



# Generalized interval valued fuzzy ideals of $KU$ -algebra

S. R. Barbhuiya<sup>1\*</sup> and A. K. Dutta<sup>2</sup>

## Abstract

In this paper, we introduced the concept of “belongs to” relation ( $\in_{\hat{a}}$ ) between interval valued fuzzy point to an interval valued fuzzy set with respect to an interval  $\hat{a}$  and “quasi-coincident with” relation ( $q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})}$ ) between interval valued fuzzy point to an interval valued fuzzy set with respect to intervals  $\hat{a}, \hat{b}$  and combining both the concepts we define  $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy  $KU$ -ideals in  $KU$ -algebras and investigated some of their related properties. Some characterizations of these generalized interval valued fuzzy  $KU$ -ideal are derived.

## Keywords

$KU$ -algebra, Fuzzy ideal,  $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -fuzzy ideal,  $(a, b; \in_a, \in_a \vee q_{(a,b)})$ -fuzzy ideal,  $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal, Homomorphism.

## AMS Subject Classification

06F35, 03E72, 03G25.

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, Srikishan Sarda College, Hailakandi-788151, Assam, India.

<sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics, D.H.S.K. College, Dibrugarh, Assam- 786001, India.

\*Corresponding author: <sup>1</sup> saidurbarbhuiya@gmail.com; <sup>2</sup> akdutta28@gmail.com

Article History: Received 24 March 2019; Accepted 09 June 2019

## Contents

1	Introduction .....	486
2	Preliminaries .....	487
3	$(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideals of $KU$ -algebra .....	488
4	Conclusion .....	495
	References .....	495

## 1. Introduction

The concept of fuzzy sets was first initiated by Zadeh ([27]) in 1965. Since then these ideas have been applied to other algebraic structures such as group, semi-group, ring, vector spaces etc. Imai and Iseki ([8]) introduced BCK-algebra as a generalization of notion of the concept of set theoretic difference and propositional calculus and in the same year Iseki ([9]) introduced the notion of BCI-algebra which is a generalization of BCK-algebra. Xi ([23]) applied the concept of fuzzy set to BCK-algebra. and discussed some properties and also introduced fuzzy subalgebra and fuzzy ideals in BCK-algebra. The class of BCK-algebra is a proper subclass of the class of BCI-algebras. Since then, a great deal of literature has

been produced on the theory of BCK/BCI-algebras. In particular, emphasis seems to have been put on the ideal theory of BCK/BCI-algebras. Prabpayak and Leerawat ([16]) introduced a new algebraic structure of type BCK/BCI, which is called  $KU$ -algebra. They gave the concept of homomorphisms of  $KU$ -algebras and investigated some related properties in ([17]). The study of  $KU$ -algebras in fuzzy context was first initiated by Mostafa et al. ([18]). They also introduced the notion of fuzzy (n-fold)  $KU$ -ideals of  $KU$ -algebras ([21]). They also studied  $KU$ -algebras in terms of interval-valued fuzzy sets in ([19]). Muhiuddin ([14]) applied the bipolar-valued fuzzy set theory to  $KU$ -algebras, and introduced the notions of bipolar fuzzy  $KU$ -subalgebras and bipolar fuzzy  $KU$ -ideals in  $KU$ -algebras. He considered the specifications of a bipolar fuzzy  $KU$ -subalgebra, a bipolar fuzzy  $KU$ -ideal in  $KU$ -algebras and discussed the relations between a bipolar fuzzy  $KU$ -subalgebra and a bipolar fuzzy  $KU$ -ideal and provided conditions for a bipolar fuzzy  $KU$ -subalgebra to be a bipolar fuzzy  $KU$ -ideal. Gulistan et al. ([22]) studied  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -fuzzy  $KU$ -ideals in  $KU$ -algebras and discussed some special properties. Akram et al. ([1]) introduced the notion of  $(\hat{\theta}, \hat{\delta})$ -interval-valued fuzzy  $KU$ -ideals of  $KU$ -algebras and obtained some related properties.

As a generalization of fuzzy set interval-valued fuzzy set

were proposed by Zadeh ([28]) as a natural extension of fuzzy sets. Interval-valued fuzzy subsets have many applications in several areas. Biswas ([4]) defined interval valued fuzzy subgroups i.e., interval valued fuzzy subgroups of Rosenfeld's nature, and investigated some elementary properties. The concept of interval-valued fuzzy sets have been studied in various algebraic structures, see ([7, 11, 19, 25, 26, 30]).

The concept of fuzzy point introduced by Ming and Ming in [12] and also they introduced the idea of relation “belongs to” and “quasi coincident with” between fuzzy point and a fuzzy set. Bhakat and Das [2, 3] used the combined relation of “belongs to” and “quasi coincident with” between fuzzy point and a fuzzy set to introduce the concept of  $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -fuzzy subgroup,  $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -fuzzy subring and  $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -level subset. Zhan, Jun and Davvaz [29] introduced  $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -fuzzy ideals in  $BCI$ -algebra in 2009. Lee et al[11] introduced interval-valued  $(\in, \in \vee q_k)$ -fuzzy ideals of rings and Ma et al. [30] studied interval valued fuzzy  $(p-, q-, a-)$ ideals of  $BCI$ -algebras and  $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -interval-valued fuzzy  $(p, q, a)$ -ideals of  $BCI$ -algebras with some related properties. Dutta et al. [7] investigated interval-valued fuzzy prime and semiprime ideals of a hyper semiring.

The notion of an  $(a, b; \in_a, \in_a \vee q_{(a,b)})$ -fuzzy subalgebra / subgroups introduced by Das in [5, 6]. It is found that  $(a, b; \in_a, \in_a \vee q_{(a,b)})$ -fuzzy structure is the generalisation of  $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -fuzzy structure. Motivated by this, combining both the notion of interval-valued fuzzy point and  $(a, b; \in_a, \in_a \vee q_{(a,b)})$ -fuzzy structure we introduce a new notion of  $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy structure of  $KU$ -algebra and establish some related properties.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we will recall some concepts related to  $KU$ -algebra, fuzzy point, interval-valued fuzzy point and interval-valued fuzzy sets.

**Definition 2.1.** A  $KU$ -algebra is a non-empty set  $X$  with a constant  $0$  and a binary operation  $*$  satisfying the following axioms:

- (i)  $(x * y) * [(y * z) * (x * z)] = 0$ ,
- (ii)  $x * 0 = 0$ ,
- (iii)  $0 * x = x \forall x, y, z \in X$ .
- (iv)  $x * y = 0 = y * x \Rightarrow x = y \forall x, y, z \in X$ .

For brevity, we also call  $X$  a  $BG$ -algebra. We can define a partial ordering " $\leq$ " on  $X$  by  $x \leq y$  iff  $y * x = 0$

**Definition 2.2.** A non-empty subset  $S$  of a  $KU$ -algebra  $X$  is called a subalgebra of  $X$  if  $x * y \in S$  for all  $x, y \in S$ .

**Definition 2.3.** A nonempty subset  $I$  of a  $KU$ -algebra  $X$  is called a  $KU$ -ideal of  $X$  if

- (i)  $0 \in I$ ,
- (ii)  $x * (y * z) \in I, y \in I \Rightarrow x * z \in I, \forall x, y, z \in X$ .

**Definition 2.4.** A fuzzy set  $\mu$  in  $X$  is called a fuzzy  $KU$ -ideal of  $X$  if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i)  $\mu(0) \geq \mu(x)$ ,
- (ii)  $\mu(x * z) \geq \min\{\mu(x * (y * z)), \mu(y)\} \forall x, y, z \in X$ .

**Definition 2.5.** A fuzzy set  $\mu$  of the form

$$\mu(y) = \begin{cases} t, & \text{if } y = x, \quad t \in (0, 1] \\ 0, & \text{if } y \neq x \end{cases}$$

is called a fuzzy point with support  $x$  and value  $t$  and is denoted by  $x_t$ .

**Definition 2.6.** A fuzzy point  $x_t$  is said to belong to (respectively be quasi coincident with) a fuzzy set  $\mu$  written as  $x_t \in \mu$  (respectively  $x_t q \mu$ ) if  $\mu(x) \geq t$  (respectively  $\mu(x) + t > 1$ ). If  $x_t \in \mu$  or  $x_t q \mu$ , then we write  $x_t \in \vee q \mu$ . (Note  $\overline{\vee q}$  means  $\in \vee q$  does not hold).

**Definition 2.7.** A fuzzy subset  $\mu$  of a  $KU$ -algebra  $X$  is said to be an  $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -fuzzy ideal of  $X$  if

- (i)  $x_t \in \mu \Rightarrow 0_t \in \vee q \mu$ .
- (ii)  $(x * (y * z))_{t, y_s} \in \mu \Rightarrow (x * z)_{m(t,s)} \in \vee q \mu$ .

**Definition 2.8.** A fuzzy subset  $\mu$  of a  $KU$ -algebra  $X$  is said to be an  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -fuzzy ideal of  $X$ , if

- (i)  $x_t \alpha \mu \Rightarrow 0_t \beta \mu$ .
- (ii)  $(x * (y * z))_{t, y_s} \alpha \mu \Rightarrow x * z_{m(t,s)} \beta \mu \forall x, y \in X$ ,

where  $m(t, s) = \min\{t, s\}$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \{\in, q, \in \vee q, \in \wedge q\}$  and  $\alpha \neq \in \wedge q$ .

**Definition 2.9.** Let  $\lambda$  be a fuzzy set in  $X$  and  $0 \leq t < 1$ , then  $t$ -cut set of fuzzy set  $\lambda$  are given by  $\lambda_t = \{x \in G \mid \lambda(x) \geq t\}$

The notion of interval-valued fuzzy set was introduced by L.A.Zadeh[13]. To consider the notion of interval-valued fuzzy sets, we need the following definitions. An interval number on  $[0, 1]$ , denoted by  $\hat{a}$ , is defined as the closed sub interval of  $[0, 1]$ , where  $\hat{a} = [\underline{a}, \overline{a}]$ , satisfying  $0 \leq \underline{a} \leq \overline{a} \leq 1$ . Let  $D[0, 1]$  denote the set of all such interval numbers on  $[0, 1]$  and also denote the interval numbers  $[0, 0]$  and  $[1, 1]$  by  $\hat{0}$  and  $\hat{1}$  respectively.

Let  $\hat{a}_1 = [\underline{a}_1, \overline{a}_1]$  and  $\hat{a}_2 = [\underline{a}_2, \overline{a}_2] \in D[0, 1]$ . Define on  $D[0, 1]$  the relations  $\leq, =, <, +, \cdot$  by

1.  $\hat{a}_1 \leq \hat{a}_2 \Leftrightarrow \underline{a}_1 \leq \underline{a}_2$  and  $\overline{a}_1 \leq \overline{a}_2$
2.  $\hat{a}_1 = \hat{a}_2 \Leftrightarrow \underline{a}_1 = \underline{a}_2$  and  $\overline{a}_1 = \overline{a}_2$
3.  $\hat{a}_1 < \hat{a}_2 \Leftrightarrow \underline{a}_1 < \underline{a}_2$  and  $\overline{a}_1 < \overline{a}_2$
4.  $\hat{a}_1 + \hat{a}_2 \Leftrightarrow [\underline{a}_1 + \underline{a}_2, \overline{a}_1 + \overline{a}_2]$



5.  $\hat{a}_1.\hat{a}_2 \Leftrightarrow [\min(a_1a_2, a_1\bar{a}_2, \bar{a}_1a_2, \bar{a}_1\bar{a}_2), \max(a_1a_2, a_1\bar{a}_2, \bar{a}_1a_2, \bar{a}_1\bar{a}_2)] = [\underline{a_1a_2}, \bar{a_1a_2}]$
6.  $k\hat{a} = [k\underline{a}, k\bar{a}]$  where  $0 \leq k \leq 1$

Now consider two intervals  $\hat{a}_1 = [\underline{a_1}, \bar{a_1}]$ ,  $\hat{a}_2 = [\underline{a_2}, \bar{a_2}] \in D[0, 1]$  then we define refine minimum  $rmin$  as  $rmin(\hat{a}_1, \hat{a}_2) = [\min(\underline{a_1}, \underline{a_2}), \min(\bar{a_1}, \bar{a_2})]$  and refine maximum as  $rmax(\hat{a}_1, \hat{a}_2) = [\max(\underline{a_1}, \underline{a_2}), \max(\bar{a_1}, \bar{a_2})]$  generally if  $\hat{a}_i = [\underline{a_i}, \bar{a_i}]$ ,  $\hat{b}_i = [\underline{b_i}, \bar{b_i}] \in D[0, 1]$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  then we define  $rmax(\hat{a}_i, \hat{b}_i) = [\max(\underline{a_i}, \underline{b_i}), \max(\bar{a_i}, \bar{b_i})]$  and  $rmin(\hat{a}_i, \hat{b}_i) = [\min(\underline{a_i}, \underline{b_i}), \min(\bar{a_i}, \bar{b_i})]$  and  $rinf_i(\hat{a}_i) = [\wedge_i \underline{a_i}, \wedge_i \bar{a_i}]$  and  $rsup_i(\hat{a}_i) = [\vee_i \underline{a_i}, \vee_i \bar{a_i}]$ .  
 $(D[0, 1], \leq)$  is a complete lattice with  $\wedge = rmin$ ,  $\vee = rmax$ ,  $\hat{0} = [0, 0]$  and  $\hat{1} = [1, 1]$  being the least and the greatest element respectively.

**Definition 2.10.** An interval-valued fuzzy set defined on a non empty set  $X$  as an objects having the form  $\hat{\mu} = \{x, [\underline{\mu}(x), \bar{\mu}(x)]\}$ ,  $\forall x \in X$  where  $\underline{\mu}$  and  $\bar{\mu}$  are two fuzzy sets in  $X$  such that  $\underline{\mu}(x) \leq \bar{\mu}(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ . Let  $\hat{\mu}(x) = [\underline{\mu}(x), \bar{\mu}(x)]$ ,  $\forall x \in X$ . Then  $\hat{\mu}(x) \in D[0, 1]$ ,  $\forall x \in X$ .  
 If  $\hat{\mu}$  and  $\hat{\nu}$  be two interval-valued fuzzy sets in  $X$ , then we define

- $\hat{\mu} \subset \hat{\nu} \Leftrightarrow$  for all  $x \in X$ ,  $\underline{\mu}(x) \leq \underline{\nu}(x)$  and  $\bar{\mu}(x) \leq \bar{\nu}(x)$ .
- $\hat{\mu} = \hat{\nu} \Leftrightarrow$  for all  $x \in X$ ,  $\underline{\mu}(x) = \underline{\nu}(x)$  and  $\bar{\mu}(x) = \bar{\nu}(x)$ .
- $(\hat{\mu} \cup \hat{\nu})(x) = \hat{\mu}(x) \vee \hat{\nu}(x) = [\max\{\underline{\mu}(x), \underline{\nu}(x)\}, \max\{\bar{\mu}(x), \bar{\nu}(x)\}]$ .
- $(\hat{\mu} \cap \hat{\nu})(x) = \hat{\mu}(x) \wedge \hat{\nu}(x) = [\min\{\underline{\mu}(x), \underline{\nu}(x)\}, \min\{\bar{\mu}(x), \bar{\nu}(x)\}]$ .
- $(\hat{\mu} \times \hat{\nu})(x, y) = \hat{\mu}(x) \wedge \hat{\nu}(y) = [\min\{\underline{\mu}(x), \underline{\nu}(y)\}, \min\{\bar{\mu}(x), \bar{\nu}(y)\}]$ .
- $\hat{\mu}^c(x) = [1 - \bar{\mu}(x), 1 - \underline{\mu}(x)]$ .

**Definition 2.11.** Let  $\hat{\mu}$  be an interval-valued fuzzy set in  $X$ . Then for every  $[0, 0] < \hat{t} \leq [1, 1]$ , the crisp set  $\hat{\mu}_{\hat{t}} = \{x \in X \mid \hat{\mu}(x) \geq \hat{t}\}$  is called the level subset of  $\hat{\mu}$ .

**Definition 2.12.** An interval-valued fuzzy set  $\hat{\mu}$  in KU-algebra  $X$  is called an interval-valued fuzzy KU-subalgebra of  $X$  if  $\hat{\mu}(x * y) \geq rmin\{\hat{\mu}(x), \hat{\mu}(y)\}$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .

**Definition 2.13.** A interval-valued fuzzy set  $\hat{\mu}$  in  $X$  is called an interval-valued fuzzy KU-ideal of  $X$  if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i)  $\hat{\mu}(0) \geq \hat{\mu}(x)$ ,
- (ii)  $\hat{\mu}(x * z) \geq rmin\{\hat{\mu}(x * (y * z)), \hat{\mu}(y)\} \forall x, y, z \in X$ .

**Definition 2.14.** A interval-valued fuzzy subset  $\hat{\mu}$  of a KU-algebra  $X$  is said to be an  $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -interval-valued fuzzy ideal of  $X$  if

- (i)  $x_{\hat{t}} \in \hat{\mu} \Rightarrow 0_{\hat{t}} \in \vee q \hat{\mu}$ .
- (ii)  $(x * (y * z))_{\hat{t}}, y_{\hat{t}} \in \hat{\mu} \Rightarrow (x * z)_{rmin(\hat{t}, \hat{s})} \in \vee q \hat{\mu}$ .

### 3. $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideals of KU-algebra

Now onwards, let  $X$  denote a KU-algebra and  $\hat{a}, \hat{b} \in D[0, 1]$  such that  $\hat{0} < \hat{a} < \hat{b} \leq \hat{1}$  also let  $\hat{c} = rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1})$ ,  $\hat{d} = rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})$  and  $\hat{k} = \hat{d}/2$ .

**Definition 3.1.** Ma et al [30] extended the notion of belongingness and quasi-coincidence of a fuzzy point with a fuzzy set and defined the notions of belongingness and quasi-coincidence of an interval-valued fuzzy point with an interval-valued fuzzy set. For any interval-valued fuzzy set  $\hat{\mu} = \{x, [\underline{\mu}(x), \bar{\mu}(x)]\}$  and  $\hat{t} = [\underline{t}, \bar{t}]$ , we define  $\hat{\mu} + \hat{t} = [\underline{\mu}(x) + \underline{t}, \bar{\mu}(x) + \bar{t}]$  for all  $x \in X$ . In particular if  $\underline{\mu}(x) + \underline{t} > 1$ , we write as  $\hat{\mu} + \hat{t} > [1, 1] = \hat{1}$   
 Let  $x \in X$  and  $\hat{t} \in D[0, 1]$ , an interval-valued fuzzy set  $\hat{\mu}$  of a KU-algebra  $X$  of the form

$$\hat{\mu}(y) = \begin{cases} \hat{t} \neq [0, 0], & \text{if } y = x, \hat{t} \in D(0, 1) \\ \hat{0} = [0, 0], & \text{if } y \neq x \end{cases}$$

is said to be an interval-valued fuzzy point with support  $x$  and interval-valued value  $\hat{t}$  and is denoted by  $x_{\hat{t}}$ .

Let  $\hat{\mu}$  be an interval-valued fuzzy set in  $X$ . An interval-valued fuzzy point  $x_{\hat{t}}$  is said to belongs to  $\hat{\mu}$  w.r.t  $\hat{a}$  denoted by  $x_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} \hat{\mu}$  (resp. coincident with  $\hat{\mu}$  w.r.t  $(\hat{a}, \hat{b})$  denoted by  $x_{\hat{t}} q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} \hat{\mu}$ ) if  $\hat{\mu}(x) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$  ( resp.  $\hat{\mu}(x) + \hat{t} > \hat{d}$  i.e.,  $\hat{\mu}(x) + \hat{t} > rmin\{2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a}\} = \hat{d}$ .) If  $x_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} \hat{\mu}$  or  $x_{\hat{t}} q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} \hat{\mu}$ , then we write  $x_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} \hat{\mu}$ .

**Definition 3.2.** An interval-valued fuzzy subset  $\hat{\mu}$  of a KU-algebra  $X$  is said to be an interval valued  $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -fuzzy ideal of  $X$  if

- (i)  $x_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} \hat{\mu} \Rightarrow 0_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} \hat{\mu}$ .
- (ii)  $(x * (y * z))_{\hat{t}}, y_{\hat{s}} \in_{\hat{a}} \hat{\mu} \Rightarrow (x * z)_{rmin(\hat{t}, \hat{s})} \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} \hat{\mu}$ .  $\forall x, y \in X, \forall \hat{s}, \hat{t} \in (\hat{a}, \hat{1}]$

**Remark 3.3.** When  $\hat{a} = \hat{0}, \hat{b} = \hat{1}$ , then  $\hat{d} = \hat{1}, \hat{k} = \frac{1}{2}$ . then  $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal becomes an  $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal.

**Example 3.4.** Consider KU-algebra  $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$  with the following cayley table.

**Table 1.** Example of  $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -fuzzy ideal.

*	0	1	2	3	4
0	0	1	2	3	4
1	0	0	2	3	4
2	0	1	0	3	3
3	0	0	2	0	2
4	0	0	0	0	0



Define a map  $\hat{\mu} : X \rightarrow D[0, 1]$  by  $\hat{\mu}(0) = [0.6, 0.9], \hat{\mu}(1) = [0.5, 0.6], \hat{\mu}(2) = [0.4, 0.5], \hat{\mu}(3) = [0.2, 0.3], \hat{\mu}(4) = [0.2, 0.3]$ . Let  $\hat{a} = [0.3, 0.4], \hat{b} = [0.6, 0.8]$  then  $\hat{d} = \text{rmin}\{[1.2, 1.6], [1.3, 1.4]\} = [1.2, 1.4], \hat{k} = \hat{d}/2 = [0.6, 0.7]$  then by routine calculations it can be verified that  $\hat{\mu}$  is an  $([0.3, 0.4], [0.6, 0.8], \in_{[0.3, 0.4]}, \in_{[0.3, 0.4]} \vee q_{([0.3, 0.4], [0.6, 0.8])})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal  $X$ .

**Theorem 3.5.** An interval-valued fuzzy subset  $\hat{\mu}$  of a  $KU$ -algebra  $X$  is an  $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval-valued fuzzy ideal of  $X$  if

- (i)  $\hat{\mu}(0) \geq \text{rmin}\{\hat{\mu}(x), \text{rmin}(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})\}$ ,
- (ii)  $\hat{\mu}(x * z) \geq \text{rmin}\{\hat{\mu}(x * (y * z)), \hat{\mu}(y), \text{rmin}(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})\}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $\hat{\mu}$  is an  $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval-valued fuzzy ideal of  $X$ .

(i) Assume that (i) is not valid, then there exists some  $x \in X$  such that  $\hat{\mu}(0) < \text{min}\{\hat{\mu}(x), \text{rmin}(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})\}$ . choose an interval  $\hat{t}$  such that

$$\hat{\mu}(0) < \text{rmax}(\hat{a}, \hat{t}) < \text{rmin}\{\hat{\mu}(x), \text{rmin}(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})\} \quad (3.1)$$

$\Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(x) > \text{rmax}(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$   
 $\Rightarrow x_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} \hat{\mu}$   
 $\Rightarrow 0_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} \hat{\mu}$   
 $\Rightarrow 0_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} \hat{\mu}$  or  $0_{\hat{t}} q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} \hat{\mu}$   
 $\Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(0) \geq \text{rmax}(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$  or  $\hat{\mu}(0) + \hat{t} > \text{rmin}(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})$   
 $\Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(0) \geq \text{rmax}(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$  or  $\text{rmin}(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a}) < \hat{\mu}(0) + \hat{t}$   
 $< \text{rmax}(\hat{a}, \hat{t}) + \hat{t} = 2\text{rmax}(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$  by (3.1)  
 $\Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(0) \geq \text{rmax}(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$  or  $\text{rmin}(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2}) < \text{rmax}(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$  which contradicts (3.1)

Hence (i) is valid.

(ii) Assume that (ii) is not valid then there exists some  $x, y, z \in X$  such that  $\hat{\mu}(x * z) < \text{min}\{\hat{\mu}(x * (y * z)), \hat{\mu}(y), \text{rmin}(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})\}$  choose an interval number  $\hat{t}$  such that

$$\hat{\mu}(x * z) < \text{rmax}(\hat{a}, \hat{t}) < \text{rmin}\{\hat{\mu}(x * (y * z)), \hat{\mu}(y), \text{rmin}(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})\} \quad (3.2)$$

$\Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(y) > \text{rmax}(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$  and  $\hat{\mu}(x * (y * z)) > \text{rmax}(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$   
 $\Rightarrow (x * (y * z))_{\hat{t}} y_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} \hat{\mu}$   
 $\Rightarrow (x * z)_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} \hat{\mu}$   
 $\Rightarrow (x * z)_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} \hat{\mu}$  or  $(x * z)_{\hat{t}} q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} \hat{\mu}$   
 $\Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(x * z) \geq \text{rmax}(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$  or  $\hat{\mu}(x * z) + \hat{t} > \text{rmin}(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})$   
 $\Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(x * z) \geq \text{rmax}(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$  or  $\text{rmin}(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a}) < \hat{\mu}(x * z) + \hat{t}$   
 $< \text{rmax}(\hat{a}, \hat{t}) + \hat{t} = 2\text{rmax}(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$  by (3.2)  
 $\Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(x * z) \geq \text{rmax}(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$  or  $\text{rmin}(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2}) < \text{rmax}(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$  which contradicts (3.2)

Hence (ii) is valid. □

**Remark 3.6.** Every interval valued fuzzy  $KU$ -ideal is an  $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal but the converse is not true as shown in following Example.

**Example 3.7.** Consider  $KU$ -algebra  $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$  with the following cayley table.

**Table 2.** Illustration of converse of Remark 3.6.

*	0	1	2	3	4
0	0	1	2	3	4
1	0	0	2	3	4
2	0	1	0	3	3
3	0	0	2	0	2
4	0	0	0	0	0

Define a map  $\hat{\mu} : X \rightarrow D[0, 1]$  by  $\hat{\mu}(0) = [0.3, 0.4], \hat{\mu}(1) = [0.5, 0.6], \hat{\mu}(2) = [0.4, 0.5], \hat{\mu}(3) = \hat{\mu}(4) = [0.4, 0.5]$ . Let  $\hat{a} = [0.1, 0.2], \hat{b} = [0.3, 0.4]$  then  $\hat{d} = \text{rmin}\{[0.6, 0.8], [1.1, 1.2]\} = [0.6, 0.8], \hat{k} = \hat{d}/2 = [0.3, 0.4]$  then  $\hat{\mu}$  is an  $([0.1, 0.2], [0.3, 0.4], \in_{[0.1, 0.2]}, \in_{[0.1, 0.2]} \vee q_{([0.3, 0.4], [0.3, 0.4])})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal  $X$  by Theorem 3.5, however it is not an interval valued fuzzy ideal of  $X$ , since  $\hat{\mu}(0) = [0.3, 0.4] \not\geq \hat{\mu}(1) = [0.5, 0.6]$ .

**Theorem 3.8.** If  $\hat{\lambda}$  and  $\hat{\mu}$  be two  $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideals of  $X$ , then  $\hat{\lambda} \cap \hat{\mu}$  is also an  $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal of  $X$ .

*Proof.* Here  $\hat{\lambda}, \hat{\mu}$  both are  $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideals of  $X$ . Therefore

$$\hat{\mu}(0) \geq \text{rmin}\{\hat{\mu}(x), \text{rmin}(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})\} \quad (3.3)$$

$$\hat{\mu}(x * z) \geq \text{rmin}\{\hat{\mu}(x * (y * z)), \hat{\mu}(y), \text{rmin}(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})\} \quad (3.4)$$

$$\hat{\lambda}(0) \geq \text{rmin}\{\hat{\lambda}(x), \text{rmin}(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})\} \quad (3.5)$$

$$\hat{\lambda}((x * z)) \geq \text{rmin}\{\hat{\lambda}(x * (y * z)), \hat{\lambda}(y), \text{rmin}(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})\} \quad (3.6)$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} (\hat{\lambda} \cap \hat{\mu})(0) &= \text{rmin}\{\hat{\lambda}(\hat{0}), \hat{\mu}(\hat{0})\} \\ &\geq \text{rmin}\{\text{rmin}\{\hat{\lambda}(x), \text{rmin}(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})\}, \text{rmin}\{\hat{\mu}(x), \text{rmin}(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})\}\} \\ &= \text{rmin}\{\text{rmin}(\hat{\lambda}(x), \hat{\mu}(x), \text{rmin}(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2}))\} \\ &= \text{rmin}\{(\hat{\lambda} \cap \hat{\mu})(x), \text{rmin}(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})\} \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned} & (\hat{\lambda} \cap \hat{\mu})(x * z) \\ = & rmin\{\hat{\lambda}(x * z), \hat{\mu}(x * z)\} \\ \geq & rmin\{rmin\{\hat{\lambda}(x * (y * z)), \hat{\lambda}(y), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2})\}, \\ & rmin\{\hat{\mu}(x * (y * z)), \hat{\mu}(y), \hat{\mu}(rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2}))\}\} \\ = & rmin\{rmin(\hat{\lambda}(x * (y * z)), \hat{\mu}(x * (y * z))), \\ & rmin(\hat{\lambda}(y), \hat{\mu}(y)), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2})\} \\ = & rmin\{(\hat{\lambda} \cap \hat{\mu})(x * (y * z)), (\hat{\lambda} \cap \hat{\mu})(y), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2})\} \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $(\hat{\lambda} \cap \hat{\mu})$  is an  $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal of  $X$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.9.** If  $\hat{\mu}$  be an  $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal of  $X$ , then  $\hat{\mu}$  is an interval valued fuzzy ideal of  $X$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\hat{\mu}$  be an  $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal of  $X$ , To prove  $\hat{\mu}$  is an interval valued fuzzy ideal of  $X$ . Let  $x \in X$  such that  $rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}) = \hat{\mu}(x)$  then  $\hat{\mu}(x) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$  i.e.  $x_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} \hat{\mu} \Rightarrow 0_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} \hat{\mu}$  [Since  $\hat{\mu}$  be an  $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal]

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(0) & \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}) = \hat{\mu}(x) \\ \Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(0) & \geq \hat{\mu}(x) \end{aligned}$$

Again let  $x, y, z \in X$  such that  $rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}) = \hat{\mu}(x * (y * z))$ ,  $rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{s}) = \hat{\mu}(y)$  then  $\hat{\mu}(x * (y * z)) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}), \hat{\mu}(y) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{s})$  i.e.,  $(x * (y * z))_{\hat{t}, y_{\hat{s}}} \in_{\hat{a}} \hat{\mu} \Rightarrow (x * z)_{rmin(\hat{t}, \hat{s})} \in_{\hat{a}} \hat{\mu}$  [Since  $\hat{\mu}$  be an  $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal]

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(x * z) & \geq rmax\{\hat{a}, rmin(\hat{t}, \hat{s})\} \\ & = rmin\{rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}), rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{s})\} \\ \Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(x * z) & \geq rmin\{\hat{\mu}(x * (y * z)), \hat{\mu}(y)\} \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\hat{\mu}$  is an interval valued fuzzy ideal of  $X$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.10.** If  $\hat{\mu}$  is a  $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})}, q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal of  $X$ , then it is also an  $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal of  $X$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\hat{\mu}$  be a  $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})}, q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal of  $X$ . Let  $x \in X$  such that  $x_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} \hat{\mu}$  then  $\hat{\mu}(x) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$   
 $\Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(x) + \hat{\delta} > rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$   
 $\Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(x) + \hat{\delta} - rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}) + rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a}) > rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})$   
 $\Rightarrow x_{(\hat{\delta} - rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}) + rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a}))} q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} \hat{\mu}$   
 $\Rightarrow (0)_{(\hat{\delta} - rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}) + rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a}))} q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} \hat{\mu}$   
 [Since  $\hat{\mu}$  be an  $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal.]

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(0) + \hat{\delta} - rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}) + rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a}) & > rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a}) \\ \Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(0) + \hat{\delta} & > rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}) \\ \Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(0) & \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}) \\ \Rightarrow 0_{\hat{t}} & \in_{\hat{a}} \hat{\mu} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $x_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} \hat{\mu} \Rightarrow 0_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} \hat{\mu}$ .

Again let  $x, y, z \in X$  such that  $(x * (y * z))_{\hat{t}, y_{\hat{s}}} \in_{\hat{a}} \hat{\mu}$   
 $\Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(x * (y * z)) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}), \hat{\mu}(y) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{s})$   
 $\Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(x * (y * z)) + \hat{\delta} > rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}), \hat{\mu}(y) + \hat{\delta} > rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{s})$   
 $\Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(x * (y * z)) + \hat{\delta} - rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}) + rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a}) > rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})$   
 $> rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})$ , and  
 $\hat{\mu}(y) + \hat{\delta} - rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{s}) + rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a}) > rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})$   
 $\Rightarrow (x * (y * z))_{(\hat{\delta} - rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}) + rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a}))} q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} \hat{\mu}$  and  
 $(y)_{(\hat{\delta} - rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{s}) + rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a}))} q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} \hat{\mu}$   
 $\Rightarrow (x * z)_{\{rmin(\hat{\delta} - rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}) + rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a}), \hat{\delta} - rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{s}) + rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a}))\}} q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} \hat{\mu}$   
 $\Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(x * z) + rmin\{\hat{\delta} - rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}) + rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a}), \hat{\delta} - rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{s}) + rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})\}$   
 $> rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(x * z) + \hat{\delta} - rmax\{rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}), rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{s})\} & > rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a}) \\ & + rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a}) > rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a}) \\ \Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(x * z) + \hat{\delta} - rmax\{rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}), rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{s})\} & > rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a}) \\ \Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(x * z) + \hat{\delta} > rmax\{rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}), rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{s})\} & > rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a}) \\ & \geq rmin\{rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}), rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{s})\} \\ \Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(x * z) & \geq rmin\{rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}), rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{s})\} \\ \Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(x * z) & \geq rmin\{rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}, \hat{s})\} = rmax(\hat{a}, rmin(\hat{t}, \hat{s})) \\ \Rightarrow (x * z)_{rmin(\hat{t}, \hat{s})} & \in_{\hat{a}} \hat{\mu} \end{aligned}$$

i.e.  $(x * (y * z))_{\hat{t}, y_{\hat{s}}} \in_{\hat{a}} \hat{\mu} \Rightarrow (x * z)_{rmin(\hat{t}, \hat{s})} \in_{\hat{a}} \hat{\mu}$   
 Hence  $\hat{\mu}$  is an  $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal of  $X$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.11.** An interval valued fuzzy subset  $\hat{\mu}$  of  $KU$ -algebra  $X$  is an  $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal of  $X$ .

- (i) If  $\hat{\mu}(x) < rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2}) \forall x \in X$ , then  $\hat{\mu}$  is also an  $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal of  $X$ .
- (ii) If  $\hat{\mu}(x) \geq rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2})$  for some  $x \in X$ , then  $\hat{\mu}(0) \geq rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2})$

*Proof.* (i) Let  $\hat{\mu}$  be an  $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal of  $X$  and  $\hat{\mu}(x) < rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2}) \forall x \in X$   
 Let  $x_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} \hat{\mu} \Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(x) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$   
 $\Rightarrow rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}) \leq \hat{\mu}(x) < rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2})$  and  
 also  $\hat{\mu}(0) < rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2})$   
 $\Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(0) + rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}) < rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2}) + rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2})$   
 $= rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})$   
 $\Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(0) + \hat{t} < rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a}) \Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(0) + \hat{t} \not> rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})$   
 $\Rightarrow 0_{\hat{t}} \bar{q}_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} \hat{\mu}$  therefore  $x_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} \hat{\mu} \Rightarrow 0_{\hat{t}} \bar{q}_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} \hat{\mu}$

Since  $\hat{\mu}$  be an  $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal of  $X$ , therefore we must have  $x_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} \hat{\mu} \Rightarrow 0_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} \hat{\mu}$   
 Again let  $(x * (y * z))_{\hat{t}, y_{\hat{s}}} \in_{\hat{a}} \hat{\mu}$   
 $\Rightarrow rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}) \leq \hat{\mu}(x * (y * z)) < rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2})$  and  $rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{s}) \leq \hat{\mu}(y) < rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2})$   
 $\Rightarrow rmin\{rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}), rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{s})\} < rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2})$   
 $\Rightarrow rmax(\hat{a}, rmin(\hat{t}, \hat{s})) < rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2})$  and also  
 $\hat{\mu}(x * z) + rmax(\hat{a}, rmin(\hat{t}, \hat{s})) < rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2}) + rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2}) = rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})$



$\Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(x * z) + rmin(\hat{t}, \hat{s}) < rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})$   
 Since  $\hat{\mu}$  is an  $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -fuzzy ideal of  $X$   
 i.e., either  $\hat{\mu}(x * z) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, rmin(\hat{t}, \hat{s}))$   
 or  $\hat{\mu}(x * z) + rmin(\hat{t}, \hat{s}) > rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})$   
 So we must have  $\hat{\mu}(x * z) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, rmin(\hat{t}, \hat{s}))$   
 i.e.,  $(x * z)_{rmin(\hat{t}, \hat{s})} \in_{\hat{a}} \hat{\mu}$   
 Hence  $\hat{\mu}$  is an  $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal of  $X$ .  
 (ii) we have  $\hat{\mu}(x) \geq rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2})$  for some  $x \in X$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mu}(0) &= \hat{\mu}(x * x) \\ &\geq rmin\{\hat{\mu}(x * (x * x)), \hat{\mu}(x), \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2}\} \\ &= rmin\{\hat{\mu}(0), \hat{\mu}(x), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2})\} \\ &\geq rmin\{\hat{\mu}(0), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2}), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2})\} \\ &= rmin\{\hat{\mu}(0), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2})\} \\ \Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(0) &\geq rmin\{\hat{\mu}(0), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2})\} \\ \Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(0) &\geq rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2}) \end{aligned}$$

□

**Theorem 3.12.** An interval valued fuzzy set  $\hat{\mu}$  in  $X$  is an  $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal of  $X$  if and only if the level set  $\hat{\mu}_{\hat{t}} = \{x \in X | \hat{\mu}(x) \geq \hat{t}\}$  is an ideal of  $X$  for all  $\hat{t} \in D(\hat{0}, rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2}))$  and  $\hat{\mu}_{\hat{t}} \neq \phi$

*Proof.* Assume that  $\hat{\mu}$  be an  $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal of  $X$  and  $\hat{t} \in D(\hat{0}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2})$ . Let  $x \in X$  such that  $x \in \hat{\mu}_{\hat{t}}$ , therefore  $\hat{\mu}(x) \geq \hat{t}$

Now by the Theorem 3.5

$$\hat{\mu}(0) \geq rmin\{\hat{\mu}(x), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2})\} \geq rmin\{\hat{t}, rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2})\} = \hat{t}$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(0) \geq \hat{t} \Rightarrow 0 \in \hat{\mu}_{\hat{t}}$$

Again let  $x, y, z \in X$  such that  $x * (y * z), y \in \hat{\mu}_{\hat{t}}$ . Therefore  $\hat{\mu}(x * (y * z)) \geq \hat{t}, \hat{\mu}(y) \geq \hat{t}$

Now by the Theorem 3.5

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mu}(x * z) &\geq rmin\{\hat{\mu}(x * (y * z)), \hat{\mu}(y), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2})\} \\ &\geq rmin\{\hat{t}, \hat{t}, rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2})\} = \hat{t} \Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(x * z) \geq \hat{t} \Rightarrow (x * z) \in \hat{\mu}_{\hat{t}} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $x * (y * z), y \in \hat{\mu}_{\hat{t}} \Rightarrow (x * z) \in \hat{\mu}_{\hat{t}}$ . Therefore  $\hat{\mu}_{\hat{t}}$  is a ideal of  $X$ .

Conversely,

Suppose that  $\hat{\mu}$  be an interval valued fuzzy set in  $X$  and  $\hat{\mu}_{\hat{t}} = \{x \in X | \hat{\mu}(x) \geq \hat{t}\}$  is an ideal of  $X$  for all  $\hat{t} \in D(\hat{0}, rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2}))$ .

To prove  $\hat{\mu}$  is an  $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal of  $X$ . Suppose  $\hat{\mu}$  is not an  $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal of  $X$ . Then there exists some  $x, y, z \in X$  such that at least one of  $\hat{\mu}(0) < rmin\{\hat{\mu}(x), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2})\}$  and

$\hat{\mu}(x * z) < rmin\{\hat{\mu}(x * (y * z)), \hat{\mu}(y), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2})\}$  hold. Suppose  $\hat{\mu}(0) < rmin\{\hat{\mu}(x), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2})\}$  holds. Choose an interval number  $\hat{t} \in D(\hat{0}, rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2}))$ . such that

$$\hat{\mu}(0) < \hat{t} < rmin\{\hat{\mu}(x), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2})\} \tag{3.7}$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(x) > \hat{t}$$

$$\Rightarrow x \in \hat{\mu}_{\hat{t}} \Rightarrow 0 \in \hat{\mu}_{\hat{t}} \text{ [ Since } \hat{\mu}_{\hat{t}} \text{ is an ideal}$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(0) \geq \hat{t}$$

which contradicts (3.7).

Therefore we must have  $\hat{\mu}(0) \geq rmin\{\hat{\mu}(x), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2})\}$

Again if  $\hat{\mu}(x * z) < rmin\{\hat{\mu}(x * (y * z)), \hat{\mu}(y), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2})\}$  holds. Again choose an interval number

$\hat{t} \in D(\hat{0}, rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2}))$ , such that

$$\hat{\mu}(x * z) < \hat{t} < rmin\{\hat{\mu}(x * (y * z)), \hat{\mu}(y), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2})\} \tag{3.8}$$

$\Rightarrow (x * (y * z)), y \in \hat{\mu}_{\hat{t}}$ . Since  $\hat{\mu}_{\hat{t}}$  is an ideal of  $X$ , it follows that  $x * z \in \hat{\mu}_{\hat{t}}$  so that  $\hat{\mu}(x * z) \geq \hat{t}$  which contradicts (3.8).

Hence we must have

$$\hat{\mu}(x * z) \geq rmin\{\hat{\mu}(x * (y * z)), \hat{\mu}(y), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2})\}.$$

Consequently  $\hat{\mu}$  is an  $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal of  $X$ . □

**Theorem 3.13.** If  $\hat{\mu}$  is a non zero  $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})}, \in_{\hat{a}})$

(or  $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})}, q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal of  $X$ . Then the set

$$X_0 = \{x \in X | \hat{\mu}(x) > \hat{0}\}$$

is an ideal of  $X$ .

*Proof.* First part for  $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})}, \in_{\hat{a}})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal.

Let  $x \in X_0$ . Then  $\hat{\mu}(x) > \hat{0}$  Therefore  $\hat{\mu}(x) + rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a}) > rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})$  that is  $x_{rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})} q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} \hat{\mu}$  Since  $\hat{\mu}$  is a non zero  $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})}, \in_{\hat{a}})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal of  $X$ . Therefore  $0_{rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})} q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} \hat{\mu}$  which implies  $\hat{\mu}(0) + rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a}) > rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})$  i.e.,  $\hat{\mu}(0) > \hat{0}$  i.e.,  $0 \in X_0$ .

Again let  $(x * (y * z)), y \in X_0$ . Then  $\hat{\mu}(x * (y * z)) > \hat{0}, \hat{\mu}(y) > \hat{0}$  Therefore  $\hat{\mu}(x * (y * z)) + rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a}) > rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})$  and  $\hat{\mu}(y) + rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a}) > rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})$  that is  $(x * (y * z))_{rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})} q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} \hat{\mu}, y_{rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})} q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} \hat{\mu}$ . Since  $\hat{\mu}$  is a non zero  $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})}, \in_{\hat{a}})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal of  $X$ . Therefore  $(x * z)_{rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})} q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} \hat{\mu}$  implies  $\hat{\mu}(x * z) + rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a}) > rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})$  i.e.,  $\hat{\mu}(x * z) > \hat{0}$  i.e.,  $(x * z) \in X_0$ . Hence  $X_0$  is an ideal of  $X$ .

Similarly second part can be prove for  $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})}, q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal. □



**Definition 3.14.** Let  $\hat{\mu}$  be a fuzzy set in *KU*-algebra *X* and  $\hat{t} \in D(0, 1]$ , let

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mu}_{\hat{t}} &= \{x \in X \mid x_{\hat{t}} \in \hat{\mu}\} = \{x \in X \mid \hat{\mu}(x) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})\} \\ < \hat{\mu} >_{\hat{t}} &= \{x \in X \mid x_{\hat{t}} q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} \hat{\mu}\} \\ &= \{x \in X \mid \hat{\mu}(x) + \hat{t} > rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{\mu}]_{\hat{t}} &= \{x \in X \mid x_{\hat{t}} \in \hat{a} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} \hat{\mu}\} \\ &= \{x \in X \mid \hat{\mu}(x) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}) \text{ or } \hat{\mu}(x) + \hat{t} > rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})\} \end{aligned}$$

Here  $\hat{\mu}_{\hat{t}}$  is called  $\in_a$  level set of  $\hat{\mu}$ ,  $< \hat{\mu} >_{\hat{t}}$  is called  $q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})}$  level set of  $\hat{\mu}$  and  $[\hat{\mu}]_{\hat{t}}$  is called  $(\in_a \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -level set of  $\hat{\mu}$ . Clearly  $[\hat{\mu}]_{\hat{t}} = < \hat{\mu} >_{\hat{t}} \cup \hat{\mu}_{\hat{t}}$ .

**Theorem 3.15.** An interval valued fuzzy set  $\hat{\mu}$  in *X* is an  $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_a, \in_a \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal of *X* if and only if the level set  $[\hat{\mu}]_{\hat{t}}$  is an ideal of *X* for all  $\hat{t} \in D(\hat{0}, \hat{1}]$ . We called  $[\hat{\mu}]_{\hat{t}}$  as  $\in_a \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})}$  levels ideals of  $\hat{\mu}$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $\hat{\mu}$  is an  $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_a, \in_a \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal of *X*. To prove  $[\hat{\mu}]_{\hat{t}}$  is an ideal of *X*. Let  $x \in [\hat{\mu}]_{\hat{t}}$  for  $\hat{t} \in D(0, 1]$  then  $x_{\hat{t}} \in \hat{a} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} \hat{\mu}$ . Therefore we have  $\hat{\mu}(x) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$  or  $\hat{\mu}(x) + \hat{t} > rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})$ . Since  $\hat{\mu}$  in *X* is an  $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_a, \in_a \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal of *X*. Therefore

$$\hat{\mu}(0) \geq rmin\{\hat{\mu}(x), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2})\}, \forall x, y \in X \quad (3.9)$$

Now we have the following cases:

Case I. Let  $\hat{\mu}(x) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$  now if  $\hat{t} \leq rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2})$  also since  $\hat{a} < \hat{b}$  therefore  $rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}) < rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2})$ . Hence by eqn 3.9

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mu}(0) &\geq rmin\{\hat{\mu}(x), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2})\} \\ &\geq rmin\{rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2})\} \\ &\geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}) \end{aligned}$$

Which implies  $0_{\hat{t}} \in_a \hat{\mu}$ .

Again if  $\hat{t} > rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2})$  also since  $\hat{a} < \hat{b}$  therefore  $rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}) \geq rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2})$ . Hence by eqn 3.9

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mu}(0) &\geq rmin\{\hat{\mu}(x), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2})\} \\ &\geq rmin\{rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2})\} \\ &\geq rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2}) \end{aligned}$$

Which implies  $\hat{\mu}(0) \geq rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2})$ . Therefore  $\hat{\mu}(0) + rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2}) \geq rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2}) + rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2}) = rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})$ .

Therefore  $0_{\hat{t}} q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} \hat{\mu}$ .

Hence from above  $0_{\hat{t}} \in_a \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} \hat{\mu}$ . i.e.,  $0 \in [\hat{\mu}]_{\hat{t}}$ .

Case II. Let  $\hat{\mu}(x) + \hat{t} > rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})$  and if  $\hat{t} \leq rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2})$  also since  $\hat{a} < \hat{b}$  therefore  $rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}) < rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2})$ . Hence by eqn 3.9

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mu}(0) &\geq rmin\{\hat{\mu}(x), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2})\} \\ &\geq rmin\{rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a}) - \hat{t}, rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2})\} \\ &\geq rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2}) \\ &\geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $0_{\hat{t}} \in_a \hat{\mu}$ .

Again if  $\hat{t} > rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2})$  also since  $\hat{a} < \hat{b}$  therefore  $rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}) \geq rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2})$ . Hence by eqn 3.9

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mu}(0) &\geq rmin\{\hat{\mu}(x), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2})\} \\ &\geq rmin\{rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a}) - \hat{t}, rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2})\} \\ &\geq rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a}) - \hat{t} \\ \hat{\mu}(0) + \hat{t} &\geq rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a}) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $0_{\hat{t}} q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} \hat{\mu}$ .

Hence from above  $0_{\hat{t}} \in_a \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} \hat{\mu}$ . i.e.,  $0 \in [\hat{\mu}]_{\hat{t}}$ .

Again let  $x * (y * z), y \in [\hat{\mu}]_{\hat{t}}$  for  $\hat{t} \in D(\hat{0}, \hat{1}]$  then  $(x * (y * z))_{\hat{t}}, (y)_{\hat{t}} \in \hat{a} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} \hat{\mu}$  then  $\hat{\mu}(x * (y * z)) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$  or  $\hat{\mu}(x * (y * z)) + \hat{t} > rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})$  and  $\hat{\mu}(y) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$  or  $\hat{\mu}(y) + \hat{t} > rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})$ . Since  $\hat{\mu}$  is an  $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_a, \in_a \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal of *X*. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mu}(x * z) &\geq rmin\{\hat{\mu}(x * (y * z)), \hat{\mu}(y), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2})\}, \\ &\forall x, y \in X \quad (3.10) \end{aligned}$$

Case I. Let  $\hat{\mu}(x * (y * z)) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$  and  $\hat{\mu}(y) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$  if  $\hat{t} \leq rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2})$  also since  $\hat{a} < \hat{b}$  therefore  $rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}) < rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2})$ . Hence by eqn 3.10

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mu}(x * z) &\geq rmin\{\hat{\mu}(x * (y * z)), \hat{\mu}(y), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2})\} \\ &\geq rmin\{rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}), rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2})\} \\ &\geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $(x * z)_{\hat{t}} \in_a \hat{\mu}$ .

Again if  $\hat{t} > rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2})$  also since  $\hat{a} < \hat{b}$  therefore  $rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}) \geq rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2})$ .



$rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})$ . Hence by 3.10

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mu}(x*z) &\geq rmin\{\hat{\mu}(x*(y*z)), \hat{\mu}(y), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})\} \\ &\geq rmin\{rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}), rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})\} \\ &\geq rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2}) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\hat{\mu}(x*z) \geq rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})$   
 $\hat{\mu}(x*z) + rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2}) \geq rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2}) + rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})$   
 $= rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})$ .

Therefore  $(x*z)_{\hat{t}} \in q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} \hat{\mu}$ .

Hence from above  $(x*z)_{\hat{t}} \in \hat{a} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} \hat{\mu}$  i.e.,  $x*z \in [\hat{\mu}]_{\hat{t}}$ .

CaseII. Let  $\hat{\mu}(x*(y*z)) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$  and  $\hat{\mu}(y) + \hat{t} > rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})$  Assume  $\hat{t} \leq rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})$  also since  $\hat{a} < \hat{b}$  therefore  $rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}) < rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})$ . Hence by eq 3.10

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mu}(x*z) &\geq rmin\{\hat{\mu}(x*(y*z)), \hat{\mu}(y), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})\} \\ &\geq rmin\{rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}), rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a}) - \hat{t}, rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})\} \\ &\geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $(x*z)_{\hat{t}} \in \hat{a} \hat{\mu}$

Again if  $\hat{t} > rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})$  also since  $\hat{a} < \hat{b}$  therefore  $rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}) \geq rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})$ . Hence by 3.10

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mu}(x*z) &\geq rmin\{\hat{\mu}(x*(y*z)), \hat{\mu}(y), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})\} \\ &\geq rmin\{rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}), rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a}) - \hat{t}, rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})\} \\ &\geq rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a}) - \hat{t} \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \hat{\mu}(x*z) + \hat{t} \geq rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})$$

Therefore  $(x*z)_{\hat{t}} \in q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} \hat{\mu}$

Hence from above  $(x*z)_{\hat{t}} \in \hat{a} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} \hat{\mu}$  i.e.,  $x*z \in [\hat{\mu}]_{\hat{t}}$

CaseIII. Let  $\hat{\mu}(x*(y*z)) + \hat{t} > rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})$  and  $\hat{\mu}(y) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$

Similar to Case II.

CaseIV. Let  $\hat{\mu}(x*(y*z)) + \hat{t} > rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})$  and  $\hat{\mu}(y) + \hat{t} > rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})$

Similar to Case II.

Conversely, let  $\hat{\mu}$  be an interval valued fuzzy set in *X* and  $\hat{t} \in D(0, 1]$  such that  $[\hat{\mu}]_{\hat{t}}$  is an ideal of *X*. To prove  $\hat{\mu}$  is an  $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal of *X*. If  $\hat{\mu}$  is not an  $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal of *X*, then there exists  $x, y, z \in X$  such that at least one of

$\hat{\mu}(0) < rmin\{\hat{\mu}(x), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})\}$  and  $\hat{\mu}(x*z) < rmin\{\hat{\mu}(x*(y*z)), \hat{\mu}(y), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})\}$  hold.

Suppose  $\hat{\mu}(0) < rmin\{\hat{\mu}(x), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})\}$  hold. Then choose an interval  $\hat{t}$  such that

$$\hat{\mu}(0) < rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}) < rmin\{\hat{\mu}(x), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})\} \quad (3.11)$$

Which implies  $\hat{\mu}(x) > rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$  i.e.,  $x_{\hat{t}} \in \hat{a} \hat{\mu}$ . Since  $\hat{\mu}$  is an  $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal of *X*. Therefore we must have  $0_{\hat{t}} \in \hat{a} \hat{\mu}$  i.e.,  $\hat{\mu}(0) > rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$  which contradicts eqn 3.11. Again if  $\hat{\mu}(x*z) < rmin\{\hat{\mu}(x*(y*z)), \hat{\mu}(y), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})\}$  hold. Then choose an interval  $\hat{t}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mu}(x*z) &< rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}) \\ &< rmin\{\hat{\mu}(x*(y*z)), \hat{\mu}(y), rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})\} \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

Which implies  $\hat{\mu}(x*(y*z)) > rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$ ,  $\hat{\mu}(y) > rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$  i.e.,  $(x*(y*z))_{\hat{t}} \in \hat{a} \hat{\mu}$ ,  $x_{\hat{t}} \in \hat{a} \hat{\mu}$  since  $\hat{\mu}$  is an  $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal of *X*. Therefore we must have  $(x*z)_{\hat{t}} \in \hat{a} \hat{\mu}$  i.e.,  $\hat{\mu}(x*z) > rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$  which contradicts 3.12. Hence  $\hat{\mu}$  is an  $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal of *X*.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.16.** Let *X, Y* be two *KU*-algebras. Then their cartesian product  $X \times Y = \{(x, y) \mid x \in X, y \in Y\}$  is also a *KU*-algebra under the binary operation  $*$  defined in  $X \times Y$  by  $(x, y) * (p, q) = (x * p, y * q)$  for all  $(x, y), (p, q) \in X \times Y$ .

*Proof.* Straightforward.  $\square$

**Definition 3.17.** Let  $\hat{\lambda}$  and  $\hat{\mu}$  be two  $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideals of *KU*-algebra *X*. Then their cartesian product  $\hat{\lambda} \times \hat{\mu}$  is defined by

$$(\hat{\lambda} \times \hat{\mu})(x, y) = rmin\{\hat{\lambda}(x), \hat{\mu}(y)\}$$

where  $(\hat{\lambda} \times \hat{\mu}) : X \times X \rightarrow D[0, 1] \quad \forall x, y \in X$ .

**Theorem 3.18.** Let  $\hat{\lambda}$  and  $\hat{\mu}$  be two  $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideals of a *KU*-algebra *X*. Then  $\hat{\lambda} \times \hat{\mu}$  is also an  $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal of  $X \times X$ .

*Proof.* Similar to Theorem 3.8  $\square$

**Definition 3.19.** Let *X* and *X'* be two *KU*-algebras. Then a mapping  $f : X \rightarrow X'$  is said to be homomorphism if  $f(x * y) = f(x) * f(y) \quad \forall x, y \in X$ .





**Theorem 3.20.** Let  $X$  and  $X'$  be two  $KU$ -algebras and  $f : X \rightarrow X'$  be a homomorphism. Then  $f(0) = 0'$ , where  $0 \in X$  and  $0' \in X'$ .

*Proof.* We have  $f(0) = f(x * x) = f(x) * f(x) = 0'$   $\square$

**Theorem 3.21.** Let  $X$  and  $X'$  be two  $KU$ -algebras and  $f : X \rightarrow X'$  be a homomorphism. If  $\hat{\mu}$  be an  $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal of  $X'$ , then  $f^{-1}(\hat{\mu})$  is an  $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal of  $X$ .

*Proof.*  $f^{-1}(\hat{\mu})$  is defined as  $f^{-1}(\hat{\mu})(x) = \hat{\mu}(f(x)) \forall x \in X$ . Let  $\hat{\mu}$  be an  $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal of  $X'$  and  $x \in X$  such that  $x_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} f^{-1}(\hat{\mu})$  then  $f^{-1}(\hat{\mu})(x) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}), \hat{\mu}f(x) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$   
 $\Rightarrow (f(x))_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} \hat{\mu}$   
 $\Rightarrow 0'_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} \hat{\mu}$  [Since  $\hat{\mu}$  be an  $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal of  $X'$ ]  
 $\Rightarrow 0'_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} \hat{\mu}$  or  $0'_{\hat{t}} q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} \hat{\mu}$   
 $\Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(0') \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$  or  $\hat{\mu}(0') + \hat{t} \geq rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})$   
 $\Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(f(0)) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$  or  $\hat{\mu}(f(0)) + \hat{t} \geq rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})$   
 $\Rightarrow f^{-1}(\hat{\mu})(0) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$   
 or  $f^{-1}(\hat{\mu})(0) + \hat{t} \geq rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})$   
 $\Rightarrow 0_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} f^{-1}(\hat{\mu})$  or  $0_{\hat{t}} q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} f^{-1}(\hat{\mu})$   
 $\Rightarrow 0_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} f^{-1}(\hat{\mu})$

Therefore  $x_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} f^{-1}(\hat{\mu}) \Rightarrow 0_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} f^{-1}(\hat{\mu})$   
 Again let  $x, y, z \in X$  such that  $(x * y(*z))_{\hat{t}, \hat{y}_{\hat{t}}} \in_{\hat{a}} f^{-1}(\hat{\mu})$  then  $f^{-1}(\hat{\mu})(x * y(*z)) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$  and  $f^{-1}(\hat{\mu})(y) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{s})$   $\hat{\mu}f(x * y(*z)) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$  and  $\hat{\mu}f(y) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{s})$   
 $\Rightarrow [f(x * (y * z))]_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} \hat{\mu}$  and  $[f(y)]_{\hat{s}} \in_{\hat{a}} \hat{\mu}$   
 $\Rightarrow [f(x) * (f(y) * f(z))]_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} \hat{\mu}$  and  $[f(y)]_{\hat{s}} \in_{\hat{a}} \hat{\mu}$   
 $\Rightarrow [f(x) * f(z)]_{rmin(\hat{t}, \hat{s})} \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} \hat{\mu}$   
 $\Rightarrow [f(x) * f(z)]_{rmin(\hat{t}, \hat{s})} \in_{\hat{a}} \hat{\mu}$  or  $[f(x) * f(z)]_{rmin(\hat{t}, \hat{s})} q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} \hat{\mu}$   
 $\Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(f(x * z)) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, rmin(\hat{t}, \hat{s}))$   
 or  $\hat{\mu}f(x * z) + rmin(\hat{t}, \hat{s}) \geq rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})$   
 $\Rightarrow f^{-1}(\hat{\mu})(x * z) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, rmin(\hat{t}, \hat{s}))$  or  $f^{-1}(\hat{\mu})(x * z) + rmin(\hat{t}, \hat{s}) \geq rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})$   
 $\Rightarrow (x * z)_{rmin(\hat{t}, \hat{s})} \in_{\hat{a}} f^{-1}(\hat{\mu})$  or  $(x * z)_{rmin(\hat{t}, \hat{s})} q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} f^{-1}(\hat{\mu})$   
 $\Rightarrow (x * z)_{rmin(\hat{t}, \hat{s})} \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} f^{-1}(\hat{\mu})$   
 Therefore  $(x * (y * z))_{\hat{t}, \hat{y}_{\hat{t}}} \in_{\hat{a}} f^{-1}(\hat{\mu})$   
 $\Rightarrow (x * z)_{rmin(\hat{t}, \hat{s})} \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} f^{-1}(\hat{\mu})$   
 Hence the proof  $\square$

**Theorem 3.22.** Let  $X$  and  $X'$  be two  $KU$ -algebras and  $f : X \rightarrow X'$  be an onto homomorphism. If  $\hat{\mu}$  be an interval valued fuzzy subset of  $X'$  such that  $f^{-1}(\hat{\mu})$  is an  $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal of  $X$ , then  $\hat{\mu}$  is also an  $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal of  $X'$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x' \in X'$  such that  $x'_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} \hat{\mu}$  where  $\hat{t} \in D[0, 1]$  then  $\hat{\mu}(x') \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$  since  $f$  is onto so there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $f(x) = x'$ . Now  $\hat{\mu}(x') \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$   
 $\Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(f(x)) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$

$\Rightarrow f^{-1}(\hat{\mu})(x) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$   
 $\Rightarrow x_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} f^{-1}(\hat{\mu})$   
 $\Rightarrow 0_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} f^{-1}(\hat{\mu})$   
 [since  $f^{-1}(\hat{\mu})$  is an  $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal of  $X$ ]  
 $\Rightarrow 0_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} f^{-1}(\hat{\mu})$  or  $0_{\hat{t}} q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} f^{-1}(\hat{\mu})$   
 $\Rightarrow f^{-1}(\hat{\mu})(0) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$   
 or  $f^{-1}(\hat{\mu})(0) + \hat{t} > rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})$   
 $\Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(f(0)) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$  or  $\hat{\mu}(f(0)) + \hat{t} > rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})$   
 $\Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(0') \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$  or  $\hat{\mu}(0') + \hat{t} > rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})$   
 $\Rightarrow 0'_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} \hat{\mu}$  or  $0'_{\hat{t}} q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} \hat{\mu}$   
 $\Rightarrow 0'_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} \hat{\mu}$   
 Therefore  $x'_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} \hat{\mu} \Rightarrow 0'_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} \hat{\mu}$   
 Again let  $x', y', z' \in X'$  such that  $(x' * y'(*z'))_{\hat{t}, \hat{y}'_{\hat{t}}} \in_{\hat{a}} \hat{\mu}$  where  $\hat{t}, \hat{s} \in D[0, 1]$   
 then  $\hat{\mu}(x' * (y' * z')) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t}), \hat{\mu}(y') \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$  since  $f$  is onto so there exists  $x, y \in X$  such that  $f(x) = x', f(y) = y', f(z) = z'$  also  $f$  is homomorphism so  
 $f(x * (y * z)) = f(x) * (f(y) * f(z)) = x' * (y' * z')$   
 Now  $\hat{\mu}(x' * (y' * z')) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$  and  $\hat{\mu}(y') \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$   
 $\Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(f(x) * (f(y) * f(z))) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$  and  $\hat{\mu}(f(y)) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$   
 $\Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(f(x * (y * z))) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$  and  $\hat{\mu}(f(y)) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{s})$   
 [Since  $f$  is homomorphism.]  
 $\Rightarrow f^{-1}(\hat{\mu})(x * (y * z)) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$  and  $f^{-1}(\hat{\mu})(y) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$   
 $\Rightarrow (x * (y * z))_{\hat{t}, \hat{y}_{\hat{t}}} \in_{\hat{a}} f^{-1}(\hat{\mu})$  and  $y_{\hat{s}} \in_{\hat{a}} f^{-1}(\hat{\mu})$   
 $\Rightarrow x_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} f^{-1}(\hat{\mu})$

[since  $f^{-1}(\hat{\mu})$  is an  $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal of  $X$ ]  
 $\Rightarrow (x * z)_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} f^{-1}(\hat{\mu})$  or  $(x * z)_{\hat{t}} q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} f^{-1}(\hat{\mu})$   
 $\Rightarrow f^{-1}(\hat{\mu})(x * z) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$   
 or  $f^{-1}(\hat{\mu})(x * z) + \hat{t} > rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})$   
 $\Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(f(x * z)) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$   
 or  $\hat{\mu}(f(x * z)) + \hat{t} > rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})$   
 $\Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(f(x) * f(z)) \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$   
 or  $\hat{\mu}(f(x) * f(z)) + \hat{t} > rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})$   
 $\Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(x' * z') \geq rmax(\hat{a}, \hat{t})$  or  $\hat{\mu}(x' * z') + \hat{t} > rmin(2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a})$   
 $\Rightarrow (x' * z')_{\hat{a}, \hat{b}} \in_{\hat{a}} \hat{\mu}$  or  $(x' * z')_{\hat{t}} q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} \hat{\mu}$   
 $\Rightarrow (x' * z')_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} \hat{\mu}$   
 Therefore  $(x' * (y' * z'))_{\hat{t}, \hat{y}'_{\hat{t}}} \in_{\hat{a}} \hat{\mu} \Rightarrow (x' * z')_{\hat{t}} \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} \hat{\mu}$ .  
 Hence  $\hat{\mu}$  is an  $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal of  $X'$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.23.** Let  $I$  be an ideal of  $X$  and let  $\hat{\mu}$  be an interval valued fuzzy set of  $X$  such that  
 (i)  $\hat{\mu}(x) = \hat{0}$ , for all  $x \in X \setminus I$ ,  
 (ii)  $\hat{\mu}(x) \geq rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2})$ , for all  $x \in I$ .  
 Then  $\hat{\mu}$  is an  $(q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal of  $X$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x \in X$  and  $\hat{t} \in D(0, rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1} + \hat{a}}{2}))$  be such that  $x_{\hat{t}} q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} \hat{\mu}$ . Then we get  $\hat{\mu}(x) + \hat{t} > rmin\{2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a}\} = \hat{d}$ . Since  $I$  is an ideal therefore  $0 \in I$ , i. e.,  $\hat{\mu}(0) \geq rmin\{2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a}\}$ . Now if  $rmin\{2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a}\} \geq \hat{t}$  then  $\hat{\mu}(0) \geq rmin\{2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a}\} \geq \hat{t}$  which



implies  $\hat{\mu}(0) \geq rmax\{\hat{a}, \hat{t}\}$  i.e.,  $0_{\hat{t}} \in \hat{\mu}$ . If  $\hat{t} > rmin\{2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a}\}$  then  $\mu(0) + \hat{t} > 2rmin\{2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a}\}$  and so  $0_{\hat{t}}q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})}\hat{\mu}$ . Hence  $0_{\hat{t}} \in \hat{a} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})}$ .

Again let  $x, y, z \in X$  and  $\hat{t}, \hat{s} \in D(0rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2}))$  be such that  $(x*(y*z))_{\hat{t}}q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})}\hat{\mu}$  and  $x_{\hat{s}}q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})}\hat{\mu}$ . Then we get that  $\hat{\mu}(x*(y*z)) + \hat{t} > rmin\{2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a}\}$  and  $\hat{\mu}(y) + \hat{s} > rmin\{2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a}\}$ . We can conclude that  $x*z \in X$ , since in otherwise  $x*z \in X \setminus I$ , and therefore  $\hat{t} > rmin\{2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a}\}$  or  $\hat{s} > rmin\{2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a}\}$  which is a contradiction. If  $rmin(\hat{t}, \hat{s}) > rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})$ , then  $\hat{\mu}(x*z) + rmin(\hat{t}, \hat{s}) > rmin\{2\hat{b}, \hat{1} + \hat{a}\}$  and so  $(x*z)_{rmin(\hat{t}, \hat{s})}q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})}\hat{\mu}$ . If  $rmin(\hat{t}, \hat{s}) \leq rmin(\hat{b}, \frac{\hat{1}+\hat{a}}{2})$ , then  $\hat{\mu}(x*z) \geq rmin(\hat{t}, \hat{s})$  i.e.,  $\hat{\mu}(x*z) \geq rmax\{\hat{a}, rmin(\hat{t}, \hat{s})\}$  and thus  $(x*z)_{rmin(\hat{t}, \hat{s})} \in \hat{a} \hat{\mu}$ . Hence  $(x*z)_{rmin(\hat{t}, \hat{s})} \in \hat{a} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})}$ .  $\square$

### 4. Conclusion

In this paper, we have introduced  $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal of KU-algebra and discussed some related properties.  $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal is the Generalised form ideals in KU-algebra, since but putting  $\hat{a} = \hat{0}, \hat{b} = \hat{1}$ , we get  $\hat{a} = \hat{1}, \hat{k} = \frac{1}{2}$ . then  $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}; \in_{\hat{a}}, \in_{\hat{a}} \vee q_{(\hat{a}, \hat{b})})$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal becomes an  $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal. Further interval valued fuzzy ideal i.e.,  $(\in, \in)$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal is a particular case of  $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -interval valued fuzzy ideal and also fuzzy ideal is a particular case of interval valued fuzzy ideal. It is our hope that this work would other foundations for further study of the theory of BCK/BCI-algebras.

### References

[1] M. Akram, N. Yaqoob and J. Kavikumar, Interval valued  $(\hat{\theta}, \hat{\delta})$ -fuzzy KU-ideals of KU-algebras, *Int. J. Pure Appl. Math.* 92(3) (2014), 335-349.  
 [2] S. K. Bhakat and P. Das,  $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -fuzzy subgroup, *Fuzzy sets and systems*, 80 (1996), 359-368.  
 [3] S. K. Bhakat and P. Das,  $(\in \vee q)$ -level subset, *Fuzzy sets and systems*, 103(3)(1999), 529-533.  
 [4] R. Biswas, Rosenfels fuzzy subgroups with interval valued membership functions, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 63 (1994) 87-90.  
 [5] P. Das, Products of  $(a, b; \in_a, \in_a \vee q_{(a,b)})$  -Fuzzy subgroups, *J. Fuzzy Math.* 4(4) (1996), 871-878.  
 [6] P. Das, Fuzzy subalgebras redefined, *J. Fuzzy Math.* 3(3) (1995), 517-527.  
 [7] T. K. Dutta, S. Kar, and S. Purkait, Interval-valued fuzzy prime and semiprime ideals of a hyper semiring, *Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics*, 9(2), (2015), 261-278.  
 [8] Y. Imai and K. Iseki, On Axiom systems of Propositional calculi XIV, *Proc. Japan Academy*, 42 (1966), 19-22.  
 [9] K. Iseki, An algebra related with a propositional calculus, *Proc. Japan Academy*, 42 (1966), 26-29.

[10] K. Iseki, On some ideals in BCK-algebras, *Math. Seminar Notes*, 3 (1975), 65-70.  
 [11] D. S. Lee, and C. H. Park, Interval valued  $(\in \vee q_k)$ -Fuzzy Ideals Rings, *International Mathematical Forum*, 4(13), (2009) 623-630.  
 [12] P. P. Ming and L. Y. Ming, Fuzzy topology I, Neighbourhood structure of a fuzzy point and Moore-Smith convergence, *J. Maths. Anal. Appl.* 76(1980), 571-599.  
 [13] V. Murali, Fuzzy points of equivalent fuzzy subsets, *inform Sci*, 158 (2004), 277-288.  
 [14] G. Muhiuddin, Bipolar fuzzy KU-subalgebras and ideals of KU-algebras, *Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform.* 8(3) (2014), 409-418.  
 [15] J. Neggers and H. S. Kim, On B-algebras, *Math. Vensik*, 54 (2002), 21-29. 54 (2002), 21-29.  
 [16] C. Prabpayak and U. Leerawat, On ideals and congruence in KU-algebras, *scientia Magna, international book series*, Vol. 5(2009), No.1, 54-57.  
 [17] C. Prabpayak and U. Leerawat, On isomorphisms of KU-algebras, *scientia Magna, international book series*, 5(2009), no .3, 25-31.  
 [18] S. M. Mostafa, M. A. Abd-Elnaby and M.M.M. Yousef, Fuzzy ideals of KU-Algebras, *Int. Math. Forum*, 6(63) (2011) 3139-3149.  
 [19] Mostafa, S.M., Abd-Elnaby, M.A., Elgendy, O.R. Interval-valued fuzzy KU-ideals in KU-algebras, *Int. Math. Forum*, 6(64), (2011), 3151-3159.  
 [20] S. M. Mostafa and F. F. Kareem, N-fold commutative KU-Algebras, *Int. J. Algebra*, 8(6) (2014), 267-275.  
 [21] S. M. Mostafa and F. F. Kareem, Fuzzy n-fold KU-ideals KU-Algebras, *Ann. Fuzzy Math.*  
 [22] M. Gulistan, M. Shahzad and S. Ahmed, On  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -fuzzy KU-ideals of KUalgebras, *Afr. Mat.*, (2014), 1-11, doi: 10.1007/s13370-014-0234-2.  
 [23] O. G. Xi, Fuzzy BCK algebras, *Math Japonica*, 36, (1991) 935-942.  
 [24] Y. B. Yun, On  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -Fuzzy ideals of BCK/BCI-Algebras, *Scientiae Mathematicae Japonicae*, online, e-2004, 101-105.  
 [25] Y. B. Yun, Interval-valued fuzzy subalgebras/ideals in BCK-algebras, *Scientiae Mathematicae*, 3(3) (2000) 435-444.  
 [26] Y. B. Jun and K.H. Kim, Interval-valued fuzzy r-subgroups of near-rings, *Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 33(1) (2002) 71-80.  
 [27] L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, *Information and Control*. 8 (1965), 338-353.  
 [28] L. A. Zadeh, The concept of a linguistic variable and its application to approximate reasoning-I, *Inf Science.*, 8, (1975) 199-249.  
 [29] J. Zhan, Y. B. Jun and B. Davvaz, On  $(\in \vee q)$ -Fuzzy Ideals of BCI-algebras, *Iranian Journal of Fuzzy Systems*, 6(1), (2009) 81-94.  
 [30] X. Ma, J. Zhan, B. Davvaz and Y. B. Jun, Some kinds of  $(\in \vee q_k)$ -interval-valued fuzzy ideals of BCI-algebras,



*Information Sciences*, 178,(2008)3738-3754.

\*\*\*\*\*  
ISSN(P):2319 – 3786  
Malaya Journal of Matematik  
ISSN(O):2321 – 5666  
\*\*\*\*\*

