

**https://doi.org/10.26637/MJM0701/0024**

# **A note on strong zero-divisor graphs of near-rings**

Prohelika Das<sup>1\*</sup>

#### **Abstract**

For a near-ring *N*, the strong zero-divisor graph Γ*s*(*N*) is a graph with vertices *V* ∗ (*N*), the set of all non-zero left *N*-subset having non-zero annihilators and two vertices *I* and *J* are adjacent if and only if *IJ* = 0. In this paper, we study diameter and girth of the graph Γ*s*(*N*) wherein the nilpotent and invariant vertices are playing a significant role. We show that if *diam*(Γ*s*(*N*)) > 3, then *N* is necessarily a strongly semi-prime near-ring. Also we find the χ(Γ*s*(*N*)) and investigate some characterizations of cliques and maximal cliques in Γ*s*(*N*).

#### **Keywords**

Near-ring; essential ideal; diameter; girth; chromatic number.

**AMS Subject Classification**

16Y30, 13A15.



## **Contents**



# **1. Introduction**

<span id="page-0-0"></span>Let *N* be a zero symmetric (right) near-ring and  $V(N)$  be the set of all left *N*-subsets with non-zero left annihilators. The strong zero-divisor graph denoted  $\Gamma_S(N)$  is a directed simple graph with the set of vertices  $V^*(N) = V(N)\{0\}$  such that any two distinct *I* and  $J \in V^*(N)$  are adjacent if and only if  $IJ = 0$ .

The concept of zero-divisor graph of a commutative ring was first introduced by Beck in [4]. Beck [4] has mainly investigated coloring of the ring. He has conjectured that  $\chi(\Gamma(R)) = clique(\Gamma(R))$ . Anderson et all redefined the notion of zero-divisor graphs in [2] and proved that such a graph is always connected and its diameter is less than or equal to 3. Anderson and Mulay in [3] studied diameter and girth of zero-divisor graph of a commutative ring. The notion of zero-divisor graph was extended to a non-commutative ring [1] and various properties of diameter and girth were established. Behboodhi [5] studied annihilator ideal graphs dealing with the annihilators of ideals of a commutative ring. Redmond[8] has generalised the notion of zero-divisor graph. For an ideal *I* of a commutative ring *R*, Redmond [8] defined

an undirected graph  $\Gamma_I(R)$  with vertices  $\{x \in R \setminus I \mid xy \in I \text{ for } I \in I\}$ some  $y \in R \setminus I$  where distinct vertices *x* and *y* are adjacent if and only if  $xy \in I$ .

In this paper, we study some graph theoretic aspect of a near-ring *N*. For basic definitions and results related to nearring, we would like to mention Pilz [7]. A subset *I* of *N* is left(right)*N*-subset of *N* if  $NI \subseteq I$ ( $IN \subseteq I$ ) and *I* is invariant if it is both left as well as right *N*-subset of *N*. If *I* is a left *N*subset of *N*, then  $l(I) = \{x \in N \mid xI = 0\}$  is the left annihilator of *I*. For any *N*-subset *I*, *l*(*I*) is also a left *N*-subset of *N*. If *I* and *J* be two left *N*-subsets, then so is  $I \cap J$ . A left *N*-subset *I* of *N* is nilpotent with index  $n(n \in \mathbb{Z}_+)$  if  $I^n = 0$  and  $I^m \neq 0$ for  $m < n$ . The near-ring N is strongly semi-prime if it has no non-zero nilpotent invariant subsets. A left *N*-subset(ideal) *I* of *N* is essential in *N* if for any non-zero left *N*-subset(ideal) *A* of *N*,  $I \cap A \neq 0$ .

Recall that a graph *G* is connected if there is a path between any two distinct vertices. The graph *G* is complete if every two vertices are adjacent. The distance between two distinct vertices *x* and *y* of *G* is the length of the shortest path from *x* to *y* denoted  $d(x, y)$ . If no such path exists, then  $d(x, y) = \infty$ . The diameter of the graph *G* is  $diam(G) = sup{d(x, y)|x}$  and *y* are distinct vertices of *G*}. The girth of *G* is the length of distance of the shortest cycle in  $G$ , denoted  $gr(G)$ . If there is no such cycle, then  $gr(G) = \infty$ . The minimal numbers of colors so that no two adjacent elements of the graph *G* have same color is the chromatic number of *G* denoted  $\chi(G)$ .

In this paper, we study diameter and girth of the strong zero-divisor graphs of near-rings wherein the nilpotency and

invariant character of vertices playing a significant role. We prove that any path joining vertex *I* to an invariant vertex *J* is contained in a cycle provided  $l(I+J) \neq 0$ . We show that a strongly semi-prime near-ring contains no non-zero nilpotent invariant subset if  $\Gamma_s(N) > 3$ . Moreover, we show that in this case  $\Gamma_s(N)$  contains not more than two invariant subsets  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  so that  $I(I_1)$  and  $I(I_2)$  are essential. In addition to the above, we investigate the coloring of  $\Gamma_s(N)$  and some characterisations of cliques and maximal cliques of Γ*s*(*N*).

Below we discuss some examples of strong zero-divisor graphs  $\Gamma_s(N)$  in contrast to zero-divisor graph  $\Gamma(N)$ 

Example 1.1. *If the graph* Γ*s*(*N*) *contains a point only, then*  $N \cong Z_4$  *or*  $\frac{Z_2[x]}{}$ *. In this case*  $gr(\Gamma_s(N)) = \infty$ *. Also*  $\Gamma_s(Z_4) \cong$  $\Gamma(Z_4)$ 



Example 1.2. *If the graph* Γ*s*(*N*) *contains two points, then* Γ*s*(*N*) *contains no cycle and gr*(Γ*s*(*N*) = ∞*. In this case N*  $\cong$  *Z*<sub>2</sub> × *Z*<sub>2</sub> *or Z*<sub>6</sub>*. Here Z*<sub>2</sub> × *Z*<sub>2</sub>  $\ncong$  *Z*<sub>6</sub>*, however their strong zero divisor graphs*  $\Gamma_s(Z_2 \times Z_2)$  *and*  $\Gamma_s(Z_6)$  *are isomorphic*  $i.e. \Gamma_s(Z_2 \times Z_2) \cong \Gamma(Z_2 \times Z_2) \cong \Gamma_s(Z_6)$   $(Z_2 \times Z_2 \ncong Z_6)$ 



**Example 1.3.** *The graph*  $\Gamma_s(\frac{Z_3[x]}{\langle x^2 \rangle})$  *is graph with finite girth* 3. Here  $I = \{ \langle x^2 \rangle, x + \langle x^2 \rangle, 2x + \langle x^2 \rangle \}$  is a nilpotent ideal as  $I^2 = 0$ .  $\Gamma_{s}(\frac{Z_{3}[x]}{\langle x^{2}\rangle})\cong\Gamma_{s}(\frac{Z_{2}[x,y]}{\langle x^{2},y^{2},xy\rangle})$  but  $\frac{Z_{3}[x]}{x^{2}}\ncong\frac{Z_{2[x,y]}}{\langle x^{2},y^{2},x\rangle}$  $\frac{Z_{2[x,y]}}{\langle x^2,y^2,xy \rangle}$ .



Example 1.4. *The graph below is a complete bipartite graph* with girth 4.  $\Gamma_s(\frac{Z_4[x]}{\langle x^2 \rangle}) \ncong \Gamma_s(\frac{Z_2[x,y]}{\langle x^2, xy, y^2 \rangle}) \left(\frac{Z_4[x]}{\langle x^2 \rangle} \cong \frac{Z_2[x,y]}{\langle x^2, xy, y^2 \rangle}\right)$ 

<span id="page-1-0"></span>

### **2. Diameter and girth**

In this section, we present some of characteristic of paths, diameter and girth of  $\Gamma_s(N)$ . We note that the vertex 0 is adjacent to every other vertices which we exclude here for obvious reason.

A vertex  $I \in \Gamma_s(N)$  is an invariant vertex if it is an invariant *N* subset of the near-ring *N*. The right annihilator  $r(I) = \{x \in I\}$  $N | Ix = 0$  of a left *N*-subset *I* of *N* is a right *N*-subset of *N* not necessarily coincide to  $l(I)$ . However in a strongly semiprime near-ring *N*, in case of an invariant subset *I*,  $I\ell(I) =$ 0 as  $(II(I))^2 = I(I(I)I)I(I) = 0$  giving thereby  $I(I) \subset r(I)$ . Similarly  $r(I) \subseteq l(I)$ . Thus we state the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *[6] Let N be a strongly semi-prime near-ring. Then for an invariant subset I of N,*  $l(I) = r(I)$ *.* 

Let *I* be a left *N*-subset with  $l(I) \neq 0$  and let  $x(\neq 0) \in l(I)$ . If  $J \subset l(I)$  be a non-zero nilpotent *N*-subset. Then there exists a positive integer *m* such that  $xJ^m = 0$  but  $xJ^{m-1} = 0$ . It is clear that  $l(I+J) \subseteq l(I) \cap l(J)$ .

Lemma 2.2. *[6] Let N be a near-ring such that the left annihilators are distributively generated. If I be a left N-subset with*  $l(I) \neq 0$  *and*  $J \subseteq l(I)$  *is a nilpotent left N-subset of N*, *then*  $l(I+J) \neq 0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x (\neq 0) \in l(I)$  such that  $xJ^m = 0$  and  $xJ^{m-1} \neq 0$ for some positive integer *m*. Now  $xJ^{m-1}J = xJ^m = 0$  and  $xJ^{m-1}I = xJ^{m-2}JI = 0$ . Thus  $xJ^{m-1}(I+J) = 0$  giving thereby  $xJ^{m-1} \subset l(I+J)$ . Thus  $l(I+J) \neq 0$ .  $\Box$ 

Thus in this lemma, we see that the nilpotency of  $J \subset l(I)$ leads us to  $l(I+J) \neq 0$ .

Throughout the paper, by a near-ring *N* we mean a strongly semi-prime near-ring unless otherwise specified.

Theorem 2.3. *Let N be a near-ring and J be an invariant N*-subset such that  $l(I+J) \neq 0$  for some  $I \in V(\Gamma_S(N))$ . Then *any path joining I and J is contained in a cycle of*  $\Gamma_S(N)$ *.* 

*Proof.* Let  $P: I \longrightarrow K_1 \longrightarrow K_2 \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow K_n \longrightarrow J$  be any path. Now  $l(I+J) \subseteq l(I) \cap l(J)$  implies  $l(I) \cap l(J) \neq 0$  as *l*(*I* + *J*)  $\neq$  0. Let *M* = *l*(*I*)∩*l*(*J*) which is a non-zero left *N*subset of *N*. Then  $I \longrightarrow K_1 \longrightarrow K_2 \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow K_n \longrightarrow J \longrightarrow$ *M*  $\longrightarrow$  *I* is a cycle containing the path *P* since  $JM = J(l(I)) \cap$  $l(J) = J(l(I) \cap r(J)) = 0$  and  $MI = (l(I) \cap l(J))I = 0$ .

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let N be a near-ring such that*  $girth(\Gamma_S(N)) >$ 3*. Then N has no non-zero nilpotent invariant subset*

*Proof.* Let  $I(\neq 0)$  be a nilpotent invariant subset of *N* and *n* be the least positive integer such that  $I^n = 0$ . Now  $I.I^{n-1} = 0$ gives that  $I^{n-1} \subseteq r(I) = l(I)$ [Lemma 2.2]. Thus  $l(I)$  is a non-zero left *N*-subset of *N* so that  $I^{n-1}l(I) = I^{n-2}lI(I)$  $I^{n-2}Ir(I) = 0$ . Thus  $I(I) \longrightarrow I \longrightarrow I^{n-1} \longrightarrow I(I)$  is a circuit, which is a contradiction. П

In the example 1.3  $gr(\Gamma_s(\frac{Z_4[x]}{\langle x^2 \rangle})) = 3$ . Here  $\frac{Z_4[x]}{\langle x^2 \rangle}$  is not strongly semi-prime. The non-zero ideal  $I = \{ \langle x^2 \rangle, x + \langle x^2 \rangle, 2x + \rangle \}$  $\langle x^2 \rangle$ ,  $3x + \langle x^2 \rangle$  is nilpotent as  $I^2 = 0$ .

A left *N*-subset *I* of *N* is said to be simple if there exists no non-zero left  $N$ - subset  $J$  such that  $J \subseteq I$ 

Theorem 2.5. *Let I be an essential simple N-subset of N, then I is adjacent to every nilpotent*  $J \in V(\Gamma_{S}(N))$ *.* 

*Proof.* Let  $J \in V(\Gamma_S(N))$  be nilpotent and let *m* be the least positive integer such that  $J^m = 0$ . Now  $I \cap J \neq 0$  as *I* is essential. Also  $I \cap J = I$  since *I* is a simple *N*-subset giving thereby  $J \subseteq I$ . If  $IJ = 0$ , then we are done. For otherwise *IJ* = *I*  $\cap$  *J* = *I* which gives that  $IJ^2 = IJ$ . *I* = *I*. Similarly  $IJ^3 = IJ^2J = IJ = I$ . Continuing in this way we get that  $IJ^m = I$  which gives that  $I = 0$ , a contradiction.  $\Box$ 

Theorem 2.6. *Let N be a near-ring such that the left annihilators are distributively generated. Let I be an invariant simple N-subset and J be a nilpotent left N-subset such that*  $l(I+J) = 0$ *. Then for some*  $q \in l(I)$ *,*  $d(I+qJ, J) = 3$ *.* 

*Proof.* We give the proof in two steps such as

- (i) Step 1: We show that for any two non-adjacent vertices *I* and *J* where *I* is an invariant *N*-subset so that  $l(I+J) \neq 0, d(I,J) = 3$ . Since *I* is an invariant *N*subset there for  $I\ell(I) = Ir(I) = 0$ [Lemma 2.1]. We claim  $l(I)l(J) = 0$ . For let  $xy(\neq 0) \in l(I)l(J)$  gives that  $Ixy \subseteq I\ell(I)\ell(J) = I\ell(I)\ell(J) = 0$ , giving thereby  $Ixy = 0$ . Thus  $xy \in r(I) = l(I)$ . Also  $y \in l(J)$  implies  $xy \in l(J)$ giving thereby  $xy \in l(I+J)$ . Thus  $l(I+J) \neq 0$ , a contradiction. Thus  $l(I)l(J) = 0$ . Thus  $I \longrightarrow r(I) = l(I) \longrightarrow$  $l(J) \longrightarrow J$  is a directed path. Thus  $d(I, J) = 3$ .
- (ii) Since  $I(\neq 0)$  is simple invariant and *J* is nilpotent, therefore  $IJ = 0$ [Theorem 2.5]. Thus  $(I+J)^2 = I^2 + J^2 = 0$ . Also  $l(I+J)^2 = 0$ , as  $x \in l(I+J)^2$  gives  $x(I+J) \subseteq l(I+J)$ *J*). Thus  $x(I+J) = 0$  giving thereby  $x \in l(I+J) = 0$ as  $IJ = 0 = JI$ . Since *J* is nilpotent, therefore  $qJ$  is also so for some  $q(\neq 0) \in l(I) = r(I)$ . Also  $qJ^2 \neq 0$ , for otherwise  $q(I^2 + J^2) = qI^2 + qJ^2 = qI \cdot I + qJ^2 = 0$ . Thus  $q(\neq 0) \in l(I^2 + J^2) = l(I+J)^2$ , a contradiction. Again  $I + qJ \neq J$ , for otherwise  $I \subseteq J$  implies  $I + J = J$ . Thus  $l(I + J) = l(J) (\neq 0)$ , a contradiction. Hence  $I + qJ$ ,*J* are distinct and  $I + J = I + qJ + J$  which gives  $l(I+qJ+J) = 0$  and  $(I+qJ)J = IJ + qJ^2 = qJ^2(\neq 0)$ . Hence  $d(I + qJ, J) = 3$ [caseI].

 $\Box$ 

**Theorem 2.7.** Let *N* be a near-ring such that  $\Gamma_s(N) > 3$ , then *N* does not contain more than two invariant vertices  $I_1$  and  $I_2$ *such that*  $l(I_1)$  *and*  $l(I_2)$  *are essential.* 

*Proof.* Let *I*1,*I*<sup>2</sup> and *I*<sup>3</sup> be three invariant *N*-subsets such that  $l(I_1), l(I_2)$  and  $l(I_3)$  are essential. Let  $J_1 = l(I_1) \cap I_2 \neq 0, J_2 =$  $l(I_2) \cap I_3 \neq 0$  and  $J_3 = l(I_3) \cap I_1 \neq 0$ . Clearly each  $J_i, i =$ 

1,2,3 are left *N*-subsets. Also  $l(I_1) ∩ I_2 ⊆ I_2$  which gives that *l*(*I*<sub>2</sub>) ⊆ *l*(*I*(*I*<sub>1</sub>)∩*I*<sub>2</sub>). Thus *l*(*I*(*I*<sub>1</sub>)∩*I*<sub>2</sub>) = *l*(*J*<sub>1</sub>) ≠ 0. Similarly  $l(J_2) \neq 0$  and  $l(J_3) \neq 0$ . Also  $J_1, J_2$  and  $J_3$  are distinct. For otherwise  $J_1^2 = J_2 J_1 = (l(I_2) \cap I_3)(l(I_1) \cap I_2) \subseteq l(I_2)I_2 = 0$ implies that  $J_1 = 0$ , a contradiction. Thus  $J_1, J_2$  are  $J_3$  are distinct such that  $J_1J_2 = 0$ ,  $J_2J_3 = 0$  and  $J_3J_1 = 0$ . Thus  $J_1 \rightarrow J_2 \rightarrow J_3 \rightarrow J_1$  is a cycle, a contradiction.  $\Box$ 

<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Theorem 2.8.** *[6] Let N be a such that*  $\Gamma_s(N)$  *contains a cycle with an invariant vertex in it. Then*  $gr(\Gamma_s(N)) \leq 4$ *.* 

# **3. Coloring of** Γ*s*(*N*)

In this section we present some characterization of cliques as well as of maximal cliques in  $\Gamma_s(N)$ . Also we establish some bounds for chromatic no of the graph.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let *N* be a near-ring and  $I_1, I_2, \ldots, I_k$  be ideals *of N such that l*(*Ii*)*'s are maximal as annihilator. Then the following are equivalent.*

- *(i)*  $P_i = l(I_i)'$ *s are prime ideals so that*  $P_i \cap P_j = 0$  *for*  $i \neq j$ *.*
- *(ii)*  $\{I_1, I_2, ..., I_k\}$  *is a clique.*

*Proof.* Assume that  $P_i = l(I_i)'s$  are prime ideals so that  $P_i \cap I$  $P_j = 0$  for  $i \neq j$ . Let  $I_i I_j \neq 0$ ,  $(1 \leq i, j \leq k)$  gives that  $I_i \nsubseteq$  $l(I_j) = P_j$ . Now  $l(I_i)I_i = 0$  implies  $l(I_i)I_i \in P_j$  which gives that  $l(I_i) \subseteq P_j$  or  $I_i \subseteq P_j$ . Thus  $l(I_i) = P_i \subseteq P_j$  giving thereby  $P_i = P_i \cap P_j = 0$ , a contradiction. Thus  $I_i I_j = 0$ . Conversely, assume that  $\{I_1, I_2, ..., I_k\}$  is a clique. Suppose that *I* and *J* be two ideals such that  $IJ \subseteq P_i$  so that  $I \nsubseteq P_i$  and  $J \nsubseteq P_i$ . Now  $IJ \subseteq P_i = l(I_i)$  implies  $IJI_i = 0$  giving thereby  $I \subseteq l(JI_i)$ . Again  $I_i$  is an invariant *N*-subset being an ideal. Thus  $x \in$  $l(I_i) = r(I_i)$ [Lemma 2.2] implies  $I_i x = 0$ . Thus  $JI_i x = 0$  giving thereby  $x \in l(JI_i)$ . Hence  $l(I_i) \subseteq l(IJ_i)$  giving thereby  $l(I) =$  $l(IJ_i) = 0$ . Thus  $I^2 = 0$ , a contradiction.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 3.2.** Let *N* be a near-ring and  $I_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, ..., k$  be the *ideals of such that l*(*Ii*) 0 *s are pairwise disjoint and maximal as annihilator. Then the following are equivalent.*

- *(i)*  $\{I_1, I_2, \ldots, I_k\}$  *is a maximal clique.*
- *(ii)*  $l(I_i)$ *'s are only annihilator prime ideals.*

*Proof.* Assume that  $\{I_1, I_2, \ldots, I_k\}$  is a maximal clique. Let *I* be another ideal distinct from  $I_i$ ,  $1 \le i \le k$  where  $l(I)$  is maximal with  $l(I) \cap l(I_i) = 0$ . If  $l(I)$  is prime, then we show that  $I_iI = 0$ . Suppose that  $I_iI \neq 0$  which implies  $I_i \nsubseteq I(I)$ . Now  $l(I_i)I_i) \subseteq l(I)$  gives that either  $l(I_i) \subseteq l(I)$  or  $I_i \subseteq l(I)$ . Thus  $l(I_i) = l(I_i) \cap l(I) = 0$ , a contradiction. Hence  $I_iI = 0$  for  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$ . Thus  $\{I, I_1, I_2, \ldots, I_k\}$  is a clique which contains  $\{I_1, I_2, \ldots, I_k\}$ , a contradiction. Thus  $I = I_i$  for some  $i, 1 \le i \le k$ *k*. Thus  $l(I_i)$ 's are only prime ideals of this type. Conversely, let  $C'$  be a clique such that  $C = \{I_1, I_2, ..., I_k\} \subset C'$ . Let  $I \in C'$ such that  $I \notin \mathbb{C}$ . Now  $I_i I = 0$  for all *i*. We claim that  $I(I)$  is a prime ideal. Suppose *A*, *B* be two ideals such that  $AB \subseteq l(I)$ and  $A \nsubseteq l(I), B \nsubseteq l(I)$ . Now  $ABI = 0$  gives  $A \subseteq l(BI) = l(I)$ ,

a contradiction. Thus  $l(I)$  is a prime ideal and  $l(I) = l(I_i)$  for some  $1 \le i \le k$ . Now  $II_i(=0) \subseteq I(I_i)$  gives that either  $I \subseteq I(I_i)$ or  $I_i \subseteq l(I_i)$ . Thus  $l(I)$  is a prime ideal, a contradiction. Thus  ${I_1, I_2, \ldots, I_k}$  is a maximal clique.  $\Box$ 

**Example 3.3.** *Consider*  $Z_6$ *, the integer modulo* 6*. Here*  $I_1 =$  $\{0,3\}, I_2 = \{0,2,4\}$  *are only ideals. Clearly*  $\{0,I_1,I_2\}$  *is a clique in*  $\Gamma_s(Z_6)$ *. Also AnnI*<sub>1</sub> = *I*<sub>2</sub>*, AnnI*<sub>2</sub> = *I*<sub>1</sub> *which are prime ideals of*  $Z_6$ *.* 

**Theorem 3.4.** Let *N* be a near ring and  $I_1, I_2, ..., I_k$  be the *only ideals such that*  $P_i = l(I_i), 1 \leq i \leq k$  *are pairwise disjoint and maximal as annihilator. Then*  $\chi(\Gamma_s(N)) \leq k+1$ .

*Proof.* It is clear that  $\{I_1, I_2, ..., I_k\}$  forms a clique Theorem 3.1]. We give each  $I_i^{\prime}$ s a distinct color and one extra color to  $0'$ . We claim that these  $k+1$  colors are sufficient to color the graph  $\Gamma_s(N)$ . Consider  $I(\neq 0)$  be any ideal. Then  $I \nsubseteq I(I_i)$  for some *i*, for if  $I \subseteq l(I_i)$  for each *i*, then  $I \subseteq l(I_1) \cap l(I_2) \cap \ldots \cap l(I_k$  $l(I_k) = 0$ , a contradiction. Let  $k = min\{i | I \nsubseteq l(I_i)\}\$ . Thus  $I \nsubseteq l(I_k)$  which gives that  $II_k \neq 0$ . Here we give the color of *Ik* to *I*. Let *J* be another ideal which is also coloured with the color of  $I_k$ . Thus  $JI_k \neq 0$ . We claim  $IJ \neq 0$ . Suppose *IJ* = 0 gives that *IJ*  $\subseteq$  *l*(*I<sub>k</sub>*) which gives that either *I*  $\subseteq$  *l*(*I<sub>k</sub>*) or  $J \subseteq l(I_k)$  as  $l(I_k)$  is a prime ideal, a contradiction. Next, let *I*, *J* be two left *N*-subsets such that *I* and *J* are given the color of some  $I_k$ . Thus  $II_k \neq 0$  and  $JI_k \neq 0$ . Here  $IJ \neq 0$ . For if  $IJ = 0$ , we get  $IJI_k = 0$ . Thus  $I \subseteq l(JI_k) = r(JI_k)$ . But for any  $x \in l(I_k) = r(I_k)$ ,  $I_k x = 0$  gives  $JI_k x = 0$  which implies that  $x \in r(JI_k) = l(JI_k)$ . Thus  $l(I_k) = l(JI_k)$  giving thereby  $II_k = 0$ , a contradiction. Thus  $IJ \neq 0$ .  $\Box$ 

**Example 3.5.** *: Consider*  $Z_6 = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$  *which is a near-ring with respect to the tables given below. The only left N* subsets are  $I_1 = \{0,3\}$ ,  $I_2 = \{0,2,4\}$  and  $I_3 = \{0,2,3.4\}$ *which are invariant also and*  $l(I_1) = I_2$  *and*  $l(I_2) = I_1$  *are two maximal ideals of the annihilator ideal form. Here the chromatic number*  $\chi(\Gamma_s(Z_6))$  *is*  $2+1=3$ *, i.e.,*  $\chi(\Gamma_s(Z_6))$  *is equal to*  $p + 1$ *, where*  $p$  *is the number of maximal ideals of the form of left annihilator.*

In the results below, we deal with the essentiality of annihilator ideals in a near-ring *N* to determine the chromatic number of  $\Gamma_s(N)$ .

Theorem 3.6. *Let N be a near-ring with unity, then the following two are equivalent.*

- *(i) If for a left N*-subset *I of N*,  $l(I)$  *is essential, then*  $I = 0$ *.*
- *(ii) N is strongly semi-prime.*

**Example 3.7.** *Consider the ring*  $Z_6 = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$  *which is strongly semi-prime with unity. Here*  $I_1 = l(I_2) = \{0,3\}$ *and*  $I_2 = l(I_1) = \{0, 2, 4\}$  *are the only non-zero ideals and*  $Z_6 = Ann(0)$  *is the only essential ideal.* 

**Example 3.8.**  $Z_4 = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$  *is a ring with unity. Here*  $Z_4$ *is not strongly semi-prime as for*  $I = \{0, 2\}$ ,  $I^2 = 0$  *and*  $I(I)$  *is an essential ideal of Z*<sup>4</sup>

**Theorem 3.9.** *:*[6]Let *N* be a near-ring such that  $\Gamma(N)$  has *no infinite clique, then the near-ring N satisfies the acc on essential left N-subsets.*

*Proof.* Let  $I_1 < I_2 < I_3 < ...$  be an ascending chain for essential left *N*-subsets. Suppose  $I_i < I_{i+1}$ . Now  $I_i \cap l(I_i) \leq I_{i+1} \cap l(I_i)$  $l(I_i)$ . Here  $I_i \cap l(I_i) \neq 0$  as  $I_i$  is essential. Thus  $I_{i+1} \cap l(I_i) \neq 0$ . Also  $I_i \cap l(I_i) \neq I_{i+1} \cap l(I_i)$  for otherwise  $(I_i \cap l(I_i))^2 = (I_{i+1} \cap l(I_i))^2$  $l(I_i)(I_i \cap l(I_i)) \subseteq l(I_i)I_i = 0$ , a contradiction. Now consider an element  $x_n \in I_n \cap l(I_{n-1})$  such that  $x_n \notin I_{n-1} \cap l(I_{n-1})$ . Here  $f$  for  $i \neq j$  (suppose  $i > j$ ),  $x_i x_j \in (I_i \cap l(I_{i-1}))(I_j \cap l(I_{j-1})) \subseteq$  $l(I_{i-1})I_i = 0$ . Thus we get an infinite clique in *N*, a contradiction.  $\Box$ 

Theorem 3.10. *:[6]Let N be a near-ring without unity. If* Γ*s*(*N*) *has no infinite clique, then N satisfies the acc on invariant subsets having essential left N-subsets.*

*Proof.* Let  $I_1 < I_2 < I_3$ .... be an ascending chain of invariant subsets with essential left annihilators. Suppose  $I_i \nleq I_{i+1}$ . Let  $x_{i+1}(\neq 0) \in I_{i+1} \setminus I_i$ . Now consider  $J_{i+1} = l(I_{i+1}) \cap \langle x_{i+1} \rangle \neq 0$ , where  $\langle x_{i+1} \rangle$  is the ideal generated by  $x_{i+1}$ . Here  $J_i J_j = 0$  for  $i < j$ , a contradiction. П

Theorem 3.11. *:[6] Let N be a near-ring with unity and*  $l(I_1), l(I_2), \ldots, l(I_n)$  *be the only essential N-subsets of N such that each I<sub>i</sub> is an ideal. Then*  $\chi(\Gamma_s(N)) \leq n+1$ *.* 

**Example 3.12.** *Consider the set*  $Z_{(p^{\infty})}$  *of all rational numbers of the form*  $\frac{m}{p^k}$  such that  $0 \leq \frac{m}{p^k}$  $\frac{m}{p^k} < 1$ *, where p is a fixed prime number, n runs through all non negative integers. Then Z*(*p* <sup>∞</sup>) *is a ring with respect to addition modulo* 1 *and multiplication defined as*  $ab = 0$  *for all*  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}(p^{\infty})$ *. It is to be noted that each subgroup of Z*(*p* <sup>∞</sup>) *is an ideal of it and the only proper ideals of Z*(*p* <sup>∞</sup>) *are of the form*  $I_{k-1} = \{0, \frac{1}{n^{k-1}}\}$  $\frac{1}{p^{k-1}}, \frac{2}{p^{k-1}}$  $\frac{2}{p^{k-2}}, \ldots, \frac{p^{k-1}-1}{p^k-1}$ *p <sup>k</sup>*−1 } *for each positive integer k. Thus the ideals are in a chain*  $0 < I_1 < I_2 < ...$  *and each*  $I_i$ 's *are essential Zp*<sup>∞</sup> *is a reduced ring without unity. But here l*( $I$ <sup>*k*−1</sub>) = 0 *for all k which are not essential. Here*  $I$ <sub>*i*</sub> $I$ <sub>*j*</sub>  $\neq$  0 *for*</sup> *any i*, *j* and  $\chi(\Gamma_s(Z_{p^{\infty}})) = 2$ .

**Example 3.13.** *: Consider the set*  $M(N) = \begin{pmatrix} Z_2 & N \\ 0 & Z \end{pmatrix}$  $0 \quad Z_2$  $\setminus$ which is the set of elements of the form  $\{\left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & n\ 0 & 0 \end{array}\right), \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & n\ 0 & 1 \end{array}\right),$  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & n \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & n \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $n \in N$ . Here  $M(N)$  is a near*ring with respect to ordinary addition and multiplication* $(\bar{x}n =$  $xn, \bar{x} \in Z_2$ ) *with unity which is not strongly semi-prime as*  $\left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & n \\ 0 & 0 \end{array}\right) \cdot \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & n \\ 0 & 0 \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array}\right).$  If *N* is not finite, *then*  $M(N)$  *has infinite invariant sets*  $I_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3, ...$ ) *such* 

<span id="page-4-1"></span>*that*  $l(I_i) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & n \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} | n \in N \right\}$  *is essential and*  $\chi(\Gamma_s(M(N))) = \infty$ .

**Theorem 3.14.** *Let N be a near-ring such that*  $\Gamma_S(N)$  *has no infinite clique, then N satisfies the a.c.c for annihilators of left N-subsets of N .*

*Proof.* Let  $Ann(I_1) ⊂ Ann(I_2) ⊂ Ann(I_3) ⊂ .....$  be an ascending chain where  $I_i$  are left  $N$  − *subsets* such that  $Ann(I_i) \neq 0$ . Suppose  $Ann(I_{n-1})$  ⊂  $Ann(I_n)$ . Let  $x_n$  ∈  $Ann(I_n)$  but  $x_n \notin$ *Ann*( $I_{n-1}$ ). Consider  $J_n = I_{n-1}x_n$ . Clearly  $J_n$  is also a left *N*subset. Also  $l(I_{n-1}) \subseteq l(I_{n-1x_n})$  which gives that  $l(I_{n-1x_n}) \neq 0$ . Thus  $l(J_n) \neq 0$ . For each *n*,  $J_n J_{n-1} = (I_{n-1} x_n)(I_n x_{n+1}) =$  $I_{n-1}(x_nI_nx_{n+1}) = 0.$  In fact  $J_nJ_k = (I_{n-1}x_n)(I_{k-1}x_k)$  $= I_{n-1}(x_nI_{k-1})x_k = 0$  for  $n > k$  since  $x_n ∈ Ann(I_n) ⊂ Ann(I_k)$ . Thus  $\{J_i | i > 1\}$  forms an infinite clique, a contradiction.

The subset  $C(N) = \{x_i \in N | x_i n = nx_i, n \in N\}$  of a nearring *N* is called the multiplicative centre of *N*

**Theorem 3.15.** *Let*  $\{x_i\}$  ⊂  $C(N)$  *and let I* =  $\langle$   $\{x_1, x_2, ..., x_n \rangle$ . *If there are only finite*  $Ix_i$ *, then*  $\Gamma_s(N)$  *has an infinite clique.* 

*Proof.* Let  $Ix_i = Ix_j$  for some  $x_i, x_j \in C(N)$ . We can pick  $y_i \in \{x_1, x_2, \ldots\}$  such that  $y_i y_j = y_i y_k$  for  $i < j < k$ . Now *Iy*<sup> $i$ </sup><sub>*s*</sub> are left *N*-subsets of *N*. Also *l*(*I*) ⊆ *l*(*Iy*<sub>*i*</sub>) gives that  $l(Iy_i) \neq 0$  as  $l(I) \neq 0$ . Consider  $Z_{ij} = Iy_i - Iy_j$ . Clearly  $Z_{ij}$  is a left *N*-subset of *N*. Also  $l(Z_{ij}) \neq 0$ , for any  $x \in l(I)$ ,  $xI = 0$ implies  $xIy_i = 0$  and  $xIy_j = 0$  giving thereby  $x(Iy_i - Iy_j) = 0$ . Thus  $l(I) \subseteq l(Z_{ij})$  implies that  $l(Z_{ij}) \neq 0$ . Further  $Z_{ij}Z_{kr} =$  $(Iy_i - Iy_j)(Iy_k - Iy_r) \subseteq ((Iy_iy_k - Iy_jy_k) - (Iy_iy_r - Iy_jy_r) = 0$ for all  $i < j < k < r$ . Thus  $\{Z_{12}, Z_{34}, \dots\}$  is an infinite clique of  $\Gamma_s(N)$ .  $\Box$ 

#### **References**

- <span id="page-4-0"></span>[1] S.Akbari and A.Mohammadian, On the zero-divisor graph of a commutative ring, *J. Algebra*, 274(2)(2004), 847–855.
- [2] D.D.Anderson and P.S.Livingston, The zero-divisor graph of a commutative ring, *J. Algebra*, 217(1999), 434– 447.
- [3] D.D.Anderson and S.B.Mulay, On the diameter and girth of a zero-divisor graph, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 210(2007), 543–550.
- [4] I.Beck, Coloring of commutative rings, *J. Algebra*, 116(1988), 208–226.
- [5] M. Behboodhi and Z.Rakeei, The annihilating ideal graph of commutative ring, *J. Algebra Appl.*, 10(4)(2011), 727– 739.
- [6] P. Das, On the diameter, girth and coloring of the strong zero-divisor graph of near-rings, *Kyungpook Math. J.*, 56(2016), 1103–1113.
- [7] G. Pilz., *Near-rings*, North Holland Publishing Company, 1977.

[8] S.P. Redmond, An ideal-based zero-divisor graph of a commutative ring, *Comm. Algebra*, 31(9)(2003), 4425– 4443.

? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ISSN(P):2319−3786 [Malaya Journal of Matematik](http://www.malayajournal.org) ISSN(O):2321−5666 ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ?

