



Stability of general quadratic – cubic – quartic functional equation in quasi beta Banach space via two dissimilar methods

S. Pinelas¹, M. Arunkumar², N. Mahesh Kumar^{3*}, E. Sathya⁴

Abstract

In this paper, authors proved the generalized Ulam - Hyers stability of mixed type general quartic - cubic -quartic functional equation

$$f(x+my) + f(x-my) = m^2 f(x+y) + m^2 f(x-y) + 2(1-m^2)f(x) + \frac{m^2(m^2-1)}{6}(f(2y) + 2f(-y) - 6f(y))$$

where $m \neq 0, \pm 1$ in Quasi beta Banach space via two dissimilar methods.

Keywords

Quadratic, cubic, quartic functional equations, Generalized Ulam - Hyers stability, Quasi beta Banach space, fixed point.

AMS Subject Classification

39B52, 32B72, 32B82.

¹ *Academia Militar, Departamento de Ciências Exactas e Naturais, Av. Conde Castro Guimar, 2720-113 Amadora, Portugal.*

^{2,4} *Department of Mathematics, Government Arts College, Tiruvannamalai - 606 603, TamilNadu, India.*

³ *Department of Mathematics, Arunai Engineering College, Tiruvannamalai, TamilNadu, India - 606 603.*

***Corresponding author:** ¹ sandra.pinelas@gmail.com; ² annarun2002@gmail.co.in; ³ mrnmahesh@yahoo.com;

⁴ sathya24mathematics@gmail.com.

Article History: Received 11 October 2017; Accepted 27 December 2017

©2017 MJM.

Contents

1	Introduction	113
2	Definitions and Notations On Quasi Beta Banach space	114
3	Stability Results: Direct Method	114
3.1	Case 1: f is Even	114
3.2	Case 2: f is Odd	118
3.3	Case 3: f is Odd-Even	119
4	Stability Results: Fixed Point Method	120
4.1	Case 1: f is Even	120
4.2	Case: 2 f is Odd	124
4.3	Case 3: f is Odd-Even	125
	References	126

1. Introduction

The learning of stability problems for functional equations is interconnected to a question of Ulam [58] pertaining to the stability of group homomorphisms and confidently answered for Banach spaces by Hyers [35]. It was advanced and admirable results obtained by number of authors [2, 34, 45, 50, 53]

Over the last seven decades, the stability problem was tackled by numerous authors and its solutions via various forms of functional equations like additive, quadratic, cubic, quartic, mixed type functional equations which involve only these types of functional equations were discussed. We refer the interested readers for more information on such problems to the monographs [5–8, 10–15, 17, 19–21, 23, 24, 26–31, 33, 38, 43, 46–49, 61].

M. Eshaghi Gordji et.al., [32], introduce and establish the solution and stability of the generalized Ulam - Hyers stability of mixed type general quartic - cubic -quartic functional

equation

$$f(x+ky) + f(x-ky) = k^2 f(x+y) + k^2 f(x-y) + 2(1-k^2)f(x) + \frac{k^2(k^2-1)}{6}(f(2y) + 2f(-y) - 6f(y)) \quad (1.1)$$

where $k \neq 0, \pm 1$ in Non-Archimedean normed space.

In this paper, authors proved the generalized Ulam - Hyers stability of mixed type general quartic - cubic - quartic functional equation

$$f(x+my) + f(x-my) = m^2 f(x+y) + m^2 f(x-y) + 2(1-m^2)f(x) + \frac{m^2(m^2-1)}{6}(f(2y) + 2f(-y) - 6f(y)), \text{ where } m \neq 0, \pm 1 \text{ for all } x, y \in \mathcal{U}. \quad (1.2)$$

where $m \neq 0, \pm 1$ in Quasi beta Banach space via two dissimilar techniques.

2. Definitions and Notations On Quasi Beta Banach space

In this section, we present some basic facts concerning quasi- β -Normed spaces and some preliminary results.

We fix a real number β with $0 < \beta \leq 1$ and let \mathbb{K} denote either \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} .

Definition 2.1. Let X be a linear space over \mathbb{K} . A quasi- β -norm $\|\cdot\|$ is a real-valued function on X satisfying the following:

- (Q1) $\|x\| \geq 0$ for all $x \in X$ and $\|x\| = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$.
- (Q2) $\|\lambda x\| = |\lambda|^\beta \cdot \|x\|$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$ and all $x \in X$.
- (Q3) There is a constant $K \geq 1$ such that $\|x+y\| \leq K(\|x\| + \|y\|)$ for all $x, y \in X$.

The pair $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is called quasi- β -normed space if $\|\cdot\|$ is a quasi- β -norm on X . The smallest possible K is called the modulus of concavity of $\|\cdot\|$.

Definition 2.2. A quasi- β -Banach space is a complete quasi- β -normed space.

Definition 2.3. A quasi- β -norm $\|\cdot\|$ is called a (β, p) -norm ($0 < p \leq 1$) if

$$\|x+y\|^p \leq \|x\|^p + \|y\|^p$$

for all $x, y \in X$. In this case, a quasi- β -Banach space is called a (β, p) -Banach space.

More details, one can refer [18, 54, 57] for the concepts of quasi-normed spaces and p -Banach space. Given a p -norm, the formula $d(x, y) := \|x-y\|^p$ gives us a translation invariant metric on X .

By the Aoki-Rolewicz theorem [54], each quasi-norm is equivalent to some p -norm. Since it is much easier to work

with p -norms than quasi-norms, henceforth we restrict our attention mainly to p -norms.

In [57], J. Tabor has investigated a version of the Hyers-Rassias-Gajda theorem in quasi-Banach spaces.

In order to prove the stability results let us consider \mathcal{U} be a Linear Space over \mathbb{R} and \mathcal{V} be a quasi - beta Banach space with $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{V}}$. Also, throughout this paper we define a function $f: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{QCQ}(x, y) &= f(x+my) + f(x-my) - m^2 f(x+y) \\ &\quad - m^2 f(x-y) - 2(1-m^2)f(x) \\ &\quad - \frac{m^2(m^2-1)}{6}(f(2y) + 2f(-y) - 6f(y)) \end{aligned}$$

3. Stability Results: Direct Method

3.1 Case 1: f is Even

Theorem 3.1. Assume $\rho: \mathcal{U}^2 \rightarrow (0, \infty]$ be a function satisfying the condition

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\rho(2^{qs}x, 2^{qs}y)}{4^{qs}} = 0 \quad (3.1)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{U}$. Also, let $f: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ be an even mapping satisfying the functional inequality

$$\|\mathcal{F}_{QCQ}(x, y)\|_{\mathcal{V}} \leq \rho(x, y) \quad (3.2)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{U}$. Then there exists a unique quadratic function $\mathcal{Q}_2(x): \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ satisfying the functional equation (1.2) and

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_2(x) - \mathcal{Q}_2(x)\|_{\mathcal{V}} &= \|f(2x) - 16f(x) - \mathcal{Q}_2(x)\|_{\mathcal{V}} \\ &\leq \frac{K^{q-1}}{[4m^2(m^2-1)]^\beta} \sum_{p=1-\frac{1}{2^s}}^{\infty} \frac{\Delta(2^{sp}x, 2^{sp}x)}{4^{sp}} \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(2^{sp}x, 2^{sp}x) &= K^3 \left[12m^2 \rho(0, 2^{sp}x) + 12(m^2-1)\rho(2^{sp}x, 2^{sp}x) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 6\rho(0, 2 \cdot 2^{sp}x) + 12\rho(m \cdot 2^{sp}x, 2^{sp}x) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$. The mapping $\mathcal{Q}_2(x)$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{Q}_2(x) = \lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_2(2^{qs}x)}{4^{qs}} = \lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^{s(q+1)}x) - 16f(2^{qs}x)}{4^{qs}} \quad (3.5)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$, where $s = \pm 1$.

Proof. Interchanging x and y in (3.1) and using evenness of f , one can see that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| f(mx+y) + f(mx-y) - m^2 f(x+y) - m^2 f(x-y) \right. \\ \left. - 2(1-m^2)f(y) - \frac{m^2(m^2-1)}{6}(f(2x) - 4f(x)) \right\|_{\mathcal{V}} \leq \rho(y, x) \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$



for all $x, y \in \mathcal{U}$. Substituting y by 0 in (3.6), we find that

$$\left\| 2f(mx) - 2m^2 f(x) - \frac{m^2(m^2 - 1)}{6} (f(2x) - 4f(x)) \right\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \rho(0, x) \quad (3.7)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$. Again substituting y by x in (3.6), we observe that

$$\left\| f((m+1)x) + f((m-1)x) - m^2 f(2x) - 2(1-m^2)f(x) - \frac{m^2(m^2 - 1)}{6} (f(2x) - 4f(x)) \right\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \rho(x, x) \quad (3.8)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$. Replacing (x, y) by $(2x, 0)$ in (3.6), we notice that

$$\left\| 2f(2mx) - 2m^2 f(2x) - \frac{m^2(m^2 - 1)}{6} (f(4x) - 4f(2x)) \right\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \rho(0, 2x) \quad (3.9)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$. Again replacing (x, y) by (x, mx) in (3.6) and using evenness of f , we witness that

$$\left\| f(2mx) - m^2 f((m+1)x) - m^2 f((m-1)x) - 2(1-m^2)f(mx) - \frac{m^2(m^2 - 1)}{6} (f(2x) - 4f(x)) \right\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \rho(mx, x) \quad (3.10)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$. Multiplying the inequalities (3.7), (3.8), (3.9) and (3.10) by $12m^2$, $12(m^2 - 1)$, 6 and 12 respectively, we arrive the following inequalities

$$12m^2 \left\| 2f(mx) - 2m^2 f(x) - \frac{m^2(m^2 - 1)}{6} (f(2x) - 4f(x)) \right\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq 12m^2 \rho(0, x) \quad (3.11)$$

$$12(m^2 - 1) \left\| f((m+1)x) + f((m-1)x) - m^2 f(2x) - 2(1-m^2)f(x) - \frac{m^2(m^2 - 1)}{6} (f(2x) - 4f(x)) \right\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq 12(m^2 - 1) \rho(x, x) \quad (3.12)$$

$$6 \left\| 2f(2mx) - 2m^2 f(2x) - \frac{m^2(m^2 - 1)}{6} (f(4x) - 4f(2x)) \right\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq 6\rho(0, 2x) \quad (3.13)$$

$$12 \left\| f(2mx) - m^2 f((m+1)x) - m^2 f((m-1)x) - 2(1-m^2)f(mx) - \frac{m^2(m^2 - 1)}{6} (f(2x) - 4f(x)) \right\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq 12\rho(mx, x) \quad (3.14)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$. Combining (3.11), (3.12), (3.13) and (3.14),

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & [m^2(m^2 - 1)]^\beta \|f(4x) - 20f(2x) + 64f(x)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \\ & \leq K^3 \left\{ 12m^2 \left\| 2f(mx) - 2m^2 f(x) - \frac{m^2(m^2 - 1)}{6} (f(2x) - 4f(x)) \right\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \right. \\ & \quad + 12(m^2 - 1) \left\| f((m+1)x) + f((m-1)x) - m^2 f(2x) - 2(1-m^2)f(x) - \frac{m^2(m^2 - 1)}{6} (f(2x) - 4f(x)) \right\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \\ & \quad + 6 \left\| 2f(2mx) - 2m^2 f(2x) - \frac{m^2(m^2 - 1)}{6} (f(4x) - 4f(2x)) \right\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \\ & \quad \left. + 12 \left\| f(2mx) - m^2 f((m+1)x) - m^2 f((m-1)x) - 2(1-m^2)f(mx) - \frac{m^2(m^2 - 1)}{6} (f(2x) - 4f(x)) \right\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \right\} \\ & \leq K^3 \left[12m^2 \rho(0, x) + 12(m^2 - 1) \rho(x, x) + 6\rho(0, 2x) + 12\rho(mx, x) \right] = \Delta(x, x) \quad (3.15) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$. It follows from (3.15), we reach

$$[m^2(m^2 - 1)]^\beta \| [f(4x) - 16f(2x)] - 4[f(2x) - 16f(x)] \|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \Delta(x, x) \quad (3.16)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$. Define a function

$$f_2 : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y} \quad \text{by} \quad f_2(x) = f(2x) - 16f(x) \quad (3.17)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$. Using (3.17) in (3.16), we achieve

$$[m^2(m^2 - 1)]^\beta \|f_2(2x) - 4f_2(x)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \Delta(x, x) \quad \text{or} \quad \|f_2(2x) - 4f_2(x)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \frac{\Delta(x, x)}{[m^2(m^2 - 1)]^\beta} \quad (3.18)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$. Now, from the above inequality, we have

$$\left\| \frac{f_2(2x)}{4} - f_2(x) \right\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \frac{\Delta(x, x)}{[4m^2(m^2 - 1)]^\beta} \quad (3.19)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$. Letting x by $2x$ and dividing by 4 in (3.19), we observe

$$\left\| \frac{f_2(2^2x)}{4^2} - \frac{f_2(2x)}{4} \right\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \frac{\Delta(2x, 2x)}{4 \cdot [4m^2(m^2 - 1)]^\beta} \quad (3.20)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$. Combining (3.19) and (3.20), one can notice that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \frac{f_2(2^2x)}{4^2} - f_2(x) \right\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \\ & \leq K \left\{ \left\| \frac{f_2(2x)}{4} - f_2(x) \right\|_{\mathcal{Y}} + \left\| \frac{f_2(2^2x)}{4^2} - \frac{f_2(2x)}{4} \right\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \right\} \\ & \leq K \left\{ \frac{\Delta(x, x)}{[4m^2(m^2 - 1)]^\beta} + \frac{\Delta(2x, 2x)}{4 \cdot [4m^2(m^2 - 1)]^\beta} \right\} \\ & = \frac{K}{[4m^2(m^2 - 1)]^\beta} \left\{ \Delta(x, x) + \frac{\Delta(2x, 2x)}{4} \right\} \quad (3.21) \end{aligned}$$



for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$. Generalizing for a positive integer q , one can verify that

$$\left\| \frac{f_2(2^q x)}{4^q} - f_2(x) \right\|_{\mathcal{V}} \leq \frac{K^{q-1}}{[4m^2(m^2-1)]^\beta} \sum_{p=0}^{q-1} \frac{\Delta(2^p x, 2^p x)}{4^p} \quad (3.22)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$. It is easy to prove that the sequence $\left\{ \frac{f_2(2^q x)}{4^q} \right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence and it converges to a point $\mathcal{Q}_2(x)$ in \mathcal{V} . So, we define a mapping $\mathcal{Q}_2(x) : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ by

$$\mathcal{Q}_2(x) = \lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_2(2^q x)}{4^q} = \lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^{q+1}x) - 16f(2^q x)}{4^q} \quad (3.23)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$. Approaching q tends to infinity in (3.22), we arrive (3.3) holds for $s = 1$. It is easy to show that $\mathcal{Q}_2(x)$ satisfies the functional equation (1.2). Indeed, replacing (x, y) by $(2^q x, 2^q y)$ in (3.2) and using (3.1), (3.23), one can see that $\mathcal{Q}_2(x)$ satisfies the functional equation (1.2) for all $x, y \in \mathcal{U}$. To prove the existence of $\mathcal{Q}_2(x)$ is unique, let $\mathcal{R}_2(x)$ be another quadratic mapping satisfying (3.3), (3.23). Now, for any positive integer q_1

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathcal{Q}_2(x) - \mathcal{R}_2(x)\|_{\mathcal{V}} \\ &= \frac{1}{4^{q_1}} \|\mathcal{Q}_2(2^{q_1} x) - \mathcal{R}_2(2^{q_1} x)\|_{\mathcal{V}} \\ &\leq \frac{K}{4^{q_1}} \{ \|\mathcal{Q}_2(2^{q_1} x) - f_2(2^{q_1} x)\|_{\mathcal{V}} \\ &\quad + \|\mathcal{R}_2(2^{q_1} x) - f_2(2^{q_1} x)\|_{\mathcal{V}} \} \\ &\leq \frac{2K^q}{[4m^2(m^2-1)]^\beta} \sum_{p=0}^{q-1} \frac{\Delta(2^{p+q_1} x, 2^{p+q_1} x)}{4^{p+q_1}} \\ &\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } q_1 \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$. Thus, $\mathcal{Q}_2(x)$ is unique. So, the theorem holds for $s = 1$.

Replacing x by $\frac{x}{2}$ in (3.19), we arrive

$$\left\| f_2(x) - 4f_2\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \right\|_{\mathcal{V}} \leq \frac{4\Delta\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}\right)}{[4m^2(m^2-1)]^\beta} \quad (3.24)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$. Again replacing x by $\frac{x}{2}$ in (3.24) and multiplying by 4, we find that

$$\left\| 4f_2\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - 4^2 f_2\left(\frac{x}{2^2}\right) \right\|_{\mathcal{V}} \leq \frac{4^2 \Delta\left(\frac{x}{2^2}, \frac{x}{2^2}\right)}{[4m^2(m^2-1)]^\beta} \quad (3.25)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$. Combining (3.24) and (3.25), we reach

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| f_2(x) - 4^2 f_2\left(\frac{x}{2^2}\right) \right\|_{\mathcal{V}} \\ &\leq K \left\{ \left\| f_2(x) - 4f_2\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \right\|_{\mathcal{V}} + \left\| 4f_2\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - 4^2 f_2\left(\frac{x}{2^2}\right) \right\|_{\mathcal{V}} \right\} \\ &\leq K \left\{ \frac{4\Delta\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}\right)}{[4m^2(m^2-1)]^\beta} + \frac{4^2 \Delta\left(\frac{x}{2^2}, \frac{x}{2^2}\right)}{[4m^2(m^2-1)]^\beta} \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{K}{[4m^2(m^2-1)]^\beta} \left\{ 4\Delta\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}\right) + 4^2 \Delta\left(\frac{x}{2^2}, \frac{x}{2^2}\right) \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (3.26)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$. Generalizing, for a positive integer q , we have

$$\left\| f_2(x) - 4^q f_2\left(\frac{x}{2^q}\right) \right\|_{\mathcal{V}} \leq \frac{K^{q-1}}{[4m^2(m^2-1)]^\beta} \sum_{p=1}^q 4^p \Delta\left(\frac{x}{2^p}, \frac{x}{2^p}\right) \quad (3.27)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$. The rest of the proof is parallel clues that of $s = 1$. Thus the theorem holds for $s = -1$. This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

Corollary 3.2. Let r and t be positive integers and $f : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ be an even mapping satisfying the functional inequality

$$\|\mathcal{F}_{QCQ}(x, y)\|_{\mathcal{V}} \leq \begin{cases} r; & t \neq 2; \\ r(|x|^t + |y|^t) & 2t \neq 2; \\ r|x|^t|y|^t & 2t \neq 2; \\ r(|x|^t|y|^t + |x|^{2t} + |y|^{2t}) & 2t \neq 2; \end{cases} \quad (3.28)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{U}$. Then there exists a unique quadratic function $\mathcal{Q}_2(x) : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ satisfying the functional equation (1.2) and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{Q}_2(x) - \mathcal{Q}_2(x)\|_{\mathcal{V}} &= \|f(2x) - 16f(x) - \mathcal{Q}_2(x)\|_{\mathcal{V}} \\ &\leq \begin{cases} \frac{K^{3+q-1} r_{2c}}{4m^2(m^2-1)^\beta}; \\ \frac{K^{3+q-1} r_{2s} |x|^t}{|4-2^{\beta t}|}; \\ \frac{K^{3+q-1} r_{2p} |x|^{2t}}{|4-2^{2\beta t}|}; \\ \frac{K^{3+q-1} r_{2sp} |x|^{2t}}{|4-2^{2\beta t}|}; \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (3.29)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} r_{2c} &= \frac{(24m^2 + 6)|r|}{[4m^2(m^2-1)]^\beta}; \\ r_{2s} &= \frac{4(36m^2 + 6 \cdot 2^t + 12m^t - 12)r}{[4m^2(m^2-1)]^\beta}; \\ r_{2p} &= \frac{4(12m^2 + 12m^t)r}{[4m^2(m^2-1)]^\beta}; \\ r_{2sp} &= \frac{4(48m^2 + 6 \cdot 2^{2t} + 12(m^{2t} + m^t) - 12)r}{[4m^2(m^2-1)]^\beta}; \end{aligned} \quad (3.30)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$.



Theorem 3.3. Assume $\rho : \mathcal{U}^2 \rightarrow (0, \infty]$ be a function satisfying the condition

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\rho(2^{qs}x, 2^{qs}y)}{16^{qs}} = 0 \quad (3.31)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{U}$. Also, let $f : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ be an even mapping satisfying the functional inequality

$$\|\mathcal{F}_{QCQ}(x, y)\|_{\mathcal{V}} \leq \rho(x, y) \quad (3.32)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{U}$. Then there exists a unique quartic function $\mathcal{Q}_4(x) : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ satisfying the functional equation (1.2) and

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f_4(x) - \mathcal{Q}_4(x)\|_{\mathcal{V}} \\ &= \|f(2x) - 4f(x) - \mathcal{Q}_4(x)\|_{\mathcal{V}} \\ &\leq \frac{K^{q-1}}{[16m^2(m^2-1)]^\beta} \sum_{p=\frac{1-s}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{\Delta(2^{sp}x, 2^{sp}x)}{16^{sp}} \end{aligned} \quad (3.33)$$

where $\Delta(2^{sp}x, 2^{sp}x)$ is defined in (3.4) for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$. The mapping $\mathcal{Q}_4(x)$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{Q}_4(x) = \lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_4(2^{qs}x)}{16^{qs}} = \lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^{s(q+1)}x) - 4f(2^{qs}x)}{16^{qs}} \quad (3.34)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$, where $s = \pm 1$.

Proof. It follows from (3.15), we reach

$$\begin{aligned} & [m^2(m^2-1)]^\beta \|[f(4x) - 4f(2x)] - 16[f(2x) - 4f(x)]\|_{\mathcal{V}} \\ &\leq \Delta(x, x) \end{aligned} \quad (3.35)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$. Define a function

$$f_4 : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \quad \text{by} \quad f_4(x) = f(2x) - 4f(x) \quad (3.36)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$. Using (3.36) in (3.35), we achieve

$$\begin{aligned} & [m^2(m^2-1)]^\beta \|f_4(2x) - 16f_4(x)\|_{\mathcal{V}} \leq \Delta(x, x) \quad \text{or} \\ & \|f_4(2x) - 16f_4(x)\|_{\mathcal{V}} \leq \frac{\Delta(x, x)}{[m^2(m^2-1)]^\beta} \end{aligned} \quad (3.37)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$. Now, from the above inequality, we have

$$\left\| \frac{f_4(2x)}{16} - f_4(x) \right\|_{\mathcal{V}} \leq \frac{\Delta(x, x)}{[16m^2(m^2-1)]^\beta} \quad (3.38)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$. Letting x by $2x$ and dividing by 16 in (3.38), we observe

$$\left\| \frac{f_4(2^2x)}{16^2} - \frac{f_4(2x)}{16} \right\|_{\mathcal{V}} \leq \frac{\Delta(2x, 2x)}{16 \cdot [16m^2(m^2-1)]^\beta} \quad (3.39)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$. Combining (3.38) and (3.39) one can notice that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \frac{f_4(2^2x)}{16^2} - f_4(x) \right\|_{\mathcal{V}} \\ &\leq K \left\{ \left\| \frac{f_4(2x)}{16} - f_4(x) \right\|_{\mathcal{V}} + \left\| \frac{f_4(2^2x)}{16^2} - \frac{f_4(2x)}{16} \right\|_{\mathcal{V}} \right\} \\ &\leq K \left\{ \frac{\Delta(x, x)}{[16m^2(m^2-1)]^\beta} + \frac{\Delta(2x, 2x)}{16 \cdot [16m^2(m^2-1)]^\beta} \right\} \\ &= \frac{K}{[16m^2(m^2-1)]^\beta} \left\{ \Delta(x, x) + \frac{\Delta(2x, 2x)}{16} \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (3.40)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$. Generalizing for a positive integer q , one can verify that

$$\left\| \frac{f_4(2^q x)}{16^q} - f_4(x) \right\|_{\mathcal{V}} \leq \frac{K^{q-1}}{[16m^2(m^2-1)]^\beta} \sum_{p=0}^{q-1} \frac{\Delta(2^p x, 2^p x)}{16^p} \quad (3.41)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$. The rest of the proof is similar ideas to that of Theorem 3.1. \square

Corollary 3.4. Let r and t be positive integers and $f : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ be an even mapping satisfying the functional inequality

$$\|\mathcal{F}_{QCQ}(x, y)\|_{\mathcal{V}} \leq \begin{cases} r; & t \neq 4; \\ r(|x|^t + |y|^t) & 2t \neq 4; \\ r||x|^t||y|^t & 2t \neq 4; \\ r(|x|^t|y|^t + ||x|^{2t} + ||y|^{2t}) & 2t \neq 4; \end{cases} \quad (3.42)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{U}$. Then there exists a unique quartic function $\mathcal{Q}_4(x) : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ satisfying the functional equation (1.2) and

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f_4(x) - \mathcal{Q}_4(x)\|_{\mathcal{V}} = \|f(2x) - 4f(x) - \mathcal{Q}_4(x)\|_{\mathcal{V}} \\ &\leq \begin{cases} \frac{K^{3+q-1}r_{4c}}{15}; \\ \frac{K^{3+q-1}r_{4s}|x|^t}{|16-2^{\beta t}|}; \\ \frac{K^{3+q-1}r_{4p}|x|^{2t}}{|16-2^{2\beta t}|}; \\ \frac{K^{3+q-1}r_{4sp}|x|^{2t}}{|16-2^{2\beta t}|}; \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (3.43)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} r_{4c} &= \frac{16(24m^2+6)|r|}{[16m^2(m^2-1)]^\beta}; \\ r_{4s} &= \frac{16(36m^2+6 \cdot 2^t+12m^t-12)r}{[16m^2(m^2-1)]^\beta}; \\ r_{4p} &= \frac{16(12m^2+12m^t)r}{[16m^2(m^2-1)]^\beta}; \\ r_{4sp} &= \frac{16(48m^2+6 \cdot 2^{2t}+12(m^{2t}+m^t)-12)r}{[16m^2(m^2-1)]^\beta}; \end{aligned} \quad (3.44)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$.



Theorem 3.5. Assume $\rho : \mathcal{U}^2 \rightarrow (0, \infty]$ be a function satisfying the conditions (3.1) and (3.31) for all $x, y \in \mathcal{U}$. Also, let $f : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ be an even mapping satisfying the functional inequality

$$\|\mathcal{F}_{QQ}(x, y)\|_{\mathcal{V}} \leq \rho(x, y) \tag{3.45}$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{U}$. Then there exists a unique quadratic function $\mathcal{Q}_2(x) : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ and a unique quartic function $\mathcal{Q}_4(x) : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ satisfying the functional equation (1.2) and

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f(x) - \mathcal{Q}_2(x) - \mathcal{Q}_4(x)\|_{\mathcal{V}} \\ & \leq \frac{K^{3+q}}{[12m^2(m^2-1)]^\beta} \left\{ \frac{1}{4^\beta} \sum_{p=\frac{1-s}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{\Delta(2^{sp}x, 2^{sp}x)}{4^{sp}} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{1}{16^\beta} \sum_{p=\frac{1-s}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{\Delta(2^{sp}x, 2^{sp}x)}{16^{sp}} \right\} \end{aligned} \tag{3.46}$$

where $\Delta(2^{sp}x, 2^{sp}x)$ is defined in (3.4) for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$. The mappings $\mathcal{Q}_2(x)$ and $\mathcal{Q}_4(x)$ are defined in (3.5) and (3.34) for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$, where $s = \pm 1$.

Proof. Given, $f : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ be an even mapping satisfying the functional inequality (3.45) for all $x, y \in \mathcal{U}$. Hence By Theorem 3.1 there exists a unique quadratic mapping such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f(2x) - 16f(x) - \mathcal{Q}'_2(x)\|_{\mathcal{V}} \\ & \leq \frac{K^{q-1}}{[4m^2(m^2-1)]^\beta} \sum_{p=\frac{1-s}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{\Delta(2^{sp}x, 2^{sp}x)}{4^{sp}} \end{aligned} \tag{3.47}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$. Also, by Theorem 3.3 there exists a unique quartic mapping such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f(2x) - 4f(x) - \mathcal{Q}'_4(x)\|_{\mathcal{V}} \\ & \leq \frac{K^{q-1}}{[16m^2(m^2-1)]^\beta} \sum_{p=\frac{1-s}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{\Delta(2^{sp}x, 2^{sp}x)}{16^{sp}} \end{aligned} \tag{3.48}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|12f(x) + \mathcal{Q}'_2(x) - \mathcal{Q}'_4(x)\|_{\mathcal{V}} \\ & = \|f(2x) - 4f(x) - \mathcal{Q}'_4(x) - f(2x) + 16f(x) + \mathcal{Q}'_2(x)\|_{\mathcal{V}} \\ & \leq K \{ \|f(2x) - 4f(x) - \mathcal{Q}'_4(x)\|_{\mathcal{V}} \\ & \quad + \|f(2x) - 16f(x) - \mathcal{Q}'_2(x)\|_{\mathcal{V}} \} \\ & \leq \frac{K^q}{[m^2(m^2-1)]^\beta} \left\{ \frac{1}{4^\beta} \sum_{p=\frac{1-s}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{\Delta(2^{sp}x, 2^{sp}x)}{4^{sp}} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{1}{16^\beta} \sum_{p=\frac{1-s}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{\Delta(2^{sp}x, 2^{sp}x)}{16^{sp}} \right\} \end{aligned} \tag{3.49}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$. Thus, it follows from the above inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| f(x) + \frac{1}{12} \mathcal{Q}'_2(x) - \frac{1}{12} \mathcal{Q}'_4(x) \right\|_{\mathcal{V}} \\ & \leq \frac{K^q}{[12m^2(m^2-1)]^\beta} \left\{ \frac{1}{4^\beta} \sum_{p=\frac{1-s}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{\Delta(2^{sp}x, 2^{sp}x)}{4^{sp}} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{1}{16^\beta} \sum_{p=\frac{1-s}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{\Delta(2^{sp}x, 2^{sp}x)}{16^{sp}} \right\} \end{aligned} \tag{3.50}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$. Hence, we obtain (3.46) by defining

$$\mathcal{Q}_2(x) = -\frac{1}{12} \mathcal{Q}'_2(x); \quad \mathcal{Q}_4(x) = \frac{1}{12} \mathcal{Q}'_4(x);$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$. □

Corollary 3.6. Let r and t be positive integers and $f : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ be an even mapping satisfying the functional inequality

$$\|\mathcal{F}_{QQ}(x, y)\|_{\mathcal{V}} \leq \begin{cases} r; & t \neq 2, 4; \\ r(|x|^t + |y|^t) & 2t \neq 2, 4; \\ r(|x|^t |y|^t + |x|^{2t} + |y|^{2t}) & 2t \neq 2, 4; \end{cases} \tag{3.51}$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{U}$. Then there exists a unique quadratic function $\mathcal{Q}_2(x) : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ and a unique quartic function $\mathcal{Q}_4(x) : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ satisfying the functional equation (1.2) and

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f(x) - \mathcal{Q}_2(x) - \mathcal{Q}_4(x)\|_{\mathcal{V}} \\ & \leq \begin{cases} \frac{K^{3+q}}{12^\beta} \left[\frac{r_{2c}}{3} + \frac{r_{4c}}{15} \right]; \\ \frac{K^{3+q}}{12^\beta} \left[\frac{r_{2s}}{|4-2^\beta|^t} + \frac{r_{4s}}{|16-2^\beta|^t} \right]; \\ \frac{K^{3+q}}{12^\beta} \left[\frac{r_{2p}}{|4-2^{2\beta}|^t} + \frac{r_{4p}}{|16-2^{2\beta}|^t} \right]; \\ \frac{K^{3+q}}{12^\beta} \left[\frac{r_{2sp}}{|4-2^{2\beta}|^t} + \frac{r_{4sp}}{|16-2^{2\beta}|^t} \right]; \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{3.52}$$

where $r_{2c}, r_{4c}, r_{2s}, r_{4s}, r_{2p}, r_{4p}, r_{2sp}, r_{4sp}$ are respectively defined in (3.30) and (3.44) for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$.

3.2 Case 2: f is Odd

Theorem 3.7. Assume $\rho : \mathcal{U}^2 \rightarrow (0, \infty]$ be a function satisfying the condition

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\rho(2^{qs}x, 2^{qs}y)}{8^{qs}} = 0 \tag{3.53}$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{U}$. Also, let $f : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ be an odd mapping satisfying the functional inequality

$$\|\mathcal{F}_{QQ}(x, y)\|_{\mathcal{V}} \leq \rho(x, y) \tag{3.54}$$



for all $x, y \in \mathcal{U}$. Then there exists a unique cubic function $\mathcal{C}(x) : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ satisfying the functional equation (1.2) and

$$\|f(x) - \mathcal{C}(x)\|_{\mathcal{V}} \leq K^{q-1} \left[\frac{3}{4m^2(m^2-1)} \right]^{\beta} \sum_{p=\frac{1-s}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{\rho(0, 2^{sp}x)}{8^{sp}} \quad (3.55)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$. The mapping $\mathcal{C}(x)$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{C}(x) = \lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^{qs}x)}{8^{qs}} \quad (3.56)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$, where $s = \pm 1$.

Proof. Changing (x, y) by $(0, x)$ in (3.54) and using oddness of f , we arrive

$$\left\| -\frac{m^2(m^2-1)}{6} (f(2x) - 2f(x) - 6f(x)) \right\|_{\mathcal{V}} \leq \rho(0, x) \\ \left[\frac{m^2(m^2-1)}{6} \right]^{\beta} \|f(2x) - 8f(x)\|_{\mathcal{V}} \leq \rho(0, x) \quad (3.57)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$. It follows from above inequality that

$$\left\| \frac{f(2x)}{8} - f(x) \right\|_{\mathcal{V}} \leq \left[\frac{3}{4m^2(m^2-1)} \right]^{\beta} \rho(0, x) \quad (3.58)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$. Letting x by $2x$ and dividing by 8 in (3.58), we observe

$$\left\| \frac{f(2^2x)}{8^2} - \frac{f(2x)}{8} \right\|_{\mathcal{V}} \leq \left[\frac{3}{4m^2(m^2-1)} \right]^{\beta} \frac{\rho(0, 2x)}{8} \quad (3.59)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$. Combining (3.58) and (3.59) one can notice that

$$\left\| \frac{f(2^2x)}{8^2} - f(x) \right\|_{\mathcal{V}} \\ \leq K \left\{ \left\| \frac{f(2x)}{8} - f(x) \right\|_{\mathcal{V}} + \left\| \frac{f(2^2x)}{8^2} - \frac{f(2x)}{8} \right\|_{\mathcal{V}} \right\} \\ = K \left[\frac{3}{4m^2(m^2-1)} \right]^{\beta} \left\{ \rho(0, x) + \frac{\rho(0, 2x)}{8} \right\} \quad (3.60)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$. Generalizing for a positive integer q , one can verify that

$$\left\| \frac{f(2^q x)}{8^q} - f(x) \right\|_{\mathcal{V}} \\ \leq K^{q-1} \left[\frac{3}{4m^2(m^2-1)} \right]^{\beta} \sum_{p=0}^{q-1} \frac{\rho(0, 2^p x)}{8^p} \quad (3.61)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$. The rest of the proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.1. \square

Corollary 3.8. Let r and t be positive integers and $f : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ be an even mapping satisfying the functional inequality

$$\|\mathcal{F}_{QCQ}(x, y)\|_{\mathcal{V}} \leq \begin{cases} r; & t \neq 3; \\ r(|x|^t + |y|^t) & 2t \neq 3; \\ r(|x|^t|y|^t + |x|^{2t} + |y|^{2t}) & \end{cases} \quad (3.62)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{U}$. Then there exists a unique cubic function $\mathcal{C}(x) : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ satisfying the functional equation (1.2) and

$$\|f(x) - \mathcal{C}(x)\|_{\mathcal{V}} \leq \begin{cases} \frac{K^{q-1} r_{3c}}{7}; \\ \frac{K^{q-1} r_{3s} \|x\|^t}{|8 - 2^{\beta t}|}; \\ \frac{K^{q-1} r_{3sp} \|x\|^{2t}}{|8 - 2^{2\beta t}|}; \end{cases} \quad (3.63)$$

where

$$r_{3c} = \left[\frac{3}{4m^2(m^2-1)} \right]^{\beta} \cdot 8|r|; \\ r_{3s} = \left[\frac{3}{4m^2(m^2-1)} \right]^{\beta} \cdot 8r; \\ r_{3sp} = \left[\frac{3}{4m^2(m^2-1)} \right]^{\beta} \cdot 8r; \quad (3.64)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$.

3.3 Case 3: f is Odd-Even

Theorem 3.9. Assume $\rho : \mathcal{U}^2 \rightarrow (0, \infty]$ be a function satisfying the conditions (3.1), (3.31) and (3.53) for all $x, y \in \mathcal{U}$. Also, let $f : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ be a mapping satisfying the functional inequality

$$\|\mathcal{F}_{QCQ}(x, y)\|_{\mathcal{V}} \leq \rho(x, y) \quad (3.65)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{U}$. Then there exists a unique quadratic function $\mathcal{Q}_2(x) : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$, a unique quartic function $\mathcal{Q}_4(x) : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ and a unique cubic function $\mathcal{C}(x) : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ satisfying the functional equation (1.2) and

$$\|f(x) - \mathcal{Q}_2(x) - \mathcal{Q}_4(x) - \mathcal{C}(x)\|_{\mathcal{V}} \\ \leq \frac{K}{2^{\beta}} \left\{ \frac{K^{3+q}}{[12m^2(m^2-1)]^{\beta}} \right. \\ \left. \left\{ \frac{1}{4^{\beta}} \sum_{p=\frac{1-s}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{\Delta(2^{sp}x, 2^{sp}x) + \Delta(-2^{sp}x, -2^{sp}x)}{4^{sp}} \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + \frac{1}{16^{\beta}} \sum_{p=\frac{1-s}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{\Delta(2^{sp}x, -2^{sp}x) + \Delta(2^{sp}x, -2^{sp}x)}{16^{sp}} \right\} \right. \\ \left. + K^{q-1} \left[\frac{3}{4m^2(m^2-1)} \right]^{\beta} \sum_{p=\frac{1-s}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{\rho(0, 2^{sp}x) + \rho(0, -2^{sp}x)}{8^{sp}} \right\} \quad (3.66)$$



where $\Delta(2^{sp}x, 2^{sp}x)$ is defined in (3.4) for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$. The mappings $\mathcal{Q}_2(x)$, $\mathcal{Q}_4(x)$ and $\mathcal{C}(x)$ are defined in (3.5), (3.34) and (3.56) for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$, where $s = \pm 1$.

Proof. Let $f_e(x) = \frac{f(x)+f(-x)}{2}$ for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$. Then it is easy to verify that $f_e(0) = 0$ and $f_e(-x) = f_e(x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$. By the definition of $f_e(x)$ and Theorem 3.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f_e(x) - \mathcal{Q}_2(x) - \mathcal{Q}_4(x)\|_{\mathcal{V}} \\ & \leq \frac{K}{2^\beta} \left\{ \frac{K^{3+q}}{[12m^2(m^2-1)]^\beta} \right. \\ & \left. \left\{ \frac{1}{4^\beta} \sum_{p=\frac{1-s}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{\Delta(2^{sp}x, 2^{sp}x) + \Delta(-2^{sp}x, -2^{sp}x)}{4^{sp}} \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + \frac{1}{16^\beta} \sum_{p=\frac{1-s}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{\Delta(2^{sp}x, 2^{sp}x)}{16^{sp}} \right\} \right\} \quad (3.67) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$. Also, let $f_o(x) = \frac{f(x)-f(-x)}{2}$ for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$. Then it is easy to verify that $f_o(0) = 0$ and $f_o(-x) = -f_o(x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$. By the definition of $f_o(x)$ and Theorem 3.7, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f_o(x) - \mathcal{C}(x)\|_{\mathcal{V}} \\ & \leq \frac{K}{2^\beta} \left\{ K^{q-1} \left[\frac{3}{4m^2(m^2-1)} \right]^\beta \right. \\ & \left. \left\{ \sum_{p=\frac{1-s}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{\rho(0, 2^{sp}x) + \rho(0, -2^{sp}x)}{8^{sp}} \right\} \right\} \quad (3.68) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$. Suppose, if we define a function $f(x)$ by

$$f(x) = f_e(x) + f_o(x) \quad (3.69)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$. It follows from (3.67), (3.68) and (3.69), we arrive our desired result. \square

Corollary 3.10. Let r and t be positive integers and $f: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ be a mapping satisfying the functional inequality

$$\| \mathcal{F}_{QCQ}(x, y) \|_{\mathcal{V}} \leq \begin{cases} r; & \\ r(\|x\|^t + \|y\|^t) & t \neq 2, 3, 4; \\ r(\|x\|^t \|y\|^t + \|x\|^{2t} + \|y\|^{2t}) & 2t \neq 2, 3, 4; \end{cases} \quad (3.70)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{U}$. Then there exists a unique quadratic function $\mathcal{Q}_2(x): \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$, a unique quartic function $\mathcal{Q}_4(x): \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ and a unique cubic function $\mathcal{C}(x): \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ satisfying

the functional equation (1.2) and

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f(x) - \mathcal{Q}_2(x) - \mathcal{Q}_4(x) - \mathcal{C}(x)\|_{\mathcal{V}} \\ & \leq \left\{ \begin{aligned} & \frac{K}{2^\beta} \left\{ \frac{K^{3+q}}{12^\beta} \left[\frac{r_{2c}}{3} + \frac{r_{4c}}{15} \right] + \frac{K^{q-1}r_{3c}}{7} \right\}; \\ & \frac{K}{2^\beta} \left\{ \frac{K^{3+q}\|x\|^t}{12^\beta} \left[\frac{r_{2s}}{|4-2^{\beta t}|} + \frac{r_{4s}}{|16-2^{\beta t}|} \right] \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{K^{q-1}r_{3s}\|x\|^t}{|8-2^{\beta t}|} \right\}; \\ & \frac{K}{2^\beta} \left\{ \frac{K^{3+q}\|x\|^{2t}}{12^\beta} \left[\frac{r_{2sp}}{|4-2^{2\beta t}|} + \frac{r_{4sp}}{|16-2^{2\beta t}|} \right] \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{K^{q-1}r_{3sp}\|x\|^{2t}}{|8-2^{2\beta t}|} \right\}; \end{aligned} \right. \quad (3.71) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$.

4. Stability Results: Fixed Point Method

Now, we will recall the fundamental results in fixed point theory.

Theorem 4.1. (Banach's contraction principle) Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and consider a mapping $T: X \rightarrow X$ which is strictly contractive mapping, that is

$$(A_1) \quad d(Tx, Ty) \leq Ld(x, y)$$

for some (Lipschitz constant) $L < 1$. Then,

- (i) The mapping T has one and only fixed point $x^* = T(x^*)$;
- (ii) The fixed point for each given element x^* is globally attractive, that is

$$(A_2) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^n x = x^*,$$

for any starting point $x \in X$;

(iii) One has the following estimation inequalities:

$$(A_3) \quad d(T^n x, x^*) \leq \frac{1}{1-L} d(T^n x, T^{n+1} x), \forall n \geq 0, \forall x \in X;$$

(A4)

$$d(x, x^*) \leq \frac{1}{1-L} d(x, T x), \forall x \in X.$$

Theorem 4.2. [41] (The alternative of fixed point) Suppose that for a complete generalized metric space (X, d) and a strictly contractive mapping $T: X \rightarrow X$ with Lipschitz constant L . Then, for each given element $x \in X$, either

$$(F_1) \quad d(T^n x, T^{n+1} x) = \infty \quad \forall n \geq 0,$$

or

(F₂) there exists a natural number n_0 such that:

(FPC1) $d(T^n x, T^{n+1} x) < \infty$ for all $n \geq n_0$;

(FPC2) The sequence $(T^n x)$ is convergent to a fixed point y^* of T

(FPC3) y^* is the unique fixed point of T in the set $Y = \{y \in X : d(T^{n_0} x, y) < \infty\}$;

(FPC4) $d(y^*, y) \leq \frac{1}{1-L} d(y, Ty)$ for all $y \in Y$.

4.1 Case 1: f is Even

Theorem 4.3. Let $f: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ be an even mapping for which there exists a function $\rho, \Delta: \mathcal{U}^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ with the condition



$$\lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\alpha_b^{2q}} \text{rho}(\alpha_b^q x, \alpha_b^q y) = 0 \quad (4.1)$$

where

$$\alpha_b = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } b = 0, \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } b = 1 \end{cases} \quad (4.2)$$

such that the functional inequality

$$\|\mathcal{F}_{QCQ}(x, y)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \rho(x, y) \quad (4.3)$$

holds for all $x, y \in \mathcal{U}$. Assume that there exists $L = L(i)$ such that the function

$$\Delta(x, x) = \frac{4}{[4m^2(m^2 - 1)]^\beta} \Delta\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}\right) \quad (4.4)$$

where $\Delta(x, x)$ is defined in (3.15) with the property

$$\frac{1}{\alpha_b} \Delta(\alpha_b x, \alpha_b x) = L \Delta(x, x) \quad (4.5)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$. Then there exists a unique quadratic mapping $\mathcal{Q}_2 : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ satisfying the functional equation (1.2) and

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_2(x) - \mathcal{Q}_2(x)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} &= \|f(2x) - 16f(x) - \mathcal{Q}_2(x)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L}\right) \Delta(x, x) \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$.

Proof. In order to prove the stability result, let us consider the set

$$\mathcal{B} = \{g \mid g : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}, g(0) = 0\}$$

and introduce the generalized metric on \mathcal{B} ,

$$d(f_1, f_2) = \inf\{\eta \in (0, \infty) : \|f_1(x) - f_2(x)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \eta \Delta(x, x), x \in \mathcal{U}\}. \quad (4.7)$$

Hence, (4.7) is complete with respect to the defined metric.

Now, define $J : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ by

$$Jf(x) = \frac{1}{\alpha_b} f(\alpha_b x), \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathcal{U}. \quad (4.8)$$

It is easy to prove that J is a strictly contractive mapping on \mathcal{B} with Lipschitz constant L . Indeed, from (4.7) and $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{B}$, we arrive

$$\begin{aligned} d(f_1, f_2) &\leq \eta \\ \implies \|f_1(x) - f_2(x)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} &\leq \eta \Delta(x, x), \quad x \in \mathcal{U}; \\ \implies \left\| \frac{1}{\alpha_b} f_1(\alpha_b x) - \frac{1}{\alpha_b} f_2(\alpha_b x) \right\|_{\mathcal{Y}} &\leq \frac{\eta}{\alpha_b} \Delta(\alpha_b x, \alpha_b x), \quad x \in \mathcal{U}; \\ \implies \left\| \frac{1}{\alpha_b} f_1(\alpha_b x) - \frac{1}{\alpha_b} f_2(\alpha_b x) \right\|_{\mathcal{Y}} &\leq L\eta \Delta(x, x), \quad x \in \mathcal{U}; \\ \implies \|Jf_1(x) - Jf_2(x)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} &\leq L\eta \Delta(x, x), \quad x \in \mathcal{U}; \\ \implies d(Jf_1, Jf_2) &\leq L\eta. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (3.19) that

$$\left\| \frac{f_2(2x)}{4} - f_2(x) \right\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \frac{\Delta(x, x)}{[4m^2(m^2 - 1)]^\beta} \quad (4.9)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$. From (4.7), (4.8) (4.2) for the case $b = 0$, we reach

$$d(Jf_2, f_2) \leq L\Delta(x, x) = L^{1-0}\Delta(x, x), \quad x \in \mathcal{U}. \quad (4.10)$$

It follows from (3.24) that

$$\left\| f_2(x) - 4f_2\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \right\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \frac{4\Delta\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}\right)}{[4m^2(m^2 - 1)]^\beta} \quad (4.11)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$. From (4.7), (4.8) (4.2) for the case $b = 1$, we reach

$$d(f_2, Jf_2) \leq \Delta(x, x) = L^{1-1}\Delta(x, x), \quad x \in \mathcal{U}. \quad (4.12)$$

Thus, from (4.10) and (4.12), we have

$$d(Jf_2, f_2) \leq L\Delta(x, x) = L^{1-0}\Delta(x, x), \quad x \in \mathcal{U}. \quad (4.13)$$

Hence property (FPC1) holds. It follows from property (FPC2) that there exists a fixed point \mathcal{Q}_2 of J in \mathcal{B} such that

$$\mathcal{Q}_2(x) = \lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\alpha_b^{2q}} f_2(\alpha_b^q x) \quad (4.14)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$. In order to show that \mathcal{Q}_2 satisfies (1.2), replacing (x, y) by $(\alpha_b^q x, \alpha_b^q y)$ and dividing by α_b^{2q} in (4.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{Q}_2(x, y)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} &= \lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\alpha_b^{2q}} \|\mathcal{F}_{QCQ}(\alpha_b^q x, \alpha_b^q y)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \\ &\leq \lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\alpha_b^{2q}} \rho(\alpha_b^q x, \alpha_b^q y) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{U}$. That is \mathcal{Q}_2 satisfies the functional equation (1.2). By property (FPC3), \mathcal{Q}_2 is the unique fixed point of J in the set

$$\mathcal{D} = \{\mathcal{Q}_2 \in \mathcal{B} : d(f, \mathcal{Q}_2) < \infty\},$$

such that

$$\inf\{\eta \in (0, \infty) : \|f(x) - \mathcal{Q}_2(x)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \eta \Delta(x, x), x \in \mathcal{U}\}.$$

Finally, by property (FPC4), we arrive

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(x) - \mathcal{Q}_2(x)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} &\leq \|f(x) - Jf(x)\|_{\mathcal{Y}}, \quad x \in \mathcal{U}; \\ \|f(x) - \mathcal{Q}_2(x)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} &\leq \frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L}, \quad x \in \mathcal{U}; \\ \|f(x) - \mathcal{Q}_2(x)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} &\leq \left(\frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L}\right) \Delta(x, x), \quad x \in \mathcal{U}. \end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof of the theorem. \square



The following corollary is an immediate consequence of Theorem 4.3 concerning the stability of (1.2) for all $x, y \in \mathcal{U}$. Now

Corollary 4.4. *Let $f : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ be an even mapping. If there exist real numbers r and t such that*

$$\|\mathcal{F}_{QCQ}(x, y)\| \leq \begin{cases} r, & t \neq 2; \\ r\{|x|^t + |y|^t\} & 2t \neq 2; \\ r\{|x|^t|y|^t\} & 2t \neq 2; \\ r\{|x|^t|y|^t + \{|x|^{2t} + |y|^{2t}\}\} & 2t \neq 2; \end{cases} \quad (4.15)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{U}$, then there exists a unique quadratic mapping $\mathcal{Q}_2 : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ such that

$$\|f(x) - \mathcal{Q}_2(x)\| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{r_{2c}}{|3|}, \\ \frac{r_{2s}2^t|x|^t}{|4 - 2^{\beta t}|}, \\ \frac{r_{2r}2^{2t}|x|^{2t}}{|4 - 2^{2\beta t}|}, \\ \frac{r_{2sr}2^{2t}|x|^{2t}}{|4 - 2^{2\beta t}|} \end{cases} \quad (4.16)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} r_{2c} &= \frac{4K^3(24m^2 + 6)r}{[4m^2(m^2 - 1)]^\beta}; \\ r_{2s} &= \frac{4K^3(36m^2 + 6 \cdot 2^t + 12m^t - 12)r}{[4m^2(m^2 - 1)]^\beta}; \\ r_{2p} &= \frac{4K^3(12m^2 + 12m^t - 12)r}{[4m^2(m^2 - 1)]^\beta}; \\ r_{2sp} &= \frac{4K^3(48m^2 + 6 \cdot 2^{2t} + 12(m^{2t} + m^t) - 12)r}{[4m^2(m^2 - 1)]^\beta} \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$.

Proof. If we take

$$\rho(x, y) = \begin{cases} r, \\ r\{|x|^t + |y|^t\} \\ r\{|x|^t|y|^t\} \\ r\{|x|^t|y|^t + \{|x|^{2t} + |y|^{2t}\}\} \end{cases} \quad (4.18)$$

$$\frac{1}{\alpha_b^{2q}} \rho(\alpha_b^q x, \alpha_b^q y) = \begin{cases} \frac{r}{\alpha_b^{2q}}, \\ \frac{1}{\alpha_b^{2q}} \{|\alpha_b^n x|^t + |\alpha_b^n y|^t\}, \\ \frac{1}{\alpha_b^{2q}} |\alpha_b^n x|^t |\alpha_b^n y|^t \\ \frac{1}{\alpha_b^{2q}} \{|\alpha_b^n x|^t |\alpha_b^n y|^t + \{|\alpha_b^n x|^{2t} + |\alpha_b^n y|^{2t}\}\} \end{cases} = \begin{cases} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } q \rightarrow \infty, \\ \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } q \rightarrow \infty, \\ \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } q \rightarrow \infty, \\ \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } q \rightarrow \infty. \end{cases}$$

Thus, (4.1) holds. But from (4.4), (4.18) and (3.15), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(x, x) &= \frac{4}{[4m^2(m^2 - 1)]^\beta} \Delta\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}\right) \\ &= \frac{4}{[4m^2(m^2 - 1)]^\beta} K^3 [12m^2 \rho(0, x) + 12(m^2 - 1) \rho(x, x) \\ &\quad + 6\rho(0, 2x) + 12\rho(mx, x)] \\ &= \frac{4K^3}{[4m^2(m^2 - 1)]^\beta} \\ &\quad \times \begin{cases} (24m^2 + 6)r; \\ (36m^2 + 6 \cdot 2^t + 12m^t - 12)r|x|^t; \\ (12m^2 + 12m^t - 12)r|x|^{2t}; \\ (48m^2 + 6 \cdot 2^{2t} + 12(m^{2t} + m^t) - 12)r|x|^{2t}; \end{cases} \\ &= \frac{4K^3}{[4m^2(m^2 - 1)]^\beta} \times \begin{cases} r_{2c}, \\ r_{2s}|x|^t, \\ r_{2p}|x|^{2t}, \\ r_{2sp}|x|^{2t} \end{cases} \quad (4.19) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$. Now, similarly by (4.5) and (4.18), we prove

$$\frac{1}{\alpha_b^2} \Delta(\alpha_b x, \alpha_b x) = \begin{cases} \alpha_b^{-2} r_{2c}, \\ \alpha_b^{t-2} r_{2s}, \\ \alpha_b^{2t-2} r_{2p}, \\ \alpha_b^{2t-2} r_{2sp}. \end{cases} = L \Delta(x, x)$$

Case (i): $L = \alpha_b^{-2} = 2^{-2}$ if $b = 0$ and $L = \frac{1}{\alpha_b^{-2}} = \frac{1}{2^{-2}} = 2^2$ if



$b = 1$. It follows from (4.6) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_2(x) - \mathcal{Q}_2(x)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} &\leq \left(\frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L}\right) \Delta(x,x) \\ &= \left(\frac{(2^{-2})^{1-0}}{1-2^{-2}}\right) \Delta(x,x) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{3}\right) \Delta(x,x); \\ \|f_2(x) - \mathcal{Q}_2(x)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} &\leq \left(\frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L}\right) \Delta(x,x) \\ &= \left(\frac{(2^2)^{1-1}}{1-2^2}\right) \Delta(x,x) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{-3}\right) \Delta(x,x) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$.

Case (ii): $L = \alpha_b^{t-2} = 2^{t-2}$ for $t < 2$ if $b = 0$ and $L = \frac{1}{\alpha_b^{t-2}} = 2^{2-t}$ for $t > 2$ if $b = 1$. It follows from (4.6) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_2(x) - \mathcal{Q}_2(x)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} &\leq \left(\frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L}\right) \Delta(x,x) \\ &= \left(\frac{(2^{t-2})^{1-0}}{1-2^{t-2}}\right) \Delta(x,x) \\ &= \left(\frac{2^t}{4-2^t}\right) \Delta(x,x); \\ \|f_2(x) - \mathcal{Q}_2(x)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} &\leq \left(\frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L}\right) \Delta(x,x) \\ &= \left(\frac{(2^{2-t})^{1-1}}{1-2^{2-t}}\right) \Delta(x,x) \\ &= \left(\frac{2^t}{2^t-4}\right) \Delta(x,x) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$.

Case (iii): $L = \alpha_b^{2t-2} = 2^{2t-2}$ for $2t > 2$ if $b = 0$ and $L = \frac{1}{\alpha_b^{2t-2}} = 2^{2-2t}$ for $2t > 2$ if $b = 1$. It follows from (4.6) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_2(x) - \mathcal{Q}_2(x)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} &\leq \left(\frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L}\right) \Delta(x,x) \\ &= \left(\frac{(2^{2t-2})^{1-0}}{1-2^{2t-2}}\right) \Delta(x,x) \\ &= \left(\frac{2^{2t}}{4-2^{2t}}\right) \Delta(x,x); \\ \|f_2(x) - \mathcal{Q}_2(x)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} &\leq \left(\frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L}\right) \Delta(x,x) \\ &= \left(\frac{(2^{2-2t})^{1-1}}{1-2^{2-2t}}\right) \Delta(x,x) \\ &= \left(\frac{2^{2t}}{2^{2t}-4}\right) \Delta(x,x) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$. Hence the proof is complete. \square

Theorem 4.5. Let $f : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ be an even mapping for which there exists a function $\rho, \Delta : \mathcal{U}^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ with the condition

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\alpha_b^{4Q}} \rho(\alpha_b^q x, \alpha_b^q y) = 0 \quad (4.20)$$

where

$$\alpha_b = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } b = 0, \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } b = 1 \end{cases} \quad (4.21)$$

such that the functional inequality

$$\|\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{Q}}(x,y)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \rho(x,y) \quad (4.22)$$

holds for all $x, y \in \mathcal{U}$. Assume that there exists $L = L(i)$ such that the function

$$\Delta(x,x) = \frac{16}{[16m^2(m^2-1)]^\beta} \Delta\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}\right) \quad (4.23)$$

where $\Delta(x,x)$ is defined in (3.15) with the property

$$\frac{1}{\alpha_b^4} \Delta(\alpha_b x, \alpha_b x) = L \Delta(x,x) \quad (4.24)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$. Then there exists a unique quartic mapping $\mathcal{Q}_4 : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ satisfying the functional equation (1.2) and

$$\|f_4(x) - \mathcal{Q}_4(x)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} = \|f(2x) - 4f(x) - \mathcal{Q}_4(x)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \left(\frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L}\right) \Delta(x,x) \quad (4.25)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$.

Proof. In order to prove the stability result, let us consider the set

$$\mathcal{B} = \{g | g : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}, g(0) = 0\}$$

and introduce the generalized metric on \mathcal{B} ,

$$d(f_1, f_4) = \inf\{\eta \in (0, \infty) : \|f_1(x) - f_4(x)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \eta \Delta(x,x), x \in \mathcal{U}\}. \quad (4.26)$$

Hence, (4.26) is complete with respect to the defined metric.

Now, define $J : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ by

$$Jf(x) = \frac{1}{\alpha_b^4} f(\alpha_b x), \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathcal{U}. \quad (4.27)$$

It is easy to prove that J is a strictly contractive mapping on \mathcal{B} with Lipschitz constant \mathcal{L} . The rest of the proof is similar to that of Theorem 4.3. \square

The following corollary is an immediate consequence of Theorem 4.5 concerning the stability of (1.2). \square



Corollary 4.6. Let $f : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ be an even mapping. If there exist real numbers r and t such that

$$\|\mathcal{F}_{QCQ}(x,y)\| \leq \begin{cases} r, \\ r\{\|x\|^t + \|y\|^t\} \\ r\|x\|^t\|y\|^t \\ r\{\|x\|^t\|y\|^t + \{\|x\|^{2t} + \|y\|^{2t}\}\} \end{cases} \quad (4.28)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{U}$, then there exists a unique quartic mapping $\mathcal{Q}_4 : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ such that

$$\|f(x) - \mathcal{Q}_4(x)\| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{r_{4c}}{|15|}, \\ \frac{r_{4s}2^t\|x\|^t}{|16 - 2^{\beta t}|}, \\ \frac{r_{4p}2^{2t}\|x\|^{2t}}{|16 - 2^{2\beta t}|}, \\ \frac{r_{4sp}2^{2t}\|x\|^{2t}}{|16 - 2^{2\beta t}|} \end{cases} \quad (4.29)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} r_{4c} &= \frac{16K^3(24m^2 + 6)r}{[16m^2(m^2 - 1)]^\beta}, \\ r_{4s} &= \frac{16K^3(36m^2 + 6 \cdot 2^t + 12m^t - 12)r}{[16m^2(m^2 - 1)]^\beta}; \\ r_{4p} &= \frac{16K^3(12m^2 + 12m^t - 12)r}{[16m^2(m^2 - 1)]^\beta}; \\ r_{4sp} &= \frac{16K^3(48m^2 + 6 \cdot 2^{2t} + 12(m^{2t} + m^t) - 12)r}{[16m^2(m^2 - 1)]^\beta} \end{aligned} \quad (4.30)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$.

Theorem 4.7. Let $f : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ be an even mapping for which there exists a function $\rho, \Delta : \mathcal{U}^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ with the conditions (4.1), (4.20) and the satisfying the functional inequality

$$\|\mathcal{F}_{QCQ}(x,y)\|_{\mathcal{V}} \leq \rho(x,y) \quad (4.31)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{U}$. Assume that there exists $L = L(i)$ such that the function (4.4), (4.23) with properties (4.5), (4.24) for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$. Then there exists a unique quadratic function $\mathcal{Q}_2(x) : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ and a unique quartic function $\mathcal{Q}_4(x) : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ satisfying the functional equation (1.2) and

$$\|f(x) - \mathcal{Q}_2(x) - \mathcal{Q}_4(x)\|_{\mathcal{V}} \leq \frac{2K}{12^\beta} \frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L} \Delta(x,x) \quad (4.32)$$

where $\Delta(x,x)$ is defined in (3.15) for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$.

Proof. By Theorem 4.3 there exists a unique quadratic mapping such that

$$\|f(2x) - 16f(x) - \mathcal{Q}_2(x)\|_{\mathcal{V}} \leq \left(\frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L}\right) \Delta(x,x) \quad (4.33)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$. Also, by Theorem 4.5 there exists a unique quartic mapping such that

$$\|f(2x) - 4f(x) - \mathcal{Q}_4(x)\|_{\mathcal{V}} \leq \left(\frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L}\right) \Delta(x,x) \quad (4.34)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} &\|12f(x) + \mathcal{Q}_2(x) - \mathcal{Q}_4(x)\|_{\mathcal{V}} \\ t \neq 4; &= \|f(2x) - 4f(x) - \mathcal{Q}_4(x) - f(2x) + 16f(x) + \mathcal{Q}_2(x)\|_{\mathcal{V}} \\ 2t \neq 4; &\leq K \{ \|f(2x) - 4f(x) - \mathcal{Q}_4(x)\|_{\mathcal{V}} + \|f(2x) - 16f(x) - \mathcal{Q}_2(x)\|_{\mathcal{V}} \} \\ 2t \neq 4; &\leq K \left\{ \left(\frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L}\right) \Delta(x,x) + \left(\frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L}\right) \Delta(x,x) \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (4.35)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$. Thus, it follows from the above inequality that

$$\left\| f(x) + \frac{1}{12} \mathcal{Q}_2(x) - \frac{1}{12} \mathcal{Q}_4(x) \right\|_{\mathcal{V}} \leq \frac{2K}{12^\beta} \frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L} \Delta(x,x) \quad (4.36)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$. Hence, we obtain (4.32) by defining

$$\mathcal{Q}_2(x) = -\frac{1}{12} \mathcal{Q}_2(x); \quad \mathcal{Q}_4(x) = \frac{1}{12} \mathcal{Q}_4(x);$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$. □

Corollary 4.8. Let r and t be positive integers and $f : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ be an even mapping satisfying the functional inequality

$$\|\mathcal{F}_{QCQ}(x,y)\|_{\mathcal{V}} \leq \begin{cases} r; \\ r(\|x\|^t + \|y\|^t) \\ r\|x\|^t\|y\|^t \\ r(\|x\|^t\|y\|^t + \|x\|^{2t} + \|y\|^{2t}) \end{cases} \quad \begin{matrix} t \neq 2, 4; \\ 2t \neq 2, 4; \\ 2t \neq 2, 4; \end{matrix} \quad (4.37)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{U}$. Then there exists a unique quadratic function $\mathcal{Q}_2(x) : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ and a unique quartic function $\mathcal{Q}_4(x) : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ satisfying the functional equation (1.2) and

$$\begin{aligned} &\|f(x) - \mathcal{Q}_2(x) - \mathcal{Q}_4(x)\|_{\mathcal{V}} \\ &\leq \begin{cases} \frac{2K}{12^\beta} \left\{ \frac{r_{2c}}{|3|} + \frac{r_{4c}}{|15|} \right\}, \\ \frac{2K}{12^\beta} \left\{ \frac{r_{2s}2^t\|x\|^t}{|4 - 2^{\beta t}|} + \frac{r_{4s}2^t\|x\|^t}{|16 - 2^{\beta t}|} \right\}, \\ \frac{2K}{12^\beta} \left\{ \frac{r_{2p}2^{2t}\|x\|^{2t}}{|4 - 2^{2\beta t}|} + \frac{r_{4p}2^{2t}\|x\|^{2t}}{|16 - 2^{2\beta t}|} \right\}, \\ \frac{2K}{12^\beta} \left\{ \frac{r_{2sp}2^{2t}\|x\|^{2t}}{|4 - 2^{2\beta t}|} + \frac{r_{4sp}2^{2t}\|x\|^{2t}}{|16 - 2^{2\beta t}|} \right\} \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (4.38)$$

where $r_{2c}, r_{4c}, r_{2s}, r_{4s}, r_{2p}, r_{4p}, r_{2sp}, r_{4sp}$ are respectively defined in (4.17), (4.30) for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$.

4.2 Case: 2 f is Odd

Theorem 4.9. Let $f : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ be an odd mapping for which there exists a function $\rho : \mathcal{U}^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ with the condition

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\alpha_b^{3q}} \rho(\alpha_b^q x, \alpha_b^q y) = 0 \quad (4.39)$$

where

$$\alpha_b = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } b = 0, \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } b = 1 \end{cases} \quad (4.40)$$



such that the functional inequality

$$\|\mathcal{F}_{QCQ}(x,y)\|_{\mathcal{V}} \leq \rho(x,y) \quad (4.41)$$

holds for all $x,y \in \mathcal{U}$. Assume that there exists $L = L(i)$ such that the function

$$\rho(0,x) = 8 \left[\frac{3}{4m^2(m^2-1)} \right]^\beta \rho\left(0, \frac{x}{2}\right) \quad (4.42)$$

with the property

$$\frac{1}{\alpha_b^3} \rho(0, \alpha_b x) = L \rho(0,x) \quad (4.43)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$. Then there exists a unique cubic mapping $\mathcal{C} : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ satisfying the functional equation (1.2) and

$$\|f(x) - \mathcal{C}(x)\|_{\mathcal{V}} \leq \left(\frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L} \right) \rho(x,x) \quad (4.44)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$.

Proof. In order to prove the stability result, let us consider the set

$$\mathcal{B} = \{g : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}, g(0) = 0\}$$

and introduce the generalized metric on \mathcal{B} ,

$$d(f_1, f_3) = \inf\{\eta \in (0, \infty) : \|f_1(x) - f_3(x)\|_{\mathcal{V}} \leq \eta \rho(x,x), x \in \mathcal{U}\}. \quad (4.45)$$

Hence, (4.45) is complete with respect to the defined metric.

Now, define $J : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ by

$$Jf(x) = \frac{1}{\alpha_b^3} f(\alpha_b x), \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathcal{U}. \quad (4.46)$$

It is easy to prove that J is a strictly contractive mapping on \mathcal{B} with Lipschitz constant \mathcal{L} . The rest of the proof is similar to that of Theorem 4.3. \square

The following corollary is an immediate consequence of Theorem 4.9 concerning the stability of (1.2).

Corollary 4.10. *Let $f : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ be an oddmapping. If there exist real numbers r and t such that*

$$\|\mathcal{F}_{QCQ}(x,y)\| \leq \begin{cases} r, \\ r\{|x|^t + |y|^t\} \\ r\{|x|^t|y|^t + \{|x|^{2t} + |y|^{2t}\}\} \end{cases} \quad (4.47)$$

for all $x,y \in \mathcal{U}$, then there exists a unique cubic mapping $\mathcal{C} : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ such that

$$\|f(x) - \mathcal{C}(x)\| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{r_{3c}}{|\mathcal{L}|}, \\ \frac{r_{3s} 2^t |x|^t}{|8 - 2^{\beta t}|}, \\ \frac{r_{3sp} 2^{2t} |x|^{2t}}{|8 - 2^{2\beta t}|} \end{cases} \quad (4.48)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} r_{3c} &= 8r \left[\frac{3}{4m^2(m^2-1)} \right]^\beta; \\ r_{3s} &= 8r \left[\frac{3}{4m^2(m^2-1)} \right]^\beta; \\ r_{3sp} &= 8r \left[\frac{3}{4m^2(m^2-1)} \right]^\beta \end{aligned} \quad (4.49)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$.

4.3 Case 3: f is Odd-Even

Theorem 4.11. *Let $f : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ be an even mapping for which there exists a function $\rho, \Delta : \mathcal{U}^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ with the conditions (4.1), (4.20), (4.39) and the satisfying the functional inequality*

$$\|\mathcal{F}_{QCQ}(x,y)\|_{\mathcal{V}} \leq \rho(x,y) \quad (4.50)$$

for all $x,y \in \mathcal{U}$. Assume that there exists $L = L(i)$ such that the function (4.4), (4.23), (4.42) with properties (4.5), (4.24), (4.43) for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$. Then there exists a unique quadratic function $\mathcal{Q}_2(x) : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$, a unique quartic function $\mathcal{Q}_4(x) : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ and a unique cubic function $\mathcal{C}(x) : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ satisfying the functional equation (1.2) and

$$\begin{aligned} &\|f(x) - \mathcal{Q}_2(x) - \mathcal{Q}_4(x) - \mathcal{C}(x)\|_{\mathcal{V}} \\ &\leq \frac{K}{2^\beta} \left(\frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L} \right) \left\{ \frac{2K}{12^\beta} (\Delta(x,x) + \Delta(-x,-x)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (\rho(0,-x) + \rho(0,-x)) \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (4.51)$$

where $\Delta(x,x)$ is defined in (3.15) for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$.

Proof. By definition of $f_e(x)$ and Theorem 4.7, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|f_e(x) - \mathcal{Q}_2(x) - \mathcal{Q}_4(x)\|_{\mathcal{V}} \\ &\leq \frac{2K^2}{24^\beta} \left(\frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L} \right) (\Delta(x,x) + \Delta(-x,-x)) \end{aligned} \quad (4.52)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$. Also, by the definition of $f_o(x)$ and Theorem 4.9, we have

$$\|f_o(x) - \mathcal{C}(x)\|_{\mathcal{V}} \leq \frac{K}{2^\beta} \left(\frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L} \right) (\rho(0,x) + \rho(0,-x)) \quad (4.53)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$. Suppose, if we define a function $f(x)$ by

$$f(x) = f_e(x) + f_o(x) \quad (4.54)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$. It follows from (4.52), (4.53) and (4.54), we arrive our desired result. \square

Corollary 4.12. *Let r and t be positive integers and $f : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ be a mapping satisfying the functional inequality*

$$\|\mathcal{F}_{QCQ}(x,y)\|_{\mathcal{V}} \leq \begin{cases} r, \\ r(|x|^t + |y|^t) \\ r(|x|^t|y|^t + |x|^{2t} + |y|^{2t}) \end{cases} \quad \begin{matrix} t \neq 2, 3, 4; \\ 2t \neq 2, 3, 4; \end{matrix}$$



$$(4.55)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{U}$. Then there exists a unique quadratic function $\mathcal{Q}_2(x) : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$, a unique quartic function $\mathcal{Q}_4(x) : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ and a unique cubic function $\mathcal{C}(x) : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ satisfying the functional equation (1.2) and

$$\|f(x) - \mathcal{Q}_2(x) - \mathcal{Q}_4(x) - \mathcal{C}(x)\|_{\mathcal{V}} \leq \begin{cases} \frac{K}{2^\beta} \left\{ \frac{2K}{12^\beta} \left[\frac{r_{2c}}{|3|} + \frac{r_{4c}}{|15|} \right] + \frac{r_{3c}}{|7|} \right\}, \\ \frac{K}{2^\beta} \left\{ \frac{2K}{12^\beta} \left[\frac{r_{2s}2^t||x||^t}{|4-2^{2\beta t}|} + \frac{r_{4s}2^t||x||^t}{|16-2^{2\beta t}|} \right] \right. \\ \left. + \frac{r_{3s}2^t||x||^t}{|8-2^{2\beta t}|} \right\}, \\ \frac{K}{2^\beta} \left\{ \frac{2K}{12^\beta} \left[\frac{r_{2sp}2^{2t}||x||^{2t}}{|4-2^{2\beta t}|} + \frac{r_{4sp}2^{2t}||x||^{2t}}{|16-2^{2\beta t}|} \right] \right. \\ \left. + \frac{r_{3sp}2^{2t}||x||^{2t}}{|8-2^{2\beta t}|} \right\} \end{cases} \quad (4.56)$$

where $r_{2c}, r_{3c}, r_{4c}, r_{2s}, r_{3s}, r_{4s}, r_{2sp}, r_{3sp}, r_{4sp}$ are respectively defined in (4.17), (4.30), (4.49) for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$.

References

- [1] J. Aczel and J. Dhombres, *Functional Equations in Several Variables*, Cambridge Univ, Press, 1989.
- [2] T. Aoki, *On the stability of the linear transformation in Banach spaces*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 2 (1950), 64-66.
- [3] M. Arunkumar, S. Murthy, G. Ganapathy, *Solution and Stability of n-dimensional Quadratic functional equation*, ICCMSC, 2012 Computer and Information Science(CCIS), Springer Verlag-Germany, Vol. 238, (2012), 384-394.
- [4] M. Arunkumar, S. Ramamoorthi, *Solution and stability of a quadratic functional Equation in Banach space and its application*, Far East Journal of Dynamical Systems, Vol 18, No 1, (2012), 21 - 32.
- [5] M. Arunkumar, John M. Rassias, *On the generalized Ulam-Hyers stability of an AQ-mixed type functional equation with counter examples*, Far East Journal of Applied Mathematics, Volume 71, No. 2, (2012), 279-305.
- [6] M. Arunkumar, P. Agilan, *Additive Quadratic functional equation are Stable in Banach space: A Direct Method*, Far East Journal of Applied Mathematics, Volume 80, No. 1, (2013), 105 - 121.
- [7] M. Arunkumar, P. Agilan, C. Devi Shyamala Mary, *Permanence of A Generalized AQ Functional Equation In Quasi-Beta Normed Spaces, A Fixed Point Approach*, Proceedings of the International Conference on Mathematical Methods and Computation, Jamal Academic Research Journal an Interdisciplinary, (February 2014), 315-324.
- [8] M. Arunkumar, *Perturbation of n Dimensional AQ - mixed type Functional Equation via Banach Spaces and Banach Algebra: Hyers Direct and Alternative Fixed Point Methods*, International Journal of Advanced Mathematical Sciences (IJAMS), Vol. 2 (1), (2014), 34-56.
- [9] M. Arunkumar, S. Murthy, S. Ramamoorthi, G. Ganapathy, *Stability of a n- dimensional quadratic Functional equation in Quasi-Beta Normed Spaces: Direct and Fixed Point Methods*, Jamal Academic Research Journal an Interdisciplinary, (2015), 39-46 .
- [10] M. Arunkumar, P. Agilan, *Stability of A AQC Functional Equation in Fuzzy Normed Spaces: Direct Method*, Jamal Academic Research Journal an Interdisciplinary, (2015), 78-86 .
- [11] M. Arunkumar, P. Agilan, N. Mahesh kumar, *Ulam-Hyers stability of a r_i type n dimensional additive quadratic functional equation in quasi beta normed spaces: a fixed point approach*, Malaya Journal of Mathematics, (2015), 192 - 202.
- [12] M. Arunkumar, P. Agilan, *Solution and Ulam-Hyers stability of a r_i type n dimensional additive quadratic functional equation in quasi beta normed spaces*, Malaya Journal of Mathematics, (2015), 203 - 214.
- [13] M. Arunkumar, P. Agilan, *Stability of a Quadratic- Cubic Functional Equation In Intuitionistic Fuzzy Normed Spaces*, International Journal of Applied Engineering Research, ISSN 0973-4562 Vol. 11 No.1 (2016), 339-349.
- [14] J. M. Rassias, M. Arunkumar, E.sathya, N. Mahesh Kumar, *Generalized Ulam - Hyers Stability Of A (AQQ): Additive - Quadratic - Quartic Functional Equation*, Malaya Journal of Matematik, 5(1) (2017), 122-142.
- [15] John M. Rassias, M. Arunkumar, N. Mahesh Kumar, *General Solution And Generalized Ulam - Hyers Stability Of A Generalized 3 Dimensional AQCQ Functional Equation*, Malaya Journal of Matematik, 5(1)(2017), 149-185.
- [16] J.H. Bae, *On the stability of n-Dimensional quadratic functional equations*, Comm.Kor.Math.Soc, 16 (2001) No.1 103-113.
- [17] K. Balamurugan, M. Arunkumar, P. Ravindiran, *A fixed point approach to the stability of a mixed type Additive-Cubic (AC) Functional equation in Quasi-Beta Normed Spaces*, Jamal Academic Research Journal an Interdisciplinary, (2015), 58-73.
- [18] Y. Benyamini, J. Lindenstrauss, *Geometric Nonlinear Functional Analysis*, vol. 1, Colloq. Publ., vol. 48, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000.
- [19] C. Borelli, G.L. Forti, *On a general Hyers-Ulam stability*, Internat J. Math. Math. Sci, 18 (1995), 229-236.
- [20] L. Cadariu, V. Radu, *Fixed points and the stability of quadratic functional equations*, An. Univ. Timisoara, Ser. Mat. Inform. 41 (2003), 25-48.
- [21] L. Cadariu, V. Radu, *On the stability of the Cauchy functional equation: A fixed point approach*, Grazer Math. Ber. 346 (2004), 43-52.
- [22] E. Castillo, A. Iglesias, R. Ruiz-coho, *Functional Equations in Applied Sciences*, Elsevier, B.V. Amslerdam, 2005.



- [23] P.W.Cholewa, *Remarks on the stability of functional equations*, Aequationes Math., 27 (1984), 76-86.
- [24] S.Czerwik, *On the stability of the quadratic mappings in normed spaces*, Abh.Math.Sem.Univ Hamburg., 62 (1992), 59-64.
- [25] S. Czerwik, *Functional Equations and Inequalities in Several Variables*, World Scientific, River Edge, NJ, 2002.
- [26] M. Eshaghi Gordji, H. Khodaie, *Solution and stability of generalized mixed type cubic, quadratic and additive functional equation in quasi-Banach spaces*, arxiv: 0812.2939v1 Math FA, 15 Dec 2008.
- [27] M. Eshaghi Gordji, A. Ebadian, S. Zolfaghari, *Stability of a functional equation deriving from cubic and quartic functions*, Abstr Appl Anal 2008, 17. (Article ID 801904).
- [28] M. Eshaghi Gordji, N.Ghobadipour, J. M. Rassias, *Fuzzy stability of additive-quadratic functional Equations*, arxiv:0903.0842v1 [math.FA] 4 Mar 2009.
- [29] M. Eshaghi Gordji, M. Bavand Savadkouhi, Choonkil Park, *Quadratic-Quartic Functional Equations in RN-Spaces*, Journal of Inequalities and Applications, Vol. 2009, Article ID 868423, 14 pages, doi:10.1155/2009/868423.
- [30] M. Eshaghi Gordji, M. B. Savadkouhi, *Stability of Mixed Type Cubic and Quartic Functional Equations in Random Normed Spaces*, Journal of Inequalities and Applications, Volume 2009, Article ID 527462, 9 pages.
- [31] M. Eshaghi Gordji and H. Khodaie, *Solution and stability of generalized mixed type cubic, quadratic and additive functional equation in quasi-Banach spaces*, Nonlinear Anal., 71 (11), (2009), 5629–5643.
- [32] M. Eshaghi Gordji, H. Khodaie, R. Khodabakhsh *General quartic-cubic-quadratic functional equation in Non-Archimedean normed spaces*, U.P.B. Sci. Bull., Series A, Vol. 72, Iss. 3, (2010), 69-84.
- [33] M. Eshaghi Gordji, H. Khodaie, and H. M. Kim, *Approximate quartic and quadratic mappings in quasi-Banach spaces*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 2011 (2011), Artical ID 734567, 18 pp.
- [34] P. Gavruta, *A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 184 (1994), 431-436.
- [35] D.H. Hyers, *On the stability of the linear functional equation*, Proc.Nat. Acad.Sci.,U.S.A.,27 (1941) 222-224.
- [36] D.H. Hyers, G. Isac, Th.M. Rassias, *Stability of functional equations in several variables*, Birkhauser, Basel, 1998.
- [37] S.M. Jung, *Hyers-Ulam-Rassias Stability of Functional Equations in Mathematical Analysis*, Hadronic Press, Palm Harbor, 2001.
- [38] Pl. Kannappan, *Quadratic functional equation inner product spaces*, Results Math. 27, No.3-4, (1995), 368-372.
- [39] Pl. Kannappan, *Functional Equations and Inequalities with Applications*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, 2009.
- [40] L. Maligranda, *A result of Tosio Aoki about a generalization of Hyers-Ulam stability of additive functions—a question of priority*, Aequationes Math., 75 (2008), 289-296.
- [41] B. Margolis and J. B. Diaz, *A fixed point theorem of the alternative for contractions on a generalized complete metric space*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 126 (1968), 305-309.
- [42] V.Radu, *The fixed point alternative and the stability of functional equations*, in: *Seminar on Fixed Point Theory Cluj-Napoca*, Vol. IV, 2003, in press.
- [43] Matina J. Rassias, M. Arunkumar, S. Ramamoorthi, *Stability of the Leibniz additive-quadratic functional equation in Quasi-Beta normed space: Direct and fixed point methods*, Journal Of Concrete And Applicable Mathematics (JCAAM), Vol. 14 No. 1-2, (2014), 22 - 46.
- [44] Matina J. Rassias, M. Arunkumar, E. Sathya, *Stability of a k– cubic functional equation in Quasi - beta normed spaces: direct and Fixed point methods*, British Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science, 8 (5), (2015), 346 - 360.
- [45] J.M. Rassias, *On approximately of approximately linear mappings by linear mappings*, J. Funct. Anal. USA, 46, (1982) 126-130.
- [46] J. M. Rassias, *Solution of the Ulam stability problem for quartic mappings*, Glas. Mat. Ser. III, 34(54) No. 3 (1999), 243–252.
- [47] J. M. Rassias, *Solution of the Ulam problem for cubic mappings*, An. Univ. Timișoara Ser. Mat.-Inform., 38 No. 1(2000), 121–132.
- [48] J.M. Rassias, H.M. Kim, *Generalized Hyers-Ulam stability for general additive functional equations in quasi- β -normed spaces* J. Math. Anal. Appl. 356 (2009), no. 1, 302-309.
- [49] John M. Rassias, M. Arunkumar, E. Sathya, N. Mahesh Kumar, *Solution And Stability Of A ACQ Functional Equation In Generalized 2-Normed Spaces*, Intern. J. Fuzzy Mathematical Archive, Vol. 7, No. 2, (2015), 213-224.
- [50] Th.M. Rassias, *On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces*, Proc.Amer.Math. Soc., 72 (1978), 297-300.
- [51] Th.M.Rassias, *On the stability of the functional equations in Banach spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. , 251, (2000), 264-284.
- [52] Th.M. Rassias, *Functional Equations, Inequalities and Applications*, Kluwer Acedamic Publishers, Dordrecht, Bostan London, 2003.
- [53] K. Ravi, M. Arunkumar and J.M. Rassias, *On the Ulam stability for the orthogonally general Euler-Lagrange type functional equation*, International Journal of Mathematical Sciences, Autumn 2008 Vol.3, No. 08, 36-47.
- [54] S. Rolewicz, *Metric Linear Spaces*, Reidel, Dordrecht, 1984.
- [55] P. K. Sahoo, Pl. Kannappan, *Introduction to functional equations*, CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2011.



- [56] F.Skof, *Proprietà locali e approssimazione di operatori*, Rend. Sem. Mat.Fis. Milano, 53 (1983), 113-129.
- [57] J. Tabor, *Stability of the Cauchy functional equation in quasi-Banach spaces*, Ann. Polon. Math. 83 (2004) 243-255.
- [58] S.M. Ulam, *Problems in Modern Mathematics*, Science Editions, Wiley, NewYork, 1964.
- [59] T.Z. Xu, J.M. Rassias, W.X Xu, *Generalized Ulam-Hyers stability of a general mixed AQCQ-functional equation in multi-Banach spaces: a fixed point approach*, Eur. J. Pure Appl. Math., 3 (2010), 1032-1047.
- [60] T.Z. Xu, J.M. Rassias, M.J. Rassias, W.X. Xu, *A fixed point approach to the stability of quintic and sextic functional equations in quasi- β -normed spaces*, J. Inequal. Appl. 2010, Art. ID 423231, 23 pp.
- [61] T.Z. Xu, J. M. Rassias, W.X. Xu, *A fixed point approach to the stability of a general mixed AQCQ-functional equation in non-Archimedean normed spaces*, Discrete Dyn. Nat. Soc. 2010, Art. ID 812545, 24 pages.
- [62] T.Z. Xu, J.M. Rassias, *Approximate Septic and Octic mappings in quasi- β -normed spaces*, J. Comput. Anal. Appl., 15, No. 6 (2013), 1110–1119.

ISSN(P):2319 – 3786
Malaya Journal of Matematik
ISSN(O):2321 – 5666

