

**https://doi.org/10.26637/MJM0601/0021**

# **Notion of** *L***-fuzzy soft subhemirings of a hemiring**

N. Anitha<sup>1\*</sup> and K. Geetha<sup>2</sup>

## **Abstract**

The purpose of this paper, is to study the algebraic nature of an *L*-fuzzy soft subhemirings of a hemiring. Here we have defined the structure L- fuzzy soft subhemiring of a hemiring and developed some of its basic properties as theorems.

## **Keywords**

Soft set, Fuzzy soft set, *L*-fuzzy soft set, L-fuzzy soft subhemiring, anti-L-fuzzy soft subhemiring and pseudo *L*-fuzzy soft coset.

**AMS Subject Classification**05C38,15A15, 05A15, 15A18.

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Mathematics, Periyar University PG Extension Center, Dharmapuri-636 705, Tamil Nadu, India.* <sup>2</sup>*Department of Mathematics, Vivekanandha College of Arts And Sciences For Women(Autonomous), Tiruchengodu, Namakkal, Tamil Nadu, India.*

\***Corresponding author**: 1 rajeswari.anitha@yahoo.com

**Article History**: Received 24 October 2017; Accepted 30 December 2017 **COVID-100 COVID-100 C** 2017 MJM.

## **Contents**



<span id="page-0-0"></span>**[References](#page-3-0) . 161**

## **1. Introduction**

The concept of fuzzy sets was initiated by Zadeh. Then it has become a vigorous area of research in engineering, medical science, social science, graph theory etc. In 1999 Molodtsov presented the soft set and estrablished the crucial consequences of the new thorey. Many analysis have contributed towards the fuzzification of the idea of the Fuzzy soft set. In specific, nearrings and a few sorts of semirings have been demonstrated extremely helpful. Semirings called Hemiring which are regarded as a generalization of Rings have been found useful in solving problems in different areas of applied Mathematics and information sciences because a semiring provides an algebraic framework for modeling and studying the factor in these applied areas.They play important role in studying of automata theory formal language theory. After the introduction of fuzzy sets by L.A. Zadeh [\[13\]](#page-4-0), several researchers explored on the generalization of the concept of fuzzy sets .M. Borah, T. J. Neog and D. K. Sut, [\[5\]](#page-4-1) were developed some operations of fuzzy soft sets,On operations of soft sets was developed byA.Sezgin and A. O. Atagun, [\[11\]](#page-4-2) and Kumud Borgohain and Chittaranjan Gohain, [\[7\]](#page-4-3) was

developed some New operations on Fuzzy Soft Sets,

The main purpose of this paper is to introduce the basic notion of *L*-Fuzzy soft sets and the author investigate the characterizations of *L*-Fuzzy soft sets and basic Properties are introduced.

## **2. Preliminaries**

<span id="page-0-1"></span>In this session  $R$  is said to be a hemiring if it is an addition have defined some basic definitions which is needed for our further studies.

**Definition 2.1.** A pair  $(F, E)$  is called a soft set (over  $U$ ) if *and only if F is a mapping of E into the set of all subsets of the set U.*

*In other words, the soft set is a parameterized family of subsets of the set U. Every set*  $F(\varepsilon)(\varepsilon \in E)$  *from this family maybe considered as the set of* ε*-elements of the soft sets*  $(F, E)$  *or as the set of*  $\varepsilon$ -approximate elements of the soft set.

**Definition 2.2.** *Let*  $(U, E)$  *be a soft univerer and*  $A \subseteq E$ *. Let*  $\mathscr{F}(U)$  *be the set of all fuzzy subsets in U. A pair*  $(F, A)$  *is called a fuzzy soft set over*  $U$ *, where*  $F$ *, is a mapping given by*  $\widetilde{F}: A \to \mathscr{F}(U).$ 

**Definition 2.3.** *Let X be a non-empty set and*  $L = (L, \leq)$  *be a lattice with least element 0 and greatest element 1.*

Definition 2.4. *Let R be a hemiring. An L–Fuzzy soft subset* (*F*,*A*) *of R is said to be an Fuzzy soft subhemiring (FSHR) of R if it satisfies the following conditions:*

(*i*) 
$$
\mu_{(F,A)}(x+y) \geq {\mu_{(F,A)}(x) \wedge \mu_{(F,A)}(y)}
$$

 $(\iota i)$   $\mu_{(F,A)}(xy) \geq {\mu_{(F,A)}(x) \wedge \mu_{(F,A)}(y)}$  *for all x and y in R*.

**Definition 2.5.** *Let*  $(R, +, \cdot)$  *be a hemiring. An L-fuzzy soft set subhemiring* (*F*,*A*) *of R is said to be an L-fuzzy soft set normal subhemiring (FSNSHR) of R if it satisfies the following conditions:*

 $\mu_{(F,A)}(xy) = \mu_{(F,A)}(yx)$  *for all x and y in R.* 

**Definition 2.6.** If  $(R, +, \cdot)$  and  $(R', +, \cdot)$  are any two hemir*ings, then the function*  $f : R \to R'$  *is called a homomorphism*  $if f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y)$  and  $f(xy) = f(x)f(y)$ , for all *x* and *y in R*.

**Definition 2.7.** If  $(R, +, \cdot)$  and  $(R', +, \cdot)$  are any two hemir- ${\rm diag }$ *s, then the function*  $f: R \to R'$  *is called a anti-homomorphism*  $if f(x+y) = f(y) + f(x)$  *and*  $f(xy) = f(y)f(x)$ , *for all x and y in R*.

**Definition 2.8.** *Let*  $(R, +, \cdot)$  *and*  $(R', +, \cdot)$  *be any two hemirings.* Then the function  $f : R \to R'$  be a hemiring *anti-homomorphism. If f is one-to-one and onto, then f is called a hemiring anti-isomorphism.*

Definition 2.9. *Let R and R* <sup>0</sup> *be any two hemirings. Let f* :  $R \rightarrow R'$  *be any function and let*  $A$  *be an Fuzzy soft subhemiring in*  $R$ ,  $V$  *be an Fuzzy soft subhemiring in*  $f(R) = R'$ , *defined by*  $\mu_{V(y)} = \sup \left( \mu_{(F,A)} \right) (x)$  *for all x in R and y in R*<sup>*'*</sup>*. Then A x*∈*f* −1(*y*)

*is called a preimage of V under f and is denoted by*  $f^{-1}(V)$ .

Definition 2.10. *Let* (*F*,*A*) *be an Fuzzy soft subhemiring of a hemiring*  $(R, +, \cdot)$  *and a in R. Then the pseudo Fuzzy soft coset*  $(a(F,A)^p)$  *is defined by*  $((a\mu_{(F,A)})^p)(x) = p(a)\mu_{(F,A)}(x)$ *, for every x in R.*

## <span id="page-1-0"></span>**3. Fuzzy soft subhemirings of a hemiring**

Theorem 3.1. *If* (*F*,*A*) *is an L-Fuzzy soft subhemiring of a hemiring* (*R*,+,·)*, then* (*F*,*A*) *is an L-Fuzzy soft subhemiring of R*.

*Proof.* Let (*F*,*A*) be an *L*−fuzzy soft set subhemiring of a hemiring *R*. Consider  $(F, A) = \{ \langle x, \mu_{(F,A)}(x) \rangle \}$ , for all *x* in *R*, we take  $(F, A) = (F, B) = \{ \langle x, \mu_{(F,B)}(x), \rangle \}$ , where  $\mu_{(F,B)}(x) =$  $\mu_{(F,A)}(x)$ . Clearly  $\mu_{(F,B)}(x+y) \geq {\mu_{(F,B)}(x) \wedge \mu_{(F,B)}(y)}$ , for all *x* and *y* in *R* and  $\mu_{(F,B)}(xy) \ge \{ \mu_{(F,B)}(x) \wedge \mu_{(F,B)}(y) \}$ , for all *x* and *y* in *R*. Since *A* is an fuzzy soft subhemiring of *R*, we have  $\mu_{(F,A)}(x+y) \geq {\mu_{(F,A)}(x) \wedge \mu_{(F,A)}(y)}$  for all *x* and *y* in *R*, and  $\mu_{(F,A)}(xy) \geq {\mu_{(F,A)}(x) \wedge \mu_{(F,A)}(y)}$  for all *x* and *y* in *R*. Hence  $(F, B) = (F, A)$  is an *L*-fuzzy soft subhemiring of a hemiring *R*.  $\Box$ 

Theorem 3.2. *If* (*F*,*A*) *is an L-Fuzzy soft subhemiring of a hemiring*  $(R, +, \cdot)$ *, then*  $(F, A)$  *is an L-Fuzzy soft subhemiring of R*.

*Proof.* Let (*F*,*A*) be an *L*−fuzzy soft set subhemiring of a hemiring *R*. That is  $(F, A) = \{ \langle x, \mu_{(F,A)}(x) \rangle \}$ , for all *x* in *R*. Let  $(F, A) = (F, B) = \{ \langle x, \mu_{(F,B)}(x), \rangle \}$ , for all *x* and *y* in *R*. Since  $(F, A)$  is an fuzzy soft subhemiring of  $R$ , which implies that  $1 - \mu_{(F,B)}(xy) \geq \{(1 - \mu_{(F,B)}(x)) \vee (1 - \mu_{(F,B)}(y))\}$  which implies that

$$
\mu_{(F,B)}(xy) \ge 1 - \{(1 - \mu_{(F,B)}(x)) \vee (1 - \mu_{(F,B)}(y))\} = \{\mu_{(F,B)}(x) \wedge \mu_{(F,B)}(y)\}.
$$

Therefore

$$
\mu_{(F,B)}(xy) \geq \{ \mu_{(F,B)}(x) \wedge \mu_{(F,B)}(y) \}
$$

for all *x* and *y* in *R*. Hence  $(F, B) = (F, A)$  is an *L*-fuzzy soft subhemiring of a hemiring *R*. П

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $(R, +, \cdot)$  be a hemiring and  $(F, A)$  be a non *empty subset of R. Then* (*F*,*A*) *is a subhemiring of R if and*  $\langle \mathit{only if}(F,B)=\left\langle \chi_{(F,A)},\overline{\chi}_{(F,A)} \right\rangle$  *is a L=fuzzy soft subhemiring of R, where*  $\chi_{(F,A)}$  *is the characteristic function.* 

*Proof.* Let  $(R, +, \cdot)$  be a hemiring and  $(F, A)$  be a non empty subset of *R*. First let (*F*,*A*) be a subhemiring of *R*. Take *x* and *y* in *R*.

**Case:1** If *x* and *y* in  $(F, A)$ , then  $x + y$ , *xy* in  $(F, A)$ , since (*F*,*A*) is a subhemiring of *R*,

$$
\chi_{(F,A)}(x)
$$
  
=  $\chi_{(F,A)}(y)$   
=  $\chi_{(F,A)}(x+y)$   
=  $\chi_{(F,A)}(xy)$   
= 1

and

$$
\chi_{(F,A)}(x)
$$
  
=  $\chi_{(F,A)}(y)$   
=  $\chi_{(F,A)}(x+y)$   
=  $\chi_{(F,A)}(xy)$   
= 0.

So

$$
\chi_{(F,A)}(x+y) \ge \min\{(x), \mu_{(F,A)}\chi(y)\}
$$

for all *x* and *y* in *R*,

$$
\mathcal{X}_{(F,A)}(xy)
$$
  
\n
$$
\geq {\{\chi_{(F,A)}(x) \wedge \chi_{(F,A)}(y)\}}
$$

for all *x* and *y* in *R*. So

$$
\chi_{(F,A)}(x+y) \leq \{ \chi_{(F,A)}(x) \vee \chi_{(F,A)}(y) \}
$$



for all *x* and *y* in *R*.

$$
\chi_{(F,A)}(xy) \leq \{ \chi_{(F,A)}(x) \vee \chi_{(F,A)}(y) \}
$$

for all *x* and *y* in *R*.

**Case:2** If  $x$ in  $(F,A)$ ,  $y$  not in  $(F,A)$  (or  $x$  not in  $(F,A)$ ,  $y$ in  $(F, A)$ ), then  $x + y$ , *xy* may or may not be in  $(F, A)$ ,

$$
\chi_{(F,A)}(x) = 1,\n\chi_{(F,A)}(y) = 0 \quad \text{(or)}
$$
\n
$$
x_{(F,A)}(x) = 0,\n\chi_{(F,A)}(y) = 1,\n\chi_{(F,A)}(x+y) = \chi_{(F,A)}(xy) = 1 \text{ (or) } 0
$$

and

$$
\chi_{(F,A)}(x) = 0,
$$
  
\n
$$
\chi_{(F,A)}(y) = 1
$$
 (or)  
\n
$$
\chi_{(F,A)}(x) = 1,
$$
  
\n
$$
\chi_{(F,A)}(y) = 0
$$
  
\n
$$
= \chi_{(F,A)}(x+y)
$$
  
\n
$$
= \chi_{(F,A)}(xy)
$$
  
\n
$$
= 0
$$
 (or)1.

Clearly

$$
\chi_{(F,A)}(x+y)\geq \{\chi_{(F,A)}(x)\wedge \chi_{(F,A)}(y)\},\,
$$

for all *x* and *y* in *R*.

$$
\chi_{(F,A)}(xy) \geq \{ \chi_{(F,A)}(x) \wedge \chi_{(F,A)}(y) \}
$$

for all *x* and *y* in *R* and

$$
\chi_{(F,A)}(x+y) \leq \{ \chi_{(F,A)}(x) \vee \chi_{(F,A)}(y) \}
$$

for all *x* and *y* in *R*.

$$
\chi_{(F,A)}(xy) \leq \{ \chi_{(F,A)}(x) \vee \chi_{(F,A)}(y) \}
$$

for all *x* and *y* in *R*.

**Case:3** If *x* and *y* not in  $(F,A)$ , then  $x + y, xy$  in  $(F,A)$ , since  $(F, A)$  is a subhemiring of  $R$ ,

$$
\chi_{(F,A)}(x)
$$
  
=  $\chi_{(F,A)}(y)$   
=  $\chi_{(F,A)}(x+y)$   
=  $\chi_{(F,A)}(xy)$   
= 1 or 0.

and

$$
\chi_{(F,A)}(x)
$$
  
=  $\chi_{(F,A)}(y) = 1$   
=  $\chi_{(F,A)}(x+y)$   
=  $\chi_{(F,A)}(xy)$   
= 0 or 1.

Clearly

$$
\chi_{(F,A)}(x+y) \ge \min\{(x), \mu_{(F,A)}\chi(y)\}
$$

for all *x* and *y* in *R*,

$$
\chi_{(F,A)}(xy) \geq \{ \chi_{(F,A)}(x) \wedge \chi_{(F,A)}(y) \}
$$

for all *x* and *y* in *R*. So

$$
\chi_{(F,A)}(x+y) \leq \{ \chi_{(F,A)}(x) \vee \chi_{(F,A)}(y) \}
$$

for all *x* and *y* in *R*.

$$
\chi_{(F,A)}(xy) \leq \{ \chi_{(F,A)}(x) \vee \chi_{(F,A)}(y) \}
$$

for all *x* and *y* in *R*. So in all the three cases, we have *B* is a fuzzy soft subhemiring of (*F*,*A*). Conversely, let *x* and *y* in  $(F, A)$ , since  $(F, A)$  is  $(F, A)$  non empty subset of *R*. And,

$$
\chi_{(F,A)}(x)
$$
  
=  $\chi_{(F,A)}(y)$   
= 1,  

$$
\chi_{(F,A)}(x)
$$
  
=  $\chi_{(F,A)}(y)$   
= 0.

Since

$$
B=\left\langle \chi_{(F,A)},\overline{\chi}_{(F,A)}\right\rangle
$$

is a fuzzy soft subhemiring of *R*, we have

$$
\chi_{(F,A)}(x+y)
$$
\n
$$
\geq \{\chi_{(F,A)}(x) \wedge \chi_{(F,A)}(y)\}
$$
\n
$$
= \{1 \wedge 1\}
$$
\n
$$
= 1,
$$
\n
$$
\chi_{(F,A)}(xy)
$$
\n
$$
\geq \{\chi_{(F,A)}(x) \wedge \chi_{(F,A)}(y)\}
$$
\n
$$
= \{1 \wedge 1\}
$$
\n
$$
= 1.
$$

Therefore

$$
\chi_{(F,A)}(x+y) = \chi_{(F,A)}(xy) = 1
$$

and,

$$
\chi_{(F,A)}(x+y)
$$
\n
$$
\leq {\{\chi_{(F,A)}(x) \vee \chi_{(F,A)}(y)\}}
$$
\n
$$
= {0 \vee 0}
$$
\n
$$
= 0,
$$
\n
$$
\chi_{(F,A)}(xy)
$$
\n
$$
\leq {\{\chi_{(F,A)}(x) \vee \chi_{(F,A)}(y)\}}
$$
\n
$$
= {0 \vee 0}
$$
\n
$$
= 0.
$$



Therefore

$$
\chi_{(F,A)}(x+y) = \chi_{(F,A)}(xy) = 0.
$$

Therefore,  $x + y$  and  $xy$  in  $(F, A)$ . Hence  $(F, A)$  is a subhemiring of *R*.

We denote the composition of operations by  $\circ$  for the following:

Theorem 3.4. *Let* (*F*,*A*) *be an L-fuzzy soft subhemiring of a hemiring H and f is an isomorphism from a hemiring R onto H. Then*  $(F, A) \circ f$  *is an L-fuzzy soft subhemiring of R.* 

*Proof.* Let *x* and *y* in *R* and (*F*,*A*) be an fuzzy soft subhemiring of a hemiring *H*. Then we have,

$$
(\mu_{(F,A)} \circ f)(x+y)
$$
  
=  $\mu_{(F,A)}(f(x+y))$   
=  $\mu_{(F,A)}(f(x)+f(y)),$ 

as *f* is an homomorphism

$$
\geq {\mu_{(F,A)}(f(x)) \wedge \mu_{(F,A)}(f(y))}
$$
  
= {( $\mu_{(F,A)} \circ f$ )(x)  $\wedge$  ( $\mu_{(F,A)} \circ f$ )(y)

which implies that

$$
(\mu_{(F,A)} \circ f)(x+y) \ge \{(\mu_{(F,A)} \circ f)(x) \wedge (\mu_{(F,A)} \circ f)(y)\}.
$$

And

$$
(\mu_{(F,A)} \circ f)(xy)
$$
  
=  $\mu_{(F,A)}(f(xy))$   
=  $\mu_{(F,A)}(f(x)f(y)),$ 

as *f* is an isomorphism

$$
\geq {\mu_{(F,A)}(f(x)) \wedge \mu_{(F,A)}(f(y))}
$$
  
= {( $\mu_{(F,A)} \circ f$ )(x)  $\wedge$  ( $\mu_{(F,A)} \circ f$ )(y)},

which implies that

$$
(\mu_{(F,A)} \circ f)(xy)
$$
  
\n
$$
\geq \{ (\mu_{(F,A)} \circ f)(x) \land (\mu_{(F,A)} \circ f)(y) \}.
$$

Therefore  $(F, A) \circ f$  is an *L*-Fuzzy soft subhemiring of a hemiring *R*.  $\Box$ 

Theorem 3.5. *Let* (*F*,*A*) *be an L-fuzzy soft subhemiring of a hemiring H and f is an anti-isomorphism from a hemiring R onto H*. *then*  $(F, A) \circ f$  *is an L-fuzzy soft subhemiring of R.* 

*Proof.* Let *x* and *y* in *R* and  $(F, A)$  be an *L*-fuzzy soft set subhemiring of a hemiring *H*. Then we have

$$
(\mu_{(F,A)} \circ f)(x+y)
$$
  
=  $\mu_{(F,A)}(f(x+y))$   
=  $\mu_{(F,A)}(f(y) + f(x)),$ 

as *f* is an anti-isomorphism

$$
\geq {\mu_{(F,A)}(f(x)) \wedge \mu_{(F,A)}(f(y))}
$$
  
= {( $\mu_{(F,A)} \circ f$ )(x)  $\wedge$  ( $\mu_{(F,A)} \circ f$ )(y)}

which implies that

$$
(\mu_{(F,A)} \circ f)(x+y) \ge \{(\mu_{(F,A)} \circ f)(x) \wedge (\mu_{(F,A)} \circ f)(y)\}.
$$

And

 $\Box$ 

$$
(\mu_{(F,A)} \circ f)(xy)
$$
  
=  $\mu_{(F,A)}(f(xy))$   
=  $\mu_{(F,A)}(f(y)f(x)),$ 

as *f* is an anti-isomorphism

$$
\geq {\mu_{(F,A)}(f(x)) \wedge \mu_{(F,A)}(f(y))}
$$
  
= {( $\mu_{(F,A)} \circ f$ )(x)  $\wedge$  ( $\mu_{(F,A)} \circ f$ )(y)},

which implies that

$$
(\mu_{(F,A)} \circ f)(xy)
$$
  
\n
$$
\geq \{ (\mu_{(F,A)} \circ f)(x) \land (\mu_{(F,A)} \circ f)(y) \}.
$$

Therefore  $(F, A) \circ f$  is an *L*-Fuzzy soft subhemiring of a hemiring *R*.

 $\Box$ 

<span id="page-3-0"></span>Theorem 3.6. *Let* (*F*,*A*) *be an L-fuzzy soft subhemiring of a hemiring*  $(R, +, \cdot)$ *, then the pseudo fuzzy soft coset*  $(a(F, A))^p$ *is an L-fuzzy soft subhemiring of a hemiring R for every a in R*.

*Proof.* Let (*F*,*A*) be an *L*-fuzzy soft subhemiring of a hemiring *R*. For every *x* and *y* in *R*, we have

$$
((a\mu_{(F,A)})^p)(x+y)
$$
  
=  $p(a)\mu_{(F,A)}(x+y)$   
\ge  $p(a)\{\mu_{(F,A)}(x) \wedge \mu_{(F,A)}(y)\}$   
=  $\{p(a)\mu_{(F,A)}(x) \wedge p(a)\mu_{(F,A)}(y)\}$   
=  $\{((a\mu_{(F,A)})^p)(x) \wedge ((a\mu_{(F,A)})^p)(y)\}.$ 

Therefore,

$$
((a\mu_{(F,A)})^p)(x+y) \geq \{((a\mu_{(F,A)})^p)(x) \wedge ((a\mu_{(F,A)})^p)(y)\}.
$$



<span id="page-4-4"></span>Now,

$$
((a\mu_{(F,A)})^p)(xy)
$$
  
=  $p(a)\mu_{(F,A)}(xy)$   
\ge  $p(a)\{\mu_{(F,A)}(x) \land \mu_{(F,A)}(y)\}$   
=  $\{p(a)\mu_{(F,A)}(x) \land p(a)\mu_{(F,A)}(y)\}$   
=  $\{((a\mu_{(F,A)})^p)(x) \land ((a\mu_{(F,A)})^p)(y)\}.$ 

Therefore,

$$
((a\mu_{(F,A)})^p)(xy) \geq \{((a\mu_{(F,A)})^p)(x) \wedge ((a\mu_{(F,A)})^p)(y)\}.
$$

Hence  $(a(F,A))^p$  is an *L*-fuzzy soft subhemiring of a hemiring *R*.  $\Box$ 

## **References**

- [1] M. Akram and K.H.Dar, On Fuzzy *d*-Algebras, *Punjab University Journal of Mathematics,* Vol. 37, 61- 76(2005).
- [2] Azriel Rosenfeld, Fuzzy Groups, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications,* Vol. 35, 512- 517(1971).
- [3] K. Atanassov, Operators Over Interval Valued Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems,* Vol. 64, 159-174(1994).
- [4] R. Biswas, Fuzzy Subgroups and Anti-Fuzzy Subgroups, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems,* Vol. 35, 121- 124(1990).
- <span id="page-4-1"></span>[5] M. Borah, T. J. Neog and D. K. Sut, A Study on Some Operations of Fuzzy Soft Sets, *International Journal of Modern Engineering Research (IJMER),* 2(2), 219-225(2012).
- [6] F. Feng, C. Li, B. Davvaz and M.I. Ali, Soft Sets Combined with Fuzzy Sets and Rough Sets, *Tentative Approach, Soft Computing,* Vol. 14, 899- 911(2010).
- <span id="page-4-3"></span>[7] Kumud Borgohain and Chittaranjan Gohain, Some New Operations on Fuzzy Soft Sets, *International Journal of Modern Engineering Research (IJMER),* 4(4), 65-68(2014).
- [8] D. Molodtsov, Soft Set Theory Results, *Comput. Math. Appl.* Vol. 37, 19-31(1999).
- [9] P. K. Maji, A. R. Roy and R. Biswas, An Application of Soft Sets in Decision Making Problem, *Comput. Math. Appl.* Vol. 44, 8-9(2002).
- [10] T. J. Neog and D. K. Sut, On Union and Intersection of Fuzzy Soft Sets, *Int.J. Comp. Tec. Appl.* 2(5), 1160-1176.
- <span id="page-4-2"></span>[11] A. Sezgin and A. O. Atagun, On operations of Soft Sets, *Comput. Math. Appl.* Vol .61, 1467- 1475(2011).
- [12] A. Solairaju and R.Nagarajan, A New Structure and Construction of Fuzzy Groups, *Advances in Fuzzy Mathematics,* 4(1), 23-29(2009).
- <span id="page-4-0"></span>[13] Zadeh, Fuzzy Sets, *Information and Control,* Vol.8, 338-353(1965).

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* ISSN(P):2319−3786 [Malaya Journal of Matematik](http://www.malayajournal.org) ISSN(O):2321−5666 \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

