



Fixed point theorem of a set valued map on Cone metric space

Jigmi Dorjee Bhutia^{1*} and Kalishankar Tiwary²

Abstract

This paper presents some extensions of the result that has been proved in [5]. We obtain a result on common fixed point theorem in cone metric space for two set valued maps.

Keywords

Cone metric space, H-cone metric, Fixed point.

AMS Subject Classification

47H10, 54H25, 54C60.

¹Department of Mathematics, Cooch Behar College, Cooch Behar-736101, India.

²Department of Mathematics, Raiganj University, Raiganj-733134, India.

*Corresponding author: jigmibhutia@gmail.com;

Article History: Received 18 November 2018; Accepted 25 April 2019

©2019 MJM.

Contents

1	Introduction	287
2	Preliminaries	287
3	Main Results	289
	References	293

1. Introduction

In the year 1969, S.B.Nadler [1] gave a generalisation of Banach contraction principle in case of set-valued maps in metric space. In 1968 [2] Kannan established a fixed point theorem for mapping satisfying: $d(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha \{d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty)\}$, where $\alpha \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$. Kannan's paper was extended by many authors during the period of time, one of which came in the year 1971, by S. Riech in his paper [3]. Where a map satisfies the contraction condition: $d(Tx, Ty) \leq \{a_1d(x, Tx) + a_2d(y, Ty) + a_3d(x, y)\}$, where $a_i \geq 0, \forall i = 1, 2, 3$ and $\sum_{i=1}^3 a_i < 1$. Then in 1972, Chatterjea [23] established a fixed point theorem for mapping satisfying: $d(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha \{d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)\}$, where $\alpha \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$.

It is during the year 2007 when Huang and Zhang [4] introduced the concept of cone metric space by replacing the range set of non negative real numbers of the metric d by the ordered Banach space. Since then many other authors in [12]-[19] and the references therein, have obtained the fixed point theorems on single valued maps. In [5], the existence of a fixed

point in cone metric space for set valued mappings has been obtained by the concept of H-Cone metric. For more recent fixed point theorems in cone metric spaces for multivalued mappings we refer [[5]-[11]] and references therein.

2. Preliminaries

Let E be a real Banach space $P \subset E$. Then P is said to a cone if it satisfies the following conditions:

1. P is a nonempty closed subset and $P \neq \emptyset$.
2. $x, y \in P$ and $a, b \in R$ where $a \geq 0$ and $b \geq 0$ then $ax + by \in P$.
3. If $x \in P$ and $-x \in P$, then $x = \theta$.

Cone induces a Partial order relation We can define a partial order relation \preceq on E with respect to the cone P in the following way: $x \preceq y$ if and only if $y - x \in P$. Also $x \ll y$ if and only if $y \preceq x \in \text{Int}P$ and $x < y$ implies $x \preceq y$ but $x \neq y$. If $\text{Int}P \neq \emptyset$ then the cone is a solid cone.

Definition 2.1. [4] Let X be a non empty set and $d : X \times X \rightarrow E$ satisfying

1. $\theta \preceq d(x, y)$ and $d(x, y) = \theta$ if and only if $x = y$.
2. $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$.
3. $d(x, y) \preceq d(x, z) + d(z, y), \forall x, y \in X$.

Then d is called the cone metric and the pair (X, d) is called the cone metric space.

Example 1 [5]: Let $E = R^2$ and $P = \{(x, y) \in R^2 : x \geq 0 \text{ and } y \geq 0\}$, $X = R^2$ and $d(x, y) = (|x - y|, \alpha|x - y|)$, $\forall x, y \in X$ and $\alpha \geq 0$. Then (X, d) is a cone metric space and P is a normal cone with normal constant 1.

There are two different kinds of cones: Normal (with a normal constant) and Non-Normal cones. Let E be a real Banach space, $P \subset E$ be a cone and \preceq be the partial ordering defined by P . Then P is said to be normal if there exist positive real number $\mathcal{K} > 0$ such that, for all $x, y \in E$, $\theta \preceq x \preceq y \Rightarrow \|x\| \leq \mathcal{K} \|y\|$. Or, equivalently if $x_n \preceq y_n \preceq z_n$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_n = x$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = x$. The least of all such constant \mathcal{K} is known as normal constant.

Definition 2.2. [4]: Let (X, d) be a cone metric space. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X and $x \in X$. If for every $\varepsilon \in E$ with $\varepsilon \gg \theta$ there is N such that for all $n > N$, $d(x_n, x) \ll \varepsilon$. Then $\{x_n\}$ is said to be convergent and x is the limit of $\{x_n\}$. We denote this by, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Definition 2.3. : Let (X, d) be a metric space. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X . If for any $\varepsilon \in E$ with $\varepsilon \gg \theta$ there is a positive integer N such that for all $n, m > N$, $d(x_n, x_m) \ll \varepsilon$. Then $\{x_n\}$ is said to be a Cauchy sequence in X .

Definition 2.4. : If every Cauchy sequence $\{x_n\} \subset M$ is convergent in $x \in M$, then (M, d) is called a complete cone metric space.

Lemma 2.5. [21] Let E be a Banach space.

- (i) If $a, b, c \in E$ and $a \preceq b \ll c$, then $a \ll c$.
- (ii) If $\theta \preceq a \ll c$ for each $c \gg \theta$, then $a = \theta$.
- (iii) If E is a real Banach space with cone P and if $a \preceq \lambda a$ where $a \in P$ and $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, then $a = \theta$.

Remark 2.6. [21]: If $c \gg \theta$, $\theta \ll a_n$ and $a_n \rightarrow \theta$, then there exists N , such that for all $n > N$, we have $a_n \ll c$.

A set $A \subset M$ is closed if for any sequence $\{x_n\} \subset A$ convergent to x , then $x \in A$.

We denote $N(M)$ as the collection of all nonempty subsets of M and $C(M)$ as collection of all nonempty closed subsets of M .

Definition 2.7. An element $x \in M$ is said to be a fixed point of a set-valued mapping $T : M \rightarrow N(M)$ if $x \in Tx$. Denote $Fix(T) = \{x \in M : x \in Tx\}$.

The following is the definition of H-cone metric as given by Wardowski in [6] came in the year 2011.

Definition 2.8. Let (M, d) be a cone metric space and \mathcal{A} be the collection of all nonempty subsets of M . A map $\mathcal{H} : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow E$ is called an H-cone metric with respect to d if for any $A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{A}$ the following conditions hold:

- 1. $\mathcal{H}(A_1, A_2) = 0 \Rightarrow A_1 = A_2$.
- 2. $\mathcal{H}(A_1, A_2) = \mathcal{H}(A_2, A_1)$.

3. $\forall \varepsilon \in E$ with $\theta \ll \varepsilon$, $\forall x \in A_1$, \exists at least one $y \in A_2$, such that $d(x, y) \preceq \mathcal{H}(A_1, A_2) + \varepsilon$.

4. any one of the following holds there exist

(a) $\forall \varepsilon \in E$ with $\theta \ll \varepsilon$, \exists at least one $x \in A_1$, such that $\mathcal{H}(A_1, A_2) \preceq d(x, y) + \varepsilon$, $\forall y \in A_2$.

(b) $\forall \varepsilon \in E$ and $\theta \ll \varepsilon$, \exists at least one $x \in A_2$, such that $\mathcal{H}(A_1, A_2) \preceq d(x, y) + \varepsilon$, $\forall y \in A_1$.

For examples we refer [6] to the readers. The author in [6] have proved that if (M, d) is a cone metric space and $\mathcal{H} : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow E$ is H-cone metric with respect to d then the pair $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H})$ is a cone metric space.

In [6], the author have proved the following result.

Theorem 2.9. Let (M, d) be a complete cone metric space with a normal cone P with a normal constant \mathcal{K} . Let \mathcal{A} be a nonempty collection of all nonempty closed subsets of M and let $\mathcal{H} : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow E$ be an H-cone metric with respect to d . If for a map $T : M \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \exists \lambda \in (0, 1)$ such that $\forall x, y \in M$, $\mathcal{H}(Tx, Ty) \preceq \lambda d(x, y)$, then $FixT \neq \emptyset$.

In the year 2013, H-cone metric in the sense of Arshad and Ahmad [11] was defined in the following way to make it more comparable with a standard metric.

Definition 2.10. [11]: Let (M, d) be a cone metric space and \mathcal{A} be a collection of all nonempty subsets of M . A map $\mathcal{H} : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow E$ is called an H-cone metric in the sense of Arshad and Ahmad if the following conditions hold:

- 1. $\theta \preceq \mathcal{H}(A, B)$ for all $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{H}(A, B) = \theta$ if and only if $A = B$;
- 2. $\mathcal{H}(A, B) = \mathcal{H}(B, A)$, $\forall A, B \in \mathcal{A}$;
- 3. $\mathcal{H}(A, B) \preceq \mathcal{H}(A, C) + \mathcal{H}(C, B)$, $\forall A, B, C \in \mathcal{A}$;
- 4. if $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$, $\theta < \varepsilon \in E$ with $\mathcal{H}(A, B) < \varepsilon$, then for each $a \in A$ there exists $b \in B$ such that $d(a, b) < \varepsilon$.

Using this H-cone metric the following result [[11], Th.3] was proved

Theorem 2.11. [11] Let (M, d) be a complete cone metric space. Let \mathcal{A} be a nonempty collection of all nonempty closed subsets of M and let $\mathcal{H} : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow E$ be an H-cone metric induced by d . If for a map $T : M \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \exists \lambda \in (0, 1)$ such that $\forall x, y \in M \mathcal{H}(Tx, Ty) \preceq \lambda d(x, y)$, then $FixT \neq \emptyset$.

The following example has been shown in [[14], Eg 1.10] which indicates that Definition 2.10 is different from Definition 2.8.

Example 2.12. Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ be defined by

$$d(a, b) = d(b, a) = \frac{1}{2}, d(a, c) = d(c, a) = d(b, c) = d(c, b) = 1, d(a, a) = d(b, b) = d(c, c) = 0. \text{ Let } A = \{\{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}\}, \mathcal{H} : A \times A \rightarrow [0, +\infty) \text{ as } \mathcal{H}(\{a\}, \{b\}) = \mathcal{H}(\{b\}, \{a\}) = 1,$$



$\mathcal{H}(\{a\}, \{c\}) = \mathcal{H}(\{c\}, \{a\}) = \mathcal{H}(\{b\}, \{c\}) = \mathcal{H}(\{c\}, \{b\}) = 2,$
 $\mathcal{H}(\{a\}, \{a\}) = \mathcal{H}(\{b\}, \{b\}) = \mathcal{H}(\{c\}, \{c\}) = 0.$
 Then \mathcal{H} is an H-cone metric which satisfies Definition 2.10 but not Definition 2.8. In fact, (iv) of Definition 2.8 does not hold.

Doric in the paper [[13], Th 2.3], have used the H-cone metric due to Arshad and Ahmad to prove the following result.

Theorem 2.13. *Let E be a Banach space, let P be a solid not necessarily normal cone of E and let (X, d) be a cone metric space over E . Let \mathcal{A} be a family of nonempty closed, and bounded subsets of X and let there exist H-cone metric $\mathcal{H} : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow E$ induced by d . Suppose that $T, S: X \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be two cone multivalued mappings and suppose that there is $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ such that $\forall x, y \in X$ at least one of the following is holds:*

1. $\mathcal{H}(Tx, Sy) \preceq d(x, y);$
2. $\mathcal{H}(Tx, Sy) \preceq d(x, u)$ for each fixed $u \in Tx;$
3. $\mathcal{H}(Tx, Sy) \preceq d(y, v)$ for each fixed $v \in Sy;$
4. $\mathcal{H}(Tx, Sy) \preceq \lambda \frac{d(x,v)+d(y,u)}{2}$ for each fixed $v \in Sy$ and $u \in Tx.$

Then T and S have a common fixed point.

The following example is given by Wardowski [[6], Ex. 3.3] which satisfies Defn. 2.8.

Example 2.14. *Let $M = [0, 1], E = \mathbb{R}^2$ be a Banach space with the standard norm, $P = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x \geq 0 \text{ and } y \geq 0\}$ be a normal cone and let $d: M \times M \rightarrow E$ be of the form $d(x, y) = (|x - y|, \frac{1}{2}|x - y|).$ Let \mathcal{A} be a family of subsets of M of the form $\mathcal{A} = \{[0, x] : x \in M\} \cup \{\{x\} : x \in M\}.$ We define an H-cone metric $\mathcal{H} : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow E$ with respect to d by the formulae*

$$H(A, B) = \begin{cases} (|x-y|, \frac{1}{2}|x-y|), & \text{for } A = [0, x], B = [0, y], \\ (|x-y|, \frac{1}{2}|x-y|), & \text{for } A = \{x\}, B = \{y\}, \\ (\max\{y, |x-y|\}, \frac{1}{2}\max\{y, |x-y|\}), & \text{for } A = [0, x], B = \{y\}, \\ (\max\{x, |x-y|\}, \frac{1}{2}\max\{x, |x-y|\}), & \text{for } A = \{x\}, B = [0, y], \end{cases}$$

In [5], the author have given the following example that satisfies defn 2.8.

Example 2.15. *Let $M = \{(1, 0), (0, 1), (0, 0)\}, E = \mathbb{R}^2$ be a Banach space with the standard norm, $P = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x \geq 0 \text{ and } y \geq 0\}$ be a normal cone and let $d: M \times M \rightarrow E$ be defined by*
 $d((0, 0), (0, 1)) = d((0, 1), (0, 0)) = (1, \frac{2}{3}).$
 $d((1, 0), (0, 0)) = d((0, 0), (1, 0)) = (\frac{4}{3}, 1).$
 $d((1, 0), (0, 1)) = d((0, 1), (1, 0)) = (\frac{7}{3}, \frac{5}{3}).$
 $d((1, 0), (1, 0)) = d((0, 1), (0, 1)) = (0, 0) = d((0, 0), (0, 0)).$

Then the pair (M, d) is a complete cone metric space. Let $\mathcal{A} = \{\{(0, 0)\}, \{(0, 1)\}, \{(1, 0)\}\}$ be a family of subsets of M of the form Define an H-cone metric $\mathcal{H} : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow E$ with respect to

d by the formulae

$$\mathcal{H}(\{(0, 0)\}, \{(0, 1)\}) = \mathcal{H}(\{(0, 1)\}, \{(0, 0)\}) = (1, \frac{2}{3}).$$

$$\mathcal{H}(\{(1, 0)\}, \{(0, 0)\}) = \mathcal{H}(\{(0, 0)\}, \{(1, 0)\}) = (\frac{4}{3}, 1).$$

$$\mathcal{H}(\{(1, 0)\}, \{(0, 1)\}) = \mathcal{H}(\{(0, 1)\}, \{(1, 0)\}) = (\frac{7}{3}, \frac{5}{3}).$$

$$\mathcal{H}(\{(1, 0)\}, \{(1, 0)\}) = \mathcal{H}(\{(0, 1)\}, \{(0, 1)\}) = \mathcal{H}(\{(0, 0)\}, \{(0, 0)\}) = (0, 0).$$

Here we present the result by considering H-cone metric as defined by Wardowski.

3. Main Results

Theorem 3.1. *Let (M, d) be a complete cone metric space. Let \mathcal{A} be a nonempty collection of all nonempty closed subsets of M and $T_1, T_2: M \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be the set valued maps. Consider an H-cone metric with respect to $d, \mathcal{H} : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow E$ satisfying Defn. 2.8. Then if T_1 and T_2 satisfies the contraction condition $\mathcal{H}(T_1x, T_2y) \preceq \lambda d(x, y), \forall x, y \in M, \lambda \in (0, 1).$ Then T_1 and T_2 has a common fixed point.*

Proof : Suppose that $\epsilon_n \in E$ and $\epsilon_n \gg \theta, \forall n$ and $\epsilon_n \rightarrow \theta.$ as $n \rightarrow \infty.$ Let $x_0 \in M$ be arbitrary and fixed.

Then $T_1(x_0) \in \mathcal{A}.$ Let $x_1 \in T_1(x_0)$ and $x_2 \in T_2(x_1),$ then by the definition of \mathcal{H} we have $d(x_1, x_2) \preceq \mathcal{H}(T_1(x_0), T_2(x_1)) + \epsilon_1.$ Now for $x_1 \in M.$ We have $x_2 \in T_2(x_1),$ there exist $x_3 \in T_1(x_2)$ such that $d(x_2, x_3) \preceq \mathcal{H}(T_2(x_1), T_1(x_2)) + \epsilon_2.$

For $x_2 \in M$ and $x_3 \in T_1(x_2), \exists x_4 \in T_2(x_3)$ such that $d(x_3, x_4) \preceq \mathcal{H}(T_1(x_2), T_2(x_3)) + \epsilon_3.$

So now for $x_3 \in M$ and $x_4 \in T_2(x_3), \exists x_5 \in T_1(x_4)$ such that $d(x_4, x_5) \preceq \mathcal{H}(T_2(x_3), T_1(x_4)) + \epsilon_4.$

So, for $n \geq 1$ we have, $x_{2n-1} \in T_1(x_{2n-2})$ and $x_{2n} \in T_2(x_{2n-1}).$ Now $d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}) \preceq \mathcal{H}(T_1(x_{2n-2}), T_2(x_{2n-1})) + \epsilon_{2n-1}.$

$$\preceq \lambda d(x_{2n-2}, x_{2n-1}) + \epsilon_{2n-1}.$$

$$\preceq \lambda d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n-2}) + \epsilon_{2n-1}.$$

$$\preceq \lambda (\mathcal{H}(T_1(x_{2n-2}), T_2(x_{2n-3})) + \epsilon_{2n-2}) + \epsilon_{2n-1}.$$

$$\preceq \lambda^2 d(x_{2n-2}, x_{2n-3}) + \lambda \epsilon_{2n-2} + \epsilon_{2n-1}.$$

$$\preceq \lambda^2 d(x_{2n-3}, x_{2n-2}) + \lambda \epsilon_{2n-2} + \epsilon_{2n-1}.$$

$$\preceq \lambda^2 (\mathcal{H}(T_1(x_{2n-4}), T_2(x_{2n-3})) + \epsilon_{2n-3}) + \lambda \epsilon_{2n-2} + \epsilon_{2n-1}.$$

$$\preceq \lambda^3 (d(x_{2n-4}, x_{2n-3})) + \lambda^2 \epsilon_{2n-3} + \lambda \epsilon_{2n-2} + \epsilon_{2n-1}.$$

$$\preceq \lambda^4 (d(x_{2n-5}, x_{2n-4})) + \lambda^3 \epsilon_{2n-4} + \lambda^2 \epsilon_{2n-3} + \lambda \epsilon_{2n-2} + \epsilon_{2n-1}.$$

$$\dots \dots \dots$$

$$\preceq \lambda^{2n-1} d(x_0, x_1) + \lambda^{2n-2} \epsilon_1 + \lambda^{2n-3} \epsilon_2 + \lambda^{2n-4} \epsilon_3 + \dots \dots \dots + \lambda^3 \epsilon_{2n-4} + \lambda^2 \epsilon_{2n-3} + \lambda \epsilon_{2n-2} + \epsilon_{2n-1}.$$

That is

$$d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}) \preceq \lambda^{2n-1} d(x_0, x_1) + \sum_{i=1}^{2n-1} \lambda^{2n-1-i} \epsilon_i.$$

suppose $m \geq n,$

$$d(x_{2n}, x_{2m}) \preceq \sum_{j=n+1}^m d(x_{2j}, x_{2j+1}).$$

$$\preceq \sum_{j=n+1}^m [\lambda^{2j-1} d(x_0, x_1) + \sum_{i=1}^{2j-1} \lambda^{2j-1-i} \epsilon_i].$$

$$\preceq d(x_0, x_1) \sum_{j=n+1}^m \lambda^{2j-1} + \sum_{j=n+1}^m \sum_{i=1}^{2j-1} \lambda^{2j-1-i} \epsilon_i.$$

$$d(x_{2n}, x_{2m}) \preceq d(x_0, x_1) \sum_{j=n+1}^m \lambda^{2j-1} + \sum_{j=n+1}^m \sum_{i=1}^{2j-1} \lambda^{2j-1-i} \epsilon_i.$$

Taking limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ we get,

$$d(x_0, x_1) \sum_{j=n+1}^m \lambda^{2j-1} \rightarrow \theta \text{ and } \sum_{j=n+1}^m \sum_{i=1}^{2j-1} \lambda^{2j-1-i} \epsilon_i \rightarrow \theta.$$

Let $c \in \text{Int}P.$ Then there exist a natural number N such that we have,



$d(x_0, x_1) \sum_{j=n+1}^m \lambda^{2j-1} \ll \frac{c}{2}$ and $\sum_{j=n+1}^m \sum_{i=1}^{2j-1} \lambda^{2j-1-i} \varepsilon_i \ll \frac{c}{2}$, for all $n > N$.

Therefore, $d(x_{2n}, x_{2m}) \ll c$, for all $n > N$.

which gives that $\{x_{2n}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Since (M, d) is complete $\{x_{2n}\}$ is convergent in M . Let $x_{2n} \rightarrow x_0$. Now

$x_{2n} \in T_2(x_{2n-1}) \exists x^* \in T_1(x_0)$ such that

$$d(x_{2n}, x^*) \preceq \mathcal{H}(T_2(x_{2n-1}), T_1(x_0)) + \varepsilon_n.$$

$$d(x_{2n}, x^*) \preceq \lambda d(x_{2n-1}, x_0) + \varepsilon_n.$$

Taking limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ we get, $d(x_0, x^*) \preceq \varepsilon_n$.

Therefore, $x_0 = x^*$. But $x^* \in T_1(x_0)$. So, we have $x_0 \in T_1(x_0)$.

That is x_0 is a fixed point of T_1 . Similarly, $x_{2n-1} \in T_1(x_{2n-2})$

then $\exists x^{**} \in T_2(x_0)$ such that,

$$d(x_{2n-1}, x^{**}) \preceq \mathcal{H}(T_1(x_{2n-2}), T_2(x_0)) + \varepsilon_n.$$

$$d(x_{2n-1}, x^{**}) \preceq \lambda d(x_{2n-2}, x_0) + \varepsilon_n.$$

Taking limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ we get,

$$d(x_0, x^{**}) \preceq \varepsilon_n. \text{ Therefore, } x_0 = x^{**}. \text{ But } x^{**} \in T_2(x_0).$$

So we have $x_0 \in T_2(x_0)$. That is x_0 is a fixed point of T_2 .

If we take $T_1 = T_2$, in the above theorem we get the following result due to Wardowski [[6], Th 3.1].

Corollary 3.2. *Let (M, d) be a complete cone metric space with a normal coen P with a normal constant \mathcal{K} . Let \mathcal{A} be a nonempty collection of all nonempty closed subsets of M and $\mathcal{H} : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow E$ an H -cone metric with respect to d . If for a map $T : M \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ there exists $\lambda \in [0, 1)$ such that $\mathcal{H}(Tx, Ty) \preceq \lambda d(x, y), \forall x, y \in M$. then $\text{Fix}T \neq \emptyset$.*

Definition [5]: Suppose $D(x, Tx) = \{d(x, z) : z \in Tx\}$ and $S(x, Tx) = \{u \in D(x, Tx) : \|u\| = \inf\{\|v\| : v \in D(x, Tx)\}$.

Theorem 3.3. *Let (M, d) be a complete cone metric space. Let \mathcal{A} be a nonempty collection of all nonempty closed subsets of M and $T : M \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be the set valued map. Consider an H -cone metric with respect to d $\mathcal{H} : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow E$ satisfying Defn. 2.8*

Then if T satisfies the contraction condition $\mathcal{H}(Tx, Ty) \preceq \lambda(S(x, Tx) + S(y, Ty)), \forall x, y \in M$. $\lambda \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$ then T has a fixed point.

Proof : Suppose that $\varepsilon_n \in E$ and $\varepsilon_n \gg \theta$, such that $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow \theta$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let $x_0 \in M$ be arbitrary and fixed. Then $T(x_0) \in \mathcal{A}$. Let $x_1 \in T(x_0)$, be such that $\|d(x_0, x_1)\| = \inf\{\|d(x_0, z)\|, \forall z \in T(x_0)\}$. Then $S(x_0, T(x_0)) = d(x_0, x_1)$.

Let $x_2 \in T(x_1)$, such that $\|d(x_1, x_2)\| = \inf\{\|d(x_1, z)\|, \forall z \in T(x_1)\}$. Then we have $S(x_1, T(x_1)) = d(x_1, x_2)$.

Inductively we have for $x_{n+1} \in T(x_n), S(x_n, T(x_n)) = d(x_n, x_{n+1})$.

Therefore, $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \preceq \mathcal{H}(T(x_{n-1}), T(x_n)) + \varepsilon_n$.

$$\preceq \lambda \{S(x_{n-1}, T(x_{n-1})) + S(x_n, T(x_n))\} + \varepsilon_n.$$

$$\preceq \lambda \{d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1})\} + \varepsilon_n.$$

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \preceq (\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda})d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \frac{\varepsilon_n}{(1-\lambda)}.$$

So we have,

$$\preceq (\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda})[(\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda})d(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) + \frac{\varepsilon_{n-1}}{(1-\lambda)}] + \frac{\varepsilon_n}{(1-\lambda)}.$$

$$\preceq (\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda})^2 d(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) + \frac{\lambda}{(1-\lambda)^2} \varepsilon_{n-1} + \frac{1}{(1-\lambda)} \varepsilon_n.$$

$$\preceq (\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda})^3 d(x_{n-3}, x_{n-2}) + \frac{\lambda^2}{(1-\lambda)^3} \varepsilon_{n-2} + \frac{\lambda}{(1-\lambda)^2} \varepsilon_{n-1} + \frac{1}{(1-\lambda)} \varepsilon_n.$$

.....

$$\begin{aligned} & \dots\dots\dots \\ & \preceq (\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda})^n d(x_0, x_1) + \frac{\lambda^{n-1}}{(1-\lambda)^n} \varepsilon_1 + \frac{\lambda^{n-2}}{(1-\lambda)^{n-1}} \varepsilon_2 + \frac{\lambda^{n-3}}{(1-\lambda)^{n-2}} \varepsilon_3 \\ & + \dots\dots\dots + \frac{\lambda^2}{(1-\lambda)^3} \varepsilon_{n-2} + \frac{\lambda}{(1-\lambda)^2} \varepsilon_{n-1} + \frac{1}{(1-\lambda)} \varepsilon_n. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \preceq \alpha^n d(x_0, x_1) + \sum_{r=1}^n \frac{\lambda^{n-r}}{(1-\lambda)^{n+1-r}} \varepsilon_r. \text{ Where } \alpha =$$

$$\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} < 1.$$

For $m \geq n$, we have,

$$d(x_n, x_m) \preceq \sum_{j=n}^{m-1} d(x_j, x_{j+1}).$$

$$d(x_n, x_m) \preceq \sum_{j=n}^{m-1} [\alpha^j d(x_0, x_1) + \sum_{r=1}^j \frac{\lambda^{j-r}}{(1-\lambda)^{j+1-r}} \varepsilon_r].$$

$$d(x_n, x_m) \preceq \sum_{j=n}^{m-1} \alpha^j d(x_0, x_1) + \sum_{j=n}^{m-1} \sum_{r=1}^j \frac{\lambda^{j-r}}{(1-\lambda)^{j+1-r}} \varepsilon_r.$$

Taking limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ we get,

$$\sum_{j=n}^{m-1} \alpha^j d(x_0, x_1) \rightarrow \theta \text{ and } \sum_{j=n}^{m-1} \sum_{r=1}^j \frac{\lambda^{j-r}}{(1-\lambda)^{j+1-r}} \varepsilon_r \rightarrow \theta$$

Let $c \in \text{Int}P$, then there exist a natural number N such that,

$$\sum_{j=n}^{m-1} \alpha^j d(x_0, x_1) \ll \frac{c}{2} \text{ and } \sum_{j=n}^{m-1} \sum_{r=1}^j \frac{\lambda^{j-r}}{(1-\lambda)^{j+1-r}} \varepsilon_r \ll \frac{c}{2},$$

for all $n > N$.

Therefore, $d(x_n, x_m) \ll c$ That is, $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

Since, (M, d) is complete, $\{x_n\}$ is convergent. Let us suppose

that $x_n \rightarrow x^*$ in M . We claim that x^* is the fixed point of T

i.e., $x^* \in Tx^*$.

suppose that, $x_1 \in Tx^*$, such that, $\|d(x^*, x_1)\| = \inf\{\|d(x^*, z)\| : z \in Tx^*\}$.

Now, for $x_n \in Tx_{n-1}, \exists x_1 \in Tx^*$, such that,

$$d(x_n, x_1) \preceq \mathcal{H}(Tx_{n-1}, Tx^*) + \varepsilon_n.$$

$$\preceq \lambda [S(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}) + S(x^*, Tx^*)] + \varepsilon_n.$$

$$\preceq \lambda [d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x^*, x_1)] + \varepsilon_n.$$

Taking $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get,

$$d(x^*, x_1) \preceq \lambda d(x^*, x_1). \text{ Since, } \lambda < 1 \text{ } d(x^*, x_1) = \theta.$$

$x^* = x_1 \in Tx^*$. Hence, $x^* \in Tx^*$.

Example 3.4. *Consider the H -cone metric defined in Ex. 2.14. Let us define a mapping $T : M \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ as follows:*

$$Tx = \begin{cases} \{0\}, & \text{for } x \in [0, \frac{1}{2}] \\ [0, \frac{x}{2}(x - \frac{1}{2})^2], & \text{for } x \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1] \end{cases}$$

Then T satisfies the contraction condition:

$$\mathcal{H}(Tx, Ty) \preceq \lambda(S(x, Tx) + S(y, Ty)), \forall x, y \in M \lambda \in [0, \frac{1}{2}).$$

Soln: Case 1: Let $x \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ and $y \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$, then $Tx = \{0\}$ and $Ty = \{0\}$ so $D(x, Tx) = d(x, 0) = (x, \frac{x}{2})$.

$S(x, Tx) = (x, \frac{x}{2})$ and $D(y, Ty) = d(y, 0) = (y, \frac{y}{2}), S(y, Ty) = (y, \frac{y}{2})$.

$\mathcal{H}(A, B) = (\{0\}, \{0\}) = (0, 0)$, hence we have,

$$\frac{1}{3} [S(x, Tx) + S(y, Ty)] - \mathcal{H}(Tx, Ty) = (\alpha(x+y), \frac{\alpha}{2}(x+y)) \in P, \text{ that is}$$

$$\mathcal{H}(Tx, Ty) \preceq \frac{1}{3} [S(x, Tx) + S(y, Ty)], \forall x \in [0, \frac{1}{2}] \text{ and } y \in [0, \frac{1}{2}].$$

Case 2: Let $x \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ and $y \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1]$, then $Tx = \{0\}$ and $Ty = [0, \frac{1}{2}(y - \frac{1}{2})^2]$ so $D(x, Tx) = d(x, 0) = (x, \frac{x}{2})$.

$S(x, Tx) = (x, \frac{x}{2})$ and $D(y, Ty) = \{d(y, z) : z \in Ty\} = \{d(y, z) : z \in [0, \frac{1}{2}(y - \frac{1}{2})^2]\}$ Now, $y \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ and $z \in [0, \frac{1}{2}(y - \frac{1}{2})^2]$. Now

$y \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ implies $z \in (0, \frac{1}{8}]$.

Then it is clear that $\inf\{\|d(y, z)\| : z \in Ty\} = d(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{8})$.



So, $S(y, Ty) = d(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{8}) = (\frac{3}{8}, \frac{3}{16})$.
 Also, $\mathcal{H}(Tx, Ty) = (\max\{0, |0 - \frac{1}{2}(y - \frac{1}{2})^2|\}, \frac{1}{2}\max\{0, |0 - \frac{1}{2}(y - \frac{1}{2})^2|\}) = (\frac{1}{2}(y - \frac{1}{2})^2, \frac{1}{4}(y - \frac{1}{2})^2)$.

Hence we have,
 $\alpha [S(x, Tx) + S(y, Ty)] - \mathcal{H}(Tx, Ty) = (\alpha(x + \frac{3}{8}), \frac{\alpha}{2}(x + \frac{3}{8})) - (\frac{1}{2}(y - \frac{1}{2})^2, \frac{1}{4}(y - \frac{1}{2})^2)$, that is
 $\alpha [S(x, Tx) + S(y, Ty)] - \mathcal{H}(Tx, Ty) = (\alpha(x + \frac{3}{8}) - \frac{1}{2}(y - \frac{1}{2})^2, \frac{\alpha}{2}(x + \frac{3}{8}) - \frac{1}{4}(y - \frac{1}{2})^2)$.

We now find what could be the minimum value of $\alpha(x + \frac{3}{8}) - \frac{1}{2}(y - \frac{1}{2})^2$ for $x \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ and $y \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1]$.
 Observe that $\alpha(x + \frac{3}{8}) - \frac{1}{2}(y - \frac{1}{2})^2$ is minimum. If $\frac{1}{2}(y - \frac{1}{2})^2$ is maximum and $\alpha(x + \frac{3}{8})$ is minimum.

But, $\frac{1}{2}(y - \frac{1}{2})^2$ is maximum if y is maximum i.e., $y = 1$, so $\frac{1}{2}(y - \frac{1}{2})^2 = \frac{1}{8}$.
 and $\alpha(x + \frac{3}{8})$ is minimum if x is minimum i.e., $x = 0$, so $\alpha(x + \frac{3}{8}) = \alpha \frac{3}{8}$.

So, we have,
 $\alpha \frac{3}{8} - \frac{1}{8} \geq 0$.
 $\alpha \frac{3}{8} \geq \frac{1}{8}$.
 $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{3}$. Taking $\alpha = \frac{1}{3}$, we get.

$\mathcal{H}(Tx, Ty) \leq \frac{1}{3} [S(x, Tx) + S(y, Ty)]$, $\forall x \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ and $y \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1]$.

Hence, $\mathcal{H}(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha [S(x, Tx) + S(y, Ty)]$, $\forall x, y \in M$ where $\alpha = \frac{1}{3}$.

Theorem 3.5. Let (M, d) be a complete cone metric space. Let \mathcal{A} be a nonempty collection of all nonempty closed subsets of M and $T : M \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be the set valued map. Consider an H -cone metric with respect to d $\mathcal{H} : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow E$ satisfying Defn. 2.8.

Then if T satisfies the contraction condition
 $\mathcal{H}(Tx, Ty) \leq \lambda (S(x, Ty) + S(y, Tx))$, $\forall x, y \in M$. $\lambda \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$.
 then T has a fixed point.

Proof : Suppose that $\epsilon_n \in E$ and $\epsilon_n \gg \theta$, such that $\epsilon_n \rightarrow \theta$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let $x_0 \in M$ be arbitrary and fixed.
 Then $T(x_0) \in \mathcal{A}$. Let $x_1 \in T(x_0)$, then $S(x_1, Tx_0) = \theta$.
 Let $x_2 \in T(x_1)$, such that $\|d(x_0, x_2)\| = \inf \{\|d(x_0, z)\|, \forall z \in Tx_1\}$. Then we have $S(x_0, Tx_1) = d(x_0, x_2)$.
 Hence, we have, $d(x_1, x_2) = \mathcal{H}(Tx_0, Tx_1) + \epsilon_1$.
 $\leq \lambda (S(x_0, Tx_1) + S(x_1, Tx_0)) + \epsilon_1$.
 $\leq \lambda d(x_0, x_2) + \epsilon_1$.
 $\leq \lambda (d(x_0, x_1) + d(x_1, x_2)) + \epsilon_1$.
 $d(x_1, x_2) \leq \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} (d(x_0, x_1) + \frac{1}{1-\lambda} \epsilon_1)$.
 Again, since $x_2 \in T(x_1)$, which implies that $S(x_2, Tx_1) = \theta$.
 Let $x_3 \in T(x_2)$, such that $\|d(x_1, x_3)\| = \inf \{\|d(x_1, z)\|, \forall z \in Tx_2\}$.
 Then we have $S(x_1, Tx_2) = d(x_1, x_3)$.
 Hence, we have, $d(x_2, x_3) = \mathcal{H}(Tx_1, Tx_2) + \epsilon_2$.
 $\leq \lambda (S(x_1, Tx_2) + S(x_2, Tx_1)) + \epsilon_2$.
 $\leq \lambda d(x_1, x_3) + \epsilon_2$.
 $\leq \lambda (d(x_1, x_2) + d(x_2, x_3)) + \epsilon_2$.
 $d(x_2, x_3) \leq \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} (d(x_1, x_2) + \frac{1}{1-\lambda} \epsilon_2)$.
 Inductively we have for $x_{n+1} \in Tx_n$,

$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq (\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda}) d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \frac{\epsilon_n}{(1-\lambda)}$.
 So we have,
 $\leq (\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda}) [(\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda}) d(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) + \frac{\epsilon_{n-1}}{(1-\lambda)}] + \frac{\epsilon_n}{(1-\lambda)}$.
 $\leq (\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda})^2 d(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) + \frac{\lambda}{(1-\lambda)^2} \epsilon_{n-1} + \frac{1}{(1-\lambda)} \epsilon_n$.
 $\leq (\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda})^3 d(x_{n-3}, x_{n-2}) + \frac{\lambda^2}{(1-\lambda)^3} \epsilon_{n-2} + \frac{\lambda}{(1-\lambda)^2} \epsilon_{n-1} + \frac{1}{(1-\lambda)} \epsilon_n$.
 \dots
 \dots
 $\leq (\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda})^n d(x_0, x_1) + \frac{\lambda^{n-1}}{(1-\lambda)^n} \epsilon_1 + \frac{\lambda^{n-2}}{(1-\lambda)^{n-1}} \epsilon_2 + \frac{\lambda^{n-3}}{(1-\lambda)^{n-2}} \epsilon_3$
 $+ \dots + \frac{\lambda^2}{(1-\lambda)^3} \epsilon_{n-2} + \frac{\lambda}{(1-\lambda)^2} \epsilon_{n-1} + \frac{1}{(1-\lambda)} \epsilon_n$.

Therefore, we have,
 $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \alpha^n d(x_0, x_1) + \sum_{r=1}^n \frac{\lambda^{n-r}}{(1-\lambda)^{n+1-r}} \epsilon_r$. Where $\alpha = \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} < 1$.

For $m \geq n$, we have,
 $d(x_n, x_m) \leq \sum_{j=n}^{m-1} d(x_j, x_{j+1})$.
 $d(x_n, x_m) \leq \sum_{j=n}^{m-1} [\alpha^j d(x_0, x_1) + \sum_{r=1}^j \frac{\lambda^{j-r}}{(1-\lambda)^{j+1-r}} \epsilon_r]$.
 $d(x_n, x_m) \leq \sum_{j=n}^{m-1} \alpha^j d(x_0, x_1) + \sum_{j=n}^{m-1} \sum_{r=1}^j \frac{\lambda^{j-r}}{(1-\lambda)^{j+1-r}} \epsilon_r$.
 Taking limit $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get, $\sum_{j=n}^{m-1} \alpha^j d(x_0, x_1) \rightarrow \theta$ and $\sum_{j=n}^{m-1} \sum_{r=1}^j \frac{\lambda^{j-r}}{(1-\lambda)^{j+1-r}} \epsilon_r \rightarrow \theta$.

Let $c \in IntP$, then there exist a natural number N such that,
 $\sum_{j=n}^{m-1} \alpha^j d(x_0, x_1) \ll \frac{c}{2}$ and $\sum_{j=n}^{m-1} \sum_{r=1}^j \frac{\lambda^{j-r}}{(1-\lambda)^{j+1-r}} \epsilon_r \ll \frac{c}{2}$,
 for all $n > N$.

Therefore, we have $d(x_n, x_m) \ll c$ for all $n > N$.
 Hence, $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.
 Since, (M, d) is complete, $\{x_n\}$ is convergent. Let us suppose that $x_n \rightarrow x^*$ in M . We claim that x^* is the fixed point of T i.e., $x^* \in Tx^*$.

Now since $x_n \rightarrow x^*$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get,
 $\|d(x_n, x^*)\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, again since $x_n \in Tx_{n-1}$, therefore $S(x^*, Tx_{n-1}) = \theta$.
 Suppose that, $x_1 \in Tx^*$, such that, $\|d(x_{n-1}, x_1)\| = \inf \{\|d(x_{n-1}, z)\| : z \in Tx^*\}$.

So, $S(x_{n-1}, Tx^*) = d(x_{n-1}, x_1)$. Now, for $x_n \in Tx_{n-1}$, $\exists x_1 \in Tx^*$, such that,
 $d(x_n, x_1) \leq \mathcal{H}(Tx_{n-1}, Tx^*) + \epsilon_n$.
 $\leq \lambda [S(x_{n-1}, Tx^*) + S(x^*, Tx_{n-1})] + \epsilon_n$.
 $\leq \lambda d(x_{n-1}, x_1) + \epsilon_n$.
 Taking $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get,
 $d(x^*, x_1) \leq \lambda d(x^*, x_1)$. Since, $\lambda < 1$.
 $d(x^*, x_1) = \theta$. $x^* = x_1 \in Tx^*$. Hence, $x^* \in Tx^*$.

Example 3.6. Consider the Example 2.15. There we take the following mapping $T((1, 0)) = \{(0, 0)\}$, $T((0, 0)) = \{(0, 1)\}$, $T((0, 1)) = \{(0, 1)\}$.

Case 1: If $x \in \{(0, 0)\}$ and $y \in \{(0, 0)\}$, then $x = (0, 0)$ $y = (0, 0)$.
 $Tx = T(0, 0) = \{(0, 1)\}$ and $Ty = T(0, 0) = \{(0, 1)\}$.
 So, $\mathcal{H}(Tx, Ty) = \mathcal{H}(\{(0, 1)\}, \{(0, 1)\}) = (0, 0)$.
 $D(x, Ty) = D((0, 0), T(0, 0)) = d((0, 0), (0, 1)) = (1, \frac{2}{3})$.
 Hence, $S(x, Ty) = ((1, \frac{2}{3}))$.
 $D(y, Tx) = D((0, 0), T(0, 0)) = d((0, 0), (0, 1)) = (1, \frac{2}{3})$.
 Hence, $S(y, Tx) = ((1, \frac{2}{3}))$.



$\lambda(S(x, Ty) + S(y, Tx)) = \lambda(2, \frac{4}{3})$.
 $\lambda(S(x, Ty) + S(y, Tx)) - \mathcal{H}(Tx, Ty) = \lambda(2, \frac{4}{3}) \in P$, for any $\lambda \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$.
Hence, $\mathcal{H}(Tx, Ty) \preceq \lambda(S(x, Ty) + S(y, Tx))$, for any $\lambda \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$.

Case 2: If $x \in \{(0, 0)\}$ and $y \in \{(0, 1)\}$, then $x = (0, 0)$ $y = (0, 1)$.
 $Tx = T(0, 0) = \{(0, 1)\}$ and $Ty = T(0, 1) = \{(0, 1)\}$.
So, $\mathcal{H}(Tx, Ty) = \mathcal{H}(\{(0, 1)\}, \{(0, 1)\}) = (0, 0)$.
 $D(x, Ty) = D((0, 0), T(0, 1)) = d((0, 0), (0, 1)) = (1, \frac{2}{3})$.
Hence, $S(x, Ty) = ((1, \frac{2}{3}))$.
 $D(y, Tx) = D((0, 1), T(0, 0)) = d((0, 1), (0, 1)) = (0, 0)$.
Hence, $S(y, Tx) = ((0, 0))$.
 $\lambda(S(x, Ty) + S(y, Tx)) = \lambda(1, \frac{2}{3})$.
 $\lambda(S(x, Ty) + S(y, Tx)) - \mathcal{H}(Tx, Ty) = \lambda(1, \frac{2}{3})$, $\lambda \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$.

Case 3: If $x \in \{(0, 0)\}$ and $y \in \{(1, 0)\}$, then $x = (0, 0)$ $y = (1, 0)$.
 $Tx = T(0, 0) = \{(0, 1)\}$ and $Ty = T(1, 0) = \{(0, 0)\}$.
So, $\mathcal{H}(Tx, Ty) = \mathcal{H}(\{(0, 1)\}, \{(0, 0)\}) = (1, \frac{2}{3})$.
 $D(x, Ty) = D((0, 0), T(1, 0)) = d((0, 0), (0, 0)) = (0, 0)$.
Hence, $S(x, Ty) = (0, 0)$.
 $D(y, Tx) = D((1, 0), T(0, 0)) = d((1, 0), (0, 1)) = (\frac{7}{3}, \frac{5}{3})$.
Hence, $S(y, Tx) = (\frac{7}{3}, \frac{5}{3})$.
 $\lambda(S(x, Ty) + S(y, Tx)) = \lambda(\frac{7}{3}, \frac{5}{3})$.
 $\lambda(S(x, Ty) + S(y, Tx)) - \mathcal{H}(Tx, Ty) = (\lambda\frac{7}{3} - 1, \lambda\frac{5}{3} - \frac{2}{3}) \in P$.
If $\lambda\frac{7}{3} - 1 \geq 0$ if $\lambda\frac{7}{3} \geq 1$ that is $\lambda \geq \frac{3}{7}$ and also if $\lambda\frac{5}{3} - \frac{2}{3} \geq 0$ if $\lambda\frac{5}{3} \geq \frac{2}{3}$ that is $\lambda \geq \frac{2}{3}$.
So if we take $\lambda = \frac{1}{4}$, we get,
 $\lambda(S(x, Ty) + S(y, Tx)) - \mathcal{H}(Tx, Ty) \in P$, for any $\lambda = \frac{1}{4}$.
 $\mathcal{H}(Tx, Ty) \preceq \lambda(S(x, Ty) + S(y, Tx))$, $\forall x, y \in M$, with $\lambda = \frac{1}{4}$.

Case 4: If $x \in \{(1, 0)\}$ and $y \in \{(0, 1)\}$, then $x = (1, 0)$ $y = (0, 1)$.
 $Tx = T(1, 0) = \{(0, 0)\}$ and $Ty = T(0, 1) = \{(0, 1)\}$.
So, $\mathcal{H}(Tx, Ty) = \mathcal{H}(\{(0, 0)\}, \{(0, 1)\}) = (1, \frac{2}{3})$.
 $D(x, Ty) = D((1, 0), T(0, 1)) = d((1, 0), (0, 1)) = (\frac{7}{3}, \frac{5}{3})$.
Hence, $S(x, Ty) = (\frac{7}{3}, \frac{5}{3})$.
 $D(y, Tx) = D((0, 1), T(1, 0)) = d((0, 1), (0, 0)) = (1, \frac{2}{3})$.
Hence, $S(y, Tx) = (1, \frac{2}{3})$.
 $\lambda(S(x, Ty) + S(y, Tx)) = \lambda(\frac{10}{3}, \frac{7}{3})$.
 $\lambda(S(x, Ty) + S(y, Tx)) - \mathcal{H}(Tx, Ty) = (\lambda\frac{10}{3} - 1, \lambda\frac{7}{3} - \frac{2}{3}) \in P$.
If $\lambda\frac{10}{3} - 1 \geq 0$ if $\lambda\frac{10}{3} \geq 1$ that is $\lambda \geq \frac{3}{10}$ and also if $\lambda\frac{7}{3} - \frac{2}{3} \geq 0$ if $\lambda\frac{7}{3} \geq \frac{2}{3}$ that is $\lambda \geq \frac{2}{7}$.
So if we take $\lambda = \frac{1}{4}$, we get,
 $\lambda(S(x, Ty) + S(y, Tx)) - \mathcal{H}(Tx, Ty) \in P$, for any $\lambda = \frac{1}{4}$.
 $\mathcal{H}(Tx, Ty) \preceq \lambda(S(x, Ty) + S(y, Tx))$, $\forall x, y \in M$, with $\lambda = \frac{1}{4}$.

Case 5: If $x \in \{(0, 1)\}$ and $y \in \{(0, 1)\}$, then $x = (0, 1)$ $y = (0, 1)$.
 $Tx = T(0, 0) = \{(0, 1)\}$ and $Ty = T(0, 1) = \{(0, 1)\}$.

So, $\mathcal{H}(Tx, Ty) = \mathcal{H}(\{(0, 1)\}, \{(0, 1)\}) = (0, 0)$.
 $D(x, Ty) = D((0, 1), T(0, 1)) = d((0, 1), (0, 1)) = (0, 0)$.
Hence, $S(x, Ty) = (0, 0)$.
 $D(y, Tx) = D((0, 1), T(0, 1)) = d((0, 1), (0, 1)) = (0, 0)$.
Hence, $S(y, Tx) = ((0, 0))$.
 $\lambda(S(x, Ty) + S(y, Tx)) = (0, 0)$.
 $\lambda(S(x, Ty) + S(y, Tx)) - \mathcal{H}(Tx, Ty) = (0, 0) \in P$, for any $\lambda \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$.
 $\mathcal{H}(Tx, Ty) \preceq \lambda(S(x, Ty) + S(y, Tx))$, $\forall x, y \in M$, with $\lambda \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$.

Case 6: If $x \in \{(1, 0)\}$ and $y \in \{(1, 0)\}$, then $x = (1, 0)$ $y = (1, 0)$.
 $Tx = T(1, 0) = \{(0, 0)\}$ and $Ty = T(1, 0) = \{(0, 0)\}$.
So, $\mathcal{H}(Tx, Ty) = \mathcal{H}(\{(0, 0)\}, \{(0, 0)\}) = (0, 0)$.
 $D(x, Ty) = D((1, 0), T(1, 0)) = d((1, 0), (0, 0)) = (\frac{4}{3}, 1)$.
Hence, $S(x, Ty) = (\frac{4}{3}, 1)$.
 $D(y, Tx) = D((1, 0), T(1, 0)) = d((1, 0), (0, 0)) = (\frac{4}{3}, 1)$.
Hence, $S(y, Tx) = (\frac{4}{3}, 1)$.
 $\lambda(S(x, Ty) + S(y, Tx)) = \lambda(\frac{8}{3}, 1)$.
 $\lambda(S(x, Ty) + S(y, Tx)) - \mathcal{H}(Tx, Ty) = \lambda(\frac{8}{3}, 1) \in P$, for any $\lambda \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$.
 $\mathcal{H}(Tx, Ty) \preceq \lambda(S(x, Ty) + S(y, Tx))$, $\forall x, y \in M$, with $\lambda \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$.

Theorem 3.7. Let (M, d) be a complete cone metric space. Let \mathcal{A} be a nonempty collection of all nonempty closed subsets of M and $T: M \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be the set valued map. Consider an H -cone metric with respect to d $\mathcal{H}: \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow E$ satisfying Defn. 2.8. Then if T satisfies the contraction condition $\mathcal{H}(Tx, Ty) \preceq \{a_1S(x, Tx) + a_2S(y, Ty) + a_3d(x, y)\}$, $\forall x, y \in M$. $a_i \geq 0 \forall, i = 1, 2, 3$ and $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 < 1$. Then T has a fixed point.

Proof : Suppose that $\epsilon_n \in E$ and $\epsilon_n \gg \theta$, such that $\epsilon_n \rightarrow \theta$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
Let $x_0 \in M$ be arbitrary and fixed.
Then $T(x_0) \in \mathcal{A}$. Let $x_1 \in T(x_0)$, be such that $\|d(x_0, x_1)\| = \inf\{\|d(x_0, z)\|, \forall z \in T(x_0)\}$.
Then $S(x_0, T(x_0)) = d(x_0, x_1)$.
Let $x_2 \in T(x_1)$, such that $\|d(x_1, x_2)\| = \inf\{\|d(x_1, z)\|, \forall z \in T(x_1)\}$.
Then we have $S(x_1, T(x_1)) = d(x_1, x_2)$.
Inductively we have for $x_{n+1} \in T(x_n)$, $S(x_n, T(x_n)) = d(x_n, x_{n+1})$.
Therefore, $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \preceq \mathcal{H}(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n) + \epsilon_n$.
 $\preceq \{a_1S(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}) + a_2S(x_n, Tx_n) + a_3d(x_{n-1}, x_n)\} + \epsilon_n$.
 $\preceq \{a_1d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + a_2d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + a_3d(x_{n-1}, x_n)\} + \epsilon_n$.
 $(1 - a_2) d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \preceq (a_1 + a_3)d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \epsilon_n$.
 $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \preceq \frac{(a_1+a_3)}{(1-a_2)} d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \frac{\epsilon_n}{(1-a_2)}$.
So we have, $\preceq \frac{(a_1+a_3)}{(1-a_2)} [\frac{(a_1+a_3)}{(1-a_2)} d(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) + \frac{\epsilon_{n-1}}{(1-a_2)}] + \frac{\epsilon_n}{(1-a_2)}$.
 $\preceq \frac{(a_1+a_3)^2}{(1-a_2)^2} d(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) + \frac{a_1+a_3}{(1-a_2)^2} \epsilon_{n-1} + \frac{1}{(1-a_2)} \epsilon_n$.
 $\preceq \frac{(a_1+a_3)^3}{(1-a_2)^3} d(x_{n-3}, x_{n-2}) + \frac{(a_1+a_3)^2}{(1-a_2)^3} \epsilon_{n-2} + \frac{(a_1+a_3)}{(1-a_2)^2} \epsilon_{n-1} + \frac{1}{(1-a_2)} \epsilon_n$.
.....



$$\dots\dots\dots \preceq \frac{(a_1+a_3)^n}{1-a_2} d(x_0, x_1) + \frac{(a_1+a_3)^{n-1}}{(1-a_2)^n} \epsilon_1 + \frac{(a_1+a_3)^{n-2}}{(1-a_2)^{n-1}} \epsilon_2 + \frac{(a_1+a_3)^{n-3}}{(1-a_2)^{n-2}} \epsilon_3$$

$$+ \dots\dots\dots + \frac{(a_1+a_3)^2}{(1-a_2)^3} \epsilon_{n-2} + \frac{a_1+a_3}{(1-a_2)^2} \epsilon_{n-1} + \frac{1}{(1-a_2)} \epsilon_n.$$

Therefore, we have,

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \preceq \alpha^n d(x_0, x_1) + \sum_{r=1}^n \frac{(a_1+a_3)^{n-r}}{(1-a_2)^{n+1-r}} \epsilon_r. \text{ Where } \alpha =$$

$$\frac{a_1+a_3}{1-a_2} < 1.$$

For $m \geq n$, we have,

$$d(x_n, x_m) \preceq \sum_{j=n}^{m-1} d(x_j, x_{j+1}).$$

$$d(x_n, x_m) \preceq \sum_{j=n}^{m-1} [\alpha^j d(x_0, x_1) + \sum_{r=1}^j \frac{(a_1+a_3)^{j-r}}{(1-a_2)^{j+1-r}} \epsilon_r].$$

$$d(x_n, x_m) \preceq \sum_{j=n}^{m-1} \alpha^j d(x_0, x_1) + \sum_{j=n}^{m-1} \sum_{r=1}^j \frac{(a_1+a_3)^{j-r}}{(1-a_2)^{j+1-r}} \epsilon_r.$$

Taking limit $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have,

$$\sum_{j=n}^{m-1} \alpha^j d(x_0, x_1) \rightarrow \theta \text{ and } \sum_{j=n}^{m-1} \sum_{r=1}^j \frac{(a_1+a_3)^{j-r}}{(1-a_2)^{j+1-r}} \epsilon_r \rightarrow \theta.$$

Let $c \in \text{Int}P$, then there exist a natural number N such that,

$$\sum_{j=n}^{m-1} \alpha^j d(x_0, x_1) \ll \frac{c}{2} \text{ and } \sum_{j=n}^{m-1} \sum_{r=1}^j \frac{(a_1+a_3)^{j-r}}{(1-a_2)^{j+1-r}} \epsilon_r \ll \frac{c}{2},$$

for all $n > N$.

Therefore, we have,

$$d(x_n, x_m) \ll c. \text{ Hence, } \{x_n\} \text{ is a Cauchy sequence.}$$

Since, (M, d) is complete, $\{x_n\}$ is convergent. Let us suppose that $x_n \rightarrow x^*$ in M . We claim that x^* is the fixed point of T i.e., $x^* \in Tx^*$.

suppose that, $x_1 \in Tx^*$, such that, $\|d(x^*, x_1)\| = \inf \{\|d(x^*, z)\| : z \in Tx^*\}$.

Now, for $x_n \in Tx_{n-1}$, $\exists x_1 \in Tx^*$, such that,

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, x_1) &\preceq \mathcal{H}(Tx_{n-1}, Tx^*) + \epsilon_n \\ &\preceq [a_1S(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}) + a_2S(x^*, Tx^*) + a_3d(x_{n-1}, x^*)] + \epsilon_n \\ &\preceq [a_1d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + a_2d(x^*, x_1) + a_3d(x_{n-1}, x^*)] + \epsilon_n. \end{aligned}$$

Taking $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get,

$$d(x^*, x_1) \preceq a_2d(x^*, x_1). \text{ Since, } a_2 < 1. d(x^*, x_1) = \theta.$$

$x^* = x_1 \in Tx^*$. Hence, $x^* \in Tx^*$.

points of set-valued nonlinear contraction in cone metric spaces, *Nonlinear analysis*, 71(2009), 5170-5175.

[9] Z. Kadelburg, S. Radenovic, Some results on set-valued contractions in abstract metric spaces, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 62(2011), 342-350.

[10] S.H. Cho, J.S. Bae, Fixed point theorems for multivalued maps in cone metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications.*, 87 (2011).

[11] M. Arshad, J. Ahmad, On Multivalued contractions in Cone Metric Spaces without normality, *The Scientific World J.*, (2013).Article ID 481601.

[12] M. Asadi, H. Soleimani, S. M. Vaezpour, An order on subsets of Cone Metric Spaces and Fixed Points of Set-Valued Contractions, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, volume 2009, Article ID 723203, 8 pages.

[13] D. Doric, Common fixed point theorems for generalized multivalued contractions on cone metric spaces over a non-normal solid cone, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications.*, (2014), 2014:159.

[14] H. Huang, S. Radenovic and D. Doric, A note on the equivalence of some metric and H-cone metric fixed point theorems for multivalued contractions, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications* (2015) 2015:43.

[15] Sh. Rezapour and R. Hambarani, Some notes on the paper cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems of contractive mappings, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 345(2) (2008), pp. 719-724.

[16] M. Abbas, B.E. Rhoades, Fixed and periodic point results in cone metric spaces, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, 22 (2009), 511-515.

[17] M. Abbas, G. Jungck, Common fixed point results for non commuting mappings without continuity in cone metric space, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 341 (2008) pp. 416-420.

[18] J.R.Morales, E. Rojas, Cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems of T-kannan contractive mappings, *Int. J. Math. Anal.*, 4(4) 2010, pp 175-184.

[19] M. Filipovi'c, L. Paunovi'c, S. Radenovi'c, M. Rajovi'c, Remarks on cone metric spaces and Fixed Point theorems of T-kannan and T-Chatterjea contractive mappings, *Math. Comput. Modelling.*, (54)(2011), pp 1467-1472.

[20] Gh. Soleimani Rad., New fixed and periodic point results on cone metric spaces, *Journal of Linear and Topological Algebra*, 01(01), (2012), 33- 40.

[21] G. Jungck, S. Radenovic, S. Radojevic, and V. Rakocevic, Common fixed point theorems for weakly compatible pairs on cone metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, (2009) 2009: 643840. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2009/643840>.

[22] S. Jankovic, Z. Kadelburg, S. Radenovic, and B.E. Rhoades, Assad-fixed-type point theorems for a pair of nonself mappings on cone metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, Volume 2009, Article ID 761086, 16 pages doi:10.1155/2009/761086

[23] Chatterjea, S. K: Fixed point theorem. *C. R. Acad. Bulgare Sci.* (25), (1972), 727-730.

References

[1] S. B. Nadler, Multi-valued contraction mappings, *Pacific J. Math.*, 30(1969), 475-478.

[2] R. Kannan, Some results on fixed points, *Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc.*, 60(1968), 71-76.

[3] S. Reich, Kannan's fixed point theorem, *Bull. Univ. Mat. Italiana.*, 4(4) (1971), 1-11.

[4] Huang Long-Guang and Zhang Xian, Cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems of contractive mappings, *J. Comput. Anal. Appl.*, 332(2007), 1468-1476.

[5] D. Wardowski, End points and fixed points of set-valued contractions in cone metric spaces, *Nonlinear Analysis.*, 71(2009), 512-516.

[6] D. Wardowski, On set-valued contraction of Nadler type in Cone metric spaces, *Applied Mathematics letters.*, 24(3)(2011), 275-278. .

[7] Arshad, M, Ahmad, J: On multivalued contractions in cone metric spaces without normality, *Sci. World J.* 2013, Article ID 481601 (2013).

[8] D. Klim, D. Wardowski, Dynamic processes and fixed



ISSN(P):2319 – 3786
Malaya Journal of Matematik
ISSN(O):2321 – 5666

