x) - 2f(2x) - 8\{f(2x) - 2f(x)\}\| \leq \Phi(x, x, x) \quad (4.33)$$

for all $x \in U$. Define a mapping $f_3 : U \rightarrow V$ by (See Theorem 2.3)

$$f_3(x) = f(2x) - 2f(x) \quad (4.34)$$

for all $x \in U$. Using (4.34) in (4.33), we obtain

$$\|f_3(2x) - 8f_3(x)\| \leq \Phi(x, x, x) \quad (4.35)$$

for all $x \in U$. From (4.35), we have

$$\left\| \frac{f_3(2x)}{8} - f_3(x) \right\| \leq \frac{\Phi(x, x, x)}{8} \quad (4.36)$$

for all $x \in U$. The rest of the proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.1. \square

The following corollary is the immediate consequence of Theorem 4.5 concerning the stability of (1.7).

Corollary 4.5. *Let ρ, t be nonnegative real numbers. Suppose that an odd function $f : U \rightarrow V$ satisfies the inequality*

$$\|Df(x, y, z)\| \leq \begin{cases} \rho, & t = 0; \\ \rho \left(\|x\|^t + \|y\|^t + \|z\|^t \right), & t \neq 0; \\ \rho \left(\|x\|^t \|y\|^t \|z\|^t \right), & t \neq 1; \\ \rho \left(\|x\|^t \|y\|^t \|z\|^t + (\|x\|^{3t} + \|y\|^{3t} + \|z\|^{3t}) \right), & t \neq 1; \end{cases} \quad (4.37)$$

for all $x, y, z \in U$. Then there exists a unique cubic function $C : U \rightarrow V$ such that

$$\|f(2x) - 2f(x) - C(x)\| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{\kappa_5}{7}, & t = 0; \\ \frac{\kappa_6 \|x\|^t}{|8-2^t|}, & t \neq 0; \\ \frac{\kappa_7 \|x\|^{3t}}{|8-2^{3t}|}, & t \neq 1; \\ \frac{\kappa_8 \|x\|^{3t}}{|8-2^{3t}|}, & t \neq 1; \end{cases} \quad (4.38)$$

for all $x \in U$, where κ_i ($i = 5, 6, 7, 8$) are given in (4.27).

Theorem 4.6. *Assume $j = \pm 1$. Let $\phi : U^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function satisfying the conditions (4.1) and (4.28) for all $x, y, z \in U$. Suppose that an odd function $f : U \rightarrow V$ satisfies the inequality*

$$\|Df(x, y, z)\| \leq \phi(x, y, z) \quad (4.39)$$

for all $x, y, z \in U$. Then there exists a unique additive function $A : U \rightarrow V$ and a unique cubic function $C : U \rightarrow V$ such that

$$\|f(x) - A(x) - C(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{6} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=\frac{1-j}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{\Phi(2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x)}{2^{kj}} + \frac{1}{8} \sum_{k=\frac{1-j}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{\Phi(2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x)}{8^{kj}} \right\} \quad (4.40)$$

for all $x \in U$, where $\Phi(2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x)$, $A(x)$ and $C(x)$ are defined in (4.5), (4.4) and (4.31), respectively for all $x \in U$.

Proof. By Theorems 4.4 and 4.5, there exists a unique additive function $A' : U \rightarrow V$ and a unique cubic function $C' : U \rightarrow V$ such that

$$\|f(2x) - 8f(x) - A'(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=\frac{1-j}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{\Phi(2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x)}{2^{kj}} \quad (4.41)$$

and

$$\|f(2x) - 2f(x) - C'(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{8} \sum_{k=\frac{1-j}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{\Phi(2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x)}{8^{kj}} \quad (4.42)$$

for all $x \in U$. Now from (4.41) and (4.42), that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(x) + \frac{1}{6}A'(x) - \frac{1}{6}C'(x)\| &= \left\| \left\{ -\frac{f(2x)}{6} + \frac{8f(x)}{6} + \frac{A'(x)}{6} \right\} + \left\{ \frac{f(2x)}{6} - \frac{2f(x)}{6} - \frac{C'(x)}{6} \right\} \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{6} \{ \|f(2x) - 8f(x) - A'(x)\| + \|f(2x) - 2f(x) - C'(x)\| \} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{6} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=\frac{1-j}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{\Phi(2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x)}{2^{kj}} + \frac{1}{8} \sum_{k=\frac{1-j}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{\Phi(2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x)}{8^{kj}} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in U$. Thus, we obtain (4.40) by defining $A(x) = \frac{-1}{6}A'(x)$ and $C(x) = \frac{1}{6}C'(x)$, where $\Phi(2^kx, 2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x), A(x)$, and $C(x)$ are defined in (4.5), (4.4) and (4.31) respectively for all $x \in U$. \square

The following corollary is an immediate consequence of Theorem 4.6 concerning the stability of (1.7).

Corollary 4.6. *Let ρ, t be nonnegative real numbers. Suppose that an odd function $f : U \rightarrow V$ satisfies the inequality*

$$\|Df(x, y, z)\| \leq \begin{cases} \rho, & t \neq 1, 3; \\ \rho (\|x\|^t + \|y\|^t + \|z\|^t), & t \neq \frac{1}{3}, 1; \\ \rho (\|x\|^t \|y\|^t \|z\|^t), & t = \frac{1}{3}; \\ \rho (\|x\|^t \|y\|^t \|z\|^t + (\|x\|^{3t} + \|y\|^{3t} + \|z\|^{3t})), & t \neq \frac{1}{3}, 1; \end{cases} \quad (4.43)$$

for all $x, y, z \in U$. Then there exists a unique additive function $A : U \rightarrow V$ and a unique cubic function $C : U \rightarrow V$ such that

$$\|f(x) - A(x) - C(x)\| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{4\kappa_5}{21}, \\ \frac{\kappa_6 \|x\|^t}{6} \left\{ \frac{1}{|2-2^t|} + \frac{1}{|8-2^t|} \right\}, \\ \frac{\kappa_7 \|x\|^{3t}}{6} \left\{ \frac{1}{|2-2^{3t}|} + \frac{1}{|8-2^{3t}|} \right\}, \\ \frac{\kappa_8 \|x\|^{3t}}{6} \left\{ \frac{1}{|2-2^{3t}|} + \frac{1}{|8-2^{3t}|} \right\}, \end{cases} \quad (4.44)$$

for all $x \in U$, where κ_i ($i = 5, 6, 7, 8$) are given in (4.27).

5 STABILITY RESULTS: MIXED CASE

Theorem 5.1. *Let $\psi, \phi : U^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function that satisfies (3.1), (3.23), (4.1) and (4.28) for all $x, y, z \in U$. Suppose that a function $f : U \rightarrow V$ satisfies the inequalities (3.34) and (4.39) for all $x, y, z \in U$. Then there exists a unique additive function $A : U \rightarrow V$, a unique quadratic function $Q_2 : U \rightarrow V$, a unique cubic function $C : U \rightarrow V$ and a unique quartic function $Q_4 : U \rightarrow V$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(x) - A(x) - Q_2(x) - C(x) - Q_4(x)\| &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \Psi_2(2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x) + \Psi_4(2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \Phi_1(2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x) + \Phi_3(2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x) \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

for all $x \in U$, where $\Psi_2(2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x)$, $\Psi_4(2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x)$, $\Phi_1(2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x)$ and $\Phi_3(2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x)$ are defined by

$$\Psi_2(2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x) = \frac{1}{12} \left\{ \frac{1}{4} \left(\sum_{k=\frac{1-j}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{\Psi(2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x)}{2^{kj}} + \sum_{k=\frac{1-j}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{\Psi(-2^{kj}x, -2^{kj}x, -2^{kj}x)}{2^{kj}} \right) \right\} \quad (5.2)$$

$$\Psi_4(2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x) = \frac{1}{12} \left\{ \frac{1}{16} \left(\sum_{k=\frac{1-j}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{\Psi(2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x)}{16^{kj}} + \sum_{k=\frac{1-j}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{\Psi(-2^{kj}x, -2^{kj}x, -2^{kj}x)}{16^{kj}} \right) \right\} \quad (5.3)$$

$$\Phi_1(2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x) = \frac{1}{6} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{k=\frac{1-j}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{\Phi(2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x)}{2^{kj}} + \sum_{k=\frac{1-j}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{\Phi(-2^{kj}x, -2^{kj}x, -2^{kj}x)}{2^{kj}} \right) \right\} \quad (5.4)$$

$$\Phi_3(2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x) = \frac{1}{6} \left\{ \frac{1}{8} \left(\sum_{k=\frac{1-j}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{\Phi(2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x)}{8^{kj}} + \sum_{k=\frac{1-j}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{\Phi(-2^{kj}x, -2^{kj}x, -2^{kj}x)}{8^{kj}} \right) \right\} \quad (5.5)$$

respectively for all $x \in U$.

Proof. Let $f_e(x) = \frac{1}{2}\{f(x) + f(-x)\}$ for all $x \in U$. Then $f_e(0) = 0, f_e(x) = f_e(-x)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|Df_e(x, y, z)\| &= \frac{1}{2}\{\|Df(x, y, z) + Df(-x, -y, -z)\|\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}\{\|Df(x, y, z)\| + \|Df(-x, -y, -z)\|\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}\{\psi(x, y, z) + \psi(-x, -y, -z)\} \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in U$. Hence from Theorem 3.3, there exists a unique quadratic function $Q_2 : U \rightarrow V$ and a unique quartic function $Q_4 : U \rightarrow V$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(x) - Q_2(x) - Q_4(x)\| &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{1}{12} \left[\frac{1}{4} \left(\sum_{k=\frac{1-j}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{\Psi(2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x)}{4^{kj}} + \sum_{k=\frac{1-j}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{\Psi(-2^{kj}x, -2^{kj}x, -2^{kj}x)}{4^{kj}} \right) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \frac{1}{16} \left(\sum_{k=\frac{1-j}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{\Psi(2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x)}{16^{kj}} + \sum_{k=\frac{1-j}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{\Psi(-2^{kj}x, -2^{kj}x, -2^{kj}x)}{16^{kj}} \right) \right] \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \Psi_2(2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x) + \Psi_4(2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x) \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

for all $x \in U$. Again $f_o(x) = \frac{1}{2}\{f(x) - f(-x)\}$ for all $x \in U$. Then $f_o(0) = 0, f_o(x) = -f_o(-x)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|Df_o(x, y, z)\| &= \frac{1}{2}\{\|Df(x, y, z) - Df(-x, -y, -z)\|\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}\{\|Df(x, y, z)\| - \|Df(-x, -y, -z)\|\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}\{\phi(x, y, z) - \phi(-x, -y, -z)\} \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in U$. Hence from Theorem 4.6, there exists a unique additive function $A : U \rightarrow V$ and a unique cubic function $C : U \rightarrow V$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(x) - A(x) - C(x)\| &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{1}{6} \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Phi(2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x)}{2^{kj}} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Phi(-2^{kj}x, -2^{kj}x, -2^{kj}x)}{2^{kj}} \right) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \frac{1}{8} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Phi(2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x)}{8^{kj}} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Phi(-2^{kj}x, -2^{kj}x, -2^{kj}x)}{8^{kj}} \right) \right] \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \Phi_1(2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x) + \Phi_3(2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x) \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

for all $x \in U$. Since $f(x) = f_e(x) + f_o(x)$ then it follows from (5.6) and (5.7) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(x) - A(x) - Q_2(x) - C(x) - Q_4(x)\| &= \|\{f_e(x) - Q_2(x) - Q_4(x)\} + \{f_o(x) - A(x) - C(x)\}\| \\ &\leq \|f_e(x) - Q_2(x) - Q_4(x)\| + \|f_o(x) - A(x) - C(x)\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \Psi_2(2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x) + \Psi_4(2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \Phi_1(2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x) + \Phi_3(2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x, 2^{kj}x) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in U$. Hence the proof of the theorem is complete. \square

The following corollary is an immediate consequence of Theorem 5.1 concerning the stability of (1.7).

Corollary 5.1. *Let ρ, t be nonnegative real numbers. Suppose that a function $f : U \rightarrow V$ satisfies the inequality*

$$\|Df(x, y, z)\| \leq \begin{cases} \rho, & t \neq 1, 2, 3, 4; \\ \rho \left(\|x\|^t + \|y\|^t + \|z\|^t \right), & t \neq \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{4}{3}, 1; \\ \rho \left(\|x\|^t \|y\|^t \|z\|^t + (\|x\|^{3t} + \|y\|^{3t} + \|z\|^{3t}) \right), & t \neq \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{4}{3}, 1; \end{cases} \quad (5.8)$$

for all $x, y, z \in U$. Then there exists a unique additive function $A : U \rightarrow V$, a unique quadratic function $Q_2 : U \rightarrow V$, a unique cubic function $C : U \rightarrow V$ and a unique quartic function $Q_4 : U \rightarrow V$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f(x) - A(x) - Q_2(x) - C(x) - Q_4(x)\| \\ & \leq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \left[2\kappa_1 + \frac{8\kappa_5}{21} \right], \\ \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\kappa_2}{6} \left\{ \frac{1}{4-2^t} + \frac{1}{16-2^t} \right\} + \frac{\kappa_6}{3} \left\{ \frac{1}{2-2^t} + \frac{1}{8-2^t} \right\} \right] \|x\|^t, \\ \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\kappa_3}{6} \left\{ \frac{1}{4-2^{3t}} + \frac{1}{16-2^{3t}} \right\} + \frac{\kappa_7}{3} \left\{ \frac{1}{2-2^{3t}} + \frac{1}{8-2^{3t}} \right\} \right] \|x\|^{3t}, \\ \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\kappa_4}{6} \left\{ \frac{1}{4-2^{3t}} + \frac{1}{16-2^{3t}} \right\} + \frac{\kappa_8}{3} \left\{ \frac{1}{2-2^{3t}} + \frac{1}{8-2^{3t}} \right\} \right] \|x\|^{3t} \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (5.9)$$

for all $x \in U$, where κ_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, 8$) are respectively, given in (3.26)and (4.27).

6 STABILITY RESULTS FIXED POINT METHOD: EVEN CASE

Theorem 6.1. Let $f : U \rightarrow V$ be an even function for which there exists a function $\psi : U^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ with the condition

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\mu_i^{2n}} \psi(\mu_i^n x, \mu_i^n y, \mu_i^n z) = 0 \quad (6.1)$$

with

$$\mu_i = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } i = 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } i = 1 \end{cases}$$

such that the functional inequality

$$\|Df(x, y, z)\| \leq \psi(x, y, z) \quad (6.2)$$

for all $x, y, z \in U$. If there exists $L = L(i) < 1$ such that the function

$$x \rightarrow \Gamma(x, x, x) = \Psi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}\right),$$

has the property

$$\Gamma(x, x, x) = \frac{L}{\mu_i^2} \Gamma(\mu_i x, \mu_i x, \mu_i x) \quad (6.3)$$

for all $x \in U$. Then there exists a unique quadratic function $Q_2 : U \rightarrow V$ satisfying (1.7) and

$$\|f(2x) - 16f(x) - Q_2(x)\| \leq \frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L} \Gamma(x, x, x) \quad (6.4)$$

for all $x \in U$.

Proof. consider the set $X = \{f/f : U \rightarrow V, f(0) = 0\}$ and introduce the generalized metric on X .

$$d(f, h) = \inf \{M \in (0, \infty) : \|f(x) - h(x)\| \leq M\gamma(x), x \in U\}.$$

It is easy to see that (X, d) is complete. Define $T : X \rightarrow X$ by $Tf(x) = \frac{1}{\mu_i^2} f(\mu_i x)$ for all $x \in U$. Now for all $f, h \in X$,

$$\begin{aligned} d(f, h) & \leq M = \|f(x) - h(x)\| \leq M\Gamma(x, x, x), x \in U \\ & = \left\| \frac{1}{\mu_i^2} f(\mu_i x) - \frac{1}{\mu_i^2} h(\mu_i x) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{\mu_i^2} M\Gamma(\mu_i x, \mu_i x, \mu_i x), x \in U \\ & = \left\| \frac{1}{\mu_i^2} f(\mu_i x) - \frac{1}{\mu_i^2} h(\mu_i x) \right\| \leq LM\Gamma(x, x, x), x \in U \\ & = \|Tf(x) - Th(x)\| \leq LM\Gamma(x, x, x), x \in U \\ & = d(Tf, Th) \leq LM. \end{aligned}$$

This gives $d(Tf, Th) \leq Ld(f, h)$, for all $f, h \in X$.

i.e., T is a strictly contractive mapping on X with Lipschitz constant L .

Now, from (3.15) we have

$$\|f_2(2x) - 4f_2(x)\| \leq \Psi(x, x, x) \quad (6.5)$$

where $f_2(x) = f(2x) - 16f(x)$ and

$$\Psi(x, x, x) = \frac{1}{r^4 - r^2} [12(1 - r^2)\psi(0, x, 0) + 12r^2\psi(x, 2x, -x) + 6\psi(0, 2x, 0) + 12\psi(rx, 2x, -x)]$$

for all $x \in U$. From (6.5), we arrive

$$\left\| \frac{f_2(2x)}{4} - f_2(x) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{4}\Psi(x, x, x) = \frac{1}{2^2}\Psi(x, x, x) \quad (6.6)$$

for all $x \in U$. Using (6.3) for the case $i = 0$, it reduces to

$$\left\| \frac{f_2(2x)}{4} - f_2(x) \right\| \leq L\Gamma(x, x, x), \text{ for all } x \in U.$$

i.e., $d(Tf_2, f_2) \leq L \Rightarrow d(Tf_2, f_2) \leq L^{1-0} = L^{1-i} < \infty$

Again replacing $x = \frac{x}{2}$, in (6.5), we obtain

$$\left\| f_2(x) - 4f_2\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \right\| \leq \Psi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}\right)$$

for all $x \in U$. Using (6.3) for the case $i = 1$, it reduces to

$$\left\| f_2(x) - 4f_2\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \right\| \leq \Gamma(x, x, x) \text{ for all } x \in U,$$

i.e., $d(Tf_2, f_2) \leq 1 \Rightarrow d(Tf_2, f_2) \leq 1 = L^{1-1} = L^{1-i} < \infty$.

From above two cases, we arrive

$$d(Tf_2, f_2) \leq L^{1-i}$$

Therefore $(B_2(i))$ holds.

By $(B_2(ii))$, it follows that there exists a fixed point Q_2 of T in X such that

$$Q_2(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\mu_i^{2n}} (f(\mu_i^{n+1}x) - 16f(\mu_i^n x)) \quad (6.7)$$

for all $x \in U$. In order to prove $Q_2 : U \rightarrow V$ is quadratic. Replacing (x, y, z) by $(\mu_i^n x, \mu_i^n y, \mu_i^n z)$ in (6.2) and divided by μ_i^{2n} , it follows from (6.1) and (6.7), Q_2 satisfies (1.7) for all $x, y, z \in U$. i.e., Q_2 satisfies the functional equation (1.7) for all $x, y, z \in U$. By $(B_2(iii))$, Q_2 is the unique fixed point of T in the set $Y = \{f \in X : d(Tf, Q_2) < \infty\}$, using the fixed point alternative result Q_2 is the unique function such that

$$\|f_2(x) - Q_2(x)\| \leq M\Gamma(x, x, x) \text{ for all } x \in U \text{ and } M > 0.$$

Finally, by $(B_2(iv))$, we obtain

$$d(f_2, Q_2) \leq \frac{1}{1-L} d(Tf_2, f_2).$$

This implies

$$d(f_2, Q_2) \leq \frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L}.$$

Hence we conclude that

$$\|f(2x) - 16f(x) - Q_2(x)\| \leq \frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L} \Gamma(x, x, x)$$

for all $x \in U$. This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

The following corollary is an immediate consequence of Theorem 6.1 concerning the stability of (1.7).

Corollary 6.1. *Let ρ, t be nonnegative real numbers. Suppose that an even function $f : U \rightarrow V$ satisfies the inequality*

$$\|Df(x, y, z)\| \leq \begin{cases} \rho, & t \neq 2; \\ \rho (\|x\|^t + \|y\|^t + \|z\|^t), & t \neq \frac{2}{3}; \\ \rho (\|x\|^t \|y\|^t \|z\|^t + (\|x\|^{3t} + \|y\|^{3t} + \|z\|^{3t})) & t \neq \frac{2}{3}; \end{cases} \quad (6.8)$$

for all $x, y, z \in U$. Then there exists a unique quadratic function $Q_2 : U \rightarrow V$ such that

$$\|f(2x) - 16f(x) - Q_2(x)\| \leq \begin{cases} 10\kappa_1, \\ \frac{\kappa_2 \|x\|^t}{|4-2^t|}, \\ \frac{\kappa_3 \|x\|^{3t}}{|4-2^{3t}|}, \\ \frac{\kappa_4 \|x\|^{3t}}{|4-2^{3t}|} \end{cases} \quad (6.9)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_1 &= \frac{\rho}{r^4 - r^2}, \\ \kappa_2 &= \frac{\rho[24 + 12r^2 + 12r^22^t + 12r^t + 18 \cdot 2^t]}{r^4 - r^2}, \\ \kappa_3 &= \frac{12\rho 2^t [r^2 + r^t]}{r^4 - r^2}, \\ \kappa_4 &= \frac{\rho[24 + 12r^2(1+2^t+2^{3t}) + 18 \cdot 2^{3t} + 12 \cdot r^t \cdot 2^t + 12 \cdot r^{3t}]}{r^4 - r^2} \end{aligned} \quad (6.10)$$

for all $x \in U$.

Proof. Setting

$$\psi(x, y, z) = \begin{cases} \rho, \\ \rho(\|x\|^t + \|y\|^t + \|z\|^t), \\ \rho(\|x\|^t \|y\|^t \|z\|^t), \\ \rho(\|x\|^t \|y\|^t \|z\|^t + (\|x\|^{3t} + \|y\|^{3t} + \|z\|^{3t})) \end{cases}$$

for all $x, y, z \in U$. Now $\frac{\Psi(\mu_i^n x, \mu_i^n y, \mu_i^n z)}{\mu_i^{2n}} = \begin{cases} \frac{\rho}{\mu_i^{2n}}, \\ \frac{\rho}{\mu_i^{2n}} (\|\mu_i^n x\|^t + \|\mu_i^n y\|^t + \|\mu_i^n z\|^t), \\ \frac{\rho}{\mu_i^{2n}} (\|\mu_i^n x\|^t \|\mu_i^n y\|^t \|\mu_i^n z\|^t), \\ \frac{\rho}{\mu_i^{2n}} (\|\mu_i^n x\|^t \|\mu_i^n y\|^t \|\mu_i^n z\|^t + (\|\mu_i^n x\|^{3t} + \|\mu_i^n y\|^{3t} + \|\mu_i^n z\|^{3t})) \end{cases} = \begin{cases} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \\ \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \\ \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \\ \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{cases}$

i.e., (6.1) is holds. But we have

$$\Gamma(x, x, x) = \Psi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}\right) = \begin{cases} 30\kappa_1, \\ \frac{\kappa_2 \|x\|^t}{2^t}, \\ \frac{\kappa_3 \|x\|^{3t}}{2^{3t}}, \\ \frac{\kappa_4 \|x\|^{3t}}{2^{3t}}. \end{cases}$$

Also,

$$\frac{1}{\mu_i^2} \Gamma(x, x, x) = \begin{cases} \frac{30\kappa_1}{\mu_i^2}, \\ \frac{\kappa_2 \|\mu_i x\|^t}{\mu_i^{2t}}, \\ \frac{\kappa_3 \|\mu_i x\|^{3t}}{\mu_i^{23t}}, \\ \frac{\kappa_4 \|\mu_i x\|^{3t}}{\mu_i^{23t}}. \end{cases} = \begin{cases} \mu_i^{-2} 30\kappa_1, \\ \mu_i^{t-2} \frac{\kappa_2 \|x\|^t}{2^t}, \\ \mu_i^{3t-2} \frac{\kappa_3 \|\mu_i x\|^{3t}}{2^{3t}}, \\ \mu_i^{3t-2} \frac{\kappa_4 \|\mu_i x\|^{3t}}{2^{3t}}. \end{cases} = \begin{cases} \mu_i^{-2} \Gamma(x, x, x), \\ \mu_i^{t-2} \Gamma(x, x, x), \\ \mu_i^{3t-2} \Gamma(x, x, x), \\ \mu_i^{3t-2} \Gamma(x, x, x). \end{cases}$$

Hence the inequality (6.3) holds either, $L = 2^{-2}$ if $i = 0$ and $L = 2^2$ if $i = 1$. Now from (6.4), we prove the following cases for condition (i).

Case: 1 $L = 2^{-2}$ if $i = 0$.

$$\|f(2x) - 16f(x) - Q_2(x)\| \leq \frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L} \Gamma(x, x, x) = \frac{(2^{-2})^{1-0}}{1-2^{-2}} 30\kappa_1 = 10\kappa_1$$

Case: 2 $L = 2^2$ if $i = 1$.

$$\|f(2x) - 16f(x) - Q_2(x)\| \leq \frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L} \Gamma(x, x, x) = \frac{(2^2)^{1-1}}{1-2^2} 30\kappa_1 = -10\kappa_1$$

Also, (6.3) holds either, $L = 2^{t-2}$ for $t < 2$ if $i = 0$ and $L = \frac{1}{2^{t-2}}$ for $t > 2$ if $i = 1$. Now from (6.4), we prove the following cases for condition (ii).

Case: 1 $L = 2^{t-2}$ for $t < 2$ if $i = 0$.

$$\|f(2x) - 16f(x) - Q_2(x)\| \leq \frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L} \Gamma(x, x, x) = \frac{(2^{t-2})^{1-0}}{1-2^{t-2}} \frac{\kappa_2 \|x\|^t}{2^t} = \frac{\kappa_2 \|x\|^t}{4-2^t}.$$

Case: 2 $L = \frac{1}{2^{t-2}}$ for $t > 2$ if $i = 1$.

$$\|f(2x) - 16f(x) - Q_2(x)\| \leq \frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L} \Gamma(x, x, x) = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2^{t-2}}\right)^{1-1}}{1-\frac{1}{2^{t-2}}} \frac{\kappa_2 \|x\|^t}{2^t} = \frac{\kappa_2 \|x\|^t}{2^t - 4}.$$

Also, (6.3) holds either, $L = 2^{3t-2}$ for $3t < 2$ if $i = 0$ and $L = \frac{1}{2^{3t-2}}$ for $3t > 2$ if $i = 1$. Now from (6.4), we prove the following cases for condition (iii).

Case: 1 $L = 2^{3t-2}$ for $3t < 2$ if $i = 0$.

$$\|f(2x) - 16f(x) - Q_2(x)\| \leq \frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L} \Gamma(x, x, x) = \frac{(2^{3t-2})^{1-0}}{1-2^{3t-2}} \frac{\kappa_3 \|x\|^{3t}}{2^{3t}} = \frac{\kappa_3 \|x\|^{3t}}{4-2^{3t}}.$$

Case: 2 $L = \frac{1}{2^{3t-2}}$ for $3t > 2$ if $i = 1$.

$$\|f(2x) - 16f(x) - Q_2(x)\| \leq \frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L} \Gamma(x, x, x) = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2^{3t-2}}\right)^{1-1}}{1-\frac{1}{2^{3t-2}}} \frac{\kappa_3 \|x\|^{3t}}{2^{3t}} = \frac{\kappa_3 \|x\|^{3t}}{2^{3t} - 4}.$$

Finally, (6.3) holds either, $L = 2^{3t-2}$ for $3t < 2$ if $i = 0$ and $L = \frac{1}{2^{3t-2}}$ for $3t > 2$ if $i = 1$. Now from (6.4), we prove the following cases for condition (iv).

Case: 1 $L = 2^{3t-2}$ for $3t < 2$ if $i = 0$.

$$\|f(2x) - 16f(x) - Q_2(x)\| \leq \frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L} \Gamma(x, x, x) = \frac{(2^{3t-2})^{1-0}}{1-2^{3t-2}} \frac{\kappa_4 \|x\|^{3t}}{2^{3t}} = \frac{\kappa_4 \|x\|^{3t}}{4-2^{3t}}.$$

Case: 2 $L = \frac{1}{2^{3t-2}}$ for $3t > 2$ if $i = 1$.

$$\|f(2x) - 16f(x) - Q_2(x)\| \leq \frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L} \Gamma(x, x, x) = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2^{3t-2}}\right)^{1-1}}{1-\frac{1}{2^{3t-2}}} \frac{\kappa_4 \|x\|^{3t}}{2^{3t}} = \frac{\kappa_4 \|x\|^{3t}}{2^{3t} - 4}.$$

Hence the proof of the corollary is complete. \square

Theorem 6.2. Let $f : U \rightarrow V$ be an even function for which there exists a function $\psi : U^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ with the condition

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\mu_i^{4n}} \psi(\mu_i^n x, \mu_i^n y, \mu_i^n z) = 0 \quad (6.11)$$

with

$$\mu_i = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } i = 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } i = 1 \end{cases}$$

such that the functional inequality

$$\|Df(x, y, z)\| \leq \psi(x, y, z) \quad (6.12)$$

for all $x, y, z \in U$. If there exists $L = L(i) < 1$ such that the function

$$x \rightarrow \Gamma(x, x, x) = \Psi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}\right),$$

has the property

$$\Gamma(x, x, x) = \frac{L}{\mu_i^4} \Gamma(\mu_i x, \mu_i x, \mu_i x) \quad (6.13)$$

for all $x \in U$. Then there exists a unique quartic function $Q_4 : U \rightarrow V$ satisfying (1.7) and

$$\|f(2x) - 4f(x) - Q_4(x)\| \leq \frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L} \Gamma(x, x, x) \quad (6.14)$$

for all $x \in U$.

Proof. consider the set $X = \{f/f : U \rightarrow V, f(0) = 0\}$ and introduce the generalized metric on X .

$$d(f, h) = \inf \{M \in (0, \infty) : \|f(x) - h(x)\| \leq M\gamma(x), x \in U\}.$$

It is easy to see that (X, d) is complete. Define $T : X \rightarrow X$ by $Tf(x) = \frac{1}{\mu_i^4} f(\mu_i x)$ for all $x \in U$. Now for all $f, h \in X$,

$$\begin{aligned} d(f, h) &\leq M = \|f(x) - h(x)\| \leq M\Gamma(x, x, x), x \in U \\ &= \left\| \frac{1}{\mu_i^4} f(\mu_i x) - \frac{1}{\mu_i^4} h(\mu_i x) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{\mu_i^4} M\Gamma(\mu_i x, \mu_i x, \mu_i x), x \in U \\ &= \left\| \frac{1}{\mu_i^4} f(\mu_i x) - \frac{1}{\mu_i^4} h(\mu_i x) \right\| \leq LM\Gamma(x, x, x), x \in U \\ &= \|Tf(x) - Th(x)\| \leq LM\Gamma(x, x, x), x \in U \Rightarrow d(Tf, Th) \leq LM. \end{aligned}$$

This gives $d(Tf, Th) \leq Ld(f, h)$, for all $f, h \in X$.

i.e., T is a strictly contractive mapping on X with Lipschitz constant L .

Now, from (3.30) we have

$$\|f_4(2x) - 16f_4(x)\| \leq \Psi(x, x, x) \quad (6.15)$$

where $f_4(x) = f(2x) - 4f(x)$ and

$$\Psi(x, x, x) = \frac{1}{r^4 - r^2} [12(1 - r^2)\psi(0, x, 0) + 12r^2\psi(x, 2x, -x) + 6\psi(0, 2x, 0) + 12\psi(rx, 2x, -x)]$$

for all $x \in U$. From (6.15), we arrive

$$\left\| \frac{f_4(2x)}{16} - f_4(x) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{16} \Psi(x, x, x) = \frac{1}{2^4} \Psi(x, x, x) \quad (6.16)$$

for all $x \in U$. Using (6.13) for the case $i = 0$, it reduces to

$$\left\| \frac{f_4(2x)}{16} - f_4(x) \right\| \leq L\Gamma(x, x, x), \text{ for all } x \in U.$$

i.e., $d(Tf_4, f_4) \leq L \Rightarrow d(Tf_4, f_4) \leq L^{1-0} = L^{1-i} < \infty$

Again replacing $x = \frac{x}{2}$, in (6.15), we obtain

$$\left\| f_4(x) - 16f_4\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \right\| \leq \Psi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}\right)$$

for all $x \in U$. Using (6.13) for the case $i = 1$, it reduces to

$$\left\| f_4(x) - 16f_4\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \right\| \leq \Gamma(x, x, x) \text{ for all } x \in U,$$

i.e., $d(Tf_4, f_4) \leq 1 \Rightarrow d(Tf_4, f_4) \leq 1 = L^{1-1} = L^{1-i} < \infty$.

From above two cases, we arrive

$$d(Tf_4, f_4) \leq L^{1-i}$$

Therefore $(B_2(i))$ holds.

By $(B_2(ii))$, it follows that there exists a fixed point Q_4 of T in X such that

$$Q_4(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\mu_i^{4n}} (f(\mu_i^{n+1}x) - 4f(\mu_i^n x)) \quad (6.17)$$

for all $x \in U$. In order to prove $Q_4 : U \rightarrow V$ is quartic. Replacing (x, y, z) by $(\mu_i^n x, \mu_i^n y, \mu_i^n z)$ in (6.12) and divided by μ_i^{4n} . It follows from (6.11) and (6.17), Q_4 satisfies (1.7) for all $x, y, z \in U$. i.e., Q_4 satisfies the functional equation (1.7) $x, y, z \in U$. By $(B_2(iii))$, Q_4 is the unique fixed point of T in the set $Y = \{f \in X : d(Tf, Q_4) < \infty\}$, using the fixed point alternative result Q_2 is the unique function such that

$$\|f_4(x) - Q_4(x)\| \leq M \Gamma(x, x, x) \text{ for all } x \in U \text{ and } M > 0.$$

Finally, by $(B_2(iv))$, we obtain

$$d(f_4, Q_4) \leq \frac{1}{1-L} d(Tf_4, f_4)$$

This implies

$$d(f_4, Q_4) \leq \frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L}$$

Hence we conclude that

$$\|f(2x) - 4f(x) - Q_4(x)\| \leq \frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L} \Gamma(x, x, x)$$

for all $x \in U$. This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

The following corollary is an immediate consequence of Theorem 6.2 concerning the stability of (1.7).

Corollary 6.2. *Let ρ, t be nonnegative real numbers. Suppose that an even function $f : U \rightarrow V$ satisfies the inequality*

$$\|Df(x, y, z)\| \leq \begin{cases} \rho, & t \neq 4; \\ \rho (\|x\|^t + \|y\|^t + \|z\|^t), & t \neq \frac{4}{3}; \\ \rho (\|x\|^t \|y\|^t \|z\|^t + (\|x\|^{3t} + \|y\|^{3t} + \|z\|^{3t})) & t \neq \frac{4}{3}; \end{cases} \quad (6.18)$$

for all $x, y, z \in U$. Then there exists a unique quartic function $Q_4 : U \rightarrow V$ such that

$$\|f(2x) - 4f(x) - Q_4(x)\| \leq \begin{cases} 2\kappa_1, & \\ \frac{\kappa_2 \|x\|^t}{|16-2^t|}, & \\ \frac{\kappa_3 \|x\|^{3t}}{|16-2^{3t}|}, & \\ \frac{\kappa_4 \|x\|^{3t}}{|16-2^{3t}|} & \end{cases} \quad (6.19)$$

for all $x \in U$, where κ_i ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$) are defined in (6.10).

Proof. Setting

$$\psi(x, y, z) = \begin{cases} \rho, & \\ \rho (\|x\|^t + \|y\|^t + \|z\|^t), & \\ \rho (\|x\|^t \|y\|^t \|z\|^t), & \\ \rho (\|x\|^t \|y\|^t \|z\|^t + (\|x\|^{3t} + \|y\|^{3t} + \|z\|^{3t})) & \end{cases}$$

$$\text{for all } x, y, z \in U. \text{ Now } \frac{\psi(\mu_i^n x, \mu_i^n y, \mu_i^n z)}{\mu_i^{4n}} = \begin{cases} \frac{\rho}{\mu_i^{4n}}, & \\ \frac{\rho}{\mu_i^{4n}} (\|\mu_i^n x\|^t + \|\mu_i^n y\|^t + \|\mu_i^n z\|^t), & \\ \frac{\rho}{\mu_i^{4n}} (\|\mu_i^n x\|^t \|\mu_i^n y\|^t \|\mu_i^n z\|^t), & \\ \frac{\rho}{\mu_i^{4n}} (\|\mu_i^n x\|^t \|\mu_i^n y\|^t \|\mu_i^n z\|^t + (\|\mu_i^n x\|^{3t} + \|\mu_i^n y\|^{3t} + \|\mu_i^n z\|^{3t})) & \end{cases} =$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \\ \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \\ \quad \text{i.e., (6.11) holds. But we have} \\ \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \\ \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{array} \right.$$

$$\Gamma(x, x, x) = \Psi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}\right) = \begin{cases} 30\kappa_1, \\ \frac{\kappa_2 \|x\|^t}{2^t}, \\ \frac{\kappa_3 \|x\|^{3t}}{2^{3t}}, \\ \frac{\kappa_4 \|x\|^{3t}}{2^{3t}}. \end{cases}$$

Also,

$$\frac{1}{\mu_i^4} \Gamma(x, x, x) = \begin{cases} \frac{30\kappa_1}{\mu_i^4}, \\ \frac{\kappa_2 \|\mu_i x\|^t}{\mu_i^4 2^t}, \\ \frac{\kappa_3 \|\mu_i x\|^{3t}}{\mu_i^4 2^{3t}}, \\ \frac{\kappa_4 \|\mu_i x\|^{3t}}{\mu_i^4 2^{3t}}. \end{cases} = \begin{cases} \mu_i^{-4} 30\kappa_1, \\ \mu_i^{t-4} \frac{\kappa_2 \|x\|^t}{2^t}, \\ \mu_i^{3t-4} \frac{\kappa_3 \|\mu_i x\|^{3t}}{2^{3t}}, \\ \mu_i^{3t-4} \frac{\kappa_4 \|\mu_i x\|^{3t}}{2^{3t}}. \end{cases} = \begin{cases} \mu_i^{-4} \Gamma(x, x, x), \\ \mu_i^{t-4} \Gamma(x, x, x), \\ \mu_i^{3t-4} \Gamma(x, x, x), \\ \mu_i^{3t-4} \Gamma(x, x, x). \end{cases}$$

Hence the inequality (6.13) holds either, $L = 2^{-4}$ if $i = 0$ and $L = 2^4$ if $i = 1$. Now from (6.14), we prove the following cases for condition (i).

Case: 1 $L = 2^{-4}$ if $i = 0$.

$$\|f(2x) - 4f(x) - Q_4(x)\| \leq \frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L} \Gamma(x, x, x) = \frac{(2^{-4})^{1-0}}{1-2^{-4}} 30\kappa_1 = 2\kappa_1$$

Case: 2 $L = 2^4$ if $i = 1$.

$$\|f(2x) - 4f(x) - Q_4(x)\| \leq \frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L} \Gamma(x, x, x) = \frac{(2^4)^{1-1}}{1-2^4} 30\kappa_1 = -2\kappa_1$$

Also, (6.13) holds either, $L = 2^{t-4}$ for $t < 4$ if $i = 0$ and $L = \frac{1}{2^{t-4}}$ for $t > 4$ if $i = 1$. Now from (6.14), we prove the following cases for condition (ii).

Case: 1 $L = 2^{t-4}$ for $t < 4$ if $i = 0$.

$$\|f(2x) - 4f(x) - Q_4(x)\| \leq \frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L} \Gamma(x, x, x) = \frac{(2^{t-4})^{1-0}}{1-2^{t-4}} \frac{\kappa_2 \|x\|^t}{2^t} = \frac{\kappa_2 \|x\|^t}{16-2^t}.$$

Case: 2 $L = \frac{1}{2^{t-4}}$ for $t > 4$ if $i = 1$.

$$\|f(2x) - 4f(x) - Q_4(x)\| \leq \frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L} \Gamma(x, x, x) = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2^{t-4}}\right)^{1-1}}{1-\frac{1}{2^{t-4}}} \frac{\kappa_2 \|x\|^t}{2^t} = \frac{\kappa_2 \|x\|^t}{2^t - 16}.$$

Also, (6.13) holds either, $L = 2^{3t-4}$ for $3t < 4$ if $i = 0$ and $L = \frac{1}{2^{3t-4}}$ for $3t > 4$ if $i = 1$. Now from (6.14), we prove the following cases for condition (iii).

Case: 1 $L = 2^{3t-4}$ for $3t < 4$ if $i = 0$.

$$\|f(2x) - 4f(x) - Q_4(x)\| \leq \frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L} \Gamma(x, x, x) = \frac{(2^{3t-4})^{1-0}}{1-2^{3t-4}} \frac{\kappa_3 \|x\|^{3t}}{2^{3t}} = \frac{\kappa_3 \|x\|^{3t}}{16-2^{3t}}.$$

Case: 2 $L = \frac{1}{2^{3t-4}}$ for $3t > 4$ if $i = 1$.

$$\|f(2x) - 4f(x) - Q_4(x)\| \leq \frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L} \Gamma(x, x, x) = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2^{3t-4}}\right)^{1-1}}{1-\frac{1}{2^{3t-4}}} \frac{\kappa_3 \|x\|^{3t}}{2^{3t}} = \frac{\kappa_3 \|x\|^{3t}}{2^{3t} - 16}.$$

Finally, (6.13) holds either, $L = 2^{3t-4}$ for $3t < 4$ if $i = 0$ and $L = \frac{1}{2^{3t-4}}$ for $3t > 4$ if $i = 1$. Now from (6.14), we prove the following cases for condition (iv).

Case:1 $L = 2^{3t-4}$ for $3t < 4$ if $i = 0$.

$$\|f(2x) - 4f(x) - Q_4(x)\| \leq \frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L} \Gamma(x, x, x) = \frac{(2^{3t-4})^{1-0}}{1-2^{3t-4}} \frac{\kappa_4 \|x\|^{3t}}{2^{3t}} = \frac{\kappa_4 \|x\|^{3t}}{16-2^{3t}}.$$

Case: 2 $L = \frac{1}{2^{3t-4}}$ for $3t > 4$ if $i = 1$.

$$\|f(2x) - 4f(x) - Q_4(x)\| \leq \frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L} \Gamma(x, x, x) = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2^{3t-4}}\right)^{1-1}}{1-\frac{1}{2^{3t-4}}} \frac{\kappa_4 \|x\|^{3t}}{2^{3t}} = \frac{\kappa_4 \|x\|^{3t}}{2^{3t}-16}.$$

Hence the proof of the corollary is complete. \square

Theorem 6.3. Let $f : U \rightarrow V$ be an even function for which there exists a function $\psi : U^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ with the condition (6.1) and (6.11) with

$$\mu_i = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } i = 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } i = 1 \end{cases}$$

such that the functional inequality

$$\|Df(x, y, z)\| \leq \psi(x, y, z) \quad (6.20)$$

for all $x, y, z \in U$. If there exists $L = L(i) < 1$ such that the function

$$x \rightarrow \Gamma(x, x, x) = \Psi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}\right),$$

has the property (6.3) and (6.13), then there exists a unique quadratic function $Q_2 : U \rightarrow V$ and a unique quartic function $Q_4 : U \rightarrow V$ satisfying (1.7) and

$$\|f(x) - Q_2(x) - Q_4(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{6} \frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L} \Gamma(x, x, x) \quad (6.21)$$

for all $x \in U$, where $Q_2(x)$ and $Q_4(x)$ are defined in (6.7) and (6.17) respectively for all $x \in U$.

Proof. By Theorems 6.1 and 6.2, there exists a unique quadratic function $Q'_2 : U \rightarrow V$ and a unique quartic function $Q'_4 : U \rightarrow V$ such that

$$\|f(2x) - 16f(x) - Q'_2(x)\| \leq \frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L} \Gamma(x, x, x) \quad (6.22)$$

and

$$\|f(2x) - 4f(x) - Q'_4(x)\| \leq \frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L} \Gamma(x, x, x) \quad (6.23)$$

for all $x \in U$. Now from (6.22) and (6.23), that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(x) + \frac{1}{12}Q'_2(x) - \frac{1}{12}Q'_4(x)\| &= \left\| \left\{ -\frac{f(2x)}{12} + \frac{16f(x)}{12} + \frac{Q'_2(x)}{12} \right\} + \left\{ \frac{f(2x)}{12} - \frac{4f(x)}{12} - \frac{Q'_4(x)}{12} \right\} \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{12} \left\{ \|f(2x) - 16f(x) - Q'_2(x)\| + \|f(2x) - 4f(x) - Q'_4(x)\| \right\} \quad \text{for all } x \in U. \\ &\leq \frac{1}{12} \left\{ \frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L} \Gamma(x, x, x) + \frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L} \Gamma(x, x, x) \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{6} \frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L} \Gamma(x, x, x) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain (6.21) by defining $Q_2(x) = \frac{-1}{12}Q'_2(x)$ and $Q_4(x) = \frac{1}{12}Q'_4(x)$, where $Q_2(x)$ and $Q_4(x)$ are defined in (6.7) and (6.17) respectively for all $x \in U$. \square

The following corollary is an immediate consequence of Theorem 6.3 concerning the stability of (1.7).

Corollary 6.3. Let ρ, t be nonnegative real numbers. Suppose that an even function $f : U \rightarrow V$ satisfies the inequality

$$\|Df(x, y, z)\| \leq \begin{cases} \rho, & t \neq 2, 4; \\ \rho \left(\|x\|^t + \|y\|^t + \|z\|^t \right), & t \neq \frac{2}{3}, \frac{4}{3}; \\ \rho \left(\|x\|^t \|y\|^t \|z\|^t + (\|x\|^{3t} + \|y\|^{3t} + \|z\|^{3t}) \right), & t \neq \frac{2}{3}, \frac{4}{3}; \end{cases} \quad (6.24)$$

for all $x, y, z \in U$. Then there exists a unique quadratic function $Q_2 : U \rightarrow V$ and a unique quartic function $Q_4 : U \rightarrow V$ such that

$$\|f(x) - Q_2(x) - Q_4(x)\| \leq \begin{cases} 2\kappa_1, \\ \frac{\kappa_2 \|x\|^t}{6} \left\{ \frac{1}{|4-2^t|} + \frac{1}{|16-2^t|} \right\}, \\ \frac{\kappa_3 \|x\|^{3t}}{6} \left\{ \frac{1}{|4-2^{3t}|} + \frac{1}{|16-2^{3t}|} \right\}, \\ \frac{\kappa_4 \|x\|^{3t}}{6} \left\{ \frac{1}{|4-2^{3t}|} + \frac{1}{|16-2^{3t}|} \right\} \end{cases} \quad (6.25)$$

for all $x \in U$, where κ_i ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$) are given in (6.10).

STABILITY RESULTS FIXED POINT METHOD: ODD CASE

Theorem 6.1. Let $f : U \rightarrow V$ be an odd function for which there exists a function $\psi : U^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ with the condition

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\mu_i^n} \phi(\mu_i^n x, \mu_i^n y, \mu_i^n z) = 0 \quad (6.1)$$

with

$$\mu_i = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } i = 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } i = 1 \end{cases}$$

such that the functional inequality

$$\|Df(x, y, z)\| \leq \phi(x, y, z) \quad (6.2)$$

for all $x, y, z \in U$. If there exists $L = L(i) < 1$ such that the function

$$x \rightarrow \Gamma(x, x, x) = \Phi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}\right),$$

has the property

$$\Gamma(x, x, x) = \frac{L}{\mu_i} \Gamma(\mu_i x, \mu_i x, \mu_i x) \quad (6.3)$$

Then there exists a unique additive function $A : U \rightarrow V$ satisfying (1.7) and

$$\|f(2x) - 8f(x) - A(x)\| \leq \frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L} \Gamma(x, x, x) \quad (6.4)$$

for all $x \in U$.

Proof. consider the set $X = \{f/f : U \rightarrow V, f(0) = 0\}$ and introduce the generalized metric on X .

$$d(f, h) = \inf \{M \in (0, \infty) : \|f(x) - h(x)\| \leq M \Gamma(x, x, x), x \in U\}$$

It is easy to see that (X, d) is complete. Define $T : X \rightarrow X$ by $Tf(x) = \frac{1}{\mu_i} f(\mu_i x)$ for all $x \in U$. Now for all $f, h \in X$,

$$\begin{aligned} d(f, h) &\leq M = \|f(x) - h(x)\| \leq M \Gamma(x, x, x), x \in U \\ &= \left\| \frac{1}{\mu_i} f(\mu_i x) - \frac{1}{\mu_i} h(\mu_i x) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{\mu_i} M \Gamma(\mu_i x, \mu_i x, \mu_i x), x \in U \\ &= \left\| \frac{1}{\mu_i} f(\mu_i x) - \frac{1}{\mu_i} h(\mu_i x) \right\| \leq L M \Gamma(x, x, x), x \in U \\ &= \|Tf(x) - Th(x)\| \leq L M \Gamma(x, x, x), x \in U \\ &= d(Tf, Th) \leq LM. \end{aligned}$$

This gives $d(Tf, Th) \leq L d(f, h)$, for all $f, h \in X$,

i.e., T is a strictly contractive mapping on X with Lipschitz constant L .

Now, from (4.24) we have

$$\|f_1(2x) - 2f_1(x)\| \leq \Phi(x, x, x) \quad (6.5)$$

where $f_1(x) = f(2x) - 8f(x)$

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(x, x, x) &= \frac{1}{r^4 - r^2} [(5 - 4r^2)\phi(x, 2x, -x) + 2r^2\phi(2x, 2x, -x) + (4 - 2r^2)\phi(x, x, x) \\ &\quad + r^2\phi(2x, 4x, -2x) + \phi(x, 2x, x) + 2\phi((1+r)x, 2x, -x) \\ &\quad + 2\phi((1-r)x, 2x, -x) + \phi((1+2r)x, 2x, -x) + \phi((1-2r)x, 2x, -x)]\end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in U$. From (6.5), we arrive

$$\left\| \frac{f_1(2x)}{2} - f_1(x) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2}\Phi(x, x, x) = \frac{1}{2}\Phi(x, x, x) \quad (6.6)$$

for all $x \in U$. Using (6.3) for the case $i = 0$, it reduces to

$$\left\| \frac{f_1(2x)}{2} - f_1(x) \right\| \leq L\Gamma(x, x, x), \text{ for all } x \in U.$$

i.e., $d(Tf_1, f_1) \leq L \Rightarrow d(Tf_1, f_1) \leq L^{1-0} = L^{1-i} < \infty$

Again replacing $x = \frac{x}{2}$, in (6.5), we obtain

$$\left\| f_1(x) - 2f_1\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \right\| \leq \Phi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}\right)$$

for all $x \in U$. Using (6.3) for the case $i = 1$, it reduces to

$$\left\| f_1(x) - 2f_1\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \right\| \leq \Gamma(x, x, x) \text{ for all } x \in U,$$

i.e., $d(Tf_1, f_1) \leq 1 \Rightarrow d(Tf_1, f_1) \leq 1 = L^{1-1} = L^{1-i} < \infty$.

From above two cases, we arrive

$$d(Tf_1, f_1) \leq L^{1-i}$$

Therefore $(B_2(i))$ holds.

By $(B_2(ii))$, it follows that there exists a fixed point A of T in X such that

$$A(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\mu_i^n} (f(\mu_i^{n+1}x) - 8f(\mu_i^n x)) \quad (6.7)$$

for all $x \in U$. In order to prove $A : U \rightarrow V$ is additive. Replacing (x, y, z) by $(\mu_i^n x, \mu_i^n y, \mu_i^n z)$ in (6.2) and divide by μ_i^n . It follows from (6.1) and (6.7), A satisfies (1.7) for all $x, y, z \in U$. i.e., A satisfies the functional equation (1.7).

By $(B_2(iii))$, A is the unique fixed point of T in the set $Y = \{f \in X : d(Tf, A) < \infty\}$, using the fixed point alternative result A is the unique function such that

$$\|f_1(x) - A(x)\| \leq M\Gamma(x, x, x) \text{ for all } x \in U \text{ and } M > 0.$$

Finally, by $(B_2(iv))$, we obtain

$$d(f_1, A) \leq \frac{1}{1-L} d(Tf_1, f_1)$$

This implies

$$d(f_1, A) \leq \frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L}$$

Hence we conclude that

$$\|f(2x) - 8f(x) - A(x)\| \leq \frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L} \Gamma(x, x, x)$$

for all $x \in U$. This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

Corollary 6.1. Let ρ, t be nonnegative real numbers. Suppose that an odd function $f : U \rightarrow V$ with $f(0) = 0$ satisfies

$$\text{the inequality } \|Df(x, y, z)\| \leq \begin{cases} \rho, & t \neq 1; \\ \rho (\|x\|^t + \|y\|^t + \|z\|^t), & t \neq \frac{1}{3}; \\ \rho (\|x\|^t \|y\|^t \|z\|^t + (\|x\|^{3t} + \|y\|^{3t} + \|z\|^{3t})), & t \neq \frac{1}{3}; \end{cases} \quad (?)$$

for all $x, y, z \in U$. Then there exists a unique additive function $A : U \rightarrow V$ such that

$$\|f(2x) - 8f(x) - A(x)\| \leq \begin{cases} \kappa_5, \\ \frac{\kappa_6 \|x\|^t}{|2-2^t|}, \\ \frac{\kappa_7 \|x\|^{3t}}{|2-2^{3t}|}, \\ \frac{\kappa_8 \|x\|^{3t}}{|2-2^{3t}|} \end{cases} \quad (6.8)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_5 &= \frac{\rho(16-3r^2)}{r^4-r^2}, \\ \kappa_6 &= \frac{\rho}{r^4-r^2} [30 - 12r^2 + 2(6+r^2)2^t + r^22^{2t} \\ &\quad + 2(1+r)^t + 2(1-r)^t + (1+2r)^t + (1-2r)^t], \\ \kappa_7 &= \frac{\rho}{r^4-r^2} [4 - 2r^2 + 2(3-2r^2)2^t + 2r^22^{2t} + r^22^{4t} + 2(1+r)^t2^t \\ &\quad + 2(1-r)^t2^t + (1+2r)^t2^t + (1-2r)^t2^t], \\ \kappa_8 &= \frac{\rho}{r^4-r^2} [34 - 14r^2 + 2(3-2r^2)2^t + 2(6+r^2)2^{3t} + 2r^22^{2t} \\ &\quad + r^2(2^{4t}+2^{6t}) + 2(1+r)^t2^t + 2(1-r)^t2^t + 2(1+r)^{3t} + 2(1-r)^{3t} \\ &\quad + (1+2r)^t2^t + (1-2r)^t2^t + (1+2r)^{3t} + (1-2r)^{3t}] \end{aligned} \quad (6.9)$$

for all $x \in U$.

Proof. Setting

$$\psi(x, y, z) = \begin{cases} \rho, \\ \rho(\|x\|^t + \|y\|^t + \|z\|^t), \\ \rho(\|x\|^t \|y\|^t \|z\|^t), \\ \rho(\|x\|^t \|y\|^t \|z\|^t + (\|x\|^{3t} + \|y\|^{3t} + \|z\|^{3t})) \end{cases}$$

$$\text{for all } x, y, z \in U. \text{ Now } \frac{\psi(\mu_i^n x, \mu_i^n y, \mu_i^n z)}{\mu_i^n} = \begin{cases} \frac{\rho}{\mu_i^n}, \\ \frac{\rho}{\mu_i^n} (\|\mu_i^n x\|^t + \|\mu_i^n y\|^t + \|\mu_i^n z\|^t), \\ \frac{\rho}{\mu_i^n} (\|\mu_i^n x\|^t \|\mu_i^n y\|^t \|\mu_i^n z\|^t), \\ \frac{\rho}{\mu_i^n} (\|\mu_i^n x\|^t \|\mu_i^n y\|^t \|\mu_i^n z\|^t + (\|\mu_i^n x\|^{3t} + \|\mu_i^n y\|^{3t} + \|\mu_i^n z\|^{3t})) \end{cases} =$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \\ \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \\ \quad \text{i.e., (6.1) is holds. But we have} \\ \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \\ \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{array} \right.$$

$$\Gamma(x, x, x) = \Phi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}\right) = \begin{cases} \kappa_5, \\ \frac{\kappa_6 \|x\|^t}{2^t}, \\ \frac{\kappa_7 \|x\|^{3t}}{2^{3t}}, \\ \frac{\kappa_8 \|x\|^{3t}}{2^{3t}} \end{cases}$$

Also,

$$\frac{1}{\mu_i} \Gamma(x, x, x) = \begin{cases} \frac{\kappa_5}{\mu_i}, \\ \frac{\kappa_6 \|\mu_i x\|^t}{\mu_i 2^t}, \\ \frac{\kappa_7 \|\mu_i x\|^{3t}}{\mu_i 2^{3t}}, \\ \frac{\kappa_8 \|\mu_i x\|^{3t}}{\mu_i 2^{3t}}. \end{cases} = \begin{cases} \mu_i^{-1} \kappa_5, \\ \mu_i^{t-1} \frac{\kappa_6 \|\mu_i x\|^t}{2^t}, \\ \mu_i^{3t-1} \frac{\kappa_7 \|\mu_i x\|^{3t}}{2^{3t}}, \\ \mu_i^{3t-1} \frac{\kappa_8 \|\mu_i x\|^{3t}}{2^{3t}}. \end{cases} = \begin{cases} \mu_i^{-1} \Gamma(x, x, x), \\ \mu_i^{t-1} \Gamma(x, x, x), \\ \mu_i^{3t-1} \Gamma(x, x, x), \\ \mu_i^{3t-1} \Gamma(x, x, x). \end{cases}$$

Hence the inequality (6.3) holds either, $L = 2^{-1}$ if $i = 0$ and $L = 2$ if $i = 1$. Now from (6.4), we prove the following cases for condition (i).

Case: 1 $L = 2^{-1}$ if $i = 0$.

$$\|f(2x) - 8f(x) - A(x)\| \leq \frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L} \Gamma(x, x, x) = \frac{(2^{-1})^{1-0}}{1-2^{-1}} \kappa_5 = \kappa_5$$

Case: 2 $L = 2$ if $i = 1$.

$$\|f(2x) - 8f(x) - A(x)\| \leq \frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L} \Gamma(x, x, x) = \frac{(2)^{1-1}}{1-2} \kappa_5 = -\kappa_5$$

Also, (6.3) holds either $L = 2^{t-1}$ for $t < 1$ if $i = 0$ and $L = \frac{1}{2^{t-1}}$ for $t > 1$ if $i = 1$. Now from (6.4), we prove the following cases for condition (ii).

Case: 1 $L = 2^{t-1}$ for $t < 1$ if $i = 0$.

$$\|f(2x) - 8f(x) - A(x)\| \leq \frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L} \Gamma(x, x, x) = \frac{(2^{t-1})^{1-0}}{1-2^{t-1}} \frac{\kappa_6 \|x\|^t}{2^t} = \frac{\kappa_6 \|x\|^t}{2-2^t}.$$

Case: 2 $L = \frac{1}{2^{t-1}}$ for $t > 1$ if $i = 1$.

$$\|f(2x) - 8f(x) - A(x)\| \leq \frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L} \Gamma(x, x, x) = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2^{t-1}}\right)^{1-1}}{1-\frac{1}{2^{t-1}}} \frac{\kappa_6 \|x\|^t}{2^t} = \frac{\kappa_6 \|x\|^t}{2^t - 2}.$$

Also, (6.3) holds either $L = 2^{3t-1}$ for $3t < 1$ if $i = 0$ and $L = \frac{1}{2^{3t-1}}$ for $3t > 1$ if $i = 1$. Now from (6.4), we prove the following cases for condition (iii).

Case: 1 $L = 2^{3t-1}$ for $3t < 1$ if $i = 0$.

$$\|f(2x) - 8f(x) - A(x)\| \leq \frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L} \Gamma(x, x, x) = \frac{(2^{3t-1})^{1-0}}{1-2^{3t-1}} \frac{\kappa_7 \|x\|^{3t}}{2^{3t}} = \frac{\kappa_7 \|x\|^{3t}}{2-2^{3t}}.$$

Case: 2 $L = \frac{1}{2^{3t-1}}$ for $3t > 1$ if $i = 1$.

$$\|f(2x) - 8f(x) - A(x)\| \leq \frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L} \Gamma(x, x, x) = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2^{3t-1}}\right)^{1-1}}{1-\frac{1}{2^{3t-1}}} \frac{\kappa_7 \|x\|^{3t}}{2^{3t}} = \frac{\kappa_7 \|x\|^{3t}}{2^{3t} - 2}.$$

Finally, (6.3) holds either $L = 2^{3t-1}$ for $3t < 1$ if $i = 0$ and $L = \frac{1}{2^{3t-1}}$ for $3t > 1$ if $i = 1$. Now from (6.4), we prove the following cases for condition (iv).

Case: 1 $L = 2^{3t-1}$ for $3t < 1$ if $i = 0$.

$$\|f(2x) - 8f(x) - A(x)\| \leq \frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L} \Gamma(x, x, x) = \frac{(2^{3t-1})^{1-0}}{1-2^{3t-1}} \frac{\kappa_8 \|x\|^{3t}}{2^{3t}} = \frac{\kappa_8 \|x\|^{3t}}{2-2^{3t}}.$$

Case: 2 $L = \frac{1}{2^{3t-1}}$ for $3t > 1$ if $i = 1$.

$$\|f(2x) - 8f(x) - A(x)\| \leq \frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L} \Gamma(x, x, x) = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2^{3t-1}}\right)^{1-1}}{1-\frac{1}{2^{3t-1}}} \frac{\kappa_8 \|x\|^{3t}}{2^{3t}} = \frac{\kappa_8 \|x\|^{3t}}{2^{3t} - 2}.$$

Hence the proof of the corollary is complete. \square

Theorem 6.2. Let $f : U \rightarrow V$ be an odd function for which there exists a function $\psi : U^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ with the condition

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\mu_i^{3n}} \psi(\mu_i^n x, \mu_i^n y, \mu_i^n z) = 0 \quad (6.10)$$

with

$$\mu_i = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } i = 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } i = 1 \end{cases}$$

such that the functional inequality

$$\|Df(x, y, z)\| \leq \phi(x, y, z) \quad (6.11)$$

for all $x, y, z \in U$. If there exists $L = L(i) < 1$ such that the function

$$x \rightarrow \Gamma(x, x, x) = \Phi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}\right),$$

has the property

$$\Gamma(x) = \frac{L}{\mu_i^3} \Gamma(\mu_i x, \mu_i x, \mu_i x) \quad (6.12)$$

Then there exists a unique cubic function $C : U \rightarrow V$ satisfying (1.7) and

$$\|f(2x) - 2f(x) - C(x)\| \leq \frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L} \Gamma(x, x, x) \quad (6.13)$$

for all $x \in U$.

Proof. consider the set $X = \{f/f : U \rightarrow V, f(0) = 0\}$ and introduce the generalized metric on X .

$$d(f, h) = \inf \{M \in (0, \infty) : \|f(x) - h(x)\| \leq M \Gamma(x, x, x), x \in U\}$$

It is easy to see that (X, d) is complete. Define $T : X \rightarrow X$ by $Tf(x) = \frac{1}{\mu_i} f(\mu_i x)$ for all $x \in U$. Now for all $f, h \in X$,

$$\begin{aligned} d(f, h) &\leq M = \|f(x) - h(x)\| \leq M \Gamma(x, x, x), x \in U \\ &= \left\| \frac{1}{\mu_i^3} f(\mu_i x) - \frac{1}{\mu_i^3} h(\mu_i x) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{\mu_i^3} M \Gamma(\mu_i x, \mu_i x, \mu_i x), x \in U \\ &= \left\| \frac{1}{\mu_i^3} f(\mu_i x) - \frac{1}{\mu_i^3} h(\mu_i x) \right\| \leq L M \Gamma(x, x, x), x \in U \\ &= \|Tf(x) - Th(x)\| \leq L M \Gamma(x, x, x), x \in U \\ &= d(Tf, Th) \leq LM. \end{aligned}$$

This gives $d(Tf, Th) \leq L d(f, h)$, for all $f, h \in X$,

i.e., T is a strictly contractive mapping on X with Lipschitz constant L .

Now, from (4.35) we have

$$\|f_3(2x) - 8f_3(x)\| \leq \Phi(x, x, x) \quad (6.14)$$

where $f_3(x) = f(2x) - 2f(x)$

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(x, x, x) &= \frac{1}{r^4 - r^2} [(5 - 4r^2) \phi(x, 2x, -x) + 2r^2 \phi(2x, 2x, -x) + (4 - 2r^2) \phi(x, x, x) \\ &\quad + r^2 \phi(2x, 4x, -2x) + \phi(x, 2x, x) + 2\phi((1+r)x, 2x, -x) \\ &\quad + 2\phi((1-r)x, 2x, -x) + \phi((1+2r)x, 2x, -x) + \phi((1-2r)x, 2x, -x)] \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in U$. From (6.14), we arrive

$$\left\| \frac{f_3(2x)}{8} - f_3(x) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{8} \Phi(x, x, x) = \frac{1}{2^3} \Phi(x, x, x) \quad (6.15)$$

for all $x \in U$. Using (6.12) for the case $i = 0$, it reduces to

$$\left\| \frac{f_3(2x)}{8} - f_3(x) \right\| \leq L \Gamma(x, x, x), \text{ for all } x \in U.$$

i.e., $d(Tf_3, f_3) \leq L \Rightarrow d(Tf_3, f_3) \leq L^{1-0} = L^{1-i} < \infty$

Again replacing $x = \frac{x}{2}$, in (6.14), we obtain

$$\|f_3(x) - 8f_3\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)\| \leq \Phi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}\right)$$

for all $x \in U$. Using (6.3) for the case $i = 1$, it reduces to

$$\|f_3(x) - 8f_3\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)\| \leq \Gamma(x, x, x) \text{ for all } x \in U.$$

i.e., $d(Tf_3, f_3) \leq 1 \Rightarrow d(Tf_3, f_3) \leq 1 = L^{1-1} = L^{1-i} < \infty$.

From above two cases, we arrive

$$d(Tf_3, f_3) \leq L^{1-i}$$

Therefore $(B_2(i))$ holds.

By $(B_2(ii))$, it follows that there exists a fixed point C of T in X such that

$$C(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\mu_i^{3n}} (f(\mu_i^{n+1}x) - 2f(\mu_i^n x)) \quad (6.16)$$

for all $x \in U$. In order to prove $C : U \rightarrow V$ is cubic. Replacing (x, y, z) by $(\mu_i^n x, \mu_i^n y, \mu_i^n z)$ in (6.11) and divide by μ_i^{3n} . It follows from (7.11) and (6.16), C satisfies (1.7) for all $x, y, z \in U$. i.e., C satisfies the functional equation (1.7). By $(B_2(iii))$, C is the unique fixed point of T in the set $Y = \{f \in X : d(Tf, C) < \infty\}$, using the fixed point alternative result C is the unique function such that

$$\|f_1(x) - C(x)\| \leq M\Gamma(x, x, x) \text{ for all } x \in U \text{ and } M > 0.$$

Finally, by $(B_2(iv))$, we obtain

$$d(f_3, C) \leq \frac{1}{1-L} d(Tf_3, f_3)$$

This implies

$$d(f_3, C) \leq \frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L}$$

Hence we conclude that

$$\|f(2x) - 2f(x) - C(x)\| \leq \frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L} \Gamma(x, x, x)$$

for all $x \in U$. This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

Corollary 6.2. Let ρ, t be nonnegative real numbers. Suppose that an odd function $f : U \rightarrow V$ with $f(0) = 0$ satisfies

$$\text{the inequality } \|Df(x, y, z)\| \leq \begin{cases} \rho, & t \neq 3; \\ \rho (\|x\|^t + \|y\|^t + \|z\|^t), & 3t \neq 3; \\ \rho (\|x\|^t \|y\|^t \|z\|^t), & 3t = 3; \\ \rho (\|x\|^t \|y\|^t \|z\|^t + (\|x\|^{3t} + \|y\|^{3t} + \|z\|^{3t})), & 3t \neq 3; \end{cases} \quad (?)$$

for all $x, y, z \in U$. Then there exists a unique cubic function $C : U \rightarrow V$ such that

$$\|f(2x) - 2f(x) - C(x)\| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{\kappa_5}{7}, & \\ \frac{\kappa_6 \|x\|^t}{|8-2^t|}, & \\ \frac{\kappa_7 \|x\|^{3t}}{|8-2^{3t}|}, & \\ \frac{\kappa_8 \|x\|^{3t}}{|8-2^{3t}|} & \end{cases} \quad (6.17)$$

for all $x \in U$, where κ_i ($i = 5, 6, 7, 8$) are defined in (6.9).

Proof. Setting

$$\psi(x, y, z) = \begin{cases} \rho, & \\ \rho (\|x\|^t + \|y\|^t + \|z\|^t), & \\ \rho (\|x\|^t \|y\|^t \|z\|^t), & \\ \rho (\|x\|^t \|y\|^t \|z\|^t + (\|x\|^{3t} + \|y\|^{3t} + \|z\|^{3t})) & \end{cases}$$

$$\text{for all } x, y, z \in U. \text{ Now } \frac{\psi(\mu_i^n x, \mu_i^n y, \mu_i^n z)}{\mu_i^{3n}} = \begin{cases} \frac{\rho}{\mu_i^{3n}}, & \\ \frac{\rho}{\mu_i^{3n}} (\|\mu_i^n x\|^t + \|\mu_i^n y\|^t + \|\mu_i^n z\|^t), & \\ \frac{\rho}{\mu_i^{3n}} (\|\mu_i^n x\|^t \|\mu_i^n y\|^t \|\mu_i^n z\|^t), & \\ \frac{\rho}{\mu_i^{3n}} (\|\mu_i^n x\|^t \|\mu_i^n y\|^t \|\mu_i^n z\|^t + (\|\mu_i^n x\|^{3t} + \|\mu_i^n y\|^{3t} + \|\mu_i^n z\|^{3t})) & \end{cases} =$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \\ \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \\ \quad \text{i.e., (1) is holds. But we have} \\ \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \\ \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{array} \right.$$

$$\Gamma(x, x, x) = \Phi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}\right) = \begin{cases} \kappa_5, \\ \frac{\kappa_6 \|x\|^t}{2^t}, \\ \frac{\kappa_7 \|x\|^{3t}}{2^{3t}}, \\ \frac{\kappa_8 \|x\|^{3t}}{2^{3t}} \end{cases}$$

Also,

$$\frac{1}{\mu_i^3} \Gamma(x, x, x) = \begin{cases} \frac{\kappa_5}{\mu_i^3}, \\ \frac{\kappa_6 \|x\|^t}{\mu_i^3 2^t}, \\ \frac{\kappa_7 \|x\|^{3t}}{\mu_i^3 2^{3t}}, \\ \frac{\kappa_8 \|x\|^{3t}}{\mu_i^3 2^{3t}}. \end{cases} = \begin{cases} \mu_i^{-3} \kappa_5, \\ \mu_i^{t-3} \frac{\kappa_6 \|x\|^t}{2^t}, \\ \mu_i^{3t-3} \frac{\kappa_7 \|x\|^{3t}}{2^{3t}}, \\ \mu_i^{3t-3} \frac{\kappa_8 \|x\|^{3t}}{2^{3t}}. \end{cases} = \begin{cases} \mu_i^{-3} \Gamma(x, x, x), \\ \mu_i^{t-3} \Gamma(x, x, x), \\ \mu_i^{3t-3} \Gamma(x, x, x), \\ \mu_i^{3t-3} \Gamma(x, x, x). \end{cases}$$

Hence the inequality (6.12) holds either, $L = 2^{-3}$ if $i = 0$ and $L = 2^3$ if $i = 1$. Now from (6.13), we prove the following cases for condition (i).

Case: 1 $L = 2^{-3}$ if $i = 0$.

$$\|f(2x) - 2f(x) - C(x)\| \leq \frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L} \Gamma(x, x, x) = \frac{(2^{-3})^{1-0}}{1-2^{-3}} \kappa_5 = \frac{\kappa_5}{7}$$

Case: 2 $L = 2^3$ if $i = 1$.

$$\|f(2x) - 2f(x) - C(x)\| \leq \frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L} \Gamma(x, x, x) = \frac{(2^3)^{1-1}}{1-2^3} \kappa_5 = \frac{-\kappa_5}{7}$$

Also, (6.12) holds either, $L = 2^{t-3}$ for $t < 3$ if $i = 0$ and $L = \frac{1}{2^{t-3}}$ for $t > 3$ if $i = 1$. Now from (6.13), we prove the following cases for condition (ii).

Case:1 $L = 2^{t-3}$ for $t < 3$ if $i = 0$.

$$\|f(2x) - 2f(x) - C(x)\| \leq \frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L} \Gamma(x, x, x) = \frac{(2^{t-3})^{1-0}}{1-2^{t-3}} \frac{\kappa_6 \|x\|^t}{2^t} = \frac{\kappa_6 \|x\|^t}{8-2^t}.$$

Case: 2 $L = \frac{1}{2^{t-3}}$ for $t > 3$ if $i = 1$.

$$\|f(2x) - 2f(x) - C(x)\| \leq \frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L} \Gamma(x, x, x) = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2^{t-3}}\right)^{1-1}}{1-\frac{1}{2^{t-3}}} \frac{\kappa_6 \|x\|^t}{2^t} = \frac{\kappa_6 \|x\|^t}{2^t - 8}.$$

Also, (6.12) holds either, $L = 2^{3t-3}$ for $3t < 3$ if $i = 0$ and $L = \frac{1}{2^{3t-3}}$ for $3t > 3$ if $i = 1$. Now from (6.13), we prove the following cases for condition (iii).

Case:1 $L = 2^{3t-3}$ for $3t < 3$ if $i = 0$.

$$\|f(2x) - 2f(x) - C(x)\| \leq \frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L} \Gamma(x, x, x) = \frac{(2^{3t-3})^{1-0}}{1-2^{3t-3}} \frac{\kappa_7 \|x\|^{3t}}{2^{3t}} = \frac{\kappa_7 \|x\|^{3t}}{8-2^{3t}}.$$

Case: 2 $L = \frac{1}{2^{3t-3}}$ for $3t > 3$ if $i = 1$.

$$\|f(2x) - 2f(x) - C(x)\| \leq \frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L} \Gamma(x, x, x) = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2^{3t-3}}\right)^{1-1}}{1-\frac{1}{2^{3t-3}}} \frac{\kappa_7 \|x\|^{3t}}{2^{3t}} = \frac{\kappa_7 \|x\|^{3t}}{2^{3t} - 8}.$$

Finally, (6.12) holds either, $L = 2^{3t-3}$ for $3t < 3$ if $i = 0$ and $L = \frac{1}{2^{3t-3}}$ for $3t > 3$ if $i = 1$. Now from (6.13), we prove the following cases for condition (iv).

Case: 1 $L = 2^{3t-3}$ for $3t < 3$ if $i = 0$.

$$\|f(2x) - 2f(x) - C(x)\| \leq \frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L} \Gamma(x, x, x) = \frac{(2^{3t-3})^{1-0}}{1-2^{3t-3}} \frac{\kappa_8 \|x\|^{3t}}{2^{3t}} = \frac{\kappa_8 \|x\|^{3t}}{8-2^{3t}}.$$

Case: 2 $L = \frac{1}{2^{3t-3}}$ for $3t > 3$ if $i = 1$.

$$\|f(2x) - 2f(x) - C(x)\| \leq \frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L} \Gamma(x, x, x) = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2^{3t-3}}\right)^{1-1}}{1-\frac{1}{2^{3t-3}}} \frac{\kappa_8 \|x\|^{3t}}{2^{3t}} = \frac{\kappa_8 \|x\|^{3t}}{2^{3t}-8}.$$

Hence the proof of the corollary is complete. \square

Theorem 6.3. Let $f : U \rightarrow V$ be an odd function for which there exists a function $\psi : U^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ with the condition (6.1) and (6.10)

with

$$\mu_i = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } i = 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } i = 1 \end{cases}$$

such that the functional inequality

$$\|Df(x, y, z)\| \leq \phi(x, y, z) \quad (6.18)$$

for all $x, y, z \in U$. If there exists $L = L(i) < 1$ such that the function

$$x \rightarrow \Gamma(x, x, x) = \Phi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}\right),$$

has the property (6.3) and (6.12), then there exists a unique additive function $A : U \rightarrow V$ and a unique cubic function $C : U \rightarrow V$ satisfying (1.7) and

$$\|f(x) - A(x) - C(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{3} \frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L} \Gamma(x, x, x) \quad (6.19)$$

for all $x \in U$, where $A(x)$ and $C(x)$ are defined in (6.7) and (6.16) respectively for all $x \in U$.

Proof. By Theorems 6.1 and 6.2, there exists a unique additive function $A' : U \rightarrow V$ and a unique cubic function $C' : U \rightarrow V$ such that

$$\|f(2x) - 8f(x) - A'(x)\| \leq \frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L} \Gamma(x, x, x) \quad (6.20)$$

and

$$\|f(2x) - 2f(x) - C'(x)\| \leq \frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L} \Gamma(x, x, x) \quad (6.21)$$

for all $x \in U$. Now from (6.20) and (6.21), that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(x) + \frac{1}{6}A'(x) - \frac{1}{6}C'(x)\| &= \left\| \left\{ -\frac{f(2x)}{6} + \frac{8f(x)}{6} + \frac{A'(x)}{6} \right\} + \left\{ \frac{f(2x)}{6} - \frac{2f(x)}{6} - \frac{C'(x)}{6} \right\} \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{6} \{ \|f(2x) - 8f(x) - A'(x)\| + \|f(2x) - 2f(x) - C'(x)\| \} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{6} \left\{ \frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L} \Gamma(x, x, x) + \frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L} \Gamma(x, x, x) \right\} = \frac{1}{3} \frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L} \Gamma(x, x, x) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in U$. Thus, we obtain (6.19) by defining $A(x) = \frac{-1}{6}A'(x)$ and $C(x) = \frac{1}{6}C'(x)$, where $A(x)$ and $C(x)$ are defined in (6.7) and (6.16) respectively for all $x \in U$. \square

The following corollary is an immediate consequence of Theorem 6.3 concerning the stability of (1.7).

Corollary 6.3. Let ρ, t be nonnegative real numbers. Suppose that an odd function $f : U \rightarrow V$ with $f(0) = 0$ satisfies the inequality

$$\|Df(x, y, z)\| \leq \begin{cases} \rho, & t \neq 1, 3; \\ \rho \left(\|x\|^t + \|y\|^t + \|z\|^t \right), & t \neq \frac{1}{3}, 1; \\ \rho \left(\|x\|^t \|y\|^t \|z\|^t + (\|x\|^{3t} + \|y\|^{3t} + \|z\|^{3t}) \right), & t = \frac{1}{3}, 1; \end{cases} \quad (6.22)$$

for all $x, y, z \in U$. Then there exists a unique additive function $A : U \rightarrow V$ and a unique cubic function $C : U \rightarrow V$ such that

$$\|f(x) - A(x) - C(x)\| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{8\kappa_5}{21}, & \\ \frac{\kappa_6 \|x\|^t}{3} \left\{ \frac{1}{|2-2^t|} + \frac{1}{|8-2^t|} \right\}, & \\ \frac{\kappa_7 \|x\|^{3t}}{3} \left\{ \frac{1}{|2-2^{3t}|} + \frac{1}{|8-2^{3t}|} \right\}, & \\ \frac{\kappa_8 \|x\|^{3t}}{3} \left\{ \frac{1}{|2-2^{3t}|} + \frac{1}{|8-2^{3t}|} \right\}, & \end{cases} \quad (6.23)$$

for all $x \in U$, where κ_i ($i = 5, 6, 7, 8$) are given in (6.9).

7 STABILITY RESULTS: MIXED CASE

Theorem 7.1. Let $\psi, \phi : U^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function that satisfies (6.1), (6.11), (6.1) and (6.10) for all $x, y, z \in U$. Suppose that a function $f : U \rightarrow V$ with $f(0) = 0$ satisfies the inequalities (6.20) and (6.18) for all $x, y, z \in U$. Then there exists a unique additive function $A : U \rightarrow V$, a unique quadratic function $Q_2 : U \rightarrow V$, a unique cubic function $C : U \rightarrow V$ and a unique quartic function $Q_4 : U \rightarrow V$ such that

$$\|f(x) - A(x) - Q_2(x) - C(x) - Q_4(x)\| \leq \frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L} \{ \Gamma_{Q_2 Q_4}(x, x, x) + \Gamma_{AC}(x, x, x) \} \quad (7.1)$$

for all $x \in U$, where $\Gamma_{Q_2 Q_4}(x, x, x)$ and $\Gamma_{AC}(x, x, x)$ are defined by

$$\Gamma_{Q_2 Q_4}(x, x, x) = \frac{1}{12} [\Gamma(x, x, x) + \Gamma(-x, -x, -x)] \quad (7.2)$$

$$\Gamma_{AC}(x, x, x) = \frac{1}{6} [\Gamma(x, x, x) + \Gamma(-x, -x, -x)] \quad (7.3)$$

respectively for all $x \in U$.

Proof. Let $f_e(x) = \frac{1}{2} \{f(x) + f(-x)\}$ for all $x \in U$. Then $f_e(0) = 0$, $f_e(x) = f_e(-x)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|Df_e(x, y, z)\| &= \frac{1}{2} \{ \|Df(x, y, z) + Df(-x, -y, -z)\| \} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \{ \|Df(x, y, z)\| + \|Df(-x, -y, -z)\| \} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \{ \psi(x, y, z) + \psi(-x, -y, -z) \} \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in U$. Hence from Theorem 6.3, there exists a unique quadratic function $Q_2 : U \rightarrow V$ and a unique quartic function $Q_4 : U \rightarrow V$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(x) - Q_2(x) - Q_4(x)\| &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{1}{6} \frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L} \Gamma(x, x, x) + \frac{1}{6} \frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L} \Gamma(-x, -x, -x) \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{12} \frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L} \{ \Gamma(x, x, x) + \Gamma(-x, -x, -x) \}, \end{aligned} \quad (7.4)$$

for all $x \in U$. Again $f_o(x) = \frac{1}{2} \{f(x) - f(-x)\}$ for all $x \in U$. Then $f_o(0) = 0$, $f_o(x) = -f_o(-x)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|Df_o(x, y, z)\| &= \frac{1}{2} \{ \|Df(x, y, z) - Df(-x, -y, -z)\| \} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \{ \|Df(x, y, z)\| - \|Df(-x, -y, -z)\| \} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \{ \phi(x, y, z) - \phi(-x, -y, -z) \} \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in U$. Hence from Theorem 6.3, there exists a unique additive function $A : U \rightarrow V$ and a unique cubic function $C : U \rightarrow V$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(x) - A(x) - C(x)\| &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{1}{3} \frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L} \Gamma(x, x, x) + \frac{1}{3} \frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L} \Gamma(-x, -x, -x) \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{6} \frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L} \{\Gamma(x, x, x) + \Gamma(-x, -x, -x)\}, \end{aligned} \quad (7.5)$$

for all $x \in U$. Since $f(x) = f_e(x) + f_o(x)$ then it follows from (7.4) and (7.5) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(x) - A(x) - Q_2(x) - C(x) - Q_4(x)\| &= \|\{f_e(x) - Q_2(x) - Q_4(x)\} + \{f_o(x) - A(x) - C(x)\}\| \\ &\leq \|f_e(x) - Q_2(x) - Q_4(x)\| + \|f_o(x) - A(x) - C(x)\| \quad \text{for all } x \in U. \\ &\leq \frac{1}{12} \frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L} \{\Gamma(x, x, x) + \Gamma(-x, -x, -x)\} + \frac{1}{6} \frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L} \{\Gamma(x, x, x) + \Gamma(-x, -x, -x)\} \\ &\leq \frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L} \{\Gamma_{Q_2Q_4}(x, x, x) + \Gamma_{AC}(x, x, x)\} \end{aligned}$$

Hence the proof of the theorem is complete. \square

The following corollary is an immediate consequence of Theorem 7.1 concerning the stability of (1.7).

Corollary 7.1. *Let ρ, t be nonnegative real numbers. Suppose that a function $f : U \rightarrow V$ with $f(0) = 0$ satisfies the inequality*

$$\|Df(x, y, z)\| \leq \begin{cases} \rho, & t \neq 1, 2, 3, 4; \\ \rho \left(\|x\|^t + \|y\|^t + \|z\|^t \right), & t \neq \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{4}{3}, 1; \\ \rho \left(\|x\|^t \|y\|^t \|z\|^t \right), & t \neq \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{4}{3}, 1; \\ \rho \left(\|x\|^t \|y\|^t \|z\|^t + (\|x\|^{3t} + \|y\|^{3t} + \|z\|^{3t}) \right), & t \neq \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{4}{3}, 1; \end{cases} \quad (7.6)$$

for all $x, y, z \in U$. Then there exists a unique additive function $A : U \rightarrow V$, a unique quadratic function $Q_2 : U \rightarrow V$, a unique cubic function $C : U \rightarrow V$ and a unique quartic function $Q_4 : U \rightarrow V$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(x) - A(x) - Q_2(x) - C(x) - Q_4(x)\| &\leq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \left[2\kappa_1 + \frac{8\kappa_5}{21} \right], & t \neq 1, 2, 3, 4; \\ \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\kappa_2}{6} \left\{ \frac{1}{4-2^t} + \frac{1}{16-2^t} \right\} + \frac{\kappa_6}{3} \left\{ \frac{1}{2-2^t} + \frac{1}{8-2^t} \right\} \right] \|x\|^t, & t \neq \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{4}{3}, 1; \\ \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\kappa_3}{6} \left\{ \frac{1}{4-2^{3t}} + \frac{1}{16-2^{3t}} \right\} + \frac{\kappa_7}{3} \left\{ \frac{1}{2-2^{3t}} + \frac{1}{8-2^{3t}} \right\} \right] \|x\|^{3t}, & t \neq \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{4}{3}, 1; \\ \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\kappa_4}{6} \left\{ \frac{1}{4-2^{3t}} + \frac{1}{16-2^{3t}} \right\} + \frac{\kappa_8}{3} \left\{ \frac{1}{2-2^{3t}} + \frac{1}{8-2^{3t}} \right\} \right] \|x\|^{3t} & \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (7.7)$$

for all $x \in U$, where κ_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, 8$) are respectively, given in (6.10) and (6.9).

References

- [1] J. Aczel, J. Dhombres, Functional equations in several variables, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989.
- [2] T. Aoki, "On the stability of the linear transformation in Banach spaces," Journal of the Mathematical Society of Japan, vol. 2, pp. 64–66, 1950.
- [3] M. Arunkumar, S. Karthikeyan, Solution and stability of n-dimensional mixed Type additive and quadratic functional equation, Far East Journal of Applied Mathematics, Volume 54, Number 1, 2011, 47-64.
- [4] M. Arunkumar, John M. Rassias, On the generalized Ulam-Hyers stability of an AQ- mixed type functional equation with counter examples, Far East Journal of Applied Mathematics, Volume 71, No. 2, (2012), 279-305.
- [5] M. Arunkumar, Solution and stability of modified additive and quadratic functional equation in generalized 2-normed spaces, International Journal Mathematical Sciences and Engineering Applications, Vol. 7 No. I (January, 2013), 383-391.

- [6] M. Arunkumar, Generalized Ulam - Hyers stability of derivations of a AQ – functional equation, "Cubo A Mathematical Journal" dedicated to Professor Gaston M. N'Gurkata on the occasion of his 60th Birthday Vol.15, No 01, 2013, 159-169.
- [7] M. Arunkumar, Perturbation of n Dimensional AQ - mixed type Functional Equation via Banach Spaces and Banach Algebra: Hyers Direct and Alternative Fixed Point Methods, International Journal of Advanced Mathematical Sciences (IJAMS), Vol. 2, 2014, 34-56.
- [8] Y. J. Cho, C. Park, and R. Saadati, "Functional inequalities in non-Archimedean Banach spaces," Applied Mathematics Letters, vol. 23, no. 10, 2010, 1238–1242.
- [9] P. W. Cholewa, Remarks on the stability of functional equations, *Aequationes Math.*, **27** (1984), 76 -86.
- [10] S. Czerwak, On the stability of the quadratic mappings in normed spaces, *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ Hamburg.*, **62** (1992), 59-64.
- [11] S. Czerwak, Stability of functional equations of Ulam-Hyers-Rassias type, Hadronic Press, Cityplace-Plam Harbor, StateFlorida, 2003.
- [12] J. B. Diaz and B. Margolis, "A fixed point theorem of the alternative, for contractions on a generalized complete metric space," *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 74, 1968, 305–309.
- [13] P. Gavrut, "A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 184, no. 3, 1994, 431–436.
- [14] M. Eshaghi Gordji, H. Khodaie, Solution and stability of generalized mixed type cubic, quadratic and additive functional equation in quasi-Banach spaces, arxiv: 0812. 2939v1 Math FA, 15 Dec 2008.
- [15] M. E. Gordji, Ebadian, A, Zolfaghari, S: Stability of a functional equation deriving from cubic and quartic functions. *Abstr Appl Anal*2008, 17. (Article ID 801904)
- [16] M. Eshaghi Gordji, N.Ghobadipour, J. M. Rassias, Fuzzy stability of additive-quadratic functional Equations, arXiv:0903.0842v1 [math.FA] 4 Mar 2009.
- [17] M. Eshaghi Gordji, M. Bavand Savadkouhi, and Choongkil Park, Quadratic-Quartic Functional Equations in RN-Spaces, *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, Vol. 2009, Article ID 868423, 14 pages, doi:10.1155/2009/868423.
- [18] M. E. Gordji, Stability of an additive-quadratic functional equation of two variables in F-spaces. *J Non-linear Sci Appl.* 2(??), (2009) , 251–259.
- [19] M. E. Gordji, Abbaszadeh, S, Park, C: On the stability of generalized mixed type quadratic and quartic functional equation in quasi-Banach spaces. *J Ineq Appl* 2009, 26 (2009). Article ID 153084
- [20] M. E. Gordji, Bavand-Savadkouhi, M, Rassias, JM, Zolfaghari, S: Solution and stability of a mixed type cubic and quartic functional equation in quasi-Banach spaces. *Abs Appl Anal* 2009, 14. (Article ID 417473)
- [21] M. E. Gordji, Khodaei, H: Solution and stability of generalized mixed type cubic, quadratic and additive functional equation in quasi-Banach spaces. *Nonlinear Anal TMA.* 71, 5629–5643 (2009). doi:10.1016/j.na.2009.04.052.
- [22] M. E. Gordji, Kaboli-Gharetapeh, S, Park, C, Zolfaghri, S: Stability of an additive-cubic-quartic functional equation. *Adv Differ Equ* 2009, 20 (2009). Article ID 395693
- [23] M. E. Gordji, Kaboli Gharetapeh, S, Rassias, JM, Zolfaghari, S: Solution and stability of a mixed type additive, quadratic and cubic functional equation. *Adv Differ Equ*2009, 17. (Article ID 826130).
- [24] M. Eshaghi Gordji, Stability of a functional equation deriving from quartic and additive functions. *Bull Korean Math Soc.* 47(??), (2010), 491–502.

- [25] M. E. Gordji, Khodaei, H, Khodabakhsh, R: General quartic-cubic-quadratic functional equation in non-Archimedean normed spaces. UPB Sci Bull Series A. 72(??), 69–84 (2010).
- [26] M. E. Gordji and M. B. Savadkouhi, “Stability of a mixed type cubic-quartic functional equation in non-Archimedean spaces,” Applied Mathematics Letters, vol. 23, no. 10, pp. 1198–1202, 2010.
- [27] M. E. Gordji, H. Khodaei, J.M. Rassias, Fixed point methods for the stability of general quadratic functional equation, Fixed Point Theory 12, no. 1, (2011), 71-82.
- [28] M. E. Gordji, H. Khodaei, and Th. M. Rassias, “On the Hyers – Ulam – Rassias stability of a generalized mixed type of quartic, cubic, quadratic and additive functional equations in quasi-Banach spaces,” <http://arxiv4.library.cornell.edu/abs/0903.0834v2>
- [29] D. H. Hyers, “On the stability of the linear functional equation,” Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, vol. 27, pp. 222–224, 1941.
- [30] D. H. Hyers and Th. M. Rassias, Approximate homomorphism, Aequationes Math., **44** (1992), 125-153.
- [31] D. H. Hyers, G. Isac and Th. M. Rassias, Stability of functional equations in several variables, Birkhauser Basel, 1998.
- [32] D. H. Hyers, G. Isac and Th. M. Rassias, On the asymptotically of Hyers Ulam stability of mappings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **126** (1998), 425-430.
- [33] G. Isac and Th. M. Rassias, “Stability of ψ -additive mappings: applications to nonlinear analysis,” International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 219–228, 1996.
- [34] Sun Sook Jin, Yang-Hi Lee, A Fixed Point Approach to the Stability of the Cauchy Additive and Quadratic Type Functional Equation, Journal of Applied Mathematics, doi:10.1155/2011/817079, 16 pages.
- [35] Sun Sook Jin, Yang Hi Lee, Fuzzy Stability of a Quadratic-Additive Functional Equation, International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, doi:10.1155/2011/504802, 16 pages
- [36] K.W. Jun, H.M. Kim, On the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of a generalized quadratic and additive type functional equation, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 42(??)(2005), 133-148.
- [37] K.W. Jun, H.M. Kim, On the stability of an n-dimensional quadratic and additive type functional equation, Math. Ineq. Appl 9(??)(2006), 153-165.
- [38] Jun, KW, Kim, HM: Ulam stability problem for a mixed type of cubic and additive functional equation. Bull Belg Math Soc simon Stevin. 13, 271–285 (2006).
- [39] Kim, HM: On the stability problem for a mixed type of quartic and quadratic functional equation. J Math Anal Appl. 324, 358–372 (2006).
- [40] A. Khrennikov, Non-Archimedean Analysis: Quantum Paradoxes, Dynamical Systems and Biological Models, vol. 427 of Mathematics and Its Applications, Kluwer Academic, CityDordrecht, The country-regionplaceNetherlands, 1997.
- [41] D. Miheţ and V. Radu, “On the stability of the additive Cauchy functional equation in random normed spaces,” Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, vol. 343, no. 1, pp. 567–572, 2008.
- [42] A. K. Mirmostafaee, “Approximately additive mappings in non-Archimedean normed spaces,” Bulletin of the Korean Mathematical Society, vol. 46, no. 2, pp. 387–400, 2009.
- [43] M. S. Moslehian and T. M. Rassias, “Stability of functional equations in non-Archimedean spaces,” Applicable Analysis and Discrete Mathematics, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 325–334, 2007.
- [44] M. S. Moslehian and G. Sadeghi, “A Mazur-Ulam theorem in non-Archimedean normed spaces,” Non-linear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications, vol. 69, no. 10, pp. 3405–3408, 2008.

- [45] M. S. Moslehian and G. Sadeghi, "Stability of two types of cubic functional equations in non-Archimedean spaces," *Real Analysis Exchange*, vol. 33, no. 2, pp. 375–383, 2008.
- [46] A. Najati and G. Z. Eskandani, "Stability of a mixed additive and cubic functional equation in quasi-Banach spaces," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 342, no. 2, pp. 1318–1331, 2008.
- [47] Najati, A, Zamani Eskandani, G: Stability of a mixed additive and cubic functional equation in quasi-Banach spaces. *J Math Anal Appl.* 342, 1318–1331 (2008). doi:10.1016/j.jmaa.2007.12.039
- [48] Najati, A, Cityplace Moghimi, StateMB: Stability of a functional equation deriving from quadratic and additive function in quasi-Banach spaces. *J Math Anal Appl.* 337, 399–415 (2008). doi:10.1016/j.jmaa.2007.03.104
- [49] A. Najati, M.B. Moghimi, On the stability of a quadratic and additive functional equation, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 337 (2008), 399-415.
- [50] B. Panah, "Some remarks on stability and solvability of linear functional equations," *Banach Journal of Mathematical Analysis*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 56–65, 2007.
- [51] C. Park, "Fixed points and the stability of an AQCQ-functional equation in non-archimedean normed spaces," *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2010, Article ID 849543, 15 pages, 2010.
- [52] C. Park, Orthogonal Stability of an Additive-Quadratic Functional Equation, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications* 2011:66.
- [53] V. Radu, "The fixed point alternative and the stability of functional equations," *Fixed Point Theory*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 91–96, 2003.
- [54] Matina J. Rassias, M. Arunkumar, S. Ramamoorthi, Stability of the Leibniz additive- quadratic functional equation in Quasi-Beta normed space: Direct and fixed point methods, *Journal Of Concrete And Applicable Mathematics (JCAAM)*, Vol. 14 No. 1-2, (2014), 22 - 46.
- [55] J. M. Rassias, "On approximation of approximately linear mappings by linear mappings," *Journal of Functional Analysis*, vol. 46, no. 1, pp. 126–130, 1982.
- [56] J. M. Rassias, "Solution of a problem of Ulam," *Journal of Approximation Theory*, vol. 57, no. 3, pp. 268–273, 1989.
- [57] J. M. Rassias, "Solution of the Ulam stability problem for quartic mappings," *Glasnik Matematički*. Serija III, vol. 34, no. 2, pp. 243–252, 1999.
- [58] J.M. Rassias, K.Ravi, M.Arunkumar and B.V.Senthil Kumar, Ulam Stability of Mixed type Cubic and Additive functional equation, *Functional Ulam Notions (F.U.N)* Nova Science Publishers, 2010, Chapter 13, 149 - 175.
- [59] J. M. Rassias, "Solution of the Ulam stability problem for cubic mappings," *Glasnik Matematički*. Serija III, vol. 36, no. 1, pp. 63–72, 2001.
- [60] Th. M. Rassias, "On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 72, no. 2, pp. 297–300, 1978.
- [61] Th. M. Rassias, The problem of S. M. Ulam for approximately multiplicative mappings, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **246** (2000), 352-378.
- [62] Th. M. Rassias, On the stability of functional equations in Banach spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **251** (2000), 264-284.
- [63] K. Ravi, M. Arunkumar, and J. M. Rassias, "Ulam stability for the orthogonally general Euler- Lagrange type functional equation," *International Journal of Mathematics and Statistics*, vol. 3, no. A08, pp. 36–46, 2008.

- [64] K. Ravi, J. M. Rassias, M. Arunkumar, and R. Kodandan, "Stability of a generalized mixed type additive, quadratic, cubic and quartic functional equation," *Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 10, no. 4, article 114, pp. 1–29, 2009.
- [65] A. M. Robert, *A Course in p-Adic Analysis*, vol. 198 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, Springer, City-placeNew York, StateNY, country-regionUSA, 2000.
- [66] R. Saadati, S. M. Vaezpour, and Y. J. Cho, "A note to paper "On the stability of cubic mappings and quartic mappings in random normed spaces"," *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 2009, Article ID 214530, 6 pages, 2009.
- [67] V. S. Vladimirov, I. V. Volovich, and E. I. Zelenov, *p-Adic Analysis and Mathematical Physics*, vol. 1 of *Series on Soviet and East European Mathematics*,World Scientific, River Edge, NJ, USA, 1994.
- [68] T. Z. Xu, J. M. Rassias, and W. X. Xu, "Generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of a general mixed additive cubic functional equation in quasi-Banach spaces," Preprint.
- [69] T. Z. Xu, J. M. Rassias, and W. X. Xu, "A fixed point approach to the stability of a general mixed additive-cubic functional equation in quasi fuzzy normed spaces," *International Journal of Physical Sciences*. to appear.
- [70] T. Z. Xu, J. M. Rassias, and W. X. Xu, "Intuitionistic fuzzy stability of a general mixed additive-cubic equation," *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, vol. 51, no. 6, 21 pages, 2010.
- [71] T.Z. Xu, J.M. Rassias, W.X Xu, Generalized Ulam-Hyers stability of a general mixed AQCQ-functional equation in multi-Banach spaces: a fixed point approach, *Eur. J. Pure Appl. Math.* 3 (2010), no. 6, 1032-1047.
- [72] T.Z. Xu, J.M Rassias, W.X. Xu, A fixed point approach to the stability of a general mixed AQCQ-functional equation in non-Archimedean normed spaces, *Discrete Dyn. Nat. Soc.* 2010, Art. ID 812545, 24 pp.
- [73] T. Z. Xu, J. M. Rassias, and W. X. Xu, "A generalized mixed quadratic-quartic functional equation," *Bulletin of the Malaysian Mathematical Sciences Society*. to appear.
- [74] S. M. Ulam, *A Collection of Mathematical Problems*, vol. 8 of *Interscience Tracts in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, Interscience Publishers, placeCityLondon, country-regionUK, 1960.

Received: August 10, 2016; *Accepted:* December 12, 2016

UNIVERSITY PRESS

Website: <http://www.malayajournal.org/>