

**https://doi.org/10.26637/MJM0701/0013**

# **Nano semi** *c*(*s*) **generalized continuous functions in nano topological spaces**

A. Pushpalatha<sup>1\*</sup> and S. Visalakshi<sup>2</sup>

#### **Abstract**

The purpose of this paper is to introduce and study a new class of functions called nano semi c(s) generalized continuous functions in nano topological spaces. Some of the properties of nano semi c(s) - generalized continuous function are analyzed.

#### **Keywords**

*Nsc*(*s*)*g*-closed set, *Nsc*(*s*)*g*-continuous function.

**AMS Subject Classification**

54B05, 54C05.

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Mathematics, Government Arts College, Udumalpet, Tamil Nadu, India.*

<sup>2</sup>*Department of Mathematics, Vidyasagar College of Arts and Science, Udumalpet, Tamil Nadu, India.*

**\*Corresponding author**: <sup>1</sup>velu pushpa@yahoo.co.in, <sup>2</sup>visal.s1982@gmail.com

**Article History**: Received **12** August **2018**; Accepted **19** December **2018** c 2019 MJM.

# **Contents**



#### **1. Introduction**

<span id="page-0-0"></span>The concept of continuity plays major role in general topology. Many authors have studied different types of continuity. M.Lellis Thivagar and Carmel Richard [\[4\]](#page-4-1) introduced nano topological space with respect to a subset *X* of a universe which is defined in terms of lower and upper approximations of *X*. The elements of nano topological space are called nano open sets. He has defined nano closed sets, nano interior and nano closure of a set in nano topological space. He has also introduced a nano continuous function, nano open mappings, nano closed mappings and nano homeomorphisms in nano topological space.

In this paper we have introduced a new class of continuous functions called nano semi *c*(*s*) generalized continuous functions and obtain some characterizations in terms of nano interior and nano closure in nano topological spaces.

Throughout this paper  $(U, \tau_R(X))$ ,  $(V, \tau_{R'}(Y))$  and  $(W, \tau_{R''}(Z))$  and are nano topological spaces with respect to *X*, where  $X \subseteq U, Y \subseteq V, Z \subseteq W$ . *R*,  $R^1$  and  $R^{11}$  are an equiv<span id="page-0-1"></span>alence relations on *U*, *V* and *W*. *U*/*R*, *V*/*R*<sup>1</sup>, *W*/*R*<sup>11</sup> denotes the the family of equivalence classes by the equivalence relations  $R$ ,  $R^1$  and  $R^{11}$  respectively on  $U$ ,  $V$  and  $W$ .

### **2. Preliminaries**

Definition 2.1. *[\[4\]](#page-4-1) Let U be a non-empty finite set of objects called the universe and R be an equivalence relation on U named as indiscernibility relation. Then U is divided into disjoint equivalence classes. Elements belonging to the same equivalence class are said to be indiscernible with one another. The pair (U*,*R) is said to be the approximation space. Let*  $X \subseteq U$ *. Then,* 

*(i)The lower approximation of x with respect to R is the set of all objects, which can be for certain classified as X with respect to R and is denoted by LR*(*X*)*.*

 $L_R(X) = \bigcup_{x \in U} \{ R(x) : R(x) \subseteq X \}$  *where*  $R(x)$  *denotes the equivalence class determined by*  $x \in U$ *.* 

*(ii)The upper approximation of x with respect to R is the set of all objects which can be possibly classified as X with respect to R and is denoted by*  $U_R(X)$ *.* 

 $U_R(X) = \bigcup_{x \in U} \{ R(x) : R(x) \cap X \neq \emptyset \}$ 

*(iii)The boundary region of x with respect to R is the set of all objects which can be classified neither as X nor as not-X with respect to R and it is denoted by*  $B_R(X)$ *.* 

$$
B_R(X) = U_R(X) - L_R(X).
$$

Proposition 2.2. *[\[4\]](#page-4-1) If* (*U*,*R*) *is an approximation space and*  $X, Y \subseteq U$ *, then* 

*1.*  $L_R(X) ⊆ X ⊆ U_R(X)$ 

$$
2. L_R(\phi) = U_R(\phi) = \phi
$$

$$
3. L_R(U) = U_R(U) = U
$$

- *4.*  $U_R(X \cup Y) = U_R(X) \cup U_R(Y)$
- *5.*  $U_R(X \cap Y) \subseteq U_R(X) \cap U_R(Y)$
- *6. LR*(*X* ∪*Y*) ⊇ *LR*(*X*) ∪ *LR*(*Y*)
- *7.*  $L_R(X \cap Y) = L_R(X) \cap L_R(Y)$
- *8.*  $L_R(X) ⊆ L_R(Y)$  *and*  $U_R(X) ⊆ U_R(Y)$  *whenever*  $X ⊆ Y$

9. 
$$
U_R(X^c) = [L_R(X)]^c
$$
 and  $L_R(X^c) = [U_R(X)]^c$ 

10. 
$$
U_R[U_R(X)] = L_R[U_R(X)] = U_R(X)
$$

*11.*  $L_R[L_R(X)] = U_R[L_R(X)] = L_R(X)$ 

Definition 2.3. *[\[4\]](#page-4-1) Let U be the universe, R be an equivalence relation on U and*  $\tau_R(X) = \{U, \phi, L_R(X), U_R(X), B_R(X)\}$  *where*  $X \subseteq U$ . Then  $\tau_R(X)$  *satisfies the following axioms (i) U* and  $\phi \in \tau_R(X)$ .

*(ii)* The union of the elements of any sub-collection of  $\tau_R(X)$ *is in*  $\tau_R(X)$ .

*(iii) The intersection of the elements of any finite sub collection of*  $\tau_R(X)$  *is in*  $\tau_R(X)$ *.* 

*Then* τ*R*(*X*) *is a topology on U called the nano topology on U* with respect to *X*. We call  $(U, \tau_R(X))$  as nano topological *space. The elements of*  $\tau_R(X)$  *are called as nano-open sets. The complement of the nano-open sets are called nano-closed sets.*

**Remark 2.4.** [\[4\]](#page-4-1) If  $\tau_R(X)$  is the nano topology on *U* with *respect to X, then the set*  $B = \{U, L_R(X), B_R(X)\}$  *is the basis for*  $\tau_R(X)$ *.* 

**Definition 2.5.** *[\[4\]](#page-4-1) If*  $(U, \tau_R(X))$  *is a nano topological space with respect to X where X*  $\subseteq$  *U and if A*  $\subseteq$  *U, then* 

*(i)The nano interior of A is defined as the union of all nanoopen subsets of A is contained in A and is denoted by Nint*(*A*)*. That is, Nint*(*A*) *is the largest nano-open subset of A.*

*(ii)The nano closure of A is defined as the intersection of all nano-closed sets containing A and is denoted by Ncl*(*A*))*. That is, Ncl*(*A*)) *is the smallest nano-closed set containing A.*

**Definition 2.6.** A subset of a nano topolgical space  $(U, \tau_R(X))$ *is called*

*a*) *Ng-closed* [\[1\]](#page-4-3) *if*  $Ncl(A) \subseteq G$ *, whenever*  $H \subseteq G$  *and*  $G$  *is nano open set in*  $(U, \tau_R(X))$ .

*b*) *Nsg-closed* [\[2\]](#page-4-4) *if*  $Nscl(A) \subseteq G$ *, whenever*  $H \subseteq G$  *and G is nano semi - open set in*  $(U, \tau_R(X))$ .

*c*) *Nt-set* [\[3\]](#page-4-5) *if Nint*(*A*) = *Nint*(*Ncl*(*A*)).

*d*)  $Nc(s)$ -set [\[7\]](#page-4-6) if  $A = G \cap F$ , where G is Ng-open and F is *Nt-set.*

*e*)  $Nsc(s)$ *g-closed* [\[7\]](#page-4-6) *if*  $Nsc(A) ⊆ U$ *, whenever*  $A ⊆ U$  *and U* is  $Nc(s)$ -set in  $(U, \tau_R(X))$ .

*f*) *N* p-open [\[5\]](#page-4-7) if  $A \subseteq Nint(Ncl(A))$ *g*) *Ns-open* [\[5\]](#page-4-7) *if*  $A \subseteq Ncl(Nint(A))$ *h*)  $N\alpha$ -open [\[5\]](#page-4-7) if  $A \subseteq Nint(Ncl(Nint(A)))$ *i*)  $Nr$ -open [\[5\]](#page-4-7) if  $A = Nint(Ncl(A))$ 

**Example 2.7.** *Let*  $U = \{a, b, c, d\}$  *with*  $U/R = \{\{a\}, \{c\}, \{b, d\}\}\$ *and let*  $X = \{a,b\}$ *. Then*  $\tau_R(X) = \{\phi, U, \{c\}, \{b, c, d\}, \{a, c\}\}\$ *is a nano topology on U with respect to X and*  $\tau_{R}c(X) =$  $\{\phi, U, \{c\}, \{b, c, d\}, \{a, c\}\}\$ . A subset *H* of a nano topologi*cal space*  $(U, \tau_R(X))$  *is called:*  $(1)$  nano semi-closed:  $\{\phi, U, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, d\}, \{b, c, d\}\}\$ *in*  $(U, \tau_R(X))$ *(2) nano* α*-closed :* {φ,*U*,{*c*},{*a*, *c*},{*b*, *c*,*d*}} *in* (*U*, τ*R*(*X*))  $(3)$  nano g-closed :  $\{\phi, U, \{c\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, b, c\},\$  ${a, c, d}$ ,  ${b, c, d}$  *in*  $(U, \tau_R(X))$ *(4) nano sg-closed:*{φ,*U*,{*a*},{*b*},{*c*},{*d*},{*a*, *c*},{*b*, *c*},{*b*,*d*},  ${c,d}, {a,b,c}, {a,c,d}, {b,c,d}$  *in*  $(U, \tau_R(X))$  $(5)$  nano g $\alpha$ -closed : { $\phi$ ,  $U$ , { $c$ }, { $a$ ,  $c$ }, { $b$ ,  $c$ }, { $c$ ,  $d$ }, { $a$ ,  $b$ ,  $c$ },  ${a, c, d}, {b, c, d}$  *in*  $(U, \tau_R(X))$ *(6) nano g* ∗ *- closed:* {φ,*U*,{*c*},{*a*, *c*},{*b*, *c*},{*c*,*d*},{*a*,*b*, *c*},  ${a, c, d}, {b, c, d}$  *in*  $(U, \tau_R(X))$ *(7)* nano *rgp-closed:*  $\{\phi, U, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\},$ {*a*,*d*},{*b*, *c*},{*c*,*d*},{*a*,*b*, *c*},{*a*,*b*,*d*},{*a*, *c*,*d*},{*b*, *c*,*d*}} *in*  $(U, \tau_R(X))$ *(8) nano regular-closed:*  $\{\phi, U, \{a, c\}, \{b, c, d\}\}\$ *in*  $(U, \tau_R(X))$  $(9)$  nano  $sc(s)$ *g-closed* :: { $\phi$ ,  $U$ , { $a$ }, { $c$ }, { $a$ ,  $c$ }, { $b$ ,  $c$ }, { $b$ ,  $d$ },  ${c,d}, {a,b,c}, {a,c,d}, {b,c,d}$  *in*  $(U, \tau_R(X))$ 

**Definition 2.8.** *[\[5\]](#page-4-7) Let*  $(U, \tau_R(X))$  *and*  $(V, \tau_{R'}(Y))$  *be a nano topological spaces. Then the function*  $f : (U, \tau_R(X)) \to (V, \tau_{R'}(Y))$ *is said to be nano continuous on U if the inverse image of every nano open set in V is nano open in U.*

**Definition 2.9.** *[\[6\]](#page-4-8) A subset*  $M_x \subset U$  *is called a nano semi pre-neighbourhood (Nβ-nhd) of a point*  $x \in U$  *iff there exists*  $aA \in N\beta O(U,X)$  *such that*  $x \in A \subset M_x$  *and a point x is called N*β*-nhd point of the set A.*

## <span id="page-1-0"></span>**3. Nano semi** *c*(*s*) **generalised continuous function**

In this section we define and study the new class of function, namely nano semi  $c(s)$  generalized continuous functions in nano topological spaces.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $(U, \tau_R(X))$  and  $(V, \tau_{R'}(Y))$  be a nano *topological spaces. Then the function*  $f : (U, \tau_R(X)) \to (V, \tau_{R'}(Y))$ *is said to be nano semi c*(*s*) *generalized continuous (briefly Nsc*(*s*)*g-continuous) on U if the inverse image of every nano open set in V is nano semi c*(*s*)*g-open set in U.*

**Example 3.2.** Let  $U = \{a, b, c, d\}$  with  $U/R = \{\{a\}, \{c\}, \{b, d\}\}\$ *and*  $X = \{a, b\}$ *. Then the nano topology is*  $\tau_R(X) = \{\phi, U, \{a\}, \{a, b, d\}\}\{b, d\}\}\$ . Let  $V = \{x, y, z, w\}$  with  $V/R^1 = \{\{x,z\},\{y\},\{w\}\}\$ and  $Y = \{x,w\}$ *. Then*  $\tau_{R'}(Y) =$  $\{\phi, V, \{w\}, \{x, z, w\}\{x, z\}\}\$ .  $\tau_R^c(X) = \{\phi, U, \{c\}, \{b, c, d\}\{a, c\}\}\$  $\tau_{p}^{c}$  $R^{c}(Y) = \{\phi, V, \{y\}, \{x, y, z\} \{y, w\}\}\$ are the complements of



by  $f(a) = w$ ,  $f(b) = x$ ,  $f(c) = y$  and  $f(d) = z$ . Then  $f^{-1}(\{w\}) = Ncl(f(A)) = Ncl(\{w\}) = V$ . Thus  $f(Ncl(A)) \neq Ncl(f(A))$ ,  ${a}$ ,  $f^{-1}({x, z, w}) = {a, b, d}$ ,  $f^{-1}({x, z}) = {b, d}$  *and*  $f^{-1}(V) = U$ . That is the inverse image of every in nano open *set in V is Nsc*(*s*)*g-open set in U. Therefore f is Nsc*(*s*)*gcontinuous.*

**Theorem 3.3.** A function  $f : (U, \tau_R(X)) \to (V, \tau_{R'}(Y))$  is said *to be Nsc*(*s*)*g-continuous iff the inverse image of every nano closed set in V is Nsc*(*s*)*g-closed set in U.*

*Proof.* Let  $f$  be  $Nsc(s)g$ -continuous and  $F$  be nano closed set in *V*. That is *V* −*F* is nano open set in *V*. Since *f* is *Nsc*(*s*)*g*continuous,  $f^{-1}(V - f)$  is  $Nsc(s)g$ -open set in *U*. That is  $f^{-1}(V) - f^{-1}(F) = U - f^{-1}(F)$  is *Nsc*(*s*)*g*-open set in *U*. Hence  $f^{-1}(F)$  is  $Nsc(s)g$ -closed set in *U*, if *f* is  $Nsc(s)g$ continuous on *U*. Conversely, let us assume that the inverse image of every nano closed set in *V* is *Nsc*(*s*)*g*-closed set in *U*. Let *G* be nano open set in *V*. Then  $V - G$  is nano closed set in *V*. By our assumption  $f^{-1}(V - G)$  is  $Nsc(s)g$ -closed set in *U*. That is  $f^{-1}(V) - f^{-1}(G) = U - f^{-1}(G)$  is  $Nsc(s)g$ -closed set in *U*. Hence  $f^{-1}(G)$  is  $Nsc(s)g$ -open set in *U*. That is the inverse image of every nano open set in *V* is  $Nsc(s)$ *g*-open set in *U*. That is *f* is *Nsc*(*s*)*g*-continuous on *U*.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 3.4.** *A function f* :  $(U, \tau_R(X)) \rightarrow (V, \tau_{R'}(Y))$  *is*  $Nsc(s)$ *g-continuous iff*  $f(Ncl(A)) \subseteq Ncl(f(A))$  *for every subset A of U.*

*Proof.* Let *f* be  $Nsc(s)g$ -continuous and  $A \subseteq U$ . Then  $f(A) \subseteq$ *V*. Also  $Ncl(f(A))$  is nano closed in *V*. Since *f* is  $Nsc(s)g$ continuous,  $f^{-1}(Ncl(f(A))$  is  $Nsc(s)g$ -closed set containing *A*. But every nano closed set is *Nsc*(*s*)*g*-closed set in *U* and is the smallest nano closed set containing *A*. Therefore  $Ncl(A) ⊆ f^{-1}(Ncl(f(A))).$  (ie)  $f(Ncl(A)) ⊆ Ncl(f(A)).$ 

Conversely, let  $f(Ncl(A)) \subseteq Ncl(f(A))$  for every closed subset *A* of *U*. If *F* is nano closed set in *V* and since  $f^{-1}(F) \subseteq U$ we have  $f(Ncl(f^{-1}(F)) \subseteq Ncl(f(f^{-1}(F)) = Ncl(F)$ . That is  $Ncl(f^{-1}(F)) ⊆ f^{-1}(Ncl(F)) = f^{-1}(F)$ , since *F* is nano closed set in *V*. Thus  $Ncl(f^{-1}(F)) \subseteq f^{-1}(F)$ . But  $f^{-1}(F) \subseteq$  $Ncl(f^{-1}(F))$ . That is  $Ncl(f^{-1}(F)) = f^{-1}(F)$ . Therefore  $f^{-1}(F)$  is nano closed in *U*. But every nano closed set is  $Nsc(s)g$ -closed set in *U* we have,  $f^{-1}(F)$  is  $Nsc(s)g$ -closed set in *V*. Hence  $f$  is  $Nsc(s)g$ -continuous on U.  $\Box$ 

**Remark 3.5.** *If f* :  $(U, \tau_R(X)) \rightarrow (V, \tau_{R'}(Y))$  *is Nsc*(*s*)*g-continuous, then f*(*Ncl*(*A*)) *is not necessarily equal to where*  $A \subseteq U$ .

For example, let  $U = \{a, b, c, d\}$ ,  $U/R = \{\{a\}, \{d\}, \{b, c\}\}\$ and  $X = \{a, c\}$ . Then  $\tau_R(X) = \{U, \phi, \{a\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{b, c\}\}.$ Let  $V = \{x, y, z, w\}$ ;  $V/R' = \{\{x\}, \{z\}, \{y, w\}\}\$ and  $Y = \{y, w\}$ then  $\tau_{R'}(Y) = \{V, \phi, \{y, w\}\}\.$  Define

 $f: (U, \tau_R(X)) \to (V, \tau_{R'}(Y))$  by  $f(a) = x, f(b) = y, f(c) = w$ and  $f(d) = z$ . Then  $\tau_R^c(X) = \{U, \phi, \{d\}, \{a, d\}, \{b, c, d\}\}\$ and  $\tau_p^c$  $R_R^c(Y) = \{V, \phi, \{x, z\}\}\.$  Now  $f^{-1}(\{x, z\}) = \{a, d\}.$  Therefore the inverse image of every nano closed in *V* is *Nsc*(*s*)*g*closed set on *U*. Hence is *Nsc*(*s*)*g*-continuous on *U*. Let

 $\tau_R(X)$  and  $\tau_{R'}(Y)$  respectively. Define  $f:(U,\tau_R(X))\to (V,\tau_{R'}(Y))$   $A = \{c\} \subseteq U$ . Then  $f(Ncl(A)) = f(\{b,c,d\}) = \{y,w,z\}$ . But even though *f* is  $Nsc(s)g$ -continuous. That is  $f(Ncl(A))$  is not necessarily equal to  $Ncl(A)$ ) where  $A \subseteq U$  if  $f$  is  $Nsc(s)g$ continuous on *U*.

> Theorem 3.6. *Every nano continuous function is Nsc*(*s*)*gcontinuous function.*

*Proof.* Let  $f : (U, \tau_R(X)) \to (V, \tau_{R'}(Y))$  be a nano continuous function and *A* be nano closed set in  $(V, \tau_{R'}(Y))$ . Then the inverse image of *A* under the map *f* is nano closed in  $(U, \tau_R(X))$ . Since every nano closed is  $Nsc(s)g$ -closed set,  $f^{-1}(A)$  is  $Nsc(s)g$ -closed set in  $(U, \tau_R(X))$ . Hence *f* is  $Nsc(s)g$ -continuous function.

The converse of the above theorem need not be true as seen from the following example. □

**Example 3.7.** *Let*  $U = \{a, b, c, d\}$  *with*  $U/R = \{\{a\}, \{c\},\}$  ${b,d}$  and let  $X = {a,b}$ . Then  $\tau_R(X) = {\phi, U, {a}, {a}, {b}, d}$ ,  ${b,d}$  *is a nano topology on U. Let*  $V = {x,y,w,z}$  *with*  $V/R' = \{\{x,z\},\{y\},\{w\}\}\$ and  $Y = \{x,w\}$  then  $\tau_{R'}(Y) = \{\phi, V, \{w\}, \{x, z, w\}, \{x, z\}\}\$ is a nano topology on *V.* Then  $\tau_R^c(X) = \{U, \phi, \{c\}, \{b, c, d\}, \{a, c\}\}\$ and  $\tau_R^c$  $\frac{c}{R'}(Y) =$  $\{V, \phi, \{y\}, \{x, y, z\}, \{y, w\}\}\$ are the complement of  $\tau_R(X)$  and  $\tau_{R'}(Y)$  *respectively. Define*  $f : (U, \tau_R(X)) \to (V, \tau_{R'}(Y))$  *by*  $f(a) = z$ ,  $f(b) = x$ ,  $f(c) = y$  *and*  $f(d) = w$ , *then f is*  $Nsc(s)g$ *continuous function. But not nano continuous function, since*  $f^{-1}(\{y, w\}) = \{c, d\}$  *is not nano closed in*  $(U, \tau_R(X))$ *.* 

Theorem 3.8. *Every nano semi continuous function is Nsc*(*s*)*gcontinuous function.*

*Proof.* Let  $f : (U, \tau_R(X)) \to (V, \tau_{R'}(Y))$  be a nano semi continuous function and *A* be nano semi closed set in  $(V, \tau_{R'}(Y))$ . Then the inverse image of *A* under the map *f* is nano semi closed in  $(U, \tau_R(X))$ . Since every nano semi closed is  $Nsc(s)g$ closed set,  $f^{-1}(A)$  is  $Nsc(s)g$ -closed set in  $(U, \tau_R(X))$ . Hence *f* is *Nsc*(*s*)*g*-continuous function.

The converse of the above theorem need not be true as seen from the following example.  $\Box$ 

**Example 3.9.** *Let*  $U = \{a, b, c, d\}$  *with*  $U/R = \{\{a\}, \{c\},\}$  ${b,d}$ } *and let*  $X = {a,b}$ *. Then*  $\tau_R(X) = { \phi, U, {a}, {a}, {b}, d}$ ,  ${b,d}$  *is a nano topology on U. Let*  $V = {x,y,w,z}$  *with*  $V/R' = \{\{x,z\},\{y\},\{w\}\}\$ and  $Y = \{x,w\}$  then  $\tau_{R'}(Y) = \{\phi, V, \{w\}, \{x, z, w\}, \{x, z\}\}\$ is a nano topology on *V.* Then  $\tau_R^c(X) = \{U, \phi, \{c\}, \{b, c, d\}, \{a, c\}\}$  and  $\tau_R^c$  $\frac{c}{R'}(Y) =$  $\{V, \phi, \{y\}, \{x, y, z\}, \{y, w\}\}\$ are the complement of  $\tau_R(X)$  and  $\tau_{R'}(Y)$  *respectively. Define*  $f : (U, \tau_R(X)) \to (V, \tau_{R'}(Y))$  *by*  $f(a) = z$ ,  $f(b) = x$ ,  $f(c) = y$  and  $f(d) = w$ , then f is  $Nsc(s)g$ *continuous function. But not nano semi continuous function, since*  $f^{-1}(\lbrace y, w \rbrace) = \lbrace c, d \rbrace$  *is not nano semi closed in*  $(U, \tau_R(X)).$ 

Theorem 3.10. *Every nano generalized continuous function is Nsc*(*s*)*g-continuous function.*

*Proof.* Let  $f : (U, \tau_R(X)) \to (V, \tau_{R'}(Y))$  be a nano generalized continuous function and *A* be *Ng*-closed set in  $(V, \tau_{R'}(Y))$ . Then the inverse image of *A* under the map *f* is *Ng*-closed in  $(U, \tau_R(X))$ . Since every *Ng*-closed is *Nsc*(*s*)*g*-closed set,  $f^{-1}(A)$  is  $Nsc(s)g$ -closed set in  $(U,\tau_R(X))$ . Hence  $f$  is  $Nsc(s)g$ continuous function.

The converse of the above theorem need not be true as seen from the following example.  $\Box$ 

**Example 3.11.** Let  $U = \{a, b, c, d\}$  with  $U/R = \{\{a\}, \{c\},\}$  $\{b,d\}$  *and let*  $X = \{a,b\}$ *. Then*  $\tau_R(X) = \{\phi, U, \{a\}, \{a,b,d\},\}$  ${b,d}$  *is a nano topology on U. Let*  $V = {x,y,w,z}$  *with*  $V/R' = \{\{x\}, \{z\}, \{y, w\}\}\$ and  $Y = \{y, w\}$  then

 $\tau_{R'}(Y) = \{\phi, V, \{y, w\}\}$  *is a nano topology on V. Then*  $\tau_R^c(X) =$  $\{\hat{U}, \phi, \{c\}, \{b, c, d\}, \{a, c\}\}\$ and  $\tau_p^c$  $R_R^c(Y) = \{V, \phi, \{x, z\}\}$  are *the complement of*  $\tau_R(X)$  *and*  $\tau_{R'}(Y)$  *respectively. Define*  $f: (U, \tau_R(X)) \to (V, \tau_{R'}(Y))$  by  $f(a) = y$ ,  $f(b) = x$ ,  $f(c) = w$ *and*  $f(d) = z$ *, then f is*  $Nsc(s)g$ -continuous function. But *not*  $Ng$ -continuous function, since  $f^{-1}(\lbrace x, z \rbrace) = \lbrace b, d \rbrace$  is not *Ng-closed in*  $(U, \tau_R(X))$ *.* 

**Theorem 3.12.** *Every*  $Ng\alpha$ -continuous function is  $Nsc(s)g$ *continuous function.*

*Proof.* Let  $f : (U, \tau_R(X)) \to (V, \tau_{R'}(Y))$  be a  $Ng\alpha$ -continuous function and *A* be  $Ng\alpha$ -closed set in  $(V, \tau_{R'}(Y))$ . Then the inverse image of *A* under the map *f* is  $Ng\alpha$ -closed in  $(U, \tau_R(X))$ . Since every *Ng* $\alpha$ -closed is *Nsc*(*s*)*g*-closed set,  $f^{-1}(A)$  is  $Nsc(s)$ *g*-closed set in  $(U, \tau_R(X))$ . Hence *f* is  $Nsc(s)$ *g*-continuous function.

The converse of the above theorem need not be true as seen from the following example.  $\Box$ 

**Example 3.13.** Let  $U = \{a, b, c, d\}$  with  $U/R = \{\{a\}, \{c\},\}$  ${b,d}$  and let  $X = {a,b}$ . Then  $\tau_R(X) = { \phi, U, {a}, {a}, {b}, d}$ ,  ${b,d}$  *is a nano topology on U. Let*  $V = {x,y,w,z}$  *with*  $V/R' = \{\{x\}, \{z\}, \{y, w\}\}\$ and  $Y = \{y, w\}$  then

 $\tau_{R'}(Y) = \{\phi, V, \{y, w\}\}$  *is a nano topology on V. Then*  $\tau_R^c(X) =$  $\{\hat{U}, \phi, \{c\}, \{b, c, d\}, \{a, c\}\}\$ and  $\tau_p^c$  $R_R^c(Y) = \{V, \phi, \{x, z\}\}$  are *the complement of*  $\tau_R(X)$  *and*  $\tau_{R'}(Y)$  *respectively. Define*  $f: (U, \tau_R(X)) \to (V, \tau_{R'}(Y))$  by  $f(a) = y$ ,  $f(b) = x$ ,  $f(c) = w$ *and*  $f(d) = z$ , then f is  $Nsc(s)g$ -continuous function. But not  $Ng\alpha$ -continuous function, since  $f^{-1}(\lbrace x,z \rbrace) = \lbrace b,d \rbrace$  is not *Ng*α*-closed in*  $(U, τ<sub>R</sub>(X))$ *.* 

**Theorem 3.14.** *Every*  $Ng^*$ -continuous function is  $Nsc(s)g$ *continuous function.*

*Proof.* Let  $f: (U, \tau_R(X)) \to (V, \tau_{R'}(Y))$  be a  $Ng^*$ -continuous function and *A* be  $Ng^*$ -closed set in  $(V, \tau_{R'}(Y))$ . Then the inverse image of *A* under the map *f* is  $Ng^*$ -closed in  $(U, \tau_R(X))$ . Since every  $Ng^*$ -closed is  $Nsc(s)g$ -closed set,  $f^{-1}(A)$  is  $Nsc(s)g - V/R^{\prime} = \{\{x, z\}, \{y\}, \{w\}\}\$ and  $Y = \{x, w\}$  then closed set in  $(U, \tau_R(X))$ . Hence f is  $Nsc(s)g$ -continuous function.

The converse of the above theorem need not be true as seen from the following example.  $\Box$  **Example 3.15.** *Let*  $U = \{a, b, c, d\}$  *with*  $U/R = \{\{a\}, \{c\},\}$  ${b,d}$ } *and let*  $X = {a,b}$ *. Then*  $\tau_R(X) = { \phi, U, {a}, {a}, {b}, d}$ ,  ${b,d}$  *is a nano topology on U. Let*  $V = {x,y,w,z}$  *with*  $V/R' = \{\{x\}, \{z\}, \{y, w\}\}\$ and  $Y = \{y, w\}$  then

 $\tau_{R'}(Y) = \{\phi, V, \{y, w\}\}\$ is a nano topology on V. Then  $\tau_R^c(X) =$  ${\hat{U}, \phi, \{c\}, \{b, c, d\}, \{a, c\}}$  and  $\tau_p^c$  $R_K^c(Y) = \{V, \phi, \{x, z\}\}$  are *the complement of*  $\tau_R(X)$  *and*  $\tau_{R'}(Y)$  *respectively. Define*  $f: (U, \tau_R(X)) \to (V, \tau_{R'}(Y))$  by  $f(a) = y$ ,  $f(b) = x$ ,  $f(c) = w$ *and*  $f(d) = z$ , then f is  $Nsc(s)g$ -continuous function. But not  $Ng^*$ -continuous function, since  $f^{-1}(\lbrace x,z \rbrace) = \lbrace b,d \rbrace$  is not  $Ng^*$ -closed in  $(U, \tau_R(X))$ .

Theorem 3.16. *Every nano regular continuous function is Nsc*(*s*)*g-continuous function.*

*Proof.* Let  $f: (U, \tau_R(X)) \to (V, \tau_{R'}(Y))$  be a nano regular continuous function and *A* be nano regular closed set in  $(V, \tau_{R'}(Y))$ . Then the inverse image of *A* under the map *f* is nano regular closed in  $(U, \tau_R(X))$ . Since every nano regular closed is  $Nsc(s)g$ -closed set,  $f^{-1}(A)$  is  $Nsc(s)g$ -closed set in  $(U, \tau_R(X))$ . Hence *f* is *Nsc*(*s*)*g*-continuous function.

The converse of the above theorem need not be true as seen from the following example. П

**Example 3.17.** *Let*  $U = \{a, b, c, d\}$  *with*  $U/R = \{\{a\}, \{c\},\}$  $\{b,d\}$  *and let*  $X = \{a,b\}$ *. Then*  $\tau_R(X) = \{\phi, U, \{a\}, \{a,b,d\},\}$  ${b,d}$  *is a nano topology on U. Let*  $V = {x,y,w,z}$  *with*  $V/R' = \{\{x,z\},\{y\},\{w\}\}\$ and  $Y = \{x,w\}$  then

 $\tau_{R'}(Y) = \{\phi, V, \{w\}, \{x, z, w\}, \{x, z\}\}\$ is a nano topology on *V.* Then  $\tau_R^c(X) = \{U, \phi, \{c\}, \{b, c, d\}, \{a, c\}\}$  and  $\tau_R^c$  $\frac{c}{R'}(Y) =$  $\{V, \phi, \{y\}, \{x, y, z\}, \{y, w\}\}\$ are the complement of  $\tau_R(X)$  and  $\tau_{R'}(Y)$  *respectively. Define*  $f : (U, \tau_R(X)) \to (V, \tau_{R'}(Y))$  *by*  $f(a) = z$ ,  $f(b) = x$ ,  $f(c) = y$  *and*  $f(d) = w$ , *then*  $f$  *is*  $Nsc(s)g$ *continuous function. But not nano regular continuous function, since*  $f^{-1}(\lbrace y, w \rbrace) = \lbrace c, d \rbrace$  *is not nano regular closed in*  $(U, \tau_R(X)).$ 

**Theorem 3.18.** *Every N* $\alpha$ -continuous function is  $Nsc(s)g$ *continuous function.*

*Proof.* Let  $f : (U, \tau_R(X)) \to (V, \tau_{R'}(Y))$  be a *N* $\alpha$ -continuous function and *A* be *N*  $\alpha$  closed set in  $(V, \tau_{R'}(Y))$ . Then the inverse image of *A* under the map *f* is  $N\alpha$ -closed in  $(U, \tau_R(X))$ . Since every *N* $\alpha$ -closed is *Nsc*(*s*)*g*-closed set,  $f^{-1}(A)$  is  $Nsc(s)g$ closed set in  $(U, \tau_R(X))$ . Hence f is  $Nsc(s)g$ -continuous function.

The converse of the above theorem need not be true as seen from the following example.  $\Box$ 

**Example 3.19.** *Let*  $U = \{a, b, c, d\}$  *with*  $U/R = \{\{a\}, \{c\},\}$  ${b,d}$  and let  $X = {a,b}$ . Then  $\tau_R(X) = { \phi, U, {a}, {a}, {b}, d}$ ,  ${b,d}$  *is a nano topology on U. Let*  $V = {x,y,w,z}$  *with* 

 $\tau_{R'}(Y) = \{\phi, V, \{w\}, \{x, z, w\}, \{x, z\}\}\$ is a nano topology on *V.* Then  $\tau_R^c(X) = \{U, \phi, \{c\}, \{b, c, d\}, \{a, c\}\}\$ and  $\tau_R^c$  $\frac{c}{R'}(Y) =$  $\{V, \phi, \{y\}, \{x, y, z\}, \{y, w\}\}\$ are the complement of  $\tau_R(X)$  and  $\tau_{R'}(Y)$  *respectively. Define*  $f : (U, \tau_R(X)) \to (V, \tau_{R'}(Y))$  *by* 

 $f(a) = z$ ,  $f(b) = x$ ,  $f(c) = y$  *and*  $f(d) = w$ , *then f is*  $Nsc(s)g$ *continuous function. But not N*α*-continuous function, since*  $f^{-1}(\{y, w\}) = \{c, d\}$  *is not*  $N\alpha$ -closed in  $(U, \tau_R(X))$ .

Theorem 3.20. *Every Nsc*(*s*)*g-continuous function is Nsgcontinuous function.*

function and *A* be  $Nsc(s)g$ -closed set in  $(V, \tau_{R'}(Y))$ . Then the inverse image of *A* under the map  $f$  is  $Nsc(s)g$ -closed in  $(U, \tau_R(X))$ . Since every *Nsc*(*s*)*g*-closed is *Nsg*-closed set,  $f^{-1}(A)$  is *Nsg*-closed set in  $(U, \tau_R(X))$ . Hence *f* is *Nsg*continuous function.

The converse of the above theorem need not be true as seen from the following example.  $\Box$ 

**Example 3.21.** *Let*  $U = \{a, b, c, d\}$  *with*  $U/R = \{\{a\}, \{c\},\}$  ${b,d}$  and let  $X = {a,b}$ . Then  $\tau_R(X) = { \phi, U, {a}, {a}, {b}, d}$ ,  ${b,d}$  *is a nano topology on U. Let*  $V = {x,y,w,z}$  *with*  $V/R' = \{\{x,z\},\{y\},\{w\}\}\$ and  $Y = \{x,w\}\$  *then* 

 $\tau_{R'}(Y) = \{\phi, V, \{w\}, \{x, z, w\}, \{x, z\}\}\$ is a nano topology on *V.* Then  $\tau_R^c(X) = \{U, \phi, \{c\}, \{b, c, d\}, \{a, c\}\}\$ and  $\tau_R^c$  $\frac{c}{R'}(Y) =$  $\{V, \phi, \{y\}, \{x, y, z\}, \{y, w\}\}\$ are the complement of  $\tau_R(X)$  and  $\tau_{R'}(Y)$  *respectively. Define*  $f : (U, \tau_R(X)) \to (V, \tau_{R'}(Y))$  *by*  $f(a) = y$ ,  $f(b) = w$ ,  $f(c) = z$  *and*  $f(d) = x$ , *then f is Nsgcontinuous function. But not Nsc*(*s*)*g-continuous function, since*  $f^{-1}(\{w\}) = \{b\}$  *is not Nsc*(*s*)*g-closed in*  $(U, \tau_R(X))$ *.* 

Theorem 3.22. *Every Nsc*(*s*)*g-continuous function is Nrgbcontinuous function.*

*Proof.* Let  $f : (U, \tau_R(X)) \to (V, \tau_{R'}(Y))$  be a  $Nsc(s)g$ -continuous function and *A* be  $Nsc(s)g$ -closed set in  $(V, \tau_{R'}(Y))$ . Then the inverse image of *A* under the map *f* is *Nsc*(*s*)*g*-closed in  $(U, \tau_R(X))$ . Since every *Nsc*(*s*)*g*-closed is *Nrgb*-closed set,  $f^{-1}(A)$  is *Nrgb*-closed set in  $(U, \tau_R(X))$ . Hence *f* is *Nrgb*continuous function.

The converse of the above theorem need not be true as seen from the following example.  $\Box$ 

**Example 3.23.** *Let*  $U = \{a, b, c, d\}$  *with*  $U/R = \{\{a\}, \{c\},\}$  ${b,d}$  and let  $X = {a,b}$ *. Then*  $\tau_R(X) = { \phi, U, {a}, {a}, {b}, d}$  ${b,d}$  *is a nano topology on U. Let*  $V = {x,y,w,z}$  *with*  $V/R' = \{\{x,z\},\{y\},\{w\}\}\$ and  $Y = \{x,w\}\$  *then*  $\tau_{R'}(Y) = \{\phi, V, \{w\}, \{x, z, w\}, \{x, z\}\}\$ is a nano topology on *V.* Then  $\tau_R^c(X) = \{U, \phi, \{c\}, \{b, c, d\}, \{a, c\}\}\$ and  $\tau_R^c$  $\frac{c}{R'}(Y) =$  $\{V, \phi, \{y\}, \{x, y, z\}, \{y, w\}\}\$ are the complement of  $\tau_R(X)$  and  $\tau_{R'}(Y)$  *respectively. Define*  $f : (U, \tau_R(X)) \to (V, \tau_{R'}(Y))$  *by*  $f(a) = x$ ,  $f(b) = z$ ,  $f(c) = w$  *and*  $f(d) = y$ , *then*  $\ddot{f}$  *is Nrgbcontinuous function. But not Nsc*(*s*)*g-continuous function, since*  $f^{-1}(\{x, y, z\}) = \{a, b, d\}$  *and*  $f^{-1}(\{y\}) = \{d\}$  *is not*  $Nsc(s)$ *g-closed in*  $(U, \tau_R(X))$ *.* 

Remark 3.24. *Composition of two Nsc*(*s*)*g-continuous function need not be a Nsc*(*s*)*g-continuous function.*

**Example 3.25.** *Let*  $U = V = W = \{a, b, c, d\}$  *with*  $U/R =$  $\{\{a\},\{c\},\{b,d\}\}\$  *and*  $X = \{a,b\}$ ,  $V/R^1 = \{\{a,c\},\{b\},\{d\}\}\$ 

<span id="page-4-2"></span>*Proof.* Let  $f: (U, \tau_R(X)) \to (V, \tau_{R'}(Y))$  be a  $Nsc(s)g$ -continuous  $g: (V, \tau_{R'}(Y)) \to (W, \tau_{R''}(Z))$  identity function by  $g(a) = a$ , *and*  $Y = \{a,d\}$ *,*  $W/R^{11} = \{\{b\},\{d\},\{a,c\}\}\$  *and*  $Z = \{a,b\}$ *. Then the corresponding nano topologies of U, V and W are*  $\tau_R(X) = \{\phi, U, \{a\}, \{a, b, d\}\{b, d\}\}, \ \tau_{R'}(Y) = \{\phi, V, \{d\},$  ${a, c, d}, {a, c}$  *and*  $\tau_{R''}(Z) = {\phi, W, {b}, {a, b, c}, {a, c}}$ *respectively. Define the function*  $f : (U, \tau_R(X)) \to (V, \tau_{R'}(Y))$ *by*  $f(a) = d$ ,  $f(b) = a$ ,  $f(c) = b$  *and*  $f(d) = c$  *and*  $g(b) = b$ ,  $g(c) = c$ ,  $g(d) = d$ . Then *f* and *g* are  $Nsc(s)g$ *continuous function. But their composition*  $g \circ f : (U, \tau_R(X)) \to$  $(W, \tau_{R''}(Z))$  *is not*  $Nsc(s)g$ -continuous function, since the in*verse image of the nano closed set is* {*a*, *c*,*d*} *is* {*a*,*b*,*d*}*. But it is not a Nsc*(*s*)*g-closed set.*

#### **References**

- <span id="page-4-3"></span><span id="page-4-0"></span>[1] Bhuvaneshwari.K and Mythili Gnanapriya.K, nano generalized closed sets, *International Journal of scientific and Research Publications,* 4(5)(2014), 1–3.
- <span id="page-4-4"></span>[2] Bhuvaneshwari.K and Ezhilarasi.K, On nano semi generalized and nano generalized semi closed sets, *IJMCAR*, 4(3)(2014), 117–124.
- <span id="page-4-5"></span>[3] Jayalakshmi.A and Janaki.C, A new form of nano locally closed sets in nano topological spaces, *Global Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 19(9)(2017), 5997–6006.
- <span id="page-4-1"></span>[4] Lellis Thivagar.M, Carmel Richard, On nano Forms of Weakly open sets, *International Journal of Mathematics and Statistics Invention*, 1(2013), 31–37.
- <span id="page-4-7"></span>[5] Lellis Thivagar.M, Carmel Richard, On nano Continuity, *Mathematical Theory and Modeling*, 7(2013), 32–37.
- <span id="page-4-8"></span>[6] Sathishmohan.P, Rajendran.V, Vignesh Kumar.C and Dhanasekaran.P.K, On nano semi pre neighbourhoods on nano topological spaces, *Malaya Journal of Matematik*, 6(1)(2018), 294–298.
- <span id="page-4-6"></span>[7] Visalakshi.S and Pushpalatha.A, On nano Semi *c*(*s*) generalized Closed Sets in nano Topological Spaces, *Malaya Journal of Matematik*, Submitted.

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* ISSN(P):2319−3786 [Malaya Journal of Matematik](http://www.malayajournal.org) ISSN(O):2321−5666 \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*