



On nano semi pre neighbourhoods in nano topological spaces

P. Sathishmohan^{1*}, V. Rajendran², C. Vignesh Kumar³ and P.K. Dhanasekaran⁴

Abstract

The basic objective of this paper is to introduce and investigate the properties of nano semi pre-neighbourhoods, nano semi pre-interior, nano semi pre-frontier, nano semi pre-exterior, nano-dense, nano-submaximal and obtain relation between some of the existing sets.

Keywords

Nano β -interior, nano β -closure, nano β -neighbourhoods, nano β -frontier, nano β -exterior, nano-dense, nano-submaximal.

AMS Subject Classification

54B05.

^{1,2,3,4} Department of Mathematics, Kongunadu College of Arts and Science, Coimbatore-641029, Tamil Nadu, India.

*Corresponding author:¹ iiscsathish@yahoo.co.in

Article History: Received 04 October 2017; Accepted 08 January 2018

©2017 MJM.

Contents

1	Introduction	294
2	Preliminaries	294
3	Nano Semi Pre Neighbourhoods.....	295
4	Nano Semi Pre Interior of a Set	296
5	Nano Semi Pre-Frontier of a Set	296
6	Nano Semi Pre-Exterior of a Set	297
	References	297

1. Introduction

In 1970, Levine[2] introduced the concept of generalized closed sets in topological spaces. In [1], the authors discussed a new form of generalized closed sets via regular local function in ideal topological spaces whereas in [8] the authors addressed the generalized star semi regular closed sets in topological spaces. The notion of Nano topology was introduced by Lellis Thivagar[3] which was defined in terms of approximations and boundary region of a subset of an universe using an equivalence relation on it and also defined Nano closed sets, Nano-interior and Nano-closure of a set. He also introduced weak form of Nano open sets namely Nano- α -open sets, NS-open sets and NP-open sets. This motivates the author to introduce and study the properties of Nano β -closed sets in Nano topological spaces.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. [4] Let U be a non-empty finite set of objects called the universe and R be an equivalence relation on U named as indiscernibility relation. Then U is divided into disjoint equivalence classes. Elements belonging to the same equivalence class are said to be indiscernible with one another. The pair (U, R) is said to be the approximation space. Let $X \subseteq U$. Then,

(i) The lower approximation of x with respect to R is the set of all objects, which can be for certain classified as X with respect to R and is denoted by $L_R(X)$. $L_R(X) = \bigcup_{x \in U} \{R(x):R(x) \subseteq X\}$ where $R(x)$ denotes the equivalence class determined by $x \in U$.

(ii) The upper approximation of x with respect to R is the set of all objects which can be possibly classified as X with respect to R and is denoted by $U_R(X)$. $U_R(X) = \bigcup_{x \in U} \{R(x):R(x) \cap X \neq \emptyset\}$

(iii) The boundary region of x with respect to R is the set of all objects which can be classified neither as X nor as not- X with respect to R and it is denoted by $B_R(X)$. $B_R(X) = U_R(X) - L_R(X)$.

Definition 2.2. [5] Let U be the universe, R be an equivalence relation on U and $\tau_R = \{U, \emptyset, L_R(X), U_R(X), B_R(X)\}$ where $X \subseteq U$. Then τ_R satisfies the following axioms

(i) U and $\emptyset \in \tau_R$.

(ii) The union of the elements of any sub-collection of τ_R is in τ_R .

(iii) The intersection of the elements of any finite sub collection of τ_R is in $\tau_R(X)$.

Then τ_R is a topology on U called the nano topology on U with respect to X . We call (U, τ_R) as nano topological space. The elements of $\tau_R(X)$ are called as nano open sets. The complement of the nano open sets are called nano closed sets.

Remark 2.3. [4] If τ_R is the nano topology on U with respect to X , then the set $B = \{U, L_R(X), B_R(X)\}$ is the basis for τ_R .

Definition 2.4. [3] If (U, τ_R) is a nano topological space with respect to X where $X \subseteq U$ and if $A \subseteq U$, then

(i) The nano interior of A is defined as the union of all nano-open subsets of A and is denoted by $NInt(A)$. That is, $NInt(A)$ is the largest nano-open subset of A .

(ii) The nano closure of A is defined as the intersection of all nano-closed sets containing A and is denoted by $Ncl(A)$. That is, $Ncl(A)$ is the smallest nano-closed set containing A .

Definition 2.5. [6] A subset U_R of a nano topological space U is called Nano semi pre open set if $U_R \subset Ncl(NInt(Ncl(A)))$. The complement of Nano semi pre open set is called Nano semi pre-closed. The family of Nano semi pre sets is denoted by $N\beta(U)$.

Lemma 2.6. [6] $NSO(U, X) \cup NPO(U, X) \subset N\beta O(U, X)$.

3. Nano Semi Pre Neighbourhoods

Lemma 3.1. $NSO(U, X) \subset N\beta O(U, X)$ and $NPO(U, X) \subset N\beta O(U, X)$.

Proof. Follows from Lemma 2.6 □

Definition 3.2. If (U, τ_R) is a Nano topological space with respect to X where $X \subseteq U$ and if $A \subseteq U$, then

(i) The $N\beta$ interior of the set A is defined as the union of all Nano semi pre open subsets contained in A and is denoted by $N\beta Int(A)$. $N\beta Int(A)$ is the largest Nano semi pre open subset of A .

(ii) The $N\beta$ closure of the set A is defined as the intersection of all Nano semi pre closed sets containing A and is denoted by $N\beta cl(A)$. $N\beta cl(A)$ is the smallest Nano semi pre closed set containing A .

Lemma 3.3. Let A and B be any two subsets of U in a nano topological spaces (U, τ_R) then following are true

- (i) $N\beta Int(A) \subset A$
- (ii) $A \subset B \Rightarrow N\beta Int(A) \subset N\beta Int(B)$
- (iii) $N\beta Int(A) \cup N\beta Int(B) \subset N\beta Int(A \cup B)$
- (iv) $N\beta Int(A) \cap N\beta Int(B) \subset N\beta Int(A \cap B)$

Lemma 3.4. For a subset A of U .

- i) $N\beta cl(A) \subset Ncl(A)$.
- ii) $NInt(A) \subset N\beta Int(A)$.
- iii) $N\beta Fr(A) = Ncl(A) \cap N\beta cl(U - A)$.
- iv) $N\beta Ext(A) = N\beta Int(U - A) = U - N\beta cl(A)$.

Lemma 3.5. A subset A of U is nano semi pre-closed iff $A = N\beta cl(A)$.

Definition 3.6. A subset $M_x \subset U$ is called a nano semi-neighbourhood

(NS-nhd) of a point $x \in U$ iff there exists a $A \in NSO(U, X)$ such that $x \in A \subset M_x$ and a point x is called NS-nhd point of the set A .

Definition 3.7. [7] A subset $M_x \subset U$ is called a nano pre-neighbourhood (NP-nhd) of a point $x \in U$ iff there exists a $A \in NPO(U, X)$ such that $x \in A \subset M_x$ and a point x is called NP-nhd point of the set A .

Definition 3.8. A subset $M_x \subset U$ is called a nano semi pre-neighbourhood ($N\beta$ -nhd) of a point $x \in U$ iff there exists a $A \in N\beta O(U, X)$ such that $x \in A \subset M_x$ and a point x is called $N\beta$ -nhd point of the set A .

Definition 3.9. A nano-subset of a nano topological space U is called nano-dense if $Ncl(A) = U$.

Definition 3.10. [3] A nano topological space $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is said to be nano extremally disconnected, if the nano-closure of each nano-open set is nano-open.

Definition 3.11. A space U is called nano-submaximal if each nano-dense subset of U is nano-open.

Definition 3.12. The family of all $N\beta$ -nhds of the point $x \in U$ is called $N\beta$ -nhd system of U and is denoted by $N\beta$ -nhd x .

Example 3.13. Let $U = \{a, b, c, d\}$, $U/R = \{\{a, c\}, \{b\}, \{d\}\}$, $X = \{b, c\}$ and $\tau_R(X) = \{U, \phi, \{b\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, c\}\}$. $N\beta O(U, X) = \{U, \phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{d\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, d\}, \{b, c\}, \{b, d\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{b, c, d\}\}$. Then
 $N\beta$ -nhds(a) = $\{U, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{a, c, d\}\}$
 $N\beta$ -nhds(b) = $\{U, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{b, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{b, c, d\}\}$
 $N\beta$ -nhds(c) = $\{U, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{b, c, d\}\}$
 $N\beta$ -nhds(d) = $\{U, \{d\}, \{a, d\}, \{b, d\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{b, c, d\}\}$

Lemma 3.14. An arbitrary union of $N\beta$ -nhds of a point x is again a $N\beta$ -nhd of x .

Proof. Let $\{A_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in I}$ be an arbitrary collection of $N\beta$ -nhds of a point $x \in U$. We have to prove that $\cup A_\lambda$ for $\lambda \in I$ (where I denote index set) also a $N\beta$ -nhd of x . For all $\lambda \in I$ there exists $N\beta$ -open set M_x such that $x \in M_x \subset A_\lambda \subset \cup A_\lambda$ i.e $x \in M_x \subset \cup A_\lambda$ therefore $\cup A_\lambda$ for $\lambda \in I$ is a $N\beta$ -nhd of x . That is arbitrary union of $N\beta$ -nhds of x is again a $N\beta$ -nhds of x . But intersection of $N\beta$ -nhds of a point is not a $N\beta$ -nhds of that point in general. For, □

Example 3.15. Let $U = \{a, b, c, d\}$, $U/R = \{\{a\}, \{b, d\}, \{c\}\}$, $X = \{a, b\}$ and $\tau_R(X) = \{U, \phi, \{a\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{b, d\}\}$ be a nano topology on U . Now $N\beta O(U, X) = \{U, \phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{d\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, d\}, \{b, c\}, \{b, d\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{b, c, d\}\}$. Clearly $\{a, c\}$, $\{b, c\}$ are $N\beta$ -nhds of $c \in U$ but $\{a, c\} \cap \{b, c\} = \{c\}$ is not a $N\beta$ -nhd of c .



Theorem 3.16. Every nano-nhd of x in U is a $N\beta$ -nhd of x .

Proof. Let A be an arbitrary nano-nhd of $x \in U$ then there exists a nano open set G such that $x \in G \subset A$. Since every nano open set is $N\beta$ -open set. Therefore $G \in N\beta O(U, X)$, implies A is $N\beta$ -nhd of x .

Converse of the above theorem is not true in general. For, \square

Example 3.17. From Example 3.15 Clearly $\{b, c, d\}$ is $N\beta$ -nhds of b but not a nano nhd of b .

Similarly every NS-nhd of x in U is a $N\beta$ -nhd of x and every NP-nhd of x in U is a $N\beta$ -nhd of x . But $N\beta$ -nhd of x need not be NP-nhd or NS-nhd of x . For,

Example 3.18. From Example 3.15 Now we have $NSO(U, X) = \{U, \phi, \{a\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, d\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{b, c, d\}\}$, $NPO(U, X) = \{U, \phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{d\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, d\}, \{b, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, \}$ and $N\beta O(U, X) = \{U, \phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{d\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, d\}, \{b, c\}, \{b, d\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{b, c, d\}\}$. Clearly $\{c, d\}$ is $N\beta$ -nhd of c but not a NS-nhd and NP-nhd of c .

Theorem 3.19. If the space U is nano submaximal and nano extremely disconnected space then every $N\beta$ -nhd is NS-nhd and NP-nhd.

Theorem 3.20. The $N\beta$ -nhd system $N\beta$ -nhd(x) of a point $x \in U$ satisfies the following properties

- (a) if $N \in N\beta$ -N(x) then $x \in N$
- (b) if $N \in N\beta$ -N(x) and $N \subset M$ then $M \in N\beta$ -N(x)
- (c) if $N \in N\beta$ -N(x) then there exists a $G \in N\beta$ -N(x) such that $G \subset N$ and $G \in N\beta$ -N(y), for all $y \in G$.

Proof. (a) Let $N \in N\beta$ -N(x) implies N is the $N\beta$ -nhd of x . Therefore $x \in N$

(b) Let $N \in N\beta$ -N(x) and $N \subset M$. Therefore there exists $G \in N\beta O(U, X)$ such that $x \in G \subset N \subset M$ implies M is a $N\beta$ -nhd of x and hence $M \in N\beta$ -N(x)

(c) Let $N \in N\beta$ -N(x) implies $G \in N\beta O(X)$ such that $x \in G \subset N$

G is $N\beta$ -nhd of each of its points implies for all $y \in G$, G is the $N\beta$ -nhd of y and hence $G \in N\beta$ -N(y) for all $y \in G$. \square

4. Nano Semi Pre Interior of a Set

In the present section, we study some properties of $N\beta$ interior of a set.

Definition 4.1. The union of all nano semi pre-open sets which are contained in A is called nano semi pre-interior of A and is denoted by $N\beta Int(A)$.

And if $x \in N\beta Int(A)$ then x is called nano semi pre interior point of A .

Theorem 4.2. For subsets A and B of space U , the following statements are true

- (a) $N\beta Int(U-A) \subset U - [N\beta Int(A)]$
- (b) $N\beta Int(A-B) \subset N\beta Int(A) - N\beta Int(B)$

Proof. (a) Let $y \in N\beta Int(U-A)$, since $N\beta Int(B) \subset B$ by Lemma 3.3(i). Therefore $y \in U-A$ implies $y \notin A$ implies $y \notin N\beta Int(A) \Rightarrow y \in U - N\beta Int(A)$. Hence $N\beta Int(U-A) \subset U - [N\beta Int(A)]$.

(b) Now $N\beta Int(A-B) = N\beta Int[(A \cap (U-B))] = N\beta Int(A) \cap N\beta Int(U-B) \subset N\beta Int(A) \cap (U - N\beta Int(B)) = N\beta Int(A) - N\beta Int(B)$. Therefore $N\beta Int(A-B) \subset N\beta Int(A) - N\beta Int(B)$. \square

Theorem 4.3. In a nano topological space U

- (a) $NSInt(A) \subset N\beta Int(A)$,
- (b) $NPInt(A) \subset N\beta Int(A)$ for any subset A of U .

Proof. (a) Let $x \in NSInt(A)$ implies there exists nano semi open set G such that $x \in G \subset A$. Since every nano semi open set is $N\beta$ -open and hence G is $N\beta$ -open set such that $x \in G \subset A$ implies $x \in N\beta Int(A)$. Therefore $NSInt(A) \subset N\beta Int(A)$.

(b) Let $x \in NPInt(A)$ implies there exists nano pre open set G such that $x \in G \subset A$. Since every nano pre open set is $N\beta$ -open and hence G is $N\beta$ -open set such that $x \in G \subset A$ implies $x \in N\beta Int(A)$. Therefore $NPInt(A) \subset N\beta Int(A)$.

But $N\beta Int(A) \not\subset NSInt(A)$ and $N\beta Int(A) \not\subset NPInt(A)$. \square

Example 4.4. (i). From Example 3.18 Let $A = \{a, c\}$ then $N\beta Int(A) = \{a, c\}$ where as $NPInt(A) = \{a\}$ implies $NPInt(A) \subset N\beta Int(A)$ but $N\beta Int(A) \not\subset NPInt(A)$.

(ii). Let $A = \{b, c\}$ then $N\beta Int(A) = \{b, c\}$ where as $NSInt(A) = \phi$ implies $NSInt(A) \subset N\beta Int(A)$ but $N\beta Int(A) \not\subset NSInt(A)$.

5. Nano Semi Pre-Frontier of a Set

Definition 5.1. For a subset A of U , $N\beta cl(A) - N\beta Int(A)$ is said to be $N\beta$ -frontier of A and is denoted by $N\beta Fr(A)$.

Definition 5.2. A point $x \in U$ is said to be $N\beta$ -limit point of A iff for each $X \in N\beta O(U, X)$, $X \cap \{A - \{x\}\} \neq \phi$.

Definition 5.3. The set of all $N\beta$ -limit points of A is said to be the nano semi pre derived set and is denoted by $N\beta D(A)$.

Lemma 5.4. $N\beta cl(A) = A \cup N\beta D(A)$.

Theorem 5.5. For a subset A of space U the following statements hold;

- (a) $N\beta Fr(A) \subset NFr(A)$
- (b) $N\beta cl(A) = N\beta Int(A) \cup N\beta Fr(A)$
- (c) $N\beta Int(A) \cap N\beta Fr(A) = \phi$
- (d) A is $N\beta$ -open iff $N\beta Fr(A) = N\beta D(A)$
- (e) $N\beta Fr(A) = N\beta Fr(U-A)$
- (f) $N\beta Fr(A) = N\beta cl(A) \cap N\beta cl(U-A)$
- (g) $N\beta Fr(A) \subset N\beta$ closed
- (h) $N\beta Fr[N\beta Fr(A)] \subset N\beta Fr(A)$
- (i) $N\beta Fr[N\beta cl(A)] = N\beta Fr(A)$
- (j) $N\beta Int(A) = A - N\beta Fr(A)$

Proof. (a) Let $x \in N\beta Fr(A) \Rightarrow x \in N\beta cl(A)$ but $x \notin N\beta Int(A)$ By lemma 3.4 we have respectively $N\beta cl(A) \subset Ncl(A)$ and $NInt(A) \subset N\beta Int(A)$. Therefore $x \in Ncl(A)$ and $x \notin NInt(A) \Rightarrow x \in Ncl(A) - NInt(A)$ i.e $x \in NFr(A)$. Hence $N\beta Fr(A) \subset$



$NFr(A)$.

Similarly (b), (c), (f), (i) and (j) are proved.

(d) Let A be $N\beta$ -open then $N\beta Int(A) = A$. Since $N\beta Fr(A) = N\beta cl(A) - N\beta Int(A) = N\beta cl(A) - A$. By Lemma 5.4 we have $N\beta cl(A) = A \cup N\beta D(A)$. Therefore $N\beta Fr(A) = [A \cup N\beta D(A)] - A = N\beta D(A)$.

Conversely Let $N\beta Fr(A) = N\beta D(A)$. i.e., $N\beta cl(A) - N\beta Int(A) = N\beta D(A)$ $[A \cup N\beta D(A)] - N\beta Int(A) = N\beta D(A) \Rightarrow A - N\beta Int(A) = \phi$ implies

$$A \subset N\beta Int(A) \text{ —————(1)}$$

$$\text{and } N\beta Int(A) \subset A \text{ —————(2)}$$

Therefore from (1) and (2) we have $N\beta Int(A) = A \Rightarrow A$ is semi pre-open.

(e) By Lemma 3.4 we have $N\beta Fr(A) = N\beta cl(A) \cap N\beta cl(U-A)$.

Therefore $N\beta Fr(U-A) = N\beta cl(U-A) \cap N\beta cl(A) = N\beta Fr(A)$.

(f) Now $N\beta cl(N\beta Fr(A)) = N\beta cl[N\beta cl(U-A) \cap N\beta cl(A)] \subset N\beta cl(N\beta cl(U-A)) \cap N\beta cl(N\beta cl(A)) = [N\beta cl(U-A) \cap N\beta cl(A)] = N\beta Fr(A)$. Therefore $N\beta cl(N\beta Fr(A)) \subset N\beta Fr(A)$ and $N\beta Fr(A) \subset N\beta cl(N\beta Fr(A))$

$\Rightarrow N\beta cl(N\beta Fr(A)) = N\beta Fr(A) \Rightarrow N\beta Fr(A)$ is semi pre closed.

(h) Now $N\beta Fr(N\beta Fr(A)) = N\beta cl(N\beta Fr(A) \cap N\beta cl(U-N\beta Fr(A))) \Rightarrow N\beta Fr(N\beta Fr(A)) \subset N\beta cl(N\beta Fr(A)) = (N\beta Fr(A))$ □

6. Nano Semi Pre-Exterior of a Set

Definition 6.1. A point $x \in U$ is called $N\beta$ exterior point of a subset A of U if x is $N\beta$ -interior point of $U-A$ and set of all $N\beta$ -exterior points of A is called $N\beta$ -exterior of A and denoted by $N\beta Ext(A)$. Therefore $N\beta Ext(A) = N\beta Int(U-A)$.

Theorem 6.2. For a subset A of a space U the following statements holds

- (a) $N\beta Ext(A) \subset Ext(A)$
- (b) $N\beta Ext(A)$ is $N\beta$ -open
- (c) $N\beta Ext(A) = U - N\beta cl(A)$
- (d) $N\beta Ext[N\beta Ext(A)] = N\beta Int[N\beta cl(A)]$
- (e) If $A \subset B$ then $N\beta Ext(B) \subset N\beta Ext(A)$
- (f) $N\beta Ext(A \cup B) \subset N\beta Ext(A) \cup N\beta Ext(B)$
- (g) $N\beta Ext(A) \cap N\beta Ext(B) \subset N\beta Ext(A \cap B)$
- (h) $N\beta Ext(A \cup B) = N\beta Ext(A) \cap N\beta Ext(B)$
- (i) $N\beta Ext(U) = \phi$ and $N\beta Ext(\phi) = U$
- (j) $N\beta Ext(A) = N\beta Ext [U - N\beta Ext(A)]$
- (k) $N\beta Int(A) \subset N\beta Ext [N\beta Ext(A)]$
- (l) $N\beta Int(A)$, $N\beta Ext(A)$ and $\beta Fr(A)$ are mutually disjoint and $U = N\beta Int(A) \cup N\beta Ext(A) \cup \beta Fr(A)$.
- (m) $A \cap N\beta Ext(A) = \phi$

Proof. (a) Let $x \in NExt(A) \Rightarrow x \in NInt(U-A)$. There exists $G \in \tau_R(X)$ such that $x \in G \subset (U-A)$. G also $\in N\beta O(U, X)$. Therefore $x \in G \subset (U-A)$ for $N\beta$ -open set $G \Rightarrow (U-A)$ is $N\beta$ -interior of x , $x \in N\beta Int(U-A)$ i.e $x \in N\beta Ext(A)$. Hence $NExt(A) \subset N\beta Ext(A)$

(b) Now $N\beta Int [N\beta Ext(A)] = N\beta Int [N\beta Ext(U-A)] = N\beta Int(U-A) = N\beta Ext(A) \Rightarrow N\beta Ext(A)$ is $N\beta$ -open.

(c) $N\beta Ext(A) = N\beta Int(U-A) = U - N\beta cl(A)$.

(d) $N\beta Ext(A)[N\beta Ext(A)] = N\beta Ext[U - Ncl(A)]$ by (c) $= N\beta Int[U - [U - N\beta cl(A)]] = N\beta Int[N\beta cl(A)]$.

(e) If $A \subset B$ then $U-B \subset U-A \Rightarrow N\beta Int(U-B) \subset N\beta Int(U-A)$ i.e $N\beta Ext(B) \subset N\beta Ext(A)$

(f) Since $A \subset A \cup B$ and $B \subset A \cup B \Rightarrow N\beta Ext(A \cup B) \subset N\beta Ext(A)$

$N\beta Ext(A \cup B) \subset N\beta Ext(B)$.

Therefore $N\beta Ext(A \cup B) \subset N\beta Ext(A) \cup N\beta Ext(B)$.

(g) We have $A \cap B \subset A$, $A \cap B \subset B$

$\Rightarrow N\beta Ext(A) \subset N\beta Ext(A \cap B)$ and $N\beta Ext(A) \subset N\beta Ext(A \cap B)$

$\Rightarrow N\beta Ext(A) \cap N\beta Ext(B) \subset N\beta Ext(A \cap B)$

(h) $N\beta Ext(A \cup B) = N\beta Int[U - (A \cup B)] = N\beta Int([U-A] \cap [U-B])$

$\supset N\beta Int[U-A] \cap N\beta Int([U-B] = N\beta Ext(A) \cap N\beta Ext(B)$

$N\beta Ext(A \cup B) \supset N\beta Ext(A) \cap N\beta Ext(B)$ —————(1).

Therefore we have $A \subset A \cup B$ and $B \subset A \cup B$

$\Rightarrow N\beta Ext(A \cup B) \subset N\beta Ext(A)$ and $N\beta Ext(A \cup B) \subset N\beta Ext(B)$

$\Rightarrow N\beta Ext(A \cup B) \subset N\beta Ext(A) \cap N\beta Ext(B)$(2).

From (1) and (2) we have $N\beta Ext(A \cup B) = N\beta Ext(A) \cap N\beta Ext(B)$

(i) $N\beta Int(U) = N\beta Int(\phi) = \phi$ and $N\beta Ext(\phi) = N\beta Int(U) = U$

$N\beta Ext(U - N\beta Ext(A)) = N\beta Int[U - (U - N\beta Ext(A))]$

$= N\beta Int(N\beta Ext(A)) = N\beta Int[N\beta Int(U-A)]$

$= N\beta Int(U-A) = N\beta Ext(A)$. Therefore $N\beta Ext(U - N\beta Ext(A))$

$= N\beta Ext(A)$

(j) $N\beta Ext[U - N\beta Ext(A)] = N\beta Int[U - (U - N\beta Ext(A))]$

$= N\beta Int(N\beta Ext(A))$

$= N\beta Int[N\beta Int(U-A)]$

$= N\beta Int(U-A) = N\beta Ext(A)$

(k) By the definition $N\beta Ext(A) \subset U-A$ then from (e) $N\beta Ext(U-A) \subset N\beta Ext[N\beta Ext(A)]$

i.e $N\beta Int(A) \subset N\beta Ext[N\beta Ext(A)]$.

(l) Let us assume that $N\beta Ext(A) \cap N\beta Int(A) \neq \phi$ therefore there exists

$x \in N\beta Ext(A) \cap N\beta Int(A) \Rightarrow x \in N\beta Ext(A)$ and $x \in N\beta Int(A) \Rightarrow x \in U-A$ and $x \in A$ which is not possible. Therefore our assumption is wrong.

Hence $N\beta Ext(A) \cap N\beta Int(A) = \phi$ similarly other two results. We have $N\beta Ext(A) = U - Ncl(A) = U - [N\beta Int(A) \cup N\beta Fr(A)]$

that implies

$$X = N\beta Int(A) \cup N\beta Ext(A) \cup N\beta Fr(A).$$

(m) Obvious.

In general, the converses of (f) and (g) are not true.

i.e $N\beta Ext(A) \cup N\beta Ext(B) \not\subset N\beta Ext(A \cup B)$ and

$N\beta Ext(A \cap B) \not\subset N\beta Ext(A) \cap N\beta Ext(B)$. □

Example 6.3. From Example 3.15 Let $A = \{a\}$ and $B = \{b, d\}$ then $N\beta Ext(A) = \{b, c, d\}$, $N\beta Ext(B) = \{a, c\}$, $N\beta Ext(A \cup B) = N\beta Int\{c\} = \phi$

$\Rightarrow N\beta Ext(A) \cup N\beta Ext(B) \not\subset N\beta Ext(A \cup B)$.

Next $N\beta Ext(A \cap B) = U$ and $N\beta Ext(A) \cap N\beta Ext(B) = \{c\}$.

Therefore $N\beta Ext(A \cap B) \not\subset N\beta Ext(A) \cap N\beta Ext(B)$.



References

- [1] C. Janaki and A. Jayalakshmi, A new form of generalized closed sets via regular local function in ideal topological spaces, *Malaya Journal of Matematik*, S(1)(2015), 1-9.
- [2] N. Levine, Generalized closed sets in topology, *Rend. Circ. Math. Palermo*, 19(1)(1970), 89-96.
- [3] L. Thivagar and C. Richard, On nano forms of weekly open sets, *Int. J. Math. Stat. Invev.*, 1(1)(2013), 31-37.
- [4] Z. Pawalk, Rough sets, *Int. J. Comput. Inf. Sci.*, 11(5)(1982), 341-356.
- [5] Z. Pawalk, *Rough Sets: Theoretical Aspects of Reasoning About Data*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston, 1991.
- [6] A. Revathy and G. Illango, On nano β -open sets, *Int. J. Eng. Contemp. Math. Sci.*, 1(2)(2015), 1-6.
- [7] P. Sathishmohan, V. Rajendran, P.K. Dhanasekaran and C. Vignesh Kumar, More on pre-neighbourhoods in nano topological-spaces, (*Communicated to an International Journal.*)
- [8] D. Sreeja and S. Sasikala, Generalized star semi regular closed sets in topological spaces, *Malaya Journal of Matematik*, S(1)(2015), 42-56.

ISSN(P):2319 – 3786

Malaya Journal of Matematik

ISSN(O):2321 – 5666

