

https://doi.org/10.26637/MJM0702/0022

On the anti fuzzy subsemirings under *t*-norms

B. Anitha*

Abstract

In this paper we introduce anti *T*-fuzzy subsemirings and anti *T*-product of two fuzzy sets which can be regarded as a generalization of anti fuzzy subgroups under *t*-norms.

Keywords

Anti-*T*-fuzzy subsemiring, anti-*T*-product and homomorphism.

AMS Subject Classification

08A72

Department of Mathematics, Government Arts College, C. Mutlur, Chidambaram-608102, Tamil Nadu, India. *Corresponding author: anibhavan05@gmail.com Article History: Received 20 December 2018; Accepted 03 April 2019

©2019 MJM.

	Contents
1	Introduction
2	Preliminaries
3	Anti Fuzzy Subsemiring under <i>t</i> -norms
4	Anti Products under <i>t</i> -norms of Anti <i>T</i> -fuzzy Subsemirings
	References

Contonto

1. Introduction

After an introduction of fuzzy sets by L.A. Zadeh [4] several researchers explored on the generalization of the notion of fuzzy set. Since the concept of fuzzy subgroups was introduced by Rosenfeld [1], it has been studying by several authors in [2, 5-10]. Recently, Biswas [8] has proposed the concept of anti fuzzy subgroups. We will generalize this concept to that of anti fuzzy subsemirings under *t*-norms and investigate some of their properties. We will also study the problems of the anti products of anti fuzzy subsemirings under *t*-norms.

Throughout this paper, let *R* be a ring, I = [0, 1]. We will denote a *t*-norm by *T* and refer for its properties to [7, 10].

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. Let T_1 and T_2 be t-norms and $f : I \to I$ an order-preserving bijection. We say that T_2 is the conjugate of T_1 , written as \overline{T}_1 , if

 $\overline{T}_1(a,b) = T_2(a,b) = 1 - T_1(1-a,1-b), \quad \forall a,b \in I.$

and that T_2 dominates T_1 , written as $T_2 >> T_1$ or $T_1 << T_2$, if $T_2(T_1(a,b),T_1(c,d)) >> T_1(T_2(a,c),T_2(b,d)) \quad \forall a,b,c,d \in I.$

Definition 2.2. Let X be an ordinary set. By a fuzzy subsets u of X, we mean a function $u : X \to I$ with u(x) as the grade of membership for $\forall x \in X$.

Definition 2.3. Let *R* be a semiring. A fuzzy subset *A* of *R* is said to be an anti-fuzzy subsemiring of *R* if it satisfies the following conditions.

(*i*) $\mu_A(x+y) \le \max\{\mu_A(x), \mu_A(y)\}\$ (*ii*) $\mu_A(xy) \le \max\{\mu_A(x), \mu_A(y)\}\$, for all x and y in R.

Definition 2.4. A fuzzy subsemiring of R under a t-norm T (called T-fuzzy subsemiring of R, for short) is a fuzzy subset u of R satisfying (i) $u(x+y) \ge T(u(x), u(y)), \quad \forall x, y \in R.$ (ii) $u(xy) \ge T(u(x), u(y)), \quad \forall x, y \in R.$

3. Anti Fuzzy Subsemiring under *t*-norms

Definition 3.1. A fuzzy subset u of R is called anti-fuzzy subsemiring of R under a t-norm T (called anti-T-fuzzy subsemiring of R, for short) if (i) $u(x+y) < \overline{T}(u(x), u(y))$

(i) $u(x+y) \leq T(u(x), u(y))$ (ii) $u(xy) \leq \overline{T}(u(x), u(y))$ where \overline{T} is the conjugate of $T, \forall x, y \in R$.

Proposition 3.2. A fuzzy subset u of R is an anti-T-fuzzy subsemiring of R iff its complement u^c , defined by $u^c(x) = 1 - u(x), \forall x \in R$, is a T-fuzzy subsemiring of R.

Proof. Let u be an anti-T-fuzzy subsemiring of R. Then (i) $u(x+y) \leq \overline{T}(u(x), u(y))$ (ii) $u(xy) \leq \overline{T}(u(x), u(y))$ Now $u^{c}(x+y) = 1 - u(x+y)$ $\geq 1 - \overline{T}(u(x), u(y))$

$$= 1 - [1 - T(1 - u(x), 1 - u(y))]$$

= $T(u^{c}(x), u^{c}(y))$
and $u^{c}(xy) = 1 - u(xy)$
 $\ge 1 - \overline{T}(u(x), u(y))$
 $= 1 - [1 - T(1 - u(x), 1 - u(y))]$
= $T(u^{c}(x), u^{c}(y))$
Hence u^{c} is a *T*-fuzzy subsemiring of *R*.

Proposition 3.3. Let T be a t-norm satisfying T(a,b) < 1, $\forall a,b \in (0,1)$. If u is an anti T-fuzzy subsemiring of R, then $L(u) = \{x \in R; u(x) < 1\}$ is a subsemiring of R.

Proof. Let *u* be an anti-*T*-fuzzy subsemiring of *R* and $L(u) = \{x \in R; u(x) < 1\}.$ Let $x, y \in L(u). \therefore u(x) < 1, u(y) < 1.$ $\therefore u(x+y) \le \overline{T}(u(x), u(y))$ = 1 - T(1 - u(x); 1 - u(y)) $< 1 (\because T(1 - u(x), 1 - u(y)) < 1).$ $\therefore x + y \in L(u), \forall x, y \in L(u).$ $u(xy) \le \overline{T}(u(x), u(y))$ = 1 - T(1 - u(x); 1 - u(y)) $< 1 (\because T(1 - u(x), 1 - u(y)) < 1).$ $\therefore xy \in L(u), \forall x, y \in L(u).$ $\therefore L(u)$ is a subsemiring of *R*.

Definition 3.4. Let X and Y be ordinary sets and $h: X \to Y$ be a mapping. If u is a fuzzy subsets of X, then the fuzzy subset h(u) of Y defined by

$$[h(u)](y) = \begin{cases} \inf_{\substack{x \in h^{-1}(y) \\ 0 & otherwise \end{cases}}} u(x)/h(x) = y \text{ if } y \in h(x) \end{cases}$$

is called the image of u under h.

Definition 3.5. If u is a fuzzy subset of Y, then the fuzzy subset $h^{-1}(u)$ of X defined by $[h^{-1}(u)](x) = u(h(x)), \forall x \in X$ is called the pre-image of u

 $[n^{-1}(u)](x) = u(n(x)), \forall x \in X$ is called the pre-image of uunder h.

Proposition 3.6. Let h be a homomorphism of semiring R_1 into semiring R_2 . If T is a continuous t-norm and u is an anti T-fuzzy subsemiring of R_1 then h(u), the image of u under h, is an anti T-fuzzy subsemiring of R_2 .

$$\begin{split} &[h(u)](y_1+y_2) = \inf_{\substack{x_1,x_2 \in R_1}} \{u(x_1+x_2)/h(x_1+x_2) = y_1+y_2\} \\ &\leq \inf_{\substack{x_1,x_2 \in R_1}} \{\overline{T}(u(x_1),u(x_2))/h(x_1) = y_1;h(x_2) = y_2\} \\ &= \overline{T}((\inf_{\substack{x_1 \in R_1 \\ u(x_1)/h(x_1) = y_1}),(\inf_{\substack{x_2 \in R_1 \\ u(x_2)/h(x_2) = y_2})) \\ &= \overline{T}([h(u)](y_1),[h(u)](y_2)) \\ &[h(u)](y_1y_2) = \inf_{\substack{x_1,x_2 \in R_1 \\ u(x_1x_2)/h(x_1x_2) = y_1y_2}\} \\ &\leq \inf_{\substack{x_1,x_2 \in R_1 \\ x_1 \in R_1 \\ u(x_1)/h(x_1) = y_1},(\inf_{\substack{x_2 \in R_1 \\ u(x_2)/h(x_2) = y_2})) \\ &= \overline{T}((\inf_{\substack{x_1 \in R_1 \\ x_1 \in R_1 \\ u(x_1)/h(x_1) = y_1}),(\inf_{\substack{x_2 \in R_1 \\ x_2 \in R_1 \\ u(x_2)/h(x_2) = y_2})) \\ &= \overline{T}([h(u)](y_1),[h(u)](y_2)). \end{split}$$
Hence h(u) is anti T-fuzzy subsemiring.

Proposition 3.7. Let h be a homomorphism of semiring R_1 into semiring R_2 . If u is an anti-T-fuzzy subsemiring of R_2 ,

then $h^{-1}(u)$, the pre-image of u under h, is an anti T-fuzzy subsemiring of R_1 .

Proof. Let *h* : *R*₁ → *R*₂ be a homomorphism and *u* be an anti *T*-fuzzy subsemiring of *R*₂. $[h^{-1}(u)](x_1+x_2) = u[h(x_1+x_2)]$ $= u[h(x_1) + h(x_2)]$ $\leq \overline{T}(u(h(x_1)), u(h(x_2)))$ $= \overline{T}([h^{-1}(u)](x_1), [h^{-1}(u)](x_2))$ $[h^{-1}(u)](x_1x_2) = u[h(x_1+x_2)]$ $= u[h(x_1)h(x_2)]$ $\leq \overline{T}(u(h(x_1)), u(h(x_2)))$ $= \overline{T}([h^{-1}(u)](x_1), [h^{-1}(u)](x_2)).$ Hence $h^{-1}(u)$ is an anti *T*-fuzzy subsemiring of *R*₁.

4. Anti Products under *t*-norms of Anti *T*-fuzzy Subsemirings

Definition 4.1. Let u and v be fuzzy subsets of R. The anti product of u and v under a t-norm T (called anti T-product of u and v for short), written as $[u.v]_{\overline{T}}$, is a fuzzy subset of R defined by

 $[u.v]_{\overline{T}}(x) = \overline{T}(u(x), v(x)), \forall x \in R$. Based on the properties of anti *T*-fuzzy subsemirings, we have the following properties of anti *T*-products.

Lemma 4.2. If $T_2 >> T_1$, then $\overline{T}_2 << \overline{T}_1$.

Proposition 4.3. Let T_1 and T_2 be t-norms and $T_2 >> T_1$. If u and v are anti T_1 -fuzzy subsemirings of R then $[u.v]_{\overline{T}_2}$, the anti T_2 -product of u and v is also an anti T_1 -fuzzy subsemiring of R_1 .

$$\begin{array}{l} \textit{Proof.} \\ [u.v]_{\overline{T}_2}(x+y) = \overline{T}_2(u(x+y), v(x+y)) \\ & \leq \overline{T}_2(\overline{T}_1(u(x), u(y)), \overline{T}_1(v(x), v(y))) \\ & \leq \overline{T}_1(\overline{T}_2(u(x), v(x)), \overline{T}_2(u(y), v(y))) \\ & = \overline{T}_1((u.v)_{\overline{T}_2}(x), (u.v)_{\overline{T}_2}(y)) \\ [u.v]_{\overline{T}_2}(xy) = \overline{T}_2(u(xy), v(xy)) \\ & \leq \overline{T}_2(\overline{T}_1(u(x), u(y)), \overline{T}_1(v(x), v(y))) \\ & \leq \overline{T}_1(\overline{T}_2(u(x), v(x)), \overline{T}_2(u(y), v(y))) \\ & = \overline{T}_1((u.v)_{\overline{T}_2}(x), (u.v)_{\overline{T}_2}(y)). \end{array}$$

Hence T_2 product of u and v is also anti T_1 -fuzzy subsemiring of R.

Proposition 4.4. Let T_1, T_2 be t-norms and $T_2 >> T_1$. u and v are anti T_1 -fuzzy subsemirings of R_1 . If h is homomorphism of $R_1 \rightarrow R_2$ then $h^{-1}([u.v]_{\overline{T}_2})$ is also an anti T_1 -fuzzy subsemiring of R_1 .

 $\begin{array}{l} \textit{Proof.} \\ h^{-1}([u.v]_{\overline{T}_2})(x+y) = [u.v]_{\overline{T}_2}[h(x+y)] \\ &= [u.v]_{\overline{T}_2}[h(x) + h(y)] \\ &= \overline{T}_2(u(h(x) + h(y)), v(h(x) + h(y))) \\ &\leq \overline{T}_2(\overline{T}_1(u(h(x)), u(h(y))), \overline{T}_1(v(h(x)), v(h(y)))) \\ \end{array}$

$$\leq \overline{T}_{1}(\overline{T}_{2}(u(h(x)),v(h(x))),\overline{T}_{2}(u(h(y)),v(h(y)))) \\ = \overline{T}_{1}((u.v)_{\overline{T}_{2}}h(x),(u.v)_{\overline{T}_{2}}h(y)) \\ = \overline{T}_{1}(h^{-1}([u.v]_{\overline{T}_{2}}(x)),h^{-1}([u.v]_{\overline{T}_{1}}(y))) \\ h^{-1}([u.v]_{\overline{T}_{2}})(xy) = [u.v]_{\overline{T}_{2}}[h(xy)] \\ = [u.v]_{\overline{T}_{2}}[h(x).h(y)] \\ = \overline{T}_{2}(u(h(x).h(y)),v(h(x).h(y))) \\ \leq \overline{T}_{2}(\overline{T}_{1}(u(h(x)),u(h(y))),\overline{T}_{1}(v(h(x)),v(h(y))))) \\ \leq \overline{T}_{1}(\overline{T}_{2}(u(h(x)),v(h(x))),\overline{T}_{2}(u(h(y)),v(h(y)))) \\ = \overline{T}_{1}((u.v)_{\overline{T}_{2}}h(x),(u.v)_{\overline{T}_{2}}h(y)) \\ = \overline{T}_{1}(h^{-1}([u.v]_{\overline{T}_{2}}(x)),h^{-1}([u.v]_{\overline{T}_{2}}(y))). \\ \text{Hence } h^{-1}([u.v]_{\overline{T}}) \text{ is also an anti-T1-fuzzy subsemiring of }$$

 $R_1. \qquad \Box$

Proposition 4.5. Let T_1 be a continuous t-norm and the t-norm T_2 dominates T_1 , u and v be anti T_1 -fuzzy subsemiring of R_2 . If h is a homomorphism of R_1 into R_2 , then the image of $[u.v]_{\overline{T}_2}$ under $h, h([u.v])_{\overline{T}_2}$, is also an anti- T_1 -fuzzy subsemiring of R_2 .

Proof.

$$\begin{split} h([u.v]_{\overline{T}_{2}})(y_{1}+y_{2}) &= \inf_{x_{1},x_{2}\in R_{1}} \{[u.v]_{\overline{T}_{2}}(x_{1}+x_{2})/h(x_{1}+x_{2}) \\ &= y_{1}+y_{2} \} \\ &= \inf_{x_{1},x_{2}\in R_{1}} \{\overline{T}_{2}(u(x_{1}+x_{2}),v(x_{1}+x_{2}))/h(x_{1}+x_{2}) \\ &= y_{1}+y_{2} \} \\ &\leq \inf_{x_{1},x_{2}\in R_{1}} \{\overline{T}_{2}(\overline{T}_{1}(u(x_{1}),u(x_{2})),\overline{T}_{1}(v(x_{1}),v(x_{2})))/h(x_{1}) \\ &= y_{1};h(x_{2}) = y_{2} \} \\ &\leq \inf_{x_{1},x_{2}\in R_{1}} \{\overline{T}_{1}(\overline{T}_{2}(u(x_{1}),v(x_{1})),\overline{T}_{2}(u(x_{2}),v(x_{2})))/h(x_{1}) \\ &= y_{1};h(x_{2}) = y_{2} \} \\ &= \inf_{x_{1},x_{2}\in R_{1}} \{\overline{T}_{1}([u.v]_{\overline{T}_{2}}(x_{1}),[u.v]_{\overline{T}_{2}}(x_{2}))/h(x_{1}) \\ &= y_{1};h(x_{2}) = y_{2} \} \\ &= \overline{T}_{1}((\inf_{x_{1}\in R_{1}}[u.v]_{\overline{T}_{2}}(x_{1})/h(x_{1}) = y_{1}), \\ (\inf_{x_{2}\in R_{2}}[u.v]_{\overline{T}_{2}}(x_{2})/h(x_{2}) = y_{2})) \\ &= \overline{T}_{1}(h([u.v])_{\overline{T}_{2}}(y_{1}),h([u.v]_{\overline{T}_{2}}(x_{1}x_{2})/h(x_{1}x_{2}) = y_{1}y_{2}) \} \\ &= \inf_{x_{1},x_{2}\in R_{1}} \{\overline{T}_{2}(u(x_{1}x_{2}),v(x_{1}x_{2}))/h(x_{1}x_{2}) = y_{1}y_{2} \} \\ &= \inf_{x_{1},x_{2}\in R_{1}} \{\overline{T}_{2}(\overline{T}_{1}(u(x_{1}),u(x_{2})),\overline{T}_{1}(v(x_{1}),v(x_{2}))) \\ &= h(x_{1}) = y_{1};h(x_{2}) = y_{2} \} \\ &\leq \inf_{x_{1},x_{2}\in R_{1}} \{\overline{T}_{1}([u.v]_{\overline{T}_{2}}(x_{1}),[u.v]_{\overline{T}_{2}}(x_{2})) \\ &= h(x_{1}) = y_{1};h(x_{2}) = y_{2} \} \\ &= \overline{T}_{1}((\inf_{x_{1}\in R_{1}}[u.v]_{\overline{T}_{2}}(x_{1}),[u.v]_{\overline{T}_{2}}(x_{2})) \\ &= \overline{T}_{1}(h([u.v])_{\overline{T}_{2}}(y_{1}),h([u.v]_{\overline{T}_{2}}(x_{2})/h(x_{2}) = y_{2})) \\ &= \overline{T}_{1}(h([u.v])_{\overline{T}_{2}}(y_{1}),h([u.v]_{\overline{T}_{2}}(x_{2})/h(x_{2}) = y_{2})) \\ &= \overline{T}_{1}(h([u.v])_{\overline{T}_{2}}(y_{1}),h([u.v]_{\overline{T}_{2}}(x_{2})/h(x_{2}) = y_{2})) \\ &= \overline{T}_{1}(h([u.v])_{\overline{T}_{2}}(y_{1}),h([u.v]_{\overline{T}_{2}}(y_{2})). \\ \\ \text{Hence }h([u.v]_{\overline{T}}) \text{ is also an anti }T_{1}\text{-fuzzy subsemiring of }R_{2}. \end{cases}$$

Hence $h([u.v]_{\overline{T}_2})$ is also an anti T_1 -fuzzy subsemiring of R_2 .

Acknowledgment

The author would like to thanks to the referees.

References

- [1] A. Rosenfeld, Fuzzy groups, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 35 (1971) 512–517.
- [2] J.M. Anthony and H. Sherwood, Fuzzy groups redefined, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 69 (1979) 124–130.
- [3] J.M. Anthony and H. Sherwood, A characterization of fuzzy subgroups, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 7 (1982) 297– 305.
- [4] L.A. Zadeh, Fuzzy Set, Information and Control, 8 (1965) 338–353.
- [5] M.T. Abu Osman, Some properties of fuzzy subgroups, J. Sains Malaysiana, 12 (2) (1984) 155–163.
- [6] M.T. Abu Osman, On some products of fuzzy subgroup, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 24 (1987) 79–86.
- [7] S. Seesa, On fuzzy subgroups and fuzzy ideals under triangular norm, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 13 (1984) 95– 100.
- [8] R. Biswas, Fuzzy subgroups and anti subgroups, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 35 (1990) 121–124.
- [9] Zhu Nande, The homomorphism and isomorphism of fuzzy groups, *Fuzzy Math.*, 4 (2) (1984) 21–28.
- [10] Wu Wangming, t-norm, t-conorm and pseudocomplement, J. Shanghai Normal Univ., 4 (1984) 1–10.

********* ISSN(P):2319 – 3786 Malaya Journal of Matematik ISSN(O):2321 – 5666 *******