

**https://doi.org/10.26637/MJM0703/0036**

# **The zero-divisor Cayley graph of the residue class** ring  $(Z_n, \oplus, \odot)$

Jangiti Devendra<sup>1</sup>, Levaku Madhavi<sup>2\*</sup> and Tippaluri Nagalakshumma

#### **Abstract**

In this paper the notion of the zero-divisor Cayley graph  $G(Z_n,D_0)$ , where  $(Z_n,\oplus,\odot)$  is the ring of residue classes modulo  $n, n \ge 1$ , an integer and  $D_0$  is the set of nonzero zero-divisors, is introduced and it is shown that  $G(Z_n, D_0)$ can be decomposed into components, if *n* is a power of a single prime and it is connected, if *n* is a product of more than one prime power.

#### **Keywords**

Zero-Divisors, Symmetric set, Cayley Graph, Zero-divisor Cayley Graph

**AMS Subject Classification** 05C07,05C25,05C30,05C38, 05C40,20F65.

1,2\*,3*Department of Applied Mathematics, Yogi Vemana University, Kadapa-516003, Andhra Pradesh, India.* \***Corresponding author**: 2 \* lmadhaviyvu@gmail.com; **Article History**: Received **11** March **2019**; Accepted **27** July **2019** c 2019 MJM.

#### **Contents**

## **1 [Introduction](#page-0-0) . 590**

- **2 [Zero-divisor Cayley graph and its properties](#page-0-1). . . . . 590**
- **3 [The disconnected property of the zero-divisor Cayley](#page-1-0) graph**  $G(Z_n, D_0)$ , where *n* **[is a power of a single](#page-1-0) [prime](#page-1-0) . 592**
- **4 [The connected property of the zero-divisor Cayley](#page-2-0) graph**  $G(Z_n, D_0)$ , where *n* **[is not a power of a single](#page-2-0) [prime](#page-2-0) . 593 [References](#page-4-0) . 594**

## **1. Introduction**

<span id="page-0-0"></span>The Cayley graph  $G(X, S)$  associated with the group  $(X, .)$ and its symmetric subset *S* (a subset *S* of the group  $(X, .)$  is called a symmetric subset, if  $s^{-1} \in S$  for every  $s \in S$ ) is introduced to study whether given a group  $(X, .)$ , there is a graph  $\Gamma$ , whose automorphism group is isomorphic to the group  $(X, .)$ [\[14\]](#page-4-1), and Frucht established this in [\[12\]](#page-4-2). For details see [\[18\]](#page-4-3). Later independent studies on Cayley graphs have been carried out by many researches [\[9,](#page-4-4) [10\]](#page-4-5). Madhavi [\[15\]](#page-4-6) introduced Cayley graphs associated with the arithmetical functions, namely, the Euler totient function  $\varphi(n)$ , the quadratic residues modulo a prime *p* and the divisor function  $d(n)$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , an integer and obtained various properties of these graphs. Later Madhavi et al. [\[16,](#page-4-7) [17\]](#page-4-8) studied various aspects of these graphs.

The Cayley graph  $G(X, S)$  associated with the group  $(X, .)$ and its symmetric subset *S* is the graph, whose vertex set is X and the edge set *E* = {(*x*, *y*) : *either*  $xy^{-1}$  ∈ *S*, *or*,  $yx^{-1}$  ∈ *S*}. If  $e \notin S$ , where *e* is the identity element of *X*, then  $G(X, S)$  is an undirected simple graph. Further  $G(X, S)$  is  $|S|$  - regular

and contains  $\frac{|X||S|}{2}$  edges [\[15\]](#page-4-6).

2 Beck [\[8\]](#page-4-9), Akbari and Mohammadian [\[1,](#page-4-10) [2\]](#page-4-11), Anderson and Naseer [\[6\]](#page-4-12), Anderson and Livingston [\[5\]](#page-4-13), Livingston [\[19\]](#page-4-14) Smith [\[20\]](#page-4-15), Tongsuo [\[21\]](#page-4-16), and others studied the zerodivisor graphs of commutative rings. Given a commutative ring *R* with identity, they defined the zero-divisor graph  $\Gamma(R)$ as the graph, whose vertex set is the set  $Z(R)^*$ , the set of nonzero zero-divisors of *R* and the edge set is the set of all ordered pairs  $(x, y)$  of elements  $x, y \in Z(R)^*$ , such that  $xy = 0$ and studied the connectedness, the diameter, the girth , the automorphisms of  $\Gamma(R)$  and other prperties under conditions on the ring *R*. Our attempt is to associate a Cayley graph with the set of nonzero zero-divisors of a ring  $(R, +, .)$  and study these graphs, with particular reference to the ring  $(Z_n, \oplus, \odot)$ of residue classes modulo  $n \geq 1$ , an integer. The terminology and notions that are used in this paper can be found in [\[11\]](#page-4-17) for graph theory, [\[13\]](#page-4-18) for algebra and [\[7\]](#page-4-19) for number theory.

# <span id="page-0-1"></span>**2. Zero-divisor Cayley graph and its properties**

In this paper we study the Cayley graph associated with the set of zero-divisors in the ring  $(Z_n, \oplus, \odot)$  of residue clasess modulo a positive integer *n*. We start with some properties of the zero-divisors of a ring that are needed in our study.

Definition 2.1. *Let* (*R*,+,.) *be a commutative ring. An element*  $x \in R$ ,  $x \neq 0$ , *is called a zero-divisor in*  $(R, +, :)$ , *if there*  $e$ *xists*  $y \in R$ ,  $y \neq 0$ , *such that*  $xy = 0$ . *The set of all zero-divisors of the ring*  $(R, +, .)$  *is denoted by D*<sub>0</sub>.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let*  $(R, +, .)$  *be a commutative ring. The set*  $D_0$ *of the zero-divisors in* (*R*,+,.) *is a symmetric subset of the group*  $(R, +)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x \in R$  be a zero-divisor in the ring  $(R, +, .)$ . Then  $x \neq 0$  and there exists  $y \in R$ ,  $y \neq 0$ , such that  $xy = 0 = yx$ . Consider the inverse  $-x$  of *x* in the group  $(R,+)$ . Then  $-x \neq 0$ and from  $xy = 0$ , one gets  $(-x)y = -(xy) = 0$ . So  $-x$  is also a zero-divisor  $(R, +, .)$ . Hence  $D_0$  is a symmetric subset of the group  $(R,+)$ . П

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let*  $n \geq 1$ , *be an integer. A positive integer r is not relatively prime to n if, and only if, r is a zero-divisor in the ring*  $(Z_n, \oplus, \odot)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $n \geq 1$ , be an integer and let  $r > 1$ , be a positive integer less than are equal to *n* such that  $(n, r) \neq 1$ . Then there exists an integer  $s > 1$ , such that  $(r, n) = s$ , so that  $s/r$  and  $s/n$ . So,  $n = st$  and  $r = sl$ , for some integers  $l > 1$ ,  $t > 1$ . That is,  $r = sl = (n/t)l$ , or,  $rt = nl$ . This shows that  $\overline{rt} = nl$ , or,  $\overline{r} \odot \overline{t} = \overline{n} \odot \overline{l} = \overline{0} \odot \overline{l} = \overline{0}$ . That is, there is  $\overline{t} \in Z_n$ ,  $\overline{t} \neq 0$  such that  $\bar{r} \odot \bar{t} = 0$ , so that  $\bar{r}$  is a zero-divisor in the ring  $(Z_n, \oplus, \odot)$ .

Conversely, let  $\bar{r}$  be a zero-divisor in the ring  $(Z_n, \oplus, \odot)$ . Then there exists  $\bar{s} \neq \bar{0}$  such that  $\bar{r} \odot \bar{s} = \bar{0} = \bar{s} \odot \bar{r}$ .

Suppose  $(r, n) = 1$ . Then there exists integers *x* and *y* such that  $rx + ny = 1$ . This gives  $srx + sny = s$ , or,  $\overline{srx + sny} = \overline{s}$ , or,  $(\bar{s} \odot \bar{r}) \odot \bar{x} \oplus (\bar{s} \odot \bar{n}) \odot \bar{y} = \bar{s}$ . Since  $\bar{s} \odot \bar{r} = 0$  and  $\bar{n} = 0$ , we get  $\bar{s} \neq 0$  and this leads to a contradiction to fact that  $\bar{s} \neq 0$ . So  $(r, n) \neq 1$ .  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 2.4.** *For*  $n \geq 1$ *, an integer, the number of zerodivisors of the ring*  $(Z_n, \oplus, \odot)$  *is n* −  $\varphi$ (*n*) − 1.

*Proof.* By the Lemma 2.3, for any positive integer  $n \geq 1$ , the integer  $r, 1 < r < n$ , is not a relatively prime to  $n$  if, and only if, *r* is a zero-divisor in the ring  $(Z_n, \oplus, \odot)$ .

For any positive integer  $n \geq 1$ , there are  $\varphi(n)$  number of integers less than *n* and relatively prime to *n*. So, the number of numbers which are less than *n* and not relatively prime to *n* is equal to  $n - \varphi(n)$ . Also by the definition of the zerodivisor, 0 is not a zero-divisor in the ring  $(Z_n, \oplus, \odot)$ , so that the number of zero-divisors in the ring  $(Z_n, \oplus, \odot)$  is  $n - \varphi(n) - 1$ . □

By the Lemma 2.2, the set  $D_0$  of zero-divisors of the ring  $(Z_n, ⊕, ⊙)$  is a symmetric subset of the group  $(Z_n, ⊕)$ . So one can think of Cayley graph associated with the group  $(Z_n, \oplus)$ and its symmetric subset  $D_0$  and this is defined as follows.

**Definition 2.5.** *Consider the group*  $(Z_n, \oplus)$  *and its symmetric subset*  $D_0$  *of zero-divisors in the ring*  $(Z_n, \oplus, \odot)$ *. The graph G whose vertex set*  $V = Z_n = {\overline{0}, \overline{1}, \overline{2}, ..., \overline{n-1}}$  *and whose edge set E* = {(*x*, *y*): *either x*−*y* ∈ *D*0,*or*, *y*−*x* ∈ *D*0} *is defined as the zero-divisor Cayley graph and it is denoted by*  $G(Z_n, D_0)$ *.* 

**Lemma 2.6.** *The graph*  $G(Z_n, D_0)$  *is*  $(n - \varphi(n) - 1)$ –*regular. Moreover the number of edges in*  $G(Z_n, D_0)$  *is given by*  $\frac{n}{2}(n \varphi(n)-1$ ).

*Proof.* By the Theorem 1.4.5, [\[15\]](#page-4-6). The graph  $G(Z_n, D_0)$  is  $(n - \varphi(n) - 1)$  – regular and the total number of edges in *G*(*Z<sub>n</sub>*,*D*<sub>0</sub>) is  $\frac{|Z_n|(n-\phi(n)-1)}{2}$ . That is, *G*(*Z<sub>n</sub>*,*D*<sub>0</sub>) is (*n*− $\phi(n)$ − 1) – regular and its size is  $\frac{n(n-\varphi(n)-1)}{2}$ .

**Example 2.7.** In the ring  $(Z_7, \oplus, \odot)$ , the set  $D_0$  of zero-divisors *is the empty set and the graph contains only vertices . The graph of*  $G(Z_7, D_0)$  *is given in Figure 1.* 



**Example 2.8.** *In the ring*  $(Z_8, \oplus, \odot)$ , *the set*  $D_0$  *of zero-divisors is the*  $\{\overline{2}, \overline{4}, \overline{6}\}$ *. Since*  $\overline{7} - \overline{3} = \overline{4} \in D_0$ *, there is an edge between*  $\overline{3}$  and  $\overline{7}$ . Also,  $\overline{5} - \overline{1} = \overline{4} \in D_0$  and there is an edge between  $\overline{1}$ *and* 5*. Similarly other edges can be found and the graph of G*(*Z*8,*D*0) *is given in Figure 2.*



**Example 2.9.** In the ring  $(Z_{10}, \oplus, \odot)$ , the set  $D_0$  of zero*divisors is the*  $\{\overline{2}, \overline{4}, \overline{5}, \overline{6}, \overline{8}\}$  *and the graph of*  $G(Z_{10}, D_0)$  *is given in Figure 3.*



**Lemma 2.10.** For a prime p, the graph  $G(Z_p, D_0)$  contains *only isolated vertices.*

<span id="page-1-0"></span>*Proof.* Let *p* be a prime. Then for every  $r, 1 \le r \le p - 1$ ,  $(r, p) = 1$  and the ring  $(Z_p, \oplus, \odot)$  has no zero-divisors, so that the edge set is empty and the graph has only isolated vertices. П

# **3. The disconnected property of the zero-divisor Cayley graph**  $G(Z_n,D_0)$ , **where** *n* **is a power of a single prime**

When *n* is a power of a single prime say,  $n = p^r$ , *p* be a prime and  $r > 1$ , the zero-divisor Cayley graph  $G(Z_{p^r}, D_0)$  has an interesting property, that, it is decomposed into disjoint union of *p* components.

Remark 3.1. *In the study of disconnected property of*  $G(Z_{p^r}, D_0)$ , where p is a prime and  $r > 1$ , is an integer, the *following decomposition of the vertex set*  $Z_{p^r}$  *of*  $G(Z_{p^r}, D_0)$ *, as C*0,*C*1,*C*2,...,*Cp*−<sup>1</sup> *play a key role.*

$$
C_0 = \{ \overline{0}, \overline{p}, ..., \overline{ip}, ..., \overline{jp}, ..., (p^{r-1}-1)\overline{p} \},
$$
  
\n
$$
C_1 = \{ \overline{1}, \overline{p} + \overline{1}, ..., \overline{ip} + \overline{1}, ..., \overline{jp+1}, ..., (p^{r-1}-1)\overline{p} + \overline{1} \},
$$
  
\n
$$
C_2 = \{ \overline{2}, \overline{p} + \overline{2}, ..., \overline{ip} + \overline{2}, ..., \overline{jp+2}, ..., (p^{r-1}-1)\overline{p} + \overline{2} \},
$$

**Lemma 3.4.** *For*  $0 \le k \le p-1$ , *each*  $C_k$  *is a complete subgraph of*  $G(Z_{p^r}, D_0)$ .

*Proof.* For this one has to show that there is an edge between every pair of distinct vertices in  $C_k$ . To see this, let  $i\overline{p}+\overline{k}$ ,  $j\overline{p}+\overline{k} \in C_k$  for  $0 \leq i < j \leq p^{r-1}-1$ . Then,

$$
(j\overline{p} + \overline{k}) - (i\overline{p} + \overline{k}) = (j - i)\overline{p}.
$$

Since  $(j - i)\overline{p}p^{r-1} = \overline{0}$ , this shows  $(j - i)\overline{p}$  is a zero divisor of  $(Z_{p^r}, \oplus, \odot)$  and  $(j\overline{p} + k) - (i\overline{p} + k) \in D_0$ , so that there is an edge between any pair of distinct vertices in  $C_k$ , proving that  $C_k$  is a complete subgraph of  $G(Z_{p^r}, D_0)$ . П

**Lemma 3.5.** For 
$$
0 \leq k < l \leq p-1
$$
,  $C_k \cap C_l = \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* For  $0 \le k < l \le p-1$ , we have

$$
C_k = \{\overline{k}, \overline{p} + \overline{k}, 2\overline{p} + \overline{k}, \dots, i\overline{p} + \overline{k}, \dots, j\overline{p} + \overline{k}, \dots, (p^{r-1} - 1)\overline{p} + \overline{k}\},\
$$
  
and

$$
C_{p-1} = \{\overline{p-1},...,\overline{ip}+\overline{p-1},...,\overline{jp}+\overline{2},...,(p^{r-1}-1)\overline{p}+\overline{p-1}\}. C_l = \{\overline{l}, \overline{p}+\overline{l}, 2\overline{p}+\overline{l},...,\overline{ip}+\overline{l},...,\overline{jp}+\overline{l},...,(p^{r-1}-1)\overline{p}+\overline{l}\}.
$$

**Lemma 3.2.** For a prime p and an integer  $r > 1$ , the set *D*<sup>0</sup> *of zero-divisors in the ring* (*Z<sup>p</sup> <sup>r</sup>*,⊕,) *is given by*  $D_0 = {\{\overline{p}, 2\overline{p}, ..., i\overline{p}, ..., j\overline{p}, ..., (p^{r-1}-1)\overline{p}\}}$  and the number of *zero-divisors of the ring*  $(Z_{p^r}, \oplus, \odot)$  *is*  $p^{r-1} - 1$ *.* 

*. . .*

*Proof.* For each integer *i*,  $0 \le i \le p^{r-1} - 1$ ,  $\overline{ip}$  is a zerodivisor of the ring  $(Z_{p^r}, \oplus, \odot)$ , since  $(\overline{ip})(p^{r-1}) = \overline{ip^r} = \overline{0}$ . So, every element in the set

$$
D_0 = {\overline{p}, 2\overline{p}, ..., i\overline{p}, ..., j\overline{p}, ..., (p^{r-1}-1)\overline{p}},
$$

is a zero-divisors of ring  $(Z_{p^r}, \oplus, \odot)$  and it contains  $p^{r-1} - 1$ elements. By the Theorem 2.4, the number of elements in the set *D*<sup>0</sup> of zero-divisor of  $(Z_{p^r}, \oplus, \odot)$  is equal to  $p^r - \varphi(p^r) - 1$ , or,  $p^r - (p^r - p^{r-1}) - 1 = p^{r-1} - 1$ , since  $\varphi(p^r) = p^r - p^{r-1}$ . This shows that the set

$$
D_0 = \{ \overline{p}, 2\overline{p}, \ldots, \overline{p}, \ldots, \overline{p}, \ldots, (p^{r-1} - 1)\overline{p} \}
$$

is the set of zero-divisors of  $(Z_{p^r}, \oplus, \odot)$ , and the number of zero-divisors of  $(Z_{p^r}, \oplus, \odot)$ , is  $p^{r-1} - 1$ .

 $\Box$ 

**Lemma 3.3.** *For*  $0 \le k \le p-1$ , *, each*  $C_k$  *contains*  $p^{r-1}$ *distinct vertices of*  $G(\mathsf{Z}_{p^r},D_0)$ *.* 

*Proof.* For  $0 \le k \le p-1$ , consider the subset  $C_k$  of vertices of  $G(Z_{p^r}, D_0)$  is given by

$$
C_k = \{\overline{k}, \overline{p} + \overline{k}, 2\overline{p} + \overline{k}, \dots, i\overline{p} + \overline{k}, \dots, j\overline{p} + \overline{k}, \dots, (p^{r-1} - 1)\overline{p} + \overline{k}\}.
$$

If possible, let  $\bar{k} + i\bar{p} = \bar{k} + j\bar{p}$ . For  $i \neq j, 0 \leq i < j \leq p^{r-1} - 1$ . Then  $(j-i)\overline{p} = \overline{0}$ . Since,  $i \neq j, 0 \leq i < j \leq p^{r-1} - 1$ , we have 0 ≤ *j*−*i* ≤ *p <sup>r</sup>*−<sup>1</sup> −1. But *o*(*p*) in (*Z<sup>p</sup> <sup>r</sup>*,⊕) is *p r*−1 . So, for any positive integer  $t \leq p^{r-1}, t\overline{p} \neq \overline{0}$  and thus,  $(j - i)\overline{p} = \overline{0}$  with  $j - i < p^{r-1}$  leads to a contradiction. Hence our assumption that  $\overline{k} + i\overline{p} = \overline{k} + j\overline{p}$ , for  $i \neq j, 0 \leq i < j \leq p^{r-1} - 1$ , is wrong and  $C_k$  contains  $p^{r-1}$  distinct elements.  $\Box$ 

If possible, assume that  $C_k \cap C_l \neq \emptyset$ . Then, there exists *u* ∈ *C*<sup>*k*</sup> ∩ *C*<sup>*l*</sup>. Now *u* ∈ *C*<sup>*k*</sup> implies that *u* = *k* + *i* $\bar{p}$  for some  $i, 0 \le i \le p^{r-1} - 1$ . Similarly,  $u \in C_l$ , implies that  $u = \overline{l} + j\overline{p}$ for some  $j, 0 \le j \le p^{r-1}-1$ . For definiteness we may assume that *i* < *j*. Then we have,  $\bar{k} + i\bar{p} = u = \bar{k} + i\bar{p}$ , or,  $\bar{l} - \bar{k} + (j - j)$  $(i)$  $\overline{p} = \overline{0}$ . From this one gets  $(\overline{l} - \overline{k})p^{r-1} + (j - i)\overline{p^r} = \overline{0}$ , or,  $(\bar{l} - \bar{k})p^{r-1} = \bar{0}$ , since  $\bar{p}^r = \bar{0}$ . That is,  $(l - k)p^{r-1} = \bar{0}$ , since  $\overline{t} = t\overline{1}$ , for any  $t, 1 < t < p^{r-1}$ . Now  $0 \le k < l \le p-1$ , so that 0 ≤ *l* − *k* ≤ *p* − 1 < *p*. That is,  $(l-k)p^{r-1} = \overline{0}$  with *l* − *k* < *p*. Since,  $o(p^{r-1}) = p$ , in  $(Z_{p^r}, \oplus)$ , this leads to a contradiction. So, our assumption that  $\hat{C}_k \cap C_l \neq \emptyset$  is wrong and hence  $C_k$ and  $C_l$  are disjoint.

**Lemma 3.6.** *For*  $0 \le k < l \le p-1$ , *there is no edge between any vertex of*  $C_k$  *and any vertex of*  $C_l$ *.* 

*Proof.* For  $0 \le k < l \le p-1$ , let  $i\overline{p} + \overline{k} \in C_k$  and  $j\overline{p} + \overline{l} \in C_l$ . Then  $(j\overline{p}+\overline{l})-(i\overline{p}+\overline{k})=(j-i)\overline{p}+(l-\overline{k})$ . Since  $0 \le k \le$ *p*−1, and  $0 \le l \le p-1$ , we have  $l-k \le p-1 < p$ , it follows that *l*−*k* is not a multiple of *p*. Hence (*j*−*i*)*p*+(*l*−*k*) is not a multiple of *p* so that it is not a be a zero-divisor of  $(Z_{p^r}, \oplus, \odot)$ . This shows that there is no edge between  $i\bar{p} + k \in C_k$  and  $j\overline{p}+l\in C_l$ . П

**Theorem 3.7.** *For a prime p and an integer*  $r > 1$ *, the graph*  $G(Z_{p^r}, D_0)$  *contains p disjoint components of*  $G(Z_{p^r}, D_0)$ , *each of which is a complete subgraph of*  $G(Z_{p^r}, D_0)$ *.* 

*Proof.* Let  $n = p^r$ ,  $r > 1$ , be an integer. Consider the decomposition of the vertex set of  $G(\mathbb{Z}_{p^r}, D_0)$  as given in Remark 3.1. By the Lemma 3.4, there is no edge between any vertex of *C<sup>k</sup>* and any vertex of  $C_l$ , for some  $k, l, 0 \le k < l \le p-1$ . Hence, the graph  $G(Z_{p^r}, D_0)$  contains *p* number of components, and each of which is a complete subgraph of  $G(Z_{p^r}, D_0)$ . □

Example 3.8. *The graph G*(*Z*9,*D*0) *and its disjoint components are given in Figure 4 and and Figure 5 respectively.*

<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Example 3.9.** *The graph*  $G(Z_{16}, D_0)$  *and its disjoint components are given in Figure 6 and Figure 7 respectively.*





Figure 5: The disjoint components of  $G(Z_9, D_0)$ 

## **4. The connected property of the zero-divisor Cayley graph** *G*(*Zn*,*D*0), **where** *n* **is not a power of a single prime**

In this section, it is shown that the graph  $G(Z_n, D_0)$ , where *n* is not a power of a single prime, is a connected graph. For this, a decomposition of vertex set *V* of  $G(Z_n, D_0)$ , similar to that given in Remark 3.1, is considered. Let  $n = \prod_{i=1}^{r} p_i^{\alpha_i}$ , where  $p_1 < p_2 < ... < p_r$  are primes,  $\alpha_i \geq 1, 1 < i \leq r$  are integers.

Remark 4.1. *Consider the following subsets of vertices V*<sub>0</sub>,*V*<sub>1</sub>,*V*<sub>2</sub>,...,*V*<sub>*p*<sub>1</sub>−1</sub> *of the vertex set V of G*( $Z_n$ , $D_0$ ).

$$
V_0 = \{ \overline{0}, \overline{p_1}, 2\overline{p_1}, ..., i\overline{p_1}, ..., (\frac{n-p_1}{p_1})\overline{p_1} \},
$$
  
\n
$$
V_1 = \{ \overline{p_2}, \overline{p_1} + \overline{p_2}, 2\overline{p_1} + \overline{p_2}, ..., i\overline{p_1} + \overline{p_2}, ..., (\frac{n-p_1}{p_1})\overline{p_1} + \overline{p_2} \},
$$
  
\n
$$
V_2 = \{ 2\overline{p_2}, 2\overline{p_1} + 2\overline{p_2}, ..., i\overline{p_1} + 2\overline{p_2}, ..., (\frac{n-p_1}{p_1})\overline{p_1} + 2\overline{p_2} \},
$$

$$
V_{p_1-1} = \{ (p_1-1)\overline{p_2}, ..., i\overline{p_1} + (p_1-1)\overline{p_2}, ..., (\frac{n-p_1}{p_1})\overline{p_1} + (p_1-1)\overline{p_2} \}.
$$

*. . .*

**Lemma 4.2.** *For*  $0 \le k \le p_1 - 1$ *, each*  $V_k$  *contains distinct vertices and the number of vertices in each*  $V_k$  *is*  $\frac{n}{p_1}$ *.* 

*Proof.* For 
$$
0 \le k \le p_1 - 1
$$
, let

$$
V_k = \{k\overline{p_2}, \overline{p_1} + k\overline{p_2}, ..., i\overline{p_1} + k\overline{p_2}, ..., (\frac{n-p_1}{p_1})\overline{p_1} + k\overline{p_2}\}.
$$

If possible, let  $i\overline{p_1} + k\overline{p_2} = j\overline{p_1} + k\overline{p_2}$ , for some *i*, *j* where 0 ≤ *i* < *j* ≤  $\frac{n-p_1}{p_1}$  $\frac{p_1}{p_1} < \frac{n}{p_1}$ . Then  $(j-i)\overline{p_1} = \overline{0}$ . Since  $j-i < \frac{n}{p_1}$ , this implies that  $(j-i)p_1 < n$ , which leads to a contradiction.





Figure 7: The components of  $G(Z_{16}, D_0)$ 

So, our assumption that  $i\overline{p_1} + k\overline{p_2} = j\overline{p_1} + k\overline{p_2}$  is wrong and  $\frac{1}{i}$ *p*<sub>1</sub> + *kp*<sub>2</sub> and  $\frac{1}{j}$ *p*<sub>1</sub> + *kp*<sub>2</sub> are distinct. That is, each *V<sub>k</sub>* contains *n*−*p*<sup>1</sup>  $\frac{p_1}{p_1} + 1 = \frac{n}{p_1}$  distinct vertices of  $G(Z_n, D_0)$ .  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 4.3.** *For*  $0 \le k \le p_1 - 1$ , *each*  $V_k$  *is a complete subgraph of*  $G(Z_n, D_0)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $u, v \in V_k$ . Then  $u = i\overline{p_1} + k\overline{p_2}$  and  $v = j\overline{p_1} + k\overline{p_2}$ for some  $i, j, 0 \le i < j \le \frac{n-p_1}{p_1}$  $\frac{-p_1}{p_1}$ . Then,

$$
u-v=(j\overline{p_1}+k\overline{p_2})-(i\overline{p_1}+k\overline{p_2})=(j-i)\overline{p_1}, 0\leq i
$$

Since  $\overline{p_1}$  is a zero-divisor in the ring  $(Z_n, \oplus, \odot)$ ,  $r\overline{p_1}$  is also a zero-divisor of the ring  $(Z_n, \oplus, \odot)$  and this shows that *u* and *v* are adjacent, so that  $V_k$  is complete subgraph of  $G(Z_n, D_0)$ .

The following theorem establishes that, if *n* is not a power of a single prime then  $G(Z_n, D_0)$  is connected.

**Theorem 4.4.** *Let*  $n > 1$ *, be an integer, which is not a power of a single prime. Then the graph*  $G(Z_n, D_0)$  *is a connected graph.*

*Proof.* Let  $n > 1$ , be an integer, which is not a power of a single prime and let  $n = \prod_{i=1}^r p_i^{\alpha_i}$ , where  $p_1 < p_2 < ... < p_r$ are primes  $\alpha_i \geq 1, 1 < i \leq r$  are integers.

Case i: Let  $u, v \in V_l$ , for some  $l, 0 \le l \le p_1 - 1$ . Then  $u =$ 

<span id="page-4-20"></span> $i\overline{p_1} + l\overline{p_2}$  and  $v = j\overline{p_1} + l\overline{p_2}$  for some  $i, j, 0 \le i < j \le \frac{n-p_1}{p_1}$  $\frac{-p_1}{p_1}$ . By the Lemma 3.2,

$$
u = \left[\overline{i}\overline{p_1} + l\overline{p_2}\right] - \left[(i+1)\overline{p_1} + l\overline{p_2}\right] - \dots - \left[j\overline{p_1} + l\overline{p_2}\right] = v
$$

is a path joining *u* and *v* and thus the graph  $G(Z_n, D_0)$  is a connected graph.

Case ii: Let  $u \in V_k$  and  $v \in V_l$  for some  $k, l, 0 \le k < l \le p_1 - 1$ . Then  $u = i\overline{p_1} + k\overline{p_2}$  and  $v = j\overline{p_1} + l\overline{p_2}$  for some *i*, *j*,  $0 \le i <$  $j \leq \frac{n-p_1}{n}$  $\frac{-p_1}{p_1}$ . Consider  $i\overline{p_1} + l\overline{p_2} \in V_l$ . (This is possible since  $i < j \leq \frac{n-p_1}{n_1}$  $\frac{-p_1}{p_1}$ ). Since  $V_l$  is a complete subgraph of  $G(Z_n, D_0)$ , there is an edge between  $j\overline{p_1} + l\overline{p_2}$  and  $i\overline{p_1} + l\overline{p_2}$ . Further  $(i\overline{p_1} + l\overline{p_2}) - (i\overline{p_1} + k\overline{p_2}) = (l - k)\overline{p_2}$  is also a zero-divisor of the ring  $(Z_n, \oplus, \odot)$ . So, there is an edge between  $i\overline{p_1} + l\overline{p_2}$ and  $i\overline{p_1} + k\overline{p_2}$ . That is,

$$
u=[i\overline{p_1}+k\overline{p_2}]-[j\overline{p_1}+l\overline{p_2}]-[i\overline{p_1}+l\overline{p_2}]=v
$$

is a path joining *u* and *v* and thus the graph  $G(Z_n, D_0)$  is a connected graph.  $\Box$ 

**Example 4.5.** *In the graph*  $G(Z_{10}, D_0)$ *, the set*  $D_0$  *of zerodivisors is*  $\{\overline{2}, \overline{4}, \overline{5}, \overline{6}, \overline{8}\}$ *. Here*  $10 = 5.2$ *,*  $p_1 = 2$  *and*  $p_2 = 5$ *. Now the vertex set is the union of*  $V_0$  *and*  $V_1$ *, where*  $V_0 =$  ${\{\overline{0}, \overline{2}, \overline{4}, \overline{6}, \overline{8}\}}$  *and*  $V_1 = {\{\overline{3}, \overline{5}, \overline{7}, \overline{9}, \overline{1}\}}$ *. Consider the two vertices*  $\overline{4}$  *and*  $\overline{7}$ *. The path*  $\overline{4} - \overline{9} - \overline{7}$  *connects*  $\overline{4}$  *and*  $\overline{7}$ *. Similarly the vertices*  $\overline{1}, \overline{5} \in V_1$  *are connected by the edge*  $(\overline{1}, \overline{5})$ *. These paths are shown in Figure 8, by bold face edges.*



## **Acknowledgment**

<span id="page-4-0"></span>The authors express their thanks to Prof. L.Nagamuni Reddy for his valuable suggestions during the preparation of this paper.

## **References**

- <span id="page-4-10"></span>[1] S. Akbari, and A. Mohammadian, On zero-divisor graphs of finite rings, *J. Algebra*, (2007), 168-184.
- <span id="page-4-11"></span>[2] S. Akbari and A. Mohammadian, Zero-divisor graphs of non-commutative rings, *J. Algebra* (2006), 462-479.
- [3] D. Anderson, and M. Naseer, Beck's Colouring of Commutative Ring, *J. Algebra (Grenoble)*, 159 (1983), 500- 514.
- [4] D. F. Anderson, M. C. Axtell, and Joe A. Jr. Stickles, Zero-divisor graphs in commutative rings, *M. Fontana et al. (eds.), Commutative Algebra: Noetherian and Non-Noetherian 23 Perspectives,* DOI 10.1007/978-1-4419- 6990-3- 2.
- <span id="page-4-13"></span>[5] D.F. Anderson and P.S. Livingston, The zero-divisor graph of commutative ring, *J. Algebra*, 217 (1999), 434- 447.
- <span id="page-4-12"></span>[6] D. Anderson and M. Naseer, Beck's Colouring of Commutative Ring, *J. Algebra (Grenoble)*, 159 (1983), 500- 514.
- <span id="page-4-19"></span>[7] M. Apostol, Introduction to Analytical Number Theory, *Springer International*, Student Edition (1989).
- <span id="page-4-9"></span>[8] I. Beck , Colouring of commutative rings, *J. Algebra*, 116 (1998), 208-216.
- <span id="page-4-4"></span>[9] P. Bierrizbeitia and R. E. Giudici, Counting pure k-cycles in sequences of Cayley graphs, *Discrite math.* 149, 11-18.
- <span id="page-4-5"></span>[10] P. Bierrizbeitia and R. E. Giudici, On cycles in the sequence of unitary Cayley graphs, Reporte Techico No. 01-95, universided Simon Bolivear, *Depto*.*DeMathematics*,*Caracas*, Venezuela (1995)
- <span id="page-4-17"></span>[11] J. A. Bondy and U. S. R, Murty, Graph theory with Applications, *Macillan*, London (1976).
- <span id="page-4-2"></span>[12] R.Frucht, Graphs of degree three with a given abstract group, *Canada. J. Math*, (1949), 365-378.
- <span id="page-4-18"></span>[13] J. A. Gallian, Contemporary Abstract Algebra, Narosa *publishing house*, 9th Edition (2018).
- <span id="page-4-1"></span>[14] D. Konig, Theorie der endlichen and unedndlichen Graphen, *Leipzig* (1936), 168-184.
- <span id="page-4-6"></span>[15] L. Madhavi, Studies on Domination Parameters and Enumeration of cycles in some arithmetic grpahs, Ph.D Thesis, Sri Venkateswara University, Tirupati, India, (2003).
- <span id="page-4-7"></span>[16] B. Maheswari and L. Madhavi , Enumeration of Triangles and Hamilton Cycles in Quadratic Residue Cayley Graphs, *Chamchuri Journal of Mathematics,* Volume 1 (2009), 95-1036.
- <span id="page-4-8"></span>[17] B. Maheswari and L. Madhavi, Enumeration of Hamilton Cycles and Triangles in Euler totient Cayley Graphs, *Graph Theory Notes of Newyork LIX,* (2010), 28-31. The Mathematical Association of America.
- <span id="page-4-3"></span>[18] K.R. Parthasarathy and A. Mohammadian, Basic graph Theory, *Tata Mc.Graw-Hill Publishing Company Limited*, (1994).
- <span id="page-4-14"></span>[19] P.S. Livingston, structure in zero-divisor Graphs of commutative rings, *J. Algebra* , Masters Thesis, The University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN, December (1997).
- <span id="page-4-15"></span>[20] N.O. Smith, Planar Zero-Divisor Graph, *International Journal of Commutative Rings*, (4) (2002), 73-869.
- <span id="page-4-16"></span>[21] Wu. Tangsuo, On Directed Zero-Divisor Graphs of Finite Rings, *Discrete Mathematics,* 296(1) (2005), 73-86.

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* ISSN(P):2319−3786 [Malaya Journal of Matematik](http://www.malayajournal.org) ISSN(O):2321−5666  $**********$