



Solvability of some fractional-order three point boundary value problems

A. M. A. El-Sayed^{1*} and Abd El-Salam Sh. A.²

Abstract

In this work, we prove the existence of at least one solution of the two fractional-order three point boundary value problems:

$$\begin{cases} D^\beta u(t) + \lambda a(t) f(u(t)) = 0, \beta \in (1,2], t \in (0,1), \\ u(0) = 0, \alpha u(\eta) = u(1), 0 < \eta < 1, 0 \leq \alpha \eta < 1. \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} D^\beta u(t) + \lambda a(t) f(u(t)) = 0, \beta \in (1,2], t \in (0,1), \\ u'(0) = 0, \alpha u'(\eta) = u(1), 0 < \eta < 1, 0 \leq \alpha \eta < 1. \end{cases}$$

Keywords

Fractional calculus; Three point boundary value problems.

AMS Subject Classification

26A33, 30E25, 34A12, 34A34, 34A37, 37C25, 45J05.

¹Faculty of Science, Alexandria University, Alexandria, Egypt.

²Faculty of Science, Damanhour University, Egypt.

*Corresponding author: ¹ amasayed@alexu.edu.eg; ²shrnahmed@sci.dmu.edu.eg

Article History: Received 06 September 2017; Accepted 11 January 2018

©2018 MJM.

Contents

1	Introduction	390
2	Existence of solution.....	391
	References	395

1. Introduction

Let $L_1[a, b]$ denotes the space of all Lebesgue integrable functions on the interval $[a, b]$, $0 \leq a < b < \infty$.

Definition 1.1 The fractional (arbitrary) order integral of the function $f \in L_1[a, b]$ of order $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^+$ is defined by (see [7], [9] - [10] and [12])

$$I^\beta f(t) = \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} f(s) ds,$$

where $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is the gamma function.

Definition 1.2 The Riemann-Liouville fractional-order derivative of $f(t)$ of order $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ is defined as (see [7], [9] - [10]

and [12])

$${}_*\!D^\alpha f(t) = \frac{d}{dt} I^{1-\alpha} f(t), \quad t \in [a, b].$$

Definition 1.3 The (Caputo) fractional-order derivative D^α of order $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ of the function $g(t)$ is defined as (see [9] - [10] and [12])

$$D^\alpha g(t) = I^{1-\alpha} \frac{d}{dt} g(t), \quad t \in [a, b].$$

We consider here the fractional-order three point boundary value problems:

$$\begin{cases} D^\beta u(t) + \lambda a(t) f(u(t)) = 0, \beta \in (1,2], t \in (0,1), \\ u(0) = 0, \alpha u(\eta) = u(1), 0 < \eta < 1, 0 \leq \alpha \eta < 1. \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} D^\beta u(t) + \lambda a(t) f(u(t)) = 0, \beta \in (1,2], t \in (0,1), \\ u'(0) = 0, \alpha u'(\eta) = u(1), 0 < \eta < 1, 0 \leq \alpha \eta < 1. \end{cases}$$

(1.2)

where the function $f \in C([0, 1], \mathfrak{R})$ and there exists a constant K_1 such that $|\frac{\partial f}{\partial u}| \leq K_1$.

The three point boundary value problem was studied by many authors, for example; in [8] the existence of at least one positive solution of the three point boundary value problem:

$$\begin{cases} u'' + a(t) f(u) = 0, t \in (0, 1), \\ u(0) = 0, \alpha u(\eta) = u(1), 0 < \eta < 1, \alpha \eta < 1 \end{cases}$$

has been studied if f is either superlinear or sublinear by applying the fixed point theorems in cones. In [11], they concerned with determining values for λ so that the three point nonlinear second order boundary value problem:

$$\begin{cases} u''(t) + \lambda a(t) f(u(t)) = 0, t \in (0, 1), \\ u(0) = 0, \alpha u(\eta) = u(1), 0 < \eta < 1, 0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{\eta} \end{cases}$$

has positive solutions.

And in [13] they proved the existence of nontrivial solutions for the second order three point boundary value problem:

$$\begin{cases} u'' + f(t, u) = 0, 0 < t < 1, \\ u'(0) = 0, u(1) = \alpha u'(\eta), \end{cases}$$

where $\eta \in (0, 1), \alpha \in \mathfrak{R}, f \in C([0, 1] \times \mathfrak{R}, \mathfrak{R})$.

Also, The nonlocal nonlinear three point boundary value problem of fractional orders was studied by many authors, for example; in [3] they studied the nonlocal nonlinear boundary value problem of a fractional-order functional differential equation

$$\begin{cases} {}^*D^\beta u(t) + f(t, u(\phi(t))) = 0, t \in (0, 1), \\ I^\gamma u(t)|_{t=0} = 0, \alpha u(\eta) = u(1), 0 < \eta < 1, 0 < \alpha \eta^{\beta-1} < 1. \end{cases}$$

Where $\beta \in (1, 2)$ and $\gamma \in (0, 1]$, they proved the existence of L_1 -solution such that the function f satisfies the Caratheodory conditions and the growth condition.

And, in [4] they studied the nonlocal nonlinear boundary value problem of fractional-order differential equation:

$$\begin{cases} {}^*D^\beta u(t) + f(t, u(t)) = 0, \beta \in (1, 2), t \in (0, 1), \\ I^\gamma u(t)|_{t=0} = 0, \alpha u(\eta) = u(1), 0 < \eta < 1, 0 \leq \alpha \eta^{\beta-1} < 1. \end{cases}$$

they proved the existence of continuous solution such that the function f satisfies Caratheodory conditions and growth condition. Also the existence of maximal and minimal solutions with $\alpha = 0$ was studied. Also, the nonlocal conditions was studied in [1] and [5] - [6].

Now, let us recall Schauder fixed point Theorem which will be needed in the sequel.

Theorem 1.1. (Schauder fixed point Theorem) [2]

Let U be a convex subset of a Banach space X , and $T : U \rightarrow U$ is compact, continuous map. Then T has at least one fixed point in U .

2. Existence of solution

Here the space $C[0, 1]$ denotes the space of all continuous functions on the interval $[0, 1]$ with the supremum norm $\|u\| = \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} |u(t)|$.

To facilitate our discussion, let us first state the following assumptions:

(i) $|\frac{\partial f}{\partial u}| \leq K_1$,

(ii) $f \in C([0, 1], \mathfrak{R})$,

(iii) $a(t)$ is a function which is absolutely continuous.

Definition 2.1. By a solution of the fractional-order three point boundary value problem (1.1) or (1.2) we mean a function $u \in C^1[0, 1]$ with $u'' \in L_1[0, 1]$.

Firstly consider problem (1.1):

Theorem 2.2. If the above assumptions (i) - (iii) are satisfied, then the three point boundary value problem (1.1) has a solution.

Proof: Firstly: we will prove the equivalence of this problem (problem (1.1)):

$$D^\beta u(t) + \lambda a(t) f(u(t)) = 0, \beta \in (1, 2], t \in (0, 1), \quad (2.1)$$

$$u(0) = 0, \alpha u(\eta) = u(1), 0 < \eta < 1, 0 \leq \alpha \eta < 1 \quad (2.2)$$

with the integral equation:

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &= -I^\beta \lambda a(t) f(u(t)) \\ &+ \frac{t}{1 - \alpha \eta} \int_0^1 \frac{(1-s)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} \lambda a(s) f(u(s)) ds \\ &- \frac{\alpha t}{1 - \alpha \eta} \int_0^\eta \frac{(\eta-s)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} \lambda a(s) f(u(s)) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Indeed; operating by $I^{\beta-1}$ on both sides of equation (2.1), we get

$$I u'' = -I^{\beta-1} \lambda a(t) f(u(t)),$$

then

$$u'(t) - C_1 = -I^{\beta-1} \lambda a(t) f(u(t)).$$

By integration, we get

$$u(t) = -I^\beta \lambda a(t) f(u(t)) + C_1 t + C_2.$$

By (2.2), we get $C_2 = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} C_1 &= \frac{1}{1 - \alpha \eta} \left(\int_0^1 \frac{(1-s)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} \lambda a(s) f(u(s)) ds \right. \\ &\left. - \alpha \int_0^\eta \frac{(\eta-s)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} \lambda a(s) f(u(s)) ds \right). \end{aligned}$$



Therefore, the solution of problem (2.1) - (2.2) is given by the formula (2.3).

Now define the operator $T : C \rightarrow C$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
 Tu(t) &= -I^\beta \lambda a(t) f(u(t)) \\
 &+ \frac{t}{1-\alpha \eta} \int_0^1 \frac{(1-s)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} \lambda a(s) f(u(s)) ds \\
 &- \frac{\alpha t}{1-\alpha \eta} \int_0^\eta \frac{(\eta-s)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} \lambda a(s) f(u(s)) ds,
 \end{aligned}$$

and define a convex set $U \subset C[0, 1]$ by $U = \{u \in C[0, 1] : \|u\| \leq \frac{3K|\lambda||a|}{(1-\alpha\eta)\Gamma(1+\beta)}\}$, where $K = \sup_t |f(u(t))|$.

The operator T is a continuous operator, indeed:

$$\begin{aligned}
 |Tu(t_2) - Tu(t_1)| &= \left| -\int_0^{t_2} \frac{(t_2-s)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} \lambda a(s) f(u(s)) ds \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{t_2}{1-\alpha\eta} \int_0^1 \frac{(1-s)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} \lambda a(s) f(u(s)) ds \right.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &- \frac{\alpha t_2}{1-\alpha \eta} \int_0^\eta \frac{(\eta-s)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} \lambda a(s) f(u(s)) ds \\
 &+ \int_0^{t_1} \frac{(t_1-s)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} \lambda a(s) f(u(s)) ds
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &- \frac{t_1}{1-\alpha \eta} \int_0^1 \frac{(1-s)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} \lambda a(s) f(u(s)) ds \\
 &+ \frac{\alpha t_1}{1-\alpha \eta} \int_0^\eta \frac{(\eta-s)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} \lambda a(s) f(u(s)) ds \left|
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq \left| \int_0^{t_2} \frac{(t_2-s)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} \lambda a(s) f(u(s)) ds \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \int_0^{t_1} \frac{(t_1-s)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} \lambda a(s) f(u(s)) ds \right|
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &+ \left| \frac{t_2}{1-\alpha \eta} \int_0^1 \frac{(1-s)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} \lambda a(s) f(u(s)) ds \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \frac{t_1}{1-\alpha \eta} \int_0^1 \frac{(1-s)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} \lambda a(s) f(u(s)) ds \right|
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &+ \left| \frac{\alpha t_2}{1-\alpha \eta} \int_0^\eta \frac{(\eta-s)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} \lambda a(s) f(u(s)) ds \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \frac{\alpha t_1}{1-\alpha \eta} \int_0^\eta \frac{(\eta-s)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} \lambda a(s) f(u(s)) ds \right| \\
 &\leq \left| \int_0^{t_1} \left(\frac{(t_2-s)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} - \frac{(t_1-s)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} \right) \lambda a(s) f(u(s)) ds \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \frac{(t_2-s)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} \lambda a(s) f(u(s)) ds \right| \\
 &+ \frac{K|\lambda||a|}{1-\alpha \eta} \int_0^1 \frac{(1-s)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} ds |t_2 - t_1| \\
 &+ \frac{\alpha K|\lambda||a|}{1-\alpha \eta} \int_0^\eta \frac{(\eta-s)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} ds |t_2 - t_1| \\
 &\leq \frac{K|\lambda||a|}{\Gamma(\beta)} \left(\int_0^{t_1} |(t_2-s)^{\beta-1} - (t_1-s)^{\beta-1}| ds \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (t_2-s)^{\beta-1} ds \right) \\
 &+ \frac{K|\lambda||a|}{(1-\alpha\eta)\Gamma(1+\beta)} |t_2 - t_1| + \frac{\alpha K|\lambda||a|}{(1-\alpha\eta)\Gamma(1+\beta)} |t_2 - t_1| \\
 &\leq \frac{K|\lambda||a|}{\Gamma(1+\beta)} \left(2(t_2 - t_1)^\beta + |t_2^\beta - t_1^\beta| \right) \\
 &+ \frac{K|\lambda||a|}{(1-\alpha\eta)\Gamma(1+\beta)} |t_2 - t_1| + \frac{\alpha K|\lambda||a|}{(1-\alpha\eta)\Gamma(1+\beta)} |t_2 - t_1|.
 \end{aligned}$$

The above inequality shows that

$$|Tu(t_2) - Tu(t_1)| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t_2 \rightarrow t_1, \tag{2.4}$$

then Tu is uniformly continuous in $[0, 1]$, and hence $T : U \rightarrow U$ is well defined.

Also;

$$\begin{aligned}
 |Tu(t)| &\leq \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} |\lambda| |a(s)| |f(u(s))| ds \\
 &+ \frac{t}{1-\alpha \eta} \int_0^1 \frac{(1-s)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} |\lambda| |a(s)| |f(u(s))| ds \\
 &+ \frac{\alpha t}{1-\alpha \eta} \int_0^\eta \frac{(\eta-s)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} |\lambda| |a(s)| |f(u(s))| ds, \\
 \|Tu\| &\leq \frac{3K|\lambda||a|}{(1-\alpha \eta) \Gamma(1+\beta)}. \tag{2.5}
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore from Arzela-Ascoli Theorem it is easy to show that $T : U \rightarrow U$ is compact, immediately we obtain from inequality (2.5) that $T(U)$ is uniformly bounded, while the equicontinuity of $T(U)$ follows from inequality (2.4).

Now, from Schauder fixed point Theorem (1.1), we obtain that the operator T has a fixed point in $C[0, 1]$.



Now, differentiate (2.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 u'(t) &= -I^{\beta-1} \lambda a(t) f(u(t)) \\
 &+ \frac{1}{1-\alpha \eta} \int_0^1 \frac{(1-s)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} \lambda a(s) f(u(s)) ds \\
 &- \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha \eta} \int_0^\eta \frac{(\eta-s)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} \lambda a(s) f(u(s)) ds, \\
 |u'(t)| &\leq \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{\beta-2}}{\Gamma(\beta-1)} |\lambda| |a(s)| |f(u(s))| ds \\
 &+ \frac{1}{1-\alpha \eta} \int_0^1 \frac{(1-s)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} |\lambda| |a(s)| |f(u(s))| ds \\
 &+ \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha \eta} \int_0^\eta \frac{(\eta-s)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} |\lambda| |a(s)| |f(u(s))| ds, \\
 \|u'\| &\leq \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} + \frac{1+\alpha}{(1-\alpha \eta)\Gamma(\beta+1)} \right) K |\lambda| \|a\|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we obtain that $u' \in C[0, 1]$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 u''(t) &= -\frac{d}{dt} I^{\beta-1} \lambda a(t) f(u(t)) \\
 &= -(\lambda a(t) f(u(t)))|_{t=0} \frac{t^{\beta-2}}{\Gamma(\beta-1)} \\
 &- I^{\beta-1} \frac{d}{dt} (\lambda a(t) f(u(t))) \\
 &= -K_2 \frac{t^{\beta-2}}{\Gamma(\beta-1)} - \lambda I^{\beta-1} \left(a'(t) f(u(t)) \right. \\
 &\left. + \frac{\partial f}{\partial u} u'(t) a(t) \right),
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_0^1 |u''(t)| dt &\leq \frac{K_2}{\Gamma(\beta)} t^{\beta-1}|_0^1 \\
 &+ |\lambda| \int_0^1 \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{\beta-2}}{\Gamma(\beta-1)} |a'(s) f(u(s))| \\
 &+ \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial u} u'(s) a(s) \right| ds dt \\
 &= \frac{K_2}{\Gamma(\beta)} + |\lambda| \int_0^1 |a'(s) f(u(s))| \\
 &+ \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial u} u'(s) a(s) \right| \int_s^1 \frac{(t-s)^{\beta-2}}{\Gamma(\beta-1)} dt ds \\
 &\leq \frac{K_2}{\Gamma(\beta)} + \frac{|\lambda|}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^1 |a'(s) f(u(s))| \\
 &+ \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial u} u'(s) a(s) \right| ds, \\
 \|u''\|_{L_1} &\leq \frac{K_2}{\Gamma(\beta)} + \frac{|\lambda|}{\Gamma(\beta)} (K \|a'\|_{L_1} + K_1 \|u'\|_{L_1} \|a\|).
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we obtain that $u'' \in L_1[0, 1]$.

To complete the equivalence of equation (2.3) with the fractional-order three point boundary value problem (2.1) - (2.2), let $u(t)$

be a solution of (2.3), differentiate it twice we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 u''(t) &= -\frac{d}{dt} I^{\beta-1} \lambda a(t) f(u(t)) \\
 &= -(\lambda a(t) f(u(t)))|_{t=0} \frac{t^{\beta-2}}{\Gamma(\beta-1)} \\
 &- I^{\beta-1} \frac{d}{dt} (\lambda a(t) f(u(t))),
 \end{aligned}$$

and operating by $I^{2-\beta}$ on both sides of the last equation, we get

$$D^\beta u(t) + \lambda a(t) f(u(t)) = 0.$$

Also it is easy to prove that conditions (2.2) are satisfied. Which proves the equivalence. ■

Secondly consider problem (1.2):

$$\begin{cases} D^\beta u(t) + \lambda a(t) f(u(t)) = 0, \beta \in (1, 2], t \in (0, 1), \\ u'(0) = 0, \alpha u'(\eta) = u(1), 0 < \eta < 1, 0 \leq \alpha \eta < 1. \end{cases} \tag{2.6}$$

Theorem 2.3. *If the above assumptions (i) - (iii) are satisfied, then the three point boundary value problem (1.2) has a solution.*

Proof: We will prove the equivalence of this problem (problem(1.2)):

$$D^\beta u(t) + \lambda a(t) f(u(t)) = 0, \beta \in (1, 2], t \in (0, 1), \tag{2.7}$$

$$u'(0) = 0, \alpha u'(\eta) = u(1), 0 < \eta < 1, 0 \leq \alpha \eta < 1 \tag{2.8}$$

with the integral equation:

$$\begin{aligned}
 u(t) &= -I^\beta \lambda a(t) f(u(t)) \\
 &+ \int_0^1 \frac{(1-s)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} \lambda a(s) f(u(s)) ds \\
 &- \alpha \int_0^\eta \frac{(\eta-s)^{\beta-2}}{\Gamma(\beta-1)} \lambda a(s) f(u(s)) ds.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

Indeed; as in theorem (2.2) Equation (2.7) can be reduced to an equivalent integral equation:

$$u(t) = -I^\beta \lambda a(t) f(u(t)) + C_1 t + C_2.$$

By differentiating the last equation, we get

$$u'(t) = -I^{\beta-1} \lambda a(t) f(u(t)) + C_1.$$

By (2.8), we get $C_1 = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
 C_2 &= \int_0^1 \frac{(1-s)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} \lambda a(s) f(u(s)) ds \\
 &- \alpha \int_0^\eta \frac{(\eta-s)^{\beta-2}}{\Gamma(\beta-1)} \lambda a(s) f(u(s)) ds.
 \end{aligned}$$



Therefore, the solution of problem (2.7) - (2.8) is given by the formula (2.9).

Now define the operator $T : C \rightarrow C$ by

$$\begin{aligned} Tu(t) &= -I^\beta \lambda a(t) f(u(t)) \\ &+ \int_0^1 \frac{(1-s)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} \lambda a(s) f(u(s)) ds \\ &- \alpha \int_0^\eta \frac{(\eta-s)^{\beta-2}}{\Gamma(\beta-1)} \lambda a(s) f(u(s)) ds. \end{aligned}$$

and define a convex set $U \subset C[0, 1]$ by $U = \{u \in C[0, 1] : \|u\| \leq \frac{(2 + \alpha \beta)}{\Gamma(1+\beta)} K |\lambda| \|a\|\}$, where $K = \sup_t |f(u(t))|$.

The operator T is a continuous operator, indeed:

$$\begin{aligned} |Tu(t_2) - Tu(t_1)| &= \left| -\int_0^{t_2} \frac{(t_2-s)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} \lambda a(s) f(u(s)) ds \right. \\ &+ \left. \int_0^{t_1} \frac{(t_1-s)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} \lambda a(s) f(u(s)) ds \right| \\ &\leq \left| \int_0^{t_1} \left(\frac{(t_2-s)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} - \frac{(t_1-s)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} \right) \right. \\ &\times \left. \lambda a(s) f(u(s)) ds \right| \\ &+ \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \frac{(t_2-s)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} \lambda a(s) f(u(s)) ds \right| \\ &\leq \frac{K |\lambda| \|a\|}{\Gamma(\beta)} \left(\int_0^{t_1} |(t_2-s)^{\beta-1} \right. \\ &- \left. (t_1-s)^{\beta-1} ds + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (t_2-s)^{\beta-1} ds \right) \\ &\leq \frac{K |\lambda| \|a\|}{\Gamma(1+\beta)} \left(2(t_2-t_1)^\beta + |t_2^\beta - t_1^\beta| \right). \end{aligned}$$

The above inequality shows that

$$|Tu(t_2) - Tu(t_1)| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t_2 \rightarrow t_1, \tag{2.10}$$

then Tu is uniformly continuous in $[0, 1]$, and hence $T : U \rightarrow U$ is well defined.

Also;

$$\begin{aligned} |Tu(t)| &\leq \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} |\lambda| |a(s)| |f(u(s))| ds \\ &+ \int_0^1 \frac{(1-s)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} |\lambda| |a(s)| |f(u(s))| ds \\ &+ \alpha \int_0^\eta \frac{(\eta-s)^{\beta-2}}{\Gamma(\beta-1)} |\lambda| |a(s)| |f(u(s))| ds, \\ \|Tu\| &\leq \frac{(2 + \alpha \beta)}{\Gamma(1+\beta)} K |\lambda| \|a\|. \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

Therefore from Arzela-Ascoli Theorem it is easy to show that $T : U \rightarrow U$ is compact, immediately we obtain from inequality (2.11) that $T(U)$ is uniformly bounded, while the equicontinuity of $T(U)$ follows from inequality (2.10).

Now, from Schauder fixed point Theorem (1.1), we obtain

that the operator T has a fixed point in $C[0, 1]$.

Now, differentiate (2.9), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} u'(t) &= -I^{\beta-1} \lambda a(t) f(u(t)), \\ |u'(t)| &\leq \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{\beta-2}}{\Gamma(\beta-1)} |\lambda| |a(s)| |f(u(s))| ds, \\ \|u'\| &\leq \frac{K |\lambda| \|a\|}{\Gamma(\beta)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we obtain that $u' \in C[0, 1]$.

$$\begin{aligned} u''(t) &= -\frac{d}{dt} I^{\beta-1} \lambda a(t) f(u(t)) \\ &= -(\lambda a(t) f(u(t)))|_{t=0} \frac{t^{\beta-2}}{\Gamma(\beta-1)} \\ &- I^{\beta-1} \frac{d}{dt} (\lambda a(t) f(u(t))) \\ &= -K_2 \frac{t^{\beta-2}}{\Gamma(\beta-1)} \\ &- \lambda I^{\beta-1} \left(a'(t) f(u(t)) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial u} u'(t) a(t) \right), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 |u''(t)| dt &\leq \frac{K_2}{\Gamma(\beta)} t^{\beta-1} \Big|_0^1 \\ &+ |\lambda| \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \frac{(t-s)^{\beta-2}}{\Gamma(\beta-1)} |a'(s) f(u(s))| \\ &+ \frac{\partial f}{\partial u} u'(s) a(s) ds dt \\ &= \frac{K_2}{\Gamma(\beta)} + |\lambda| \int_0^1 |a'(s) f(u(s))| \\ &+ \frac{\partial f}{\partial u} u'(s) a(s) \Big|_s^1 \frac{(t-s)^{\beta-2}}{\Gamma(\beta-1)} dt ds \\ &\leq \frac{K_2}{\Gamma(\beta)} + \frac{|\lambda|}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^1 |a'(s) f(u(s))| \\ &+ \frac{\partial f}{\partial u} u'(s) a(s) ds, \\ \|u''\|_{L_1} &\leq \frac{K_2}{\Gamma(\beta)} + \frac{|\lambda|}{\Gamma(\beta)} (K \|a'\|_{L_1} + K_1 \|u'\|_{L_1} \|a\|). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we obtain that $u'' \in L_1[0, 1]$.

To complete the equivalence of equation (2.9) with the fractional-order three point boundary value problem (2.7) - (2.8), let $u(t)$ be a solution of (2.9), differentiate it twice we get

$$\begin{aligned} u''(t) &= -\frac{d}{dt} I^{\beta-1} \lambda a(t) f(u(t)) \\ &= -(\lambda a(t) f(u(t)))|_{t=0} \frac{t^{\beta-2}}{\Gamma(\beta-1)} \\ &- I^{\beta-1} \frac{d}{dt} (\lambda a(t) f(u(t))), \end{aligned}$$



and operating by $I^{2-\beta}$ on both sides of the last equation, we get

$$D^\beta u(t) + \lambda a(t) f(u(t)) = 0.$$

Also it is easy to prove that conditions (2.8) are satisfied. Which proves the equivalence. ■

References

- [1] R. Chaudhary and D.N. Pandey, Existence results for nonlinear fractional differential equation with nonlocal integral boundary conditions, *Malaya J. Mat.*, 4(3)(2016), 392-403.
- [2] K. Deimling, *Nonlinear Functional Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, 1985.
- [3] A.M.A. El-Sayed and Sh. A. Abd El-Salam, Nonlocal boundary value problem of a fractional-order functional differential equation, *Inter. J. of Non. Sci.*, 7(4)(2009), 436-442.
- [4] A.M.A. El-Sayed and Sh. A. Abd El-Salam, Solvability of a nonlocal boundary value problem of fractional-order differential equation, *Math. Sci. Res. J.*, 13(2009), 1-12.
- [5] A.M.A. El-Sayed, M.Sh. Mohamed and K. M. O. Msaik, On some boundary-value problems of functional integro-differential equations with nonlocal conditions, *Malaya J. Mat.*, 5(2)(2016), 186-193.
- [6] A.M.A. El-Sayed, R.O. Abd-El-Rahman and M. El-Gendy, Continuous dependence of the solution of a stochastic differential equation with nonlocal conditions, *Malaya J. Mat.*, 4(3)(2016), 488-496.
- [7] K.S. Miller and B. Ross, *An Introduction to the Fractional Calculus and Fractional Differential Equations*, John Wiley, New York, 1993.
- [8] R. Ma, Positive solutions of a nonlinear three point boundary value problem, *EJDE*, 1998, 1-9.
- [9] I. Podlubny and A.M.A. EL-Sayed, On two definitions of fractional calculus, *Preprint UEF 03-96 (ISBN 80-7099-252-2)*, Slovak Academy of Science-Institute of Experimental phys. (1996).
- [10] I. Podlubny, *Fractional Differential Equations*, Acad. press, San Diego-New York-London, 1999.
- [11] Y. N. Raffoul, Positive solutions of three point nonlinear second order boundary value problem, *EJQTDE* (2002), No. 15, 1-15.
- [12] S. Samko, A. Kilbas and O.L. Marichev, *Fractional Integrals and Derivatives*, Gordon and Breach Science Publisher, 1993.
- [13] Y.P. Sun, Nontrivial solution for a three-point boundary-value problem, *EJDE* (2004), No. 111, 1-10.

ISSN(P):2319 – 3786

Malaya Journal of Matematik

ISSN(O):2321 – 5666

