

**https://doi.org/10.26637/MJM0703/0030**

# **On continuous selections of weakly** (1,2)<sup>∗</sup>- $\ddot{g}$ -closed **maps**

N. Rajasekar<sup>1\*</sup> and R. Karthik<sup>2</sup>

## **Abstract**

In this paper, the concepts of weakly  $(1,2)^*$ -*g*-continuous maps, weakly  $(1,2)^*$ -*g*-compact spaces and weakly (1,2) ∗ -*g*¨-connected spaces are introduced and some of their properties are investigated.

## **Keywords**

Weakly (1,2)\*-*g*-closed set, Weakly (1,2)\*-*g*-continuous maps, Weakly (1,2)\*-*g*-compact spaces and Weakly  $(1,2)^*$ - $\ddot{g}$ -connected.

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 54E55.

1,2*Department of Mathematics, Sudharsan College of Arts & Science, Pudukkottai-622104, Tamil Nadu, India.* \***Corresponding author**: 1 rajasekar00035@gmail.com; <sup>2</sup>karthik.phd2011@gmail.com **Article History**: Received **24** May **2019**; Accepted **23** August **2019** c 2019 MJM.

# **Contents**



# <span id="page-0-0"></span>**1. Introduction and Preliminaries**

Quite recently, several authors investigated some new maps and notions (see for example [\[14\]](#page-3-2), [\[10\]](#page-3-3). It is the objective of this paper, the concepts of weakly  $(1,2)^*$ - $\ddot{g}$ -continuous maps, weakly  $(1,2)^*$ - $\ddot{g}$ -compact spaces and weakly  $(1,2)^*$ - $\ddot{g}$ connected spaces are introduced and some of their properties are investigated with addition Examples.

Throughout this paper,  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ ,  $(Y, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  and  $(Z, \eta_1, \eta_2)$ (briefly, *X*, *Y* and *Z*) will denote bitopological spaces.

Definition 1.1. *Let S be a subset of X. Then S is said to be*  $\tau_{1,2}$ *-open* [\[8\]](#page-3-4) *if*  $S = A \cup B$  where  $A \in \tau_1$  *and*  $B \in \tau_2$ *.* 

*The complement of*  $\tau_{1,2}$ *-open set is called*  $\tau_{1,2}$ *-closed.* 

*Notice that*  $τ_{1,2}$ -open sets need not necessarily form a *topology.*

Definition 1.2. *[\[8\]](#page-3-4) Let S be a subset of a bitopological space X. Then*

*1. the*  $\tau_{1,2}$ *-closure of S, denoted by*  $\tau_{1,2}$ *-cl*(*S*)*, is defined*  $as \bigcap \{F : S \subseteq F \text{ and } F \text{ is } \tau_{1,2}\text{-closed } \}.$ 

*2. the*  $\tau_{1,2}$ *-interior of S, denoted by*  $\tau_{1,2}$ *-int*(*S*)*, is defined*  $as \cup \{F : F \subseteq S \text{ and } F \text{ is } \tau_{1,2} \text{-open } \}.$ 

Definition 1.3. *A subset S of a bitopological space X is called*

- *1.* (1,2) ∗ *-generalized closed (briefly,* (1,2) ∗ *-g-closed) set [\[11\]](#page-3-5) if*  $\tau_{1,2}$ *-cl*(*S*)  $\subseteq$  *U whenever S*  $\subseteq$  *U and U is*  $\tau_{1,2}$ *open in X.*
- *2.* (1,2) ∗ *-semi-generalized closed (briefly,* (1,2) ∗ *-sg-closed) set* [\[9\]](#page-3-6) *if*  $(1,2)$ <sup>\*</sup>-scl $(S)$  ⊆ *U whenever*  $S$  ⊆ *U and U is*  $(1,2)$ <sup>\*</sup>-semi-open in X.
- *3.* (1,2) ∗ *-*α*-generalized closed (briefly,* (1,2) ∗ *-*α*g-closed)*  $f(1, 2)$  *if*  $(1, 2)^*$ - $\alpha cl(S) \subseteq U$  whenever  $S \subseteq U$  and  $U$ *is*  $\tau_{1,2}$ *-open in X.*
- *4.*  $(1,2)^*$ - $\ddot{g}$ -closed set [\[3\]](#page-3-8) if  $\tau_{1,2}$ -cl(S)  $\subseteq U$  whenever  $S \subseteq$ *U* and *U* is  $(1,2)$ <sup>\*</sup>-sg-open in X.
- 5.  $(1,2)^*$ - $\pi$ g-closed set [\[10\]](#page-3-3) if  $\tau_{1,2}$ -cl(*S*)  $\subseteq$  *U* whenever  $S \subseteq U$  and U is  $(1,2)^*$ - $\pi$ -open in X.

*The complements of the above mentioned open sets are called their respective closed sets.*

The family of all  $(1,2)^*$ - $\ddot{g}$ -open (resp.  $(1,2)^*$ - $\ddot{g}$ -closed) sets in *X* is denoted by  $(1,2)^*$ - $\ddot{G}O(X)$  (resp.  $(1,2)^*$ - $\ddot{G}C(X)$ ).

**Definition 1.4.** [\[4\]](#page-3-9) For every set  $S \subseteq X$ , we define the  $(1,2)^*$ *g*¨*-closure of S to be the intersection of all* (1,2) ∗ *-g*¨*-closed sets containing S. That is*  $(1,2)^*$ - $\ddot{g}$ - $cl(S) = \bigcap \{F : S \subseteq F \in (1,2)^*$ - $GC(X)$ .

**Definition 1.5.** *Let*  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  *and*  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  *be two bitopological spaces.* A function  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \to (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  *is called*

- *1. completely*  $(1,2)^*$ -*continuous* [\[10\]](#page-3-3) (*resp.*  $(1,2)^*$ -*R* $p$  *(10) if*  $f^{-1}(V)$  *is regular*  $(1,2)$ <sup>\*</sup>-open in *X* for  $\epsilon$ *each*  $\sigma_{1,2}$ -open (resp. regular  $(1,2)$ <sup>\*</sup>-open) set V of Y.
- 2. *perfectly*  $(1,2)^*$ -*continuous* [\[10\]](#page-3-3) *if*  $f^{-1}(V)$  *is both*  $\tau_{1,2}$ *open and*  $\tau_{1,2}$ *-closed in X for each*  $\sigma_{1,2}$ *-open set V of Y .*
- *3.*  $(1,2)^*$ - $\ddot{g}$ -continuous [\[4\]](#page-3-9) if  $f^{-1}(V)$  is  $(1,2)^*$ - $\ddot{g}$ -closed *in X for every*  $\sigma_{1,2}$ -closed set V of Y.
- *4.*  $(1,2)^*$ - $\ddot{g}$ -irresolute [\[4\]](#page-3-9) if  $f^{-1}(V)$  is  $(1,2)^*$ - $\ddot{g}$ -closed in *X* for every  $(1,2)^*$ - $\ddot{g}$ -closed set *V* of *Y*.
- *5.*  $(1,2)^*$ -*sg-irresolute* [\[13\]](#page-3-11) *if*  $f^{-1}(V)$  *is*  $(1,2)^*$ -*sg-open*  $\int$ *in X for every*  $(1,2)^*$ -*sg-open set V of Y*.
- 6.  $(1,2)^*$ -g·*-closed [\[4\]](#page-3-9) if the image of every*  $\tau_{1,2}$ -*closed set*  $in X$  is  $(1,2)^*$ - $\ddot{g}$ -closed in Y.

Definition 1.6. *A subset S of a bitopological space X is called*

- *1. weakly* (1,2) ∗ *-g-closed (briefly,* (1,2) ∗ *-wg-closed) set*  $[14]$  *if*  $\tau_{1,2}$ *-cl*( $\tau_{1,2}$ *-int*(*S*))  $\subseteq$  *U* whenever *S*  $\subseteq$  *U and U is*  $\tau_{1,2}$ *-open in X.*
- 2. *weakly*  $(1,2)^*$ - $\pi$ *g-closed* (*briefly,*  $(1,2)^*$ - $w\pi$ *g-closed*)  $set$  [\[14\]](#page-3-2) *if*  $\tau_{1,2}$ *-cl*( $\tau_{1,2}$ *-int*(*S*))  $\subseteq$  *U whenever S*  $\subseteq$  *U and U* is  $(1,2)^*$ - $\pi$ *g*-open in *X*.
- 3. *regular weakly*  $(1,2)^*$ -generalized closed (briefly,  $(1,2)^*$  $rwg\text{-}closed$ ) set [\[14\]](#page-3-2) if  $\tau_{1,2}\text{-}cl(\tau_{1,2}\text{-}int(S)) \subseteq U$  when- $\mathit{ever}\; S \subseteq U$  and U is regular  $(1,2)^*$ -open in X.

**Definition 1.7.** *[\[4\]](#page-3-9) A function*  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  *is said to be an*  $(1,2)^*$ - $\ddot{g}$ -open map if the image  $f(S)$  is  $(1,2)^*$ - $\ddot{g}$ -open in Y for each  $\tau_{1,2}$ -open set S of X.

**Remark 1.8.** [\[4\]](#page-3-9) Every  $\tau_{1,2}$ -open set is  $(1,2)^*$ -sg-open but *not conversely.*

Remark 1.9. *[\[14\]](#page-3-2) For a subset of a bitopological space, we have following implications:*

 $regular (1,2)^*$ *-open*  $\rightarrow (1,2)^*$ *-* $\pi$ *-open*  $\rightarrow \tau_{1,2}$ *-open* 

Definition 1.10. *A subset S of a bitopological space X is said to be nowhere dense if*  $\tau_{1,2}$ *-int*( $\tau_{1,2}$ *-cl*(*S*)) =  $\phi$ *.* 

**Definition 1.11.** *Let*  $f$  :  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  *be a function. Then f is said to be*

- *1. contra*- $(1,2)^*$ - $\ddot{g}$ -*continuous* [\[14\]](#page-3-2) *if*  $f^{-1}(V)$  *is*  $(1,2)^*$ - $\ddot{g}$ *closed in X for every*  $\sigma_{1,2}$ *-open set of Y.*
- 2.  $(1,2)^*$ -continuous [\[14\]](#page-3-2) if  $f^{-1}(V)$  is  $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed in X *for every*  $\sigma_{1,2}$ -closed set of Y.

<span id="page-1-3"></span>**Remark 1.12.** [\[4\]](#page-3-9) Every  $(1,2)^*$ -continuous function is  $(1,2)^*$ - $\ddot{g}$ -continuous but not conversely.

Definition 1.13. *[\[5\]](#page-3-12) A subset S of a bitopological space X is called a weakly* (1,2) ∗ *-g*¨*-closed (briefly,* (1,2) ∗ *-wg*¨*-closed)*  $\mathcal{L}$  *set if*  $\tau_{1,2}$ - $cl(\tau_{1,2}$ - $\mathcal{L}$ *int*(*S*))  $\subseteq U$  *whenever*  $S \subseteq U$  *and*  $U$  *is*  $(1,2)^*$ *sg-open in X.*

<span id="page-1-4"></span>Corollary 1.14. *[\[5\]](#page-3-12) If a subset S of a bitopological space X is both*  $τ_{1,2}$ -open and  $(1,2)$ <sup>\*</sup> - wg-closed, then it is both regular  $(1,2)^*$ -open and regular  $(1,2)^*$ -closed in X.

<span id="page-1-1"></span>**Proposition 1.15.** [\[5\]](#page-3-12) Every  $(1,2)^*$  -g-open set is  $(1,2)^*$  -wg*open but not conversely.*

# <span id="page-1-0"></span>**2. Weakly** (1,2) ∗ **-***g*¨**-Continuous maps**

**Definition 2.1.** *A map*  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  *is called* ((1,2) ∗ *-g*¨,*s*)*-continuous if the inverse image of each regular*  $(1,2)^*$ -open set of Y is  $(1,2)^*$ - $\ddot{g}$ -closed in X.

Definition 2.2. *A space X is called* (1,2) ∗ *-g*¨*-connected if X is not the union of two disjoint nonempty*  $(1,2)^*$ - $\ddot{g}$ -open sets.

Definition 2.3. *A subset of a bitopological space X is said to be*

- *1. almost* (1,2) ∗ *-connected if X cannot be written as a disjoint union of two non-empty regular* (1,2) ∗ *-open sets.*
- *2.* (1,2) ∗ *-connected if X cannot be written as a disjoint union of two non-empty*  $\tau_{1,2}$ -open sets.

Definition 2.4. *Let X and Y be two bitopological spaces. A* map  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \to (Y, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  *is called weakly*  $(1, 2)^*$ - $\ddot{g}$ -continuous (briefly  $(1,2)^*$ -w $\ddot{g}$ -continuous) if  $f^{-1}(U)$  is a  $(1,2)^*$ -wg-open set in X for each  $\sigma_{1,2}$ -open set U of Y.

**Example 2.5.** *Let*  $X = Y = \{a, b, c\}$ ,  $\tau_1 = \{\phi, X, \{a\}\}\$  *and*  $\tau_2 = {\phi, X, \{b, c\}}$ *. Then the sets in*  ${\phi, \{a\}, \{b, c\}, X}$  *is called*  $\tau_{1,2}$ *-open. Let*  $\sigma_1 = {\phi, Y, \{a\}}$  *and*  $\sigma_2 = {\phi, Y}$ *. Then the sets in*  $\{\phi, \{a\}, Y\}$  *is called*  $\sigma_{1,2}$ *-open.* 

*The mapping*  $f:(X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  *defined by*  $f(a) =$ *b,*  $f(b) = c$  *and*  $f(c) = a$  *is*  $(1,2)$ <sup>\*</sup>-*wg*<sup>\*</sup>-*continuous, because every subset of Y is*  $(1,2)^*$ -wg-closed in X.

<span id="page-1-2"></span>**Theorem 2.6.** *Every*  $(1,2)^*$ - $\ddot{g}$ -continuous function is  $(1,2)^*$  $w\ddot{g}$ -continuous.

*Proof.* It follows from Proposition [1.15.](#page-1-1)

The converse of Theorem [2.6](#page-1-2) need not be true as seen in the following example.

**Example 2.7.** *Let*  $X = Y = \{a, b, c\}$ ,  $\tau_1 = \{\phi, X, \{a\}\}\$  *and*  $\tau_2 = {\phi, X, \{b, c\}}$ *. Then the sets in*  ${\phi, X, \{a\}, \{b, c\}}$  *are called*  $\tau_{1,2}$ *-open and the sets in*  $\{\phi, X, \{a\}, \{b, c\}\}\$ *are called*  $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed. Let  $\sigma_1 = \{\phi, Y, \{a, b\}\}\$ and  $\sigma_2 = \{\phi, Y\}$ . Then *the sets in*  $\{\phi, Y, \{a, b\}\}\$  *are called*  $\sigma_{1,2}$ *-open and the sets in*  $\{\phi, Y, \{c\}\}\$ are called  $\sigma_{1,2}$ -closed. Let  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow$  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  *be the identity function. Then f is*  $(1,2)^*$ -*wgcontinuous but not* (1,2) ∗ *-g-continuous.* ¨



**Theorem 2.8.** *A map*  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \to (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  *is*  $(1, 2)^*$  $w\ddot{g}$ -continuous if and only if  $f^{-1}(U)$  is a  $(1,2)^*$ -w $\ddot{g}$ -closed *set in X for each*  $\sigma_1$ <sub>2</sub>-closed set U of Y.

*Proof.* Let *U* be any  $\sigma_1$ , -closed set of *Y*. According to the assumption  $f^{-1}(U^c) = X \setminus f^{-1}(U)$  is  $(1,2)^*$ -wg-open in *X*, so  $f^{-1}(U)$  is  $(1,2)^*$ -*wg*-closed in *X*.

The converse can be proved in a similar manner.

Theorem 2.9. *Suppose that X and Y are bitopological spaces and* (1,2) ∗ *-GC*¨ (*X*) *is closed under arbitrary intersection. If a*  $map f:(X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  *is contra*  $(1, 2)^*$ - $\ddot{g}$ -continuous and *Y* is  $(1,2)^*$ -regular, then *f* is  $(1,2)^*$ - $\ddot{g}$ -continuous.

*Proof.* Let *x* be an arbitrary point of *X* and *V* be an  $\sigma_{1,2}$ open set of *Y* containing  $f(x)$ . Since *Y* is  $(1,2)^*$ -regular, there exists an  $\sigma_{1,2}$ -open set *G* in *Y* containing  $f(x)$  such that  $\sigma_{1,2}$ - $cl(G) \subseteq V$ . Since *f* is contra  $(1,2)^*$ - $\ddot{g}$ -continuous, there exists  $U \in (1,2)^*$ - $\ddot{G}O(X)$  containing *x* such that  $f(U) \subseteq \sigma_{1,2}$  $cl(G)$ . Then  $f(U) \subseteq \sigma_{1,2}$ - $cl(G) \subseteq V$ . Hence, *f* is  $(1,2)^*$ - $\ddot{g}$ continuous.

Theorem 2.10. *Suppose that X and Y are bitopological spaces and the family of* (1,2) ∗ *-g*¨*-closed sets in X is closed under arbitrary intersection. If a map*  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  *is*  $control(1,2)*-g$ -*continuous and*  $Y$  *is*  $(1,2)*-regular$ , then  $f$  *is*  $(1,2)$ <sup>\*</sup>-wg-continuous.

*Proof.* The proof is obvious from Theorem .

Definition 2.11. *A bitopological space X is said to be locally*  $(1,2)^*$ - $\ddot{g}$ -indiscrete if every  $(1,2)^*$ - $\ddot{g}$ -open set of X is  $\tau_{1,2}$ *closed in X.*

<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Theorem 2.12.** Let  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be a func*tion.* If *f* is  $(1,2)^*$ - $\ddot{g}$ -continuous and *X* is locally  $(1,2)^*$ - $\ddot{g}$ *indiscrete, then f is* (1,2) ∗ *-continuous.*

*Proof.* Let *V* be an  $\sigma_{1,2}$ -open in *Y*. Since *f* is  $(1,2)^*$ - $\ddot{g}$ continuous,  $f^{-1}(V)$  is  $(1,2)^*$ - $\ddot{g}$ -open in *X*. Since *X* is locally  $(1,2)^*$ -gʻ-indiscrete,  $f^{-1}(V)$  is  $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed in *X*. Hence *f* is  $(1,2)$ \*-continuous.

<span id="page-2-1"></span>**Theorem 2.13.** *Let*  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  *be a function. If f is contra*  $(1,2)$ <sup>\*</sup>- $\ddot{g}$ -*continuous and X is locally*  $(1,2)$ <sup>\*</sup>- $\ddot{g}$ *indiscrete, then f is*  $(1,2)$ <sup>\*</sup>-wg-continuous.

*Proof.* Let  $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \to (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be contra  $(1, 2)^*$ - $\ddot{g}$ continuous and *X* is locally  $\ddot{g}$ -indiscrete. By Theorem [2.12,](#page-2-0) *f* is  $(1,2)^*$ -continuous, then *f* is  $(1,2)^*$ -*w* $\ddot{g}$ -continuous by Remark [1.12](#page-1-3) and Theorem [2.6.](#page-1-2)

**Corollary 2.14.** Let *Y* be a  $(1,2)^*$ -regular space and  $f$ :  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  *be a function. Suppose that the collection of* (1,2) ∗ *-g*¨*-closed sets in X is closed under arbitrary intersections. Then if*  $f$  *is*  $((1,2)^* - \ddot{g}, s)$ -continuous,  $f$  *is*  $(1,2)^*$  $w\ddot{g}$ -continuous.

*Proof.* Let  $f$  be  $((1,2)^*-\ddot{g}, s)$ -continuous. By Theorem [2.13,](#page-2-1) *f* is  $(1,2)^*$ - $\ddot{g}$ -continuous. Thus, *f* is  $(1,2)^*$ -*wg*-continuous by Theorem [2.6.](#page-1-2)

**Proposition 2.15.** *If*  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  *is perfectly*  $(1,2)^*$ -continuous and  $(1,2)^*$ -wg-continuous, then it is  $(1,2)^*$ -*R-map.*

*Proof.* Let *V* be any regular  $(1,2)$ <sup>\*</sup>-open subset of *Y*. According to the assumption,  $f^{-1}(V)$  is both  $\tau_{1,2}$ -open and  $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed in *X*. Since  $f^{-1}(V)$  is  $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed, it is  $(1,2)^*$ *wg*-closed. We have  $f^{-1}(V)$  is both  $\tau_{1,2}$ -open and  $(1,2)^*$ -*wg*-closed. Hence, by Corollary [1.14,](#page-1-4) it is regular  $(1,2)^*$ -open in *X*, so *f* is  $(1,2)^*$ -*R*-map.

**Definition 2.16.** A bitopological space *X* is called  $(1,2)^*$ *g*¨*-compact ( resp.* (1,2) ∗ *-compact) if every cover of X by*  $(1,2)^*$ - $\ddot{g}$ -open (resp.  $\tau_{1,2}$ -open) sets has finite subcover.

**Definition 2.17.** A bitopological space *X* is weakly  $(1,2)^*$ - $\ddot{g}$ -compact (briefly,  $(1,2)^*$ -w $\ddot{g}$ -compact) if every  $(1,2)^*$ -w $\ddot{g}$ *open cover of X has a finite subcover.*

**Remark 2.18.** *Every*  $(1,2)^*$ -wğ-compact space is  $(1,2)^*$ -g*compact.*

**Theorem 2.19.** *Let*  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  *be surjective*  $(1,2)^*$ -wg-continuous map. If X is  $(1,2)^*$ -wg-compact, then *Y is* (1,2) ∗ *-compact.*

*Proof.* Let  $\{A_i : i \in I\}$  be an  $\sigma_{1,2}$ -open cover of *Y*. Then  ${f^{-1}(A_i) : i \in I}$  is a  $(1,2)^*$ -*wg*-open cover in *X*. Since *X* is  $(1,2)^*$ -*wg*-compact, it has a finite subcover, say  $\{f^{-1}(A_1),\}$  $f^{-1}(A_2), ..., f^{-1}(A_n)$ . Since *f* is surjective { $A_1, A_2, ..., A_n$ } is a finite subcover of *Y* and hence *Y* is  $(1,2)^*$ -compact.

**Definition 2.20.** A bitopological space *X* is weakly  $(1,2)^*$ *g*¨*-connected (briefly,* (1,2) ∗ *-wg*¨*-connected) if X cannot be written as the disjoint union of two non-empty*  $(1,2)^*$ -w $\ddot{g}$ *open sets.*

**Theorem 2.21.** If a bitopological space  $X$  is  $(1,2)^*$ -wg-connected, *then*  $X$  *is almost*  $(1,2)^*$ -*connected* (*resp.*  $(1,2)^*$ - $\ddot{g}$ -*connected*).

*Proof.* It follows from the fact that each regular  $(1,2)$ <sup>\*</sup>-open set (resp.  $(1,2)^*$ - $\ddot{g}$ -open set) is  $(1,2)^*$ - $w\ddot{g}$ -open.

Theorem 2.22. *For a bitopological space X, the following statements are equivalent:*

- 1.  $X$  is  $(1,2)^*$ -wg-connected.
- *2. The empty set* φ *and X are only subsets which are both*  $(1,2)^*$ -wg-open and  $(1,2)^*$ -wg-closed.
- *3. Each* (1,2) ∗ *-wg*¨*-continuous function from X into a discrete bitopological space Y which has at least two points is a constant function.*



<span id="page-3-10"></span>*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (*ii*). Let *S*  $\subseteq$  *X* be any proper subset, which is both  $(1,2)^*$ -*wg*-open and  $(1,2)^*$ -*wg*-closed. Its complement  $X \setminus S$  is also  $(1,2)^*$ -*wg*̃-open and  $(1,2)^*$ -*wg*̃-closed. Then  $X =$  $S \cup (X \backslash S)$  is a disjoint union of two non-empty  $(1,2)^*$ -*w*g`open sets which is a contradiction with the fact that *X* is  $(1,2)^*$ -*wg*-connected. Hence,  $S = \phi$  or *X*.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$ . Let  $X = A \cup B$  where  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ ,  $A \neq \emptyset$ ,  $B \neq \emptyset$ and *A*, *B* are  $(1,2)^*$ -*wg*-open. Since  $A = X \setminus B$ , *A* is  $(1,2)^*$ *wg*-closed. According to the assumption  $A = \phi$ , which is a contradiction.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$ . Let  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be a  $(1, 2)^*$ -*w*gcontinuous function where *Y* is a discrete bitopological space with at least two points. Then  $f^{-1}(y)$  is  $(1,2)^*$ - $w\ddot{g}$ -closed and  $(1,2)$ <sup>\*</sup>-*wg*<sup>-</sup>-open for each *y* ∈ *Y* and *X* = ∪{ $f^{-1}(y)|y \in Y$ }. According to the assumption,  $f^{-1}(y) = \phi$  or  $f^{-1}(\{y\}) = X$ . If  $f^{-1}(\{y\}) = \phi$  for all  $y \in Y$ , *f* will not be a function. Also there is no exist more than one *y*  $\in$  *Y* such that  $f^{-1}(\{y\}) = X$ . Hence, there exists only one  $y \in Y$  such that  $f^{-1}(\{y\}) = X$ and  $f^{-1}(\{y1\}) = \phi$  where  $y \neq y_1 \in Y$ . This shows that *f* is a constant function.

 $(3) \Rightarrow (2)$ . Let  $S \neq \phi$  be both  $(1,2)^*$ -*w*g-open and  $(1,2)^*$  $w\ddot{g}$ -closed in *X*. Let  $f: X \to Y$  be a  $(1,2)^*$ - $w\ddot{g}$ -continuous function defined by  $f(S) = \{a\}$  and  $f(X \setminus S) = \{b\}$  where  $a \neq b$ . Since *f* is constant function we get  $S = X$ .

**Theorem 2.23.** *Let*  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \to (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  *be a*  $(1, 2)^*$ *wg*¨*-continuous surjective function. If X is*(1,2) ∗ *-wg*¨*-connected, then*  $Y$  *is*  $(1,2)$ <sup>\*</sup>-connected.

*Proof.* We suppose that *Y* is not  $(1,2)$ <sup>\*</sup>-connected. Then  $Y = A \cup B$  where  $A \cap B = \phi$ ,  $A \neq \phi$ ,  $B \neq \phi$  and  $A$ ,  $B$  are  $\sigma_{1,2}$ open sets in *Y*. Since  $f$  is  $(1,2)^*$ -*wg*-continuous surjective function,  $X = f^{-1}(A) \cup f^{-1}(B)$  are disjoint union of two nonempty  $(1,2)^*$ -*wg*-open subsets. This is contradiction with the fact that *X* is  $(1,2)^*$ -*w*g-connected.

#### **3. Conclusion**

<span id="page-3-0"></span>The notions of sets and functions in bitopological spaces and fuzzy topological spaces are extensively developed and used in many engineering problems, information systems, particle physics, computational topology and mathematical sciences. By researching generalizations of closed sets, some new separation axioms have been founded and they turn out to be useful in the study of digital topology. Therefore, all bitopological sets and functions defined will have many possibilities of applications in digital topology and computer graphics.

#### **References**

- <span id="page-3-1"></span>[1] P. Bhattacharya, and B. K. Lahiri, *Semi-generalized closed sets in topology*, Indian J. Math., 29(3)(1987), 375-382.
- [2] E. Ekici, *On a weaker form of RC-continuity*, Analele Univ Vest Din Timisoara, Seria Mathematica-Informatica, XLII (fasc.1)(2004), 79-91.
- <span id="page-3-8"></span>[3] M. Kamaraj, K. Kumaresan, O. Ravi and A. Pandi, (1,2) ∗ *-g*¨*-closed sets in bitopological spaces*, International Journal of Advances in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 1(3)(2011), 98-111.
- <span id="page-3-9"></span>[4] A. Pandi, *Ph. D Thesis*, Madurai Kamaraj University, (2017).
- <span id="page-3-12"></span>[5] R. Karthik and N. Rajasekar, *Weakly* (1,2) ∗ *-g*¨*-open and weakly* (1,2)<sup>\*</sup>-*ğ*-*closed functions*, Bulletin of the international mathematical virtual institute, 8(3)(2018), 487-496.
- [6] N. Levine, *Generalized closed sets in topology*, Rend. Circ. Math. Palermo, 19(2)(1970), 89-96.
- [7] O. Ravi, and S. Ganesan, *g*¨*-closed sets in topology*, International Journal of Computer Science and Emerging Technologies, 2(3)(2011), 330-337.
- <span id="page-3-4"></span>[8] O. Ravi and M. L. Thivagar, *On stronger forms of*  $(1,2)^*$ *quotient mappings in bitopological spaces*, Int. J. Math. Game Theory and Algebra, 14(6)(2004), 481-492.
- <span id="page-3-6"></span>[9] O. Ravi and M. L. Thivagar, *A bitopological* (1,2) ∗ *-semigeneralized continuous maps*, Bull. Malaysian Math. Sci. Soc.,  $(2)(29)(1)$  (2006), 76-88.
- <span id="page-3-3"></span>[10] O. Ravi, M. L. Thivagar and E. Ekici, *Decomposition of* (1,2) ∗ *-continuity and complete* (1,2) ∗ *-continuity in bitopological spaces*, Analele Universitatii Din Oradea. Fasc. Matematica Tom XV (2008), 29-37.
- <span id="page-3-5"></span>[11] O. Ravi, M. L. Thivagar and Jinjinli, *Remarks on extensions of* (1,2) ∗ *-g-closed mappings in bitopology*, Archimedes J. Math, 1(2)(2011), 177-187.
- <span id="page-3-7"></span>[12] O. Ravi, S. Pious Missier and T. Salai Parkunan, *On bitopological* (1,2) ∗ *-generalized homeomorphisms*, Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sciences, 5(11)(2010), 543-557.
- <span id="page-3-11"></span>[13] O. Ravi, A. Pandi, S. Pious Missier and T. Salai Parkunan, *Remarks on bitopological* (1,2) ∗ *-r*ω*-Homeomorphisms*, International Journal of Mathematical Archive, 2(4)(2011), 465-475.
- <span id="page-3-2"></span>[14] O. Ravi, M. Lellis Thivagar, K. Kayathri and M. Joseph Isreal, *Mildly* (1,2) ∗ *-Normal spaces and some bitopological functions*, Mathematica Bohemica, 135(1)(2010),1- 13.

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* ISSN(P):2319−3786 [Malaya Journal of Matematik](http://www.malayajournal.org) ISSN(O):2321−5666 \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*